

Exhibit 2

Expert Report of Eldon Vail

Dockery et al. v. Fisher et al., No. 3:13-cv-00326-TSL-JMR

Submitted: December 29, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. ASSIGNMENT	1
II. QUALIFICATIONS.....	1
III. COMPENSATION.....	2
IV. FOUNDATION FOR EXPERT OPINIONS	3
V. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....	4
VI. OPINIONS	5
A. Deficiencies in the Operation of EMCF are Significant, Persistent, and Well Known to Prison Administrators	5
1. Counts at EMCF are Not Conducted Properly	7
2. Officers’ Views Into Cells At EMCF Are Often Obstructed	10
3. Significant Problems with Locking Mechanisms at EMCF.....	11
4. Inmates At EMCF Pass Out Food In the Living Units During Meal Times .	15
5. EMCF Staff Fail to Respond to Issues Raised by Prisoners	16
6. MTC Administrators Often Fail To Respond To The Deficiencies in Prison Operations That are Brought to Their Attention	16
B. Staffing Levels at EMCF are Insufficient and Contribute to Deficiencies in the Operation of the Prison and Create an Unnecessary Risk of Harm to Inmates and Staff.....	18
1. There is Insufficient Staffing in the General Population Units	18
2. Insufficient Staffing in Close Custody	24
C. The Numbers of Weapons at EMCF are Extreme	28
D. Staff are Poorly Trained and Engage in Unnecessary Uses of Force	33
1. Spontaneous Uses of Force are Unnecessarily Used at EMCF in Situations That Should be Planned.....	34
2. Mental Health Staff and Officers are Poorly Trained to Actually De-escalate Potential Use of Force Situations	37
E. Segregation Practices at EMCF	40
VII. CONCLUSION	47

I. ASSIGNMENT

1. Plaintiffs' counsel retained me to evaluate and offer my opinion regarding the safety and security of prisoners confined at the East Mississippi Correctional Facility (EMCF), in Meridian, Mississippi.

II. QUALIFICATIONS

2. I am a former correctional administrator with nearly 35 years of experience working in and administering adult institutions.
3. Before becoming a corrections administrator, I held various line and supervisory level positions in a number of adult prisons and juvenile facilities in the State of Washington, in addition to serving as a Juvenile Parole Officer and pre-release supervisor. I have served as the Superintendent (Warden) of three adult institutions, including facilities that housed maximum, medium, and minimum-security inmates.
4. I served for seven years as the Deputy Secretary of the Washington State Department of Corrections (WDOC), where I was responsible for the operation of prisons and community corrections. I briefly retired, but was asked by the then-Governor of Washington, Chris Gregoire, to come out of retirement to serve as the Secretary of the Department of Corrections in the fall of 2007. I served as the Secretary for four years, until I retired again in 2011.
5. As a Superintendent, Assistant Director of Prisons, Assistant Deputy Secretary, Deputy Secretary, and Secretary, I was responsible for the safe and secure operations of adult prisons in the State of Washington, a jurisdiction that saw and continues to see a significant downward trend in prison violence.
6. As the Superintendent of McNeil Island Corrections Center, and as a result of legislation, then-Secretary Chase Riveland charged me with designing and opening a new program for mentally ill inmates within the WDOC. I did so in collaboration with leaders from a number of departments from the University of Washington (UW) who informed the design and operation of the two units, one medium security and one maximum security, devoted to this population.
7. That collaboration continued for nearly 20 years as UW staff came to assist the Department in improving our treatment of mentally ill inmates throughout the system. Our focus was on moving inmates out of high security bed placement whenever possible.
8. As Assistant Director for Prisons, my responsibilities included oversight of mental health programs for all prisons in the State of Washington. Part of this assignment was to oversee the design of a capital project that more than doubled the size of Washington's largest program for the mentally ill. Taking what I had learned from my experience on McNeil Island, my primary focus was to design a housing

continuum for the mentally ill that did not rely on over-classifying individuals as maximum security, and instead moved them through less restrictive levels of prison housing. We developed a design that allowed inmates to move through progressive custody levels from maximum to minimum security and to avoid segregation whenever possible.

9. During my tenure as the Deputy Secretary, we created a specialized high-security treatment unit for the mentally ill inmates, where the inmates could be safely housed without significant levels of isolation and also receive robust treatment from mental health professionals. This unit was separate and apart from regular segregation units.
10. As Deputy Secretary and later as Secretary, I focused on providing proper treatment for the mentally ill in prison on a system-wide basis.
11. My opinions are based upon my substantial experience running correctional institutions and presiding over a statewide prison system for more than a decade. I have spent considerable time working to provide for the proper custody and care of the mentally ill sentenced to prison. I am experienced in sound correctional practice.
12. Since my retirement I have served as an expert witness and correctional consultant for cases and disputes over 40 times in multiple jurisdictions—state, local and federal. As an expert witness and consultant I have been called upon to address security issues and conditions of confinement in adult prisons and jails across the country. Many of those cases involved the care, custody and conditions of confinement for mentally ill inmate patients, including in the states of California, Arizona, Delaware, New Jersey, and Illinois.
13. I recently completed an assignment for the United States Department of Justice, investigating the treatment of gay, lesbian, and transgender inmates in the Georgia Department of Corrections. Earlier this year, I completed a report for the Sacramento County Sheriff, making recommendations for the improved treatment of mentally ill prisoners and the overuse of segregation in their jails. I am also working for the New York ACLU and their pro bono partners, tracking compliance with a settlement agreement achieved with the New York Department of Corrections and Community Service regarding the conditions of confinement and overuse of segregation in that state's prison system.
14. A true and correct copy of my current resume is attached as **Exhibit 1** to this report, which lists my work experience, publications in the last 10 years, and service as an expert witness and correctional consultant, including prior trial and deposition testimony given in the last four years.

III. COMPENSATION

15. My billing rate for work on this case is \$150 per hour.

IV. FOUNDATION FOR EXPERT OPINIONS

16. In forming my opinions I considered documents provided by the parties including over 100 videos and/or photographs related to use of force (UOF) events and/or incidents of violence within EMCF; over 500 Management and Training Corporation (MTC) Extraordinary Occurrence Reports (EORs) documenting UOF events, contraband findings, self-inflicted wounds, as well as inmate fights and assaults; monthly reports submitted by MTC to the Mississippi Department of Corrections (MDOC); correspondence and emails from the MDOC Contract Monitor; a sample of unit log books from EMCF; grievance records; records of inmates' treatment while in segregated confinement; and, a variety of additional documents submitted by the parties. The vast majority of these documents date from 2014 – 2016.
17. A complete list of the materials I relied upon in this matter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**, and may be referred to in footnotes and/or other references within this report.
18. In addition to the documents reviewed, I visited EMCF on June 22 and June 23, 2016 where I inspected the living units and had private interviews with 16 inmates. I spoke with several other inmates at their cell fronts.
19. In June 2014, I authored my first report regarding the safety and security of prisoners in EMCF. A true and correct copy of that report, which I have reviewed and relied upon, is attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**. That report was based upon a 4-day inspection of the facility that took place between March 31, 2014 – April 3, 2014, as well as a review of documents relevant to that time. My primary conclusion in that report was, "East Mississippi Correctional Facility is an extraordinarily dangerous prison. All prisoners confined there are subjected on a daily basis to significant risk of serious injury."¹
20. Based upon my review of more recently produced documents, interviews with inmates, and another tour of the EMCF facility, two years after my first report was written, my opinion regarding the security and corrections environment at EMCF has not changed. My current report is consistent with, validates, and extends, the findings of my previous report. EMCF remains an extraordinarily dangerous prison where prisoners are subjected to significant risk of serious injury or harm on a daily basis.
21. My work on this matter is ongoing. This report summarizes my current opinions given the available information I have reviewed to date. It is my understanding that a number of relevant documents requested by Plaintiff's counsel have either just been received or have yet to be received. I reserve the right to modify or supplement my analyses and opinions if additional information becomes available.

¹ *Dockery v. Fisher*, Expert Report of Eldon Vail (June 16, 2014), at ¶19

V. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS

22. EMCF is and remains a very dangerous prison. Inmates housed there are at significant risk of serious harm.
23. MTC has received a steady stream of information from the MDOC Contract Monitor documenting deficiencies in the operation of EMCF yet there has been very little follow up by MTC to correct these deep and systemic problems. Despite having knowledge that MTC fails to correct the problems, MDOC has failed to hold MTC accountable in a manner that would result in improvements to the operation of EMCF and would make it a reasonably safe facility.
24. EMCF authorities are not in control of the institution. There are too few staff for employees to feel safe, and they have insufficient training and experience to manage a population of mentally ill or close custody inmates, many of whom belong to prison gangs. To a large extent inmates control the facility. Violence, often driven by the power and influence of gangs, is widespread.
25. The prevalence of contraband in the prison is alarming, creating substantial risk for both inmates and the staff.
26. Inmates in segregation (and in other parts of the prison) are subjected to avoidable use of force events. The resulting uses of force that should never have occurred create a climate that is extremely stressful for both staff and inmates and risks triggering ongoing cycles of violence.
27. Conditions in the segregation units do not meet contemporary standards. MTC fails to meet some of the most basic elements of MDOC segregation policy and as a result, the risk of harm to the inmates is increased.
28. EMCF makes little or no effort to keep mentally ill inmates out of segregation despite overwhelming evidence that such placement exacerbates the symptoms of the mentally ill. Too often the mentally ill simply languish in segregation, committing acts of self-harm, acts for which they are frequently punished. In effect, inmates are punished for their mental illness.
29. EMCF is primarily a prison for mentally ill inmates. In my experience as a corrections administrator, and based on the documents I have reviewed related to the provision of mental healthcare at EMCF, my inspection of EMCF, and my interviews with inmates, very little mental health treatment is being provided to the mentally ill population beyond medication.

VI. OPINIONS

A. Deficiencies in the Operation of EMCF are Significant, Persistent, and Well Known to Prison Administrators

30. EMCF has had an on-site Monitor from the MDOC since before I wrote my first report in 2014. The Monitor is an MDOC employee who, by state statute, “shall be responsible for monitoring all aspects” of privatized facilities.² From the records available, the Monitor appears to have had unfettered access to the operation of EMCF.³ The Monitor has produced a steady stream of reports regarding EMCF’s performance.
31. For my previous report, I did not receive many documents related to the Monitor’s work. For this report, I received and reviewed much more information and documentation about the work of the Monitor, including some weekly inspection reports, a complete set of monthly inspection checklists completed by the Monitor that date from March 2014 – March 2016, and emails sent by the Monitor to MTC and MDOC administrators.⁴ I attach as **Exhibit 4**, an example of the checklist used by MDOC as part of their monthly report, which is used to evaluate MTC and EMCF’s compliance with policies, including those related to staffing and inmate supervision.⁵ It is clear that the Monitor has consistently pointed out some of the issues and problems that make EMCF a dangerous prison. What is rare in the documents I have received is any written follow up to the findings of the Monitor by MTC, or any consistent progress to correct those problems.
32. As I outline in detail below, the Monitor’s reports to MDOC and MTC officials have consistently documented serious problems in EMCF’s general population and segregation units, including many that are longstanding and persistent ones. But, the problems go unfixed.

² MS Code § 47-5-1223 (2015)

³ I believe there has been a single Monitor in place at EMCF, but the person serving that function has changed once during the time this report covers.

⁴ The Monthly Inspection Checklists are referenced throughout this report and can be found at: MDOC-CON-00000332–347 (March 2014); MDOC-CON-00000396–411 (April 2014); MDOC-CON-00000490–506 (May 2014); MDOC-CON-00000549–564 (June 2014); MDOC-CON-00000614 –629 (July 2014); MDOC-CON-00000679–695 (August 2014); MDOC-CON-00000743–758 (September 2014); MDOC-CON-00000788–803 (October 2014); MDOC-CON-00000855–870 (November 2014); MDOC-CON-00000933–949 (December 2014); MDOC-CON-00004927–4914 (January 2015); MDOC-CON-00005002–5018 (February 2015); MDOC-CON-00005104–5169 (March 2015); MDOC-CON-00005223–5237 (April 2015); MDOC-CON-00005321–5340 (May 2015); MDOC-CON-00005393–5410 (June 2015); MDOC-CON-00005481–5494 (July 2015); MDOC-CON-00005575–5591 (August 2015); MDOC-CON-00005681–5695 (September 2015); MDOC-CON-00005787–5863 (October 2015); MDOC-CON-00005969–5984 (November 2015); MDOC-CON-00006038–6053 (December 2015); MDOC-CON-00000023–38 (January 2016); MDOC-CON-00000096–111 (February 2016); MDOC-CON-00000195–210 (March 2016)

⁵ See MDOC-CON-00000195–210

33. The Monitor's reports from December 2014 and July 2015 are illustrative. In December 2014, for example, the Monitor sent MDOC officials a list of problems that she had been reporting to MTC administrators since September 2014, but that were still ongoing.⁶ Some highlights from that list for Units 1–4, the general population units, included:

- Windows/doors covered
- Count not being conducted according to policy
- Security checks not being conducted
- Pods not being monitored by staff
- Offenders are issuing the trays in the pods
- Case managers are not seeing offenders according to policy
- Disciplinary not being conducted according to policy
- Offenders are not getting chemicals to clean cells/pods daily
- Showers not being cleaned
- Maintenance issues not being completed

Some of the items on the list for Units 5 and 6, which include the segregation units, were similar:

- Windows/doors covered
- Recreation not being conducted according to policy
- Counts not being conducted according to policy
- Security checks not being conducted according to policy
- Staff not manning pods
- Offenders not having access to case managers according to policy
- Supervisors/Wardens/unit managers making rounds but not addressing any offender issues

The Monitor ended the list with the comment: "I have been advised monthly that these issues would be taken care of but as of today, 12/30/14, we still have the same issues, when will they be taken care of[?]"

34. In July 2015 the Monitor similarly wrote to the Warden, again expressing concern about the number of issues she had identified that had not yet been addressed by prison staff. She began her email by saying: "I have address [sic] these serious issues on several occasion without a positive response other than 'I [will] take care of it.' Well it has not been taken care of and I don't think the issues will take care of themselves."⁷ Among the unresolved issues listed by the Monitor were:

- Gang members working in the segregation units . . . I am being told they can control the units

⁶ MDOC-CON-00002261–2262

⁷ DEF_ESI_0006171

- Staff assigned to the units are not remaining on their posts; leaving the pod unsupervised
- Security checks are not being conducted
- Offenders are running the units as they wish

The Monitor noted: “These issues are all over EMCF but the [segregation] units are the worst.” She ended her note by saying: “Please be advised this is not personal[;] it is part of my job to report when policy is not being followed and I have been talking [about] these issues for weeks.”

35. Whether provided by the reports from the Monitor or in letters from inmates, many of the deficiencies in the operation of EMCF have been called out time and again to MTC and MDOC administrators. As I document in this report, those known deficiencies create dangerous conditions for the inmates (and sometimes for the staff) at EMCF.

1. Counts at EMCF are Not Conducted Properly

36. There is not much that is more fundamental in corrections than accurately and correctly counting inmates. The primary responsibility of any prison is to make sure the inmates stay confined inside the secure perimeter of the institution. You make sure the inmates are still confined by regularly and accurately counting them to make certain they are still there. To quote MTC’s own training materials regarding counts:
- The primary responsibility of the institution is to protect the citizenry by maintaining custody and control of the inmates/detainees confined within the facility.
 - Inmate/detainee counts ensure that all inmates/detainees are alive, in their proper place and no one has escaped.⁸
37. But inmates at EMCF are not always counted properly. In the monthly MDOC On-Site Monitoring Worksheet for August 2014,⁹ in a finding of non-compliance, the Monitor said: “Count being conducted improperly, inmates are in the wrong cell.”¹⁰ The finding was emphasized by the Monitor twice more in the same report where she says: “Offenders are in the wrong cell.”¹¹
38. Unfortunately, the problem of counts not being conducted properly is a recurring one at EMCF. In fact, this very same problem was identified by the Monitor on every checklist from May 2014 – March 2016, meaning that it was not being corrected by MTC, despite being identified by the Monitor every month for nearly two years. Incredulously, each time the Monitor identified MTC’s non-compliance

⁸ MTC077100

⁹ MDOC-CON-00000630 - 00000695

¹⁰ MDOC-CON-00000684

¹¹ MDOC-CON-00000684

with conducting counts properly, the comment came with either a specific due date for correction or just “ASAP.” But as far as I know, the problem has not yet been fixed.¹²

39. Specifically, the Monitor has regularly found that EMCF is non-compliant in having staff “conduct formal count at least once per 8 hour shift/3x per day.” A formal count is defined by MDOC policy as “Scheduled Institutional counts that are logged in Unit Registers for forwarding to the Area Control Centers”.¹³
40. Similarly, since April 2014 through March 2016, the Monitor’s inspection sheets also show non-compliance with respect to two other ways counts should be conducted:
 - Face to photo count as necessary
 - Each offender positively identified during count
41. But as the Monitor noted on the April 2015 checklist, among others, the ability to make these necessary identifications is impeded by the fact that “staff do not take items down that are hanging therefore they cannot see in the cell to verify offenders.”¹⁴ I address the issue of obstructed cell windows later in this report, but it is important to note here that if officers aren’t regularly and routinely looking into inmates’ cells, something that has to be done during counts, they may not be able to detect that the correct inmates are not in their assigned cells, a problem that persists at EMCF.
42. Finally, during my inspection of the facility in June 2016, inmates I interviewed informed me of one other way counts are not properly conducted at EMCF, telling me sometimes the inmates conduct them. Inmates told me that since officers do not routinely stay in the units and supervise the inmates, it is their opinion that many officers do not feel comfortable actually being in the units and therefore rely on the inmates to keep the peace. It was not a question I asked, but an observation that was offered to me by the inmates as a concern about the operation of the prison and their own safety. It was also an observation made by the Monitor in July 2015 when she wrote to the Warden: “Offenders are running the units as they wish.”¹⁵
43. Typically, the inmates explained, during count time the officers come into the unit and ask the inmates if everyone is there. The officers then report the results given to them by the inmates as the accurate count. The inmates informed me that this was a routine occurrence, but also said the practice depended on the shift, with the officers working afternoons and nights more reliant on inmates to help with the counts. It is confirmed from the analysis of shift rosters that I address later in this report that the

¹² MDOC-CON-00000792

¹³ AG 4705

¹⁴ MDOC-CON-00005223–5237

¹⁵ DEF_ESI_0006171

afternoon shift sometimes has fewer staff to supervise the units than does the day shift.

44. The problem of inmates conducting the counts was further documented in a letter dated July 23, 2015, and sent by an inmate to Commissioner Fisher. In that letter the inmate said (among several other concerns he was attempting to bring to the attention of the Commissioner): “EMCF officials are allowing inmates to operate this Facility, including inmate body counts – EMCF officials just haven’t given inmate[s] the [roster] and a pair of handcuff[s], (yet!) I assume.”¹⁶
45. One consequence to which EMCF’s lax attitude towards counts contributes was described to me during my private interviews with inmates, when some of them told me that inmates are sometimes able to control cell assignments. One inmate told me “nobody is in the right cell.” Another told me “cell assignments come from the gangs.” Another told me that inmates make the cell assignments. Yet another told me you can change your cell assignment in the general population units without staff approval. The claims were verified in some examples made available, as I document later in this report. If inmates believe that staff are not always in control of and/or knowledgeable of correct cell assignments, it is yet another message to the inmate population that authority in the institution is based on the power of the inmate population and not the authority of prison officials. Proper control of the prison is once again eroded, placing inmates and staff in danger.
46. Another consequence is that if officers are not looking into cells and inmates are sometimes doing the counts, the likelihood of the security of the cells being breached increases exponentially. That’s exactly what happened on July 5, 2015, when at 7:30 a.m., it was discovered during the morning inner perimeter check (a security check on the grounds of the prison) that a window had been cut out of Cell 102 in Unit 6A. On investigation, an inmate was found occupying Cell 102, even though that inmate was actually assigned to Cell 201 and should have been in that cell all night. There is no detail in the incident memo documenting exactly how long that inmate had been in Cell 102 before he was discovered, though report does cite the inmate saying that “[t]he offenders on the pod asked him to moved [sic] downstairs last night to ensure no one else moved inside cell 102 and also promised [him] a bag of tobacco.”¹⁷ That the inmate was not discovered until the morning is only understandable if the officers are not checking to see if the inmates are in the right cell. If officers are not looking into cells and inmates are sometimes doing the counts, the likelihood of the security of the cells being breached increases exponentially. Security was in fact breached in this case, to the extent that a window had actually been cut out of the cell.
47. MTC has some reasonably good curriculum to instruct officers in how to do counts which emphasizes the critical point of why counts must be conducted correctly.¹⁸

¹⁶ MDOC-CON-00001042

¹⁷ DEF_ESI_0008921

¹⁸ See MTC077099–77125

But more than just how counts are conducted, with respect to who conducts them, in all my years working in corrections, I have never heard of a prison where control by the staff has been so eroded that the inmates are doing the counts. This is a very serious problem reflecting several concerns, not the least of which is the accountability of those charged with managing the prison and their charge to do all they can to keep inmates, staff, and the community safe.

2. Officers' Views Into Cells At EMCF Are Often Obstructed

48. MTC has a handbook for inmates, describing the rules for daily living at EMCF and the general operation of the prison.¹⁹ Every Monitor's checklist, from March 2014 – March 2016, reports that MTC is non-compliant with the item, "Staff aware of handbook content and follow procedures." This is usually followed by a comment such as, "Rules according to inmate handbook are not followed."²⁰
49. Two related rules in the Handbook that I believe are very important state:
- Inmates will not be allowed to tape, glue, or otherwise affix any item to housing unit doors, windows, walls, bunks, lockers, or other fixtures.
 - Clothing, towels, etc., will not be hung on any fixture or block any exterior window or cell door window. No items are to be hung so as to block the view of housing officers.²¹
50. It is critical for officers to be able to quickly and routinely identify what is going on with inmates in their cells. When a rule this fundamental is not regularly enforced, it sends the message to the inmates that rules do not matter and that they are left to fend for themselves since the officers cannot control the basic expectations for inmates in the living units. Again, I emphasize that this issue is critical because, if officers aren't regularly and routinely looking into the cells, they may not detect inmates who have been assaulted or inmates who are engaged in acts of self-harm (later in this report, records I discovered document relevant examples of this problem). Nor as I described above, will they be able to conduct an accurate count.
51. Despite these facts, it is clear that officers' blocked views into inmates cells is a persistent and ongoing problem at EMCF that creates danger for both staff and inmates. From at least October 2014 – March 2016, the Monitor has continually reported this problem in the basic operation of the living units at EMCF, often with the comment: "Staff do not take items down that are hanging therefore they cannot see into the cell to verify offenders."²²

¹⁹ See MDOC-CON-00004036–4097

²⁰ MDOC-CON-00000205

²¹ MDOC-CON-00004044

²² See, e.g., MDOC-CON-00000792

52. During my inspection of EMCF in June 2016, I also witnessed the problem of obstructed cell views when I attempted to look into inmates' cells. In both the general population units and in the segregation units, I was unable to see into some inmates' cells. This violation is so fundamental that I was somewhat shocked to find it during my expert inspection. Typically, such obvious problems are addressed and eliminated before outside visitors arrive. The fact that it was not addressed reveals the depth of dysfunction at EMCF. It is clear that it is a persistent and ongoing problem at EMCF that creates danger for both staff and inmates.

3. *Significant Problems with Locking Mechanisms at EMCF*

53. Until mid-September 2016, when the facility was closed, MTC operated another prison in Mississippi for the MDOC, called Walnut Grove Correctional Facility ("Walnut Grove"). That facility, which was placed under a Consent Decree in 2012, had a problem with unsecure cell doors. In June 2015, Judge Carlton Reeves said the following on the issue:
- Often a topic of concern, the locking mechanism on the cell doors at Walnut Grove were easily compromised by inmates, which thwarted staff's ability to secure and protect. It is impossible for Defendant to provide protection from harm in a facility where inmates are aware that they can freely escape their cells.
 - It is foreseeable that inmates will continue to unlock cell doors at their own liberty. Instructing guards to check doors each time they open and close is only a short-term and impracticable solution that does not rectify the problem. It also places too much responsibility, in an area where mistakes can be fatal, on human error. The only guaranteed remedy is to fix the doors, and to date, Defendant has only done so in *some* of the units.²³
54. But even as the very dangerous problem of unsecure cell doors was being highlighted for MDOC and MTC with respect to another one of their jointly operated facilities, it was being ignored, or at least left uncorrected on a systemic level, at EMCF.
55. In fact, in my June 2014 report in this case, I wrote about this very problem at EMCF:

Adding enormously to the dangers and stress experienced by those confined to the segregation units at EMCF—and also the dangers and stress experienced by those who work in the units—is the fact that the doors to the individual cells in the

²³ *Depriest, et al. v. Walnut Grove Correctional Authority, et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:10-cv-663-CWR-FKB, Order at 26–27 (S.D. Miss. June 10, 2015) (Reeves, J. presiding)

segregation units are not secure. Inmates can successfully block their full closure.²⁴

Records generated since that report was written demonstrate the point that unsecure cell doors and locks on recreation cages create significant risk to inmates and officers in the form of assaults and unnecessary and completely avoidable use of force events. Inmates at EMCF, in the segregation units, and also elsewhere in the prison, are successfully and too easily able to manipulate, or “rig,” their cell doors to prevent their full and secure closure. While the examples offered below do not and should not excuse the behavior of the inmate (or any inmate) who attempts to “rig” his cell door, it is inexcusable for MTC to fail to take the steps necessary to correct this long-standing problem at EMCF. As Judge Reeves noted with respect to the doors at Walnut Grove, it asks too much to rely on officers to check doors each time inmates are placed in their cells to ensure that the cell door lock has not been manipulated. Instead, the solution is to fix the doors.

56. On August 25, 2014, officers were escorting an inmate out of the segregation pod and into the octagon outside of the pod. When they opened the door to the pod, another inmate in segregation was able to “manipulate his cell door and exit his cell,” enter the octagon (the center hub that connects each of the four pods on a housing unit) and assault a Sergeant.²⁵ With proper cell locks on the cell doors, this incident would have been avoided.
57. On September 19, 2014, officers were escorting an inmate in restraints inside Unit 5B, a segregation pod. Another inmate “was able to manipulate his cell” and exit that cell and assault the inmate who was in restraints.²⁶ This resulted in the use of force against both inmates, another situation that was completely avoidable if EMCF had functioning locks on the doors in their segregation units.
58. About three months later, on December 10, 2014, the same inmate who was able to manipulate and exit his cell in the September 2014 incident, was able to do so again in an attempt to assault another inmate.²⁷ Notably, the cell the inmate manipulated in December 2014 was different from the one he manipulated in September 2014, but still on Unit 5B. The medical examination of an officer involved indicated that the top of the forehead and the back of the neck was red. The inmate who was attacked had redness to his right brow, shoulder and hand. The related EOR says there would be further investigation of how the inmate was able to manipulate his cell door, but if there was, it was not included in the materials I received.
59. Also in December 2014, yet another inmate in segregation came out of his cell, which had an “unsecured” door. He was able to assault a Lieutenant and an Officer.

²⁴ Expert Report of Eldon Vail (June 16, 2014), at ¶47

²⁵ DEF-026423 - 026426

²⁶ DEF-026696 - 026711

²⁷ DEF-027401 - 027412

They were forced to go “hands on” with the inmate to get him back into this cell.²⁸ The EOR said the incident was being further investigated, but if there was such an investigation it also was not part of the materials I received.

60. On January 15, 2015, an inmate was taken out of his segregation cell in restraints to be taken to medical for his monthly shot. Another inmate “breached his cell door . . . and attacked” the inmate in restraints with a homemade weapon “causing multiple injuries.” The victim “sustained 3 lacerations to his abdomen area, 2 lacerations to his right arm, 3 lacerations to his facial area, 1 laceration to left side of his neck, and [] 1 deep laceration between the 3rd and 4th finger on his left hand.” He was transported by ambulance to the hospital.²⁹
61. In August 2015, an inmate was being escorted in restraints from the recreation cage back to his cell. Another inmate “was able to manipulate the lock on the cage he was placed in” and assaulted the inmate in restraints. Chemical agents were used to gain control of the situation, because the officer feared for the inmates’ and staff’s safety.³⁰ Again, this was a situation that was totally avoidable, but for the non-working locks.
62. In November 2015, an inmate was being escorted from his cell to a recreation cage, two inmates “were able to manipulate the locks on the recreation area doors and exit the recreation areas.” The two inmates then entered the pod and attempted to assault the inmate being escorted by the officers. The officers used a chemical spray, exposing all three inmates, and were able to get the potential inmate victim off the pod before he could be injured. All three inmates’ exposure to the chemical spray was completely avoidable, had the locks on the recreation are doors been properly functioning.³¹
63. Interspersed through these exemplar incidents are occasional instances where MTC and MTC officials take notice of the problem of unsecure cell doors at EMCF, but ultimately, do not address the problem on a systemic level.
64. For example, a series of emails between MTC and MDOC officials discusses the September 2014 incident where the inmate in segregation had “rigged” his cell door and exited his cell to assault another inmate who was under escort. One of the parties to the email was Tony Compton, who I understand supervises the MDOC Monitors. His contribution to the email string was to say:

This needs to be discussed with the Warden and staff need to do their jobs and go back to the basics.³²

²⁸ DEF-027433 - 027442

²⁹ DEF-027588-27603

³⁰ DEF-029688 -029701

³¹ DEF-030967-030984

³² DEF_ESI_0013752

65. In July 2015, Mr. Compton wrote an email to the EMCF, once again addressing the issue of the non-secure cell doors, but apparently still unaware of the systemic problem. He said:

I was just informed that while conducting pill call on the Segregation Unit at EMCF, the offenders “Popped” their cell doors and came out while nurses from Centurion Medical were passing out medication. Is there an issue with the locks on offenders cell doors and what was the procedure prior to the new vendor taking over? . . . Please review and investigate these allegations and advise me of your findings.³³

What is surprising about this email from Mr. Compton is that it sounds as if he had just become aware of the problem with the cells doors, despite the fact it had been implicated over time in incidents like those described above.

66. It is clear that MTC is and has been well aware of this very dangerous situation for some time and has not begun to address it at EMCF. Neither has MDOC and they are equally culpable in exposing staff and inmates to this extraordinary and highly unusual risk at EMCF.
67. Of additional concern are the defective controls to the cell doors in the Unit 1 picket, the control booth elevated above the four pods of the housing unit. During my inspection of EMCF in June 2016, MTC staff, including the MTC Vice President Marjorie Brown, acknowledged that the electronic controls in the picket were not working. Instead of the officer in the picket being able to release or secure the cells doors from the picket, officers had to release or secure the cell doors with keys. During my interviews at EMCF in June 2016 inmates expressed concern for their own safety should there be a fire or other emergency that would require them to be quickly released from their cells. Inmates informed me this problem has existed in Unit 1 for months. I can confirm ongoing problems with the electronic controls in that unit as far back as October 2014 when the Monitor included reference to it in her monthly checklist: “Staff is in the picket on one/controls do not work.”³⁴ The failure to fix this physical plant problem with cell door locks also creates an ongoing risk to the safety of inmates at EMCF.
68. In my last report in 2014 I documented how long some of the physical plant problems have existed at EMCF. In 2012, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) identified serious safety problems at EMCF. OSHA directed that the following remedial actions, among others, were required:
- Repair or replace defective cell door lock systems throughout the facility.

³³ DEF_ESI_0008500

³⁴ MDOC-CON-00000788

- Repair or replace defective picket door indicator system in the housing unit(s).
- Assure continued maintenance of all door lock systems throughout the facility. Institute a policy prohibiting inmates from placing items on cell doors that obstruct corrections officers' view into cells.³⁵

69. In 2014 I opined:

It is astonishing to me that a full two years later these problems have yet to be addressed. As an experienced corrections administrator, I would have treated them as a life-threatening emergency. It is clear that MDOC is indifferent to the safety of the prisoners at EMCF and unresponsive to the previous direction they have received from the United States government. Once again, I do not believe they have the capacity or the willingness to address the multitude of problems at EMCF.³⁶

70. It has now been four years since MDOC has been put on notice by the federal government of these problems, and two years since it was reminded of the problems by my first report in this case, yet the problems still have not been corrected. I do not have a stronger word to offer than that I continue to be "astonished."

4. Inmates At EMCF Pass Out Food In the Living Units During Meal Times

71. During my June 2016 interviews with inmates, I was informed of another way control over operation of the living units has been ceded to the inmates: inmates pass out the food in the living units during meal times. Again, this was not a question I affirmatively asked of the inmates, until they began to tell me about the situation, at which point I did ask how the feeding process worked. The inmates' general response was that it depended on which inmate was passing out the food, and that it was generally a powerful gang member who performed this function. If the gang member was "righteous," he made sure everyone got fed. But in some circumstances, inmates who owed debts to other inmates were sometimes punished by not being fed.

72. Food is a commodity in prisons. It has obvious value for the health of individual inmates but its value can also be used to trade or barter to create and/or pay off debts among the inmate population. For that reason, supervision of food service is a critical security concern and is important to safe and secure prison operation. Certainly, at other prisons, while inmates do sometimes push the food carts to the

³⁵ Occupational Safety and Health Administration, *Citation and Notification of Penalty to the GEO Group*, Inspection No. 315306357 (June 11, 2012), at 8

³⁶ Expert Report of Eldon Vail (June 16, 2014), at ¶67

housing unit and deliver the food directly to the inmates, this is an activity that must be closely supervised by officers. It appears that such supervision is lax at EMCF. In fact, in December 2014, the Monitor noted as an on-going problem in Units 1–4 since September 2014: “Offenders are issuing the trays in the pods.”³⁷ And in July 2015, in response to a letter from an inmate complaining about this, the Contract Monitor wrote: “I have observed staff observing feed, trays to be handle[d] by staff only.”³⁸

73. The fact that the Monitor raised this issue as a problem makes it an obvious issue that MTC should have been aware of and acted upon it in order to make certain that all inmates were regularly fed and that no inmate could be victimized by another stronger inmate or group of inmates.

5. EMCF Staff Fail to Respond to Issues Raised by Prisoners

74. From September 2015 – March 2016, in response to the item, “Offender requests answered within MDOC requirements,” the Monitor commented, “Not written in offender trak, offenders state no response.”³⁹ Whoever created the checklist understands that timely responses to legitimate issues raised by inmates are important to the overall safety and security of the institution.
75. In my experience when inmates raise legitimate issues with the staff and those issues are not addressed, inmates are much more likely to act out and express their frustration through acts of misbehavior. Inmates in prison are near totally dependent on the assistance of staff to meet their basic human needs. When systems don’t function to help inmates get answers to their questions and resolve issues, it is predictable they will search for other ways, such as engaging in misconduct, to try and bring attention to their concerns.
76. In my opinion, the sheer volume of letters received by the Monitor, as well as the subjects of those letters, reveals a profound frustration on the part of the inmates at EMCF at getting answers to their questions by MTC staff. If MTC was responding to the issues in the letters appropriately, the inmates would not feel the need to go to the Monitors for everything as mundane as trying to get their clothing issues sorted out to such serious concerns as alerting the Monitor that they feel their lives are in danger, examples of which I offer later in this report.

6. MTC Administrators Often Fail To Respond To The Deficiencies in Prison Operations That are Brought to Their Attention

77. As the many Monitor reports and checklists make clear, the problems described above were explicitly communicated by the Monitor to MDOC officials and MTC administrators. On the other hand, there is no clear and consistent record of how

³⁷ MDOC-CON-00002261

³⁸ MDOC-CON-00001035

³⁹ MDOC-CON-00000096

MTC administrators on the ground at EMCF respond to the issues raised by the Monitors, other than that the persistence of the identified problems suggests that they do not do so in any meaningful way. That said, there are a few documents in the material that was disclosed to me that shed light on the issue more concretely. They also suggest that the Monitor's identification of basic problems at the prison is ignored by MTC.

78. For example, beginning on June 30, 2014 there are a series of emails between the Monitor and EMCF's Deputy Warden Norris Hogans.⁴⁰ In an email in that series, dated August 22, 2014, the Deputy responds to some of the issues raised by the Monitor, but his responses are not convincing. For example, in response to the concern that "Staff conducting count improperly," the Deputy said: "Supervisors have been instructed to pay more attention to staff counts, staff have been advised of the proper way to count." But given that this email exchange occurred over two years ago and that the same problem persisted at least through March 2016 according to the Monitor, and at least until June 2016 based on my interviews with inmates during an inspection of the facility in that month, it is clear that this problem was not actually resolved.
79. Nearly a year later, in May 2015, there is a memo from the Warden to the Monitor addressing the Weekly Report of April 19, 2015.⁴¹ Four times in that Weekly Report, the Monitor pointed out that windows into the cells were covered and that staff had not corrected the problem. The Warden responded: "All unit officers and offenders have been advised to remove all items covering their windows and doors. Inmates have also been advised they will receive a RVR (Rule Violation Report) if [their] cell is not in compliance." It is clear from the Monitor's records through March 2016, and through my own observations in June 2016, that the Warden's communication was not effective, and that this problem was not actually corrected.
80. Ultimately, I am very impressed with the work of the Monitor in identifying the right issues. I am not impressed with the lack of effective follow-up by MDOC to correct those problems. The items I list above are fundamental to the operation of a safe and secure prison. But I am deeply distressed that neither MTC, the Monitor, or MDOC administrators could bring the necessary focus to resolve these known issues, many of which persist to the present day, as documented by the file material made available for this report as well as my own inspection of the prison in June 2016. MTC has failed to heed the guidance offered by the Monitor, and MDOC administrators have failed to hold MTC accountable for these failures. As the examples above show, this failure has, and will continue to place the safety and security of inmates at serious risk.

⁴⁰ DEF_ESI_0000544

⁴¹ MDOC-CON-00002335-2338

B. Staffing Levels at EMCF are Insufficient and Contribute to Deficiencies in the Operation of the Prison and Create an Unnecessary Risk of Harm to Inmates and Staff

1. There is Insufficient Staffing in the General Population Units

81. EMCF is designed to house inmates in six housing units, 1–6, each consisting of four pods, A–D, and a picket, or a control booth that sits above the four pods in a housing unit so as to provide additional observation of what is going on in the unit. Each unit/pod houses inmates of different security classifications. Units 1A–1C, and Units 6A–6C, house “close custody” inmates, “the highest risk general population inmate” who according to MDOC policy, “requires closer supervision where the offender must be under positive security control at all times.” Unit 1D and Units 2–4 house general population inmates. Units 5 and 6D house inmates in segregation.
82. It is crucial to the safe and orderly operation of the prison that each of these units/pods and their corresponding picket are adequately staffed. The lack of appropriate numbers of staff makes it very difficult to supervise and control any inmate population. The result is that the rules for inmate conduct are not enforced which erodes the authority of the institution. Moreover, an understaffed prison is an unsafe one, for both inmates and officers.
83. But, understaffing is the reality at EMCF. The Monitor’s checklists and reports, details the fact of this problem in a number of ways. For example, in October 2014, the Monitor said: “There is not enough staff to properly supervise the units.”⁴² From November 2014 – January 2015, the checklist reported: “Personnel is considering hiring more staff for coverage.”⁴³ In February 2015 the Monitor reported: “Staffing is short most evenings/nights. Only 2 staff in units 1–4.”⁴⁴ This same comment is repeated in June and July 2015.⁴⁵ And from September 2015 – March 2016 the checklist simply reports: “More staff needed.”⁴⁶
84. The Monitor correctly identified a staffing problem at EMCF. With respect to the general population units, Units 1–4, each living unit consists of four pods, separate and apart from each other, that require officers and inmates to get through a locked door to enter or exit the pod, there should be four floor officers assigned to each of these units to ensure some level of supervision of the inmates. Put differently, staffing levels should be such that there is at least one officer in each pod, and one in the picket.⁴⁷ Failing to do so means the inmates are frequently left to their own devices to protect personal safety. In many cases, the result is that the inmate seeks

⁴² MDOC-CON-00000788

⁴³ MDOC-CON-00000855, 933, 4927

⁴⁴ MDOC-CON-00005002

⁴⁵ MDOC-CON-00005393, 5481

⁴⁶ MDOC-CON-00005681, 5787, 5969, 23, 96, 195

⁴⁷ As I explain below, there should be 2 officers in each close custody pod, including Units 1A–1C.

the protection of more powerful inmates, often represented in the organization of prison gangs.

85. The staff rosters made available to me through discovery confirm the reality of a staff shortage at EMCF, and demonstrate a pattern of insufficient staff assigned to the general population living units at EMCF.
86. What follows is a sample of the shift rosters I have received and reviewed, and the numbers of officers assigned to work on the floor in the general population units during those shifts.⁴⁸ The rosters cover the years 2014, 2015, and 2016 revealing the persistence of EMCF's staff shortage problem.
87. For example, in 2014⁴⁹:

Date	Shift	Total Number of Officers			
		Unit 1	Unit 2	Unit 3	Unit 4
6/6/14	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
6/10/14	Afternoon	3	3	3	3
6/11/14	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
6/13/14	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
6/14/14	Afternoon	3	3	3	3
6/15/14	Afternoon	2	2	2	3
7/29/14	Day	2	2	2	2
8/11/14	Day	3	2	2	2
8/12/14	Day	4	2	2	2
8/13/14	Day	2	2	3	2
8/14/14	Day	2	2	2	2
8/14/14	Afternoon	2	3	2	2
8/22/14	Afternoon	2	2	2	2

⁴⁸ Day shift is 7 a.m. – 3 p.m. and the afternoon shift is 3 p.m. – 11 p.m.

⁴⁹ DEF_ESI_0000233 (6/6/2014); DEF_ESI_0000229 (6/10/2014); DEF_ESI_0000231 (6/11/2014); DEF_ESI_0000227 (6/13/2014); DEF_ESI_0000225 (6/14/2014); DEF_ESI_0000212 (6/15/2014); DEF_ESI_0000373 (7/29/2014); DEF_ESI_0000740 (8/11/2014); DEF_ESI_0000728 (8/12/2014); DEF_ESI_0000726 (8/13/2014); DEF_ESI_0000708 (8/14/2014); DEF_ESI_0000710 (8/14/2014); DEF_ESI_0000371 (8/22/2014); DEF_ESI_0000535 (8/23/2014); DEF_ESI_0000529 (8/23/2014); DEF_ESI_0000526 (8/24/2014); DEF_ESI_0000398 (8/28/2014); DEF_ESI_0000365 (8/30/2014); DEF_ESI_0000362 (8/30/2014); DEF_ESI_0000339 (9/2/2014); DEF_ESI_0000329 (9/2/2014); DEF_ESI_0000271 (9/3/2014); DEF_ESI_0000236 (9/4/2014); DEF_ESI_0000238 (9/5/2014); DEF_ESI_0000219 (9/6/2014); DEF_ESI_0000110 (9/9/2014); DEF_ESI_0000108 (9/11/2014)

8/23/14	Day	2	2	2	2
8/23/14	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
8/24/14	Day	2	2	2	2
8/28/14	Day	2	2	2	2
8/30/14	Day	2	2	2	2
8/30/14	Day	2	2	2	2
9/2/14	Day	2	2	2	2
9/4/14	Day	2	2	2	2
9/2/14	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
9/3/14	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
9/5/14	Day	1	2	2	2
9/6/14	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
9/9/14	Day	2	2	2	2
9/11/14	Afternoon	2	2	2	2

88. This pattern continues in 2015⁵⁰:

Date	Shift	Total Number of Officers			
		Unit 1	Unit 2	Unit 3	Unit 4
4/6/15	Day	2	2	2	2
4/6/15	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
4/8/15	Day	2	2	2	1
4/8/15	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
4/11/15	Day	2	2	2	2
4/11/15	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
4/25/15	Day	2	2	2	2
4/25/15	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
12/5/15	Day	2	2	2	2

⁵⁰ DEF_ESI_0006925 (4/5/2015); DEF_ESI_0006925 at 2 (4/6/2015); DEF_ESI_0006925 at 8 (4/8/2015); DEF_ESI_0006925 at 9 (4/8/2015); DEF_ESI_0006925 at 16 (4/11/2015); DEF_ESI_0006925 at 17 (4/11/2015); DEF_ESI_0006893 at 16 (4/25/2015); DEF_ESI_0006893 at 17 (4/25/2015); DEF_ESI_0010151 (12/5/2015); DEF_ESI_0010031 (12/15/2015); DEF_ESI_0009876 (12/31/2015)

12/15/15	Day	2	2	2	2
12/31/15	Day	2	2	2	2

89. The same staffing levels are also present in 2016⁵¹:

Date	Shift	Total Number of Officers			
		Unit 1	Unit 2	Unit 3	Unit 4
1/17/16	Day	2	2	2	2
1/17/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
1/18/16	Day	2	2	2	2
1/18/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
1/19/16	Day	2	2	2	2
1/19/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
1/20/16	Day	2	2	2	2
1/20/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
1/21/16	Day	2	2	2	2
1/21/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
1/22/16	Day	2	2	2	2
1/22/16	Afternoon	2	1	2	2
1/23/16	Day	2	2	2	2
1/23/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
7/10/16	Day	2	2	2	2
7/10/16	Afternoon	3	2	2	2
7/11/16	Day	2	2	2	2
7/11/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2

⁵¹ DEF-211685 (1/17/2016); DEF-211691 (1/17/2016); DEF-211700 (1/18/2016); DEF-211706 (1/18/2016); DEF-211716 (1/19/2016); DEF-211722 (1/19/2016); DEF-211732 (1/20/2016); DEF-211738 (1/20/2016); DEF-211748 (1/21/2016); DEF-211754 (1/21/2016); DEF-211764 (1/22/2016); DEF-211770 (1/22/2016); DEF-211779 (1/23/2016); DEF-211785 (1/23/2016); DEF-212012 (7/10/2016); DEF-212018 (7/10/2016); DEF-212028 (7/11/2016); DEF-212033 (7/11/2016); DEF-212043 (7/12/2016); DEF-212049 (7/12/2016); DEF-212060 (7/13/2016); DEF-212066 (7/13/2016); DEF-212076 (7/14/2016); DEF-212082 (7/14/2016); DEF-212092 (7/15/2016); DEF-212098 (7/15/2016); DEF-212108 (7/16/2016); DEF-212114 (7/16/2016); DEF-212125 (9/18/2016); DEF-212130 (9/18/2016); DEF-212140 (9/19/2016); DEF-212150 (9/19/2016); DEF-212154 (9/20/2016); DEF-212159 (9/20/2016); DEF-212168 (9/21/2016); DEF-212177 (9/22/2016); DEF-212182 (9/22/2016); DEF-212192 (9/23/2016); DEF-212197 (9/23/2016); DEF-212208 (9/24/2016); DEF-212213 (9/24/2016)

7/12/16	Day	2	2	2	2
7/12/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
7/13/16	Day	2	2	2	2
7/13/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
7/14/16	Day	2	2	2	2
7/14/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
7/15/16	Day	2	2	2	2
7/15/16	Afternoon	2	1	2	2
7/16/16	Day	2+ 2 Cadets	2	2	2
7/16/16	Afternoon	2	1	1	1
9/18/16	Day	2	2	2	2
9/18/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
9/19/16	Day	2	2	2	2
9/19/16	Afternoon	1	1	2	2
9/20/16	Day	2	2	2	2
9/20/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
9/21/16	Day	2	2	2	2
9/22/16	Day	2	2	2	2
9/22/16	Afternoon	2	2	2	2
9/23/16	Day	2	2	2	2

90. What this staffing pattern means is that with rare exception, there are only two officers to supervise four separate pods of inmates in the general population at EMCF. As the Monitor noted in the October 2014 checklist, this staffing pattern is “not enough,” because while there are “2 staff on the floor and one in the picket . . . there are four pods on each unit.”⁵²
91. To be clear, two officers to supervise four pods of inmates in the general population units at EMCF is the level of staffing that MTC defines, and MDOC authorizes as “mandatory staffing.” But at this staffing level, at best, an officer could be in the pod only half the time, assuming the officer is diligent about splitting his/her time between two different pods. This staffing pattern is unsafe for the inmates as, too frequently, no officer is available to detect conflict and resolve issues before they escalate. When there are not enough officers assigned to staff at least one to each of

⁵² MDOC-CON-00000789

the pods where the inmates reside, compliance with basic rules is not achieved and the inmates are left to fend for themselves and do what they must to ensure their own safety.

92. This staffing pattern is also unsafe for officers for the same reason—problems escalate before the officer gets a chance to intervene and perhaps head off conflict and/or violence before it has a chance to occur. MTC’s mandatory staffing level for the units is not sufficient to keep the staff or the officers safe.
93. Video evidence and the written reports of EMCF officer and inmate interactions in the general population units help demonstrate the point.
94. In Unit 3A, a medium/minimum security unit,⁵³ a video from July 20, 2015 shows an inmate being stabbed and chased around the unit for over a minute.⁵⁴ It takes an officer almost two minutes from the time the stabbing began to enter the unit. Had an officer been in the unit when the assault began, it is highly likely that the incident would have gone on as long as it did and may not have even happened. The day shift roster, during which this assault occurred, shows there were only two officers assigned to supervise the four pods of Unit 3.⁵⁵
95. In an EOR from June 22, 2015, it is described that a spontaneous use of force (SUOF) occurred when multiple inmates assaulted another inmate who was being escorted by officers out of the pod.⁵⁶ What is not in the EOR is revealed on the video.⁵⁷ The video opens with flames coming out of the cell of the inmate who is ultimately escorted out of the unit by officers. The video also reveals that it takes an officer nearly four minutes to enter the pod and respond to the cell that is burning. The EOR does not mention the fire or mention any concern that it took so long for an officer to respond to a cell that was burning. This example illustrates that failing to maintain an officer in the pod at all times creates unnecessary risk.
96. One video, dated November 24, 2014,⁵⁸ is from Unit 3C, a unit identified as a mental health unit by MTC.⁵⁹ It is clear from watching the video that tension is accelerating between one inmate and several others for at least about one minute. Eventually, multiple inmates begin to attack a single inmate with punches and kicks. There is no indication that any officers are in the unit to intervene when the tension became obvious, or to act to break up the assault once it started. The shift roster for this day shows that there were only two officers assigned to supervise the four pods in Unit 3.⁶⁰ It takes about 40 seconds before an officer enters the unit and uses a chemical spray to stop the assault. Had an officer actually been in the unit it is

⁵³ DEF_ESI_0000249

⁵⁴ DEF-029524A; DEF-029524

⁵⁵ DEF-212321

⁵⁶ DEF-029294

⁵⁷ DEF-029294A

⁵⁸ DEF-027096A

⁵⁹ DEF_ESI_0000249

⁶⁰ DEF_ESI_0010229

likely the assault could have been prevented or stopped after a punch or two was thrown. The related incident report itself acknowledges that officers entered unit only after the assault began.⁶¹ The inmate who was assaulted is described as “acting abnormally” (no surprise in that this is a mental health unit), and after the assault was over, received a PRN shot—likely medication for his mental illness.⁶²

97. On November 4, 2014, 2 inmates were fighting in a general population unit. One of the inmates received a laceration to the back of his head when he was struck with a food tray. The EOR describes how other inmates had to pound on the door of the pod to get the attention of the officers so that the injured inmate could get medical treatment. The EOR also says that no staff witnessed the assault. Since the inmates had to pound on the door to get the officers’ attention, there is no way the officers could have witnessed it. The injured inmate received five stitches.⁶³
98. It is completely irresponsible for MTC to fail to make certain there is at least one officer at all times in each and every housing unit at EMCF, and the above incidents illustrates this failure. Moreover this failure is particularly dangerous because EMCF is primarily a facility that houses the mentally ill. Yet not even in this particularly high-risk setting has MTC taken the most basic steps to ensure institutional order and security.

2. Insufficient Staffing in Close Custody

99. In close custody, Unit 1, Pods A–C, there should be even more staff per pod. Close custody inmates are those who are considered to be the most dangerous in the general inmate population (excluding those housed in segregation). The MDOC Classification Plan policy defines close custody inmates as:

The highest risk general population inmate and has one or more of the below risk factors:

- Risk of escape
- Periodic demonstrated as a threat to staff/inmates
- Recent or serious disciplinary record

This custody requires closer supervision where the offender must be under positive security control at all times.⁶⁴

100. In a close custody setting, even with staffing by experienced and well-trained correctional officers, working alone endangers staff and inmates alike, as officers sometimes need immediate backup in working with close custody inmates. In my

⁶¹ DEF-027095

⁶² A “PRN” prescription involves a medication that is to be administered whenever it is needed by the patient.

⁶³ DEF-027210–27213

⁶⁴ AG 5844-5845

opinion there should be two officers assigned to work inside each close custody pod, meaning there should be a total of seven floor officers in Unit 1 per shift (two officers in each of Units 1A, 1B, and 1C, one officer in Unit 1D, and one additional officer assigned to the picket). But such a staffing pattern is not consistent with the MTC rosters cited above. Rather, of the staff rosters I have reviewed, only on the August 12, 2014 day shift were there enough officers assigned to put even one officer in each pod. The officers working on that shift, the one with the most staffing I have seen in the records, were three shy of the minimum I believe necessary to appropriately supervise these units and necessary for inmate and officer safety.

101. Here is a sample of the kind of problems that have occurred in the close custody pods in Units 1A – 1C from 2014 and 2015, and which demonstrate why sufficient staffing, at the level of two officers per close custody pod, and one in the picket, is necessary:

- Weapons confiscated from inmates;⁶⁵
- Inmate(s) assaulted, outside transport for medical treatment called;⁶⁶
- Inmate(s) assaulted, no outside transport for medical treatment called;⁶⁷
- Inmate(s) assaulted officer(s), outside transport for medical treatment of officer called;⁶⁸
- Inmate(s) assaulted officer(s), no outside transport for medical treatment of officer called;⁶⁹
- Use of Force against inmate required;⁷⁰
- Inmate accessed outside of building to retrieve contraband.⁷¹
- Inmate set fire to his cell.⁷²
- Inmate found with weapon; he said he had it because he was assaulted by multiple inmates the previous night⁷³
- Inmate reported being raped; required offsite transport for medical treatment⁷⁴

⁶⁵ DEF-028781 (8 weapons found); DEF-029055 (4 weapons found); DEF-029912 (3 weapons found); DEF-026569 (3 weapons found); DEF-029927 (3 weapons found); DEF-031560 (2 weapons found); DEF-030833 (2 weapons found); DEF-029117 (2 weapons found); DEF-030825 (1 weapon found); DEF-028814 (1 weapon found); DEF-028796 (1 weapon found); DEF-028971 (1 weapon found);

⁶⁶ DEF-029875; DEF-030097; DEF-030103; DEF-027041; DEF-028419; DEF-029117;

⁶⁷ DEF-026617; DEF-026302; DEF-026302; DEF-028933; DEF-032113; DEF-029294; DEF-026165; DEF-026189; DEF-027443

⁶⁸ DEF-030065;

⁶⁹ DEF-029527; DEF-029543; DEF-026879;

⁷⁰ DEF-029194; DEF-030251; DEF-028331; DEF-029308; DEF-030953; DEF-026359

⁷¹ DEF-027306

⁷² DEF-028099

⁷³ DEF-029773

⁷⁴ DEF-026663

102. These are extreme problems to have in a prison. The examples cited above are only some of those available and I selected them to illustrate the extent of problems including numerous weapons being found in the unit; multiple inmates attacking individual inmates, sometimes identified as gang-related incidents (likely always gang-related), sometimes with weapons involved; assaults against staff; inmates trying to escape from the unit because they are not safe and believe their lives are in danger if they stay in the pod; and assaults so serious that they required trips to the hospital.
103. Again, the units being discussed here are designed to be direct supervision units—meaning that the officers need to be in the pod interacting with inmates and detecting problems and heading them off before they occur. The notion that you can supervise these units indirectly with insufficient levels of staffing is completely bankrupt from a competent correctional perspective, and poses an obvious and serious risk to inmates confined in those units.
104. Videos provided to Plaintiffs by MTC further illustrate the point. For example, in September 2015, shortly after 3 a.m., two inmates were assaulted by other inmates in close custody Unit 1A. Both inmates were stabbed and taken to an outside hospital for treatment. In a review of this event it was identified that the unit was not locked down at 10 p.m. the previous evening as required by policy, allowing these serious assaults to occur.⁷⁵ This event, like many others, could and should have been avoided. This particular example illustrates that inmates were out of their cells well after they should have been and staff were inattentive to the point where a stabbing could occur.
105. On March 16, 2015, an inmate from a close custody unit submitted a sick call slip reporting that he had been stabbed multiple times and burned on the neck with scalding water. The inmate was brought to medical for an examination and the inmate “had multiple older puncture wounds, a burn on his shoulder from hot water, and several facial injuries.” The inmate reported that the stabs wounds were received on March 9, 2015 and the scalding was from March 13, 2015. He also identified the inmates who stabbed him, scalded him, and beat him with a stick. There were six inmates involved in the assaults.⁷⁶ It is incomprehensible to me that staff failed to be aware that this week-long assault was occurring and/or that the inmate had suffered serious injury, except when I consider that there are rarely sufficient staff to make sure there is at least one officer in the pods with the inmates at all times. This is what happens when staffing levels are insufficient—actual harm can and does occur.⁷⁷

⁷⁵ DEF_ESI_0005996, at 3-5

⁷⁶ DEF-028172 - 028177

⁷⁷ The inmate was housed in Unit 6C. During this time there were no officers assigned to this unit on the day shift on March 10 (DEF-211366) and March 13, (DEF-211374).

106. To offer another example from Unit 1C, a close custody pod,⁷⁸ on December 8, 2014, an inmate who was accused of stealing food from other inmates was targeted and beaten with mop and broom handles. It took officers nearly 10 minutes before they entered the unit and stopped the violence against the individual inmate. The victim was described in the incident report as having “multiple wounds and lacerations to head and face.”⁷⁹
107. In my opinion the evidence is overwhelming of what predictably happens when you place close custody inmates, some of whom are mentally ill and some of whom are gang members, into a living unit without sufficient staff to provide adequate supervision to keep them safe. Violence and increased risk for inmates as well as the staff has and will continue to occur until the staffing issues are corrected.

3. Officers Fail to Stay at Their Assigned Posts

108. In my first report I wrote about the problem of officers staying in their assigned post in the pods in the segregation units. I said:

The lack of these routine checks on the welfare of each inmate in the segregation units is a source of constant concern by the prisoners. Repeatedly, prisoners told me that officers often are not on the zones. Inmates are well aware that other inmates can and sometimes do get out of their cells due to the problem with the cell doors and, as a result, assaults have occurred.⁸⁰

It is clear this problem has continued since my first report.

109. On September 26, 2015, an inmate lit a fire in Unit 6D. It was reported, “No staff response to the unit until 10 minutes after the incident.”⁸¹ The report also noted that the officers failed to respond with a fire extinguisher. If officers are in the unit actually supervising the inmates, there is no way this issue would have taken ten minutes for an appropriate response.
110. During my private interviews in June 2016 with inmates from segregation and from general population, they reported that when officers are on duty they are often not in the living units. One inmate said: “They come around every couple hours.” Other comments included: “Officers are in the zone every now and then;” “Officers don’t stay on the zone;” and “Officers are hardly on the zone at night.”⁸² One inmate told me that officers are absent from the zone for three or four hours at a time. One inmate described a fight that had occurred the previous day and that the officer watched the fight through the window outside of the unit and did not

⁷⁸ DEF-027443A

⁷⁹ DEF-027443

⁸⁰ Expert Report of Eldon Vail (June 16, 2014), at ¶55

⁸¹ DEF_ESI_0005994

⁸² Inmates and some staff at EMCF call each pod a “zone”.

intervene. Another said the officers can't keep the inmates safe because there are not enough of them.

111. I asked one of the inmates I interviewed in segregation in 2016 why he was in segregation. He explained he had been charged with escape. I asked him to tell me what that was about. He recounted that it was not actually an escape. He had accessed the pipe chase in his living unit and had found a way to exit the building and get outside of the unit so he could pick up contraband that had been thrown over the fence. He was caught outside the building when viewed on a camera. I asked him how he was able to get out of the unit without being detected by the staff and he explained it was easy since officers were so rarely in the unit.
112. During my inspection of 2016, I traveled throughout the institution for two days. Frequently, as I walked passed living unit pods I observed there were no officers present in the pods. The lack of a sufficient number of officers and the expectations for where the officers are to station themselves are so ingrained at EMCF that I was able to observe their actual practice during my inspection—the pods are often left with no physical presence of a correctional officer, a problem that was similarly identified for MTC by the Monitor in December 2014 when she wrote that “[s]taff [are] not manning pods,”⁸³ and in July 2015 when she wrote that “[s]taff assigned to the units are not remaining on their posts; leaving the pod unsupervised.”⁸⁴
113. I do not fault the officers for failing to be in the units. First and foremost there are not enough officers assigned to put even one in each pod, and as I have noted above, it is my opinion that one officer alone is not sufficient for inmates and staff to feel safe in a close custody unit. It is also my opinion that the EMCF officers' level of training and competency to manage close custody or seriously mentally ill inmates is far from adequate to keep themselves or the inmates safe. I do fault the administration of MTC for failing to provide sufficient staff and training to keep officers and inmates safe, and I fault MDOC for failing to insist that MTC follow these basics of prison management.

C. The Numbers of Weapons at EMCF are Extreme

114. In my experience as a correctional administrator and as an expert in corrections and prison safety, when inmates do not feel that the officers can protect them, they necessarily will seek ways to protect themselves. One of those ways is to arm themselves and seek access to a weapon. As I said in my report from 2014, “This is a prison awash in contraband and easily accessible weapons.”⁸⁵ Unfortunately, two years later, this still appears to be an all too prevalent problem at EMCF.

⁸³ MDOC-CON-00002261–2262

⁸⁴ DEF_ESI_0006171

⁸⁵ Expert Report of Eldon Vail (June 16, 2014), at ¶21

115. MTC is required to provide a monthly report to MDOC itemizing several critical performance measures for the institution. Records for EMCF show the following numbers of weapons were discovered from July 2014 – January 2016:⁸⁶

Month	Weapons Discovered
July 2014	128
August 2014	4
September 2014	7
October 2014	7
November 2014	8
December 2014	27
January 2015	19
February 2015	52
March 2015	12
April 2015	12

Month	Weapons Discovered
May 2015	41
June 2015	52
July 2016	121
August 2015	59
September 2015	34
October 2015	23
November 2015	27
December 2015	42
January 2016	67

116. There were 742 weapons discovered at EMCF in the 19-month period, July 2014 – January 2016. Taken as a simple average, that means that over 39 weapons were discovered in EMCF per month over a nineteen-month period.⁸⁷ That is more than one weapon discovered in the prison every day.⁸⁸ In my experience these numbers are startling and alarming. It is also my experience that correctional staff never discovers all of the contraband in an institution. Thus, the prevalence of weapons in the prison is probably much higher.
117. There is also evidence from those same records of those weapons being used during that same time period:⁸⁹

Month	Used on Inmate	Used on Staff	Monthly Total
July 2014	1	1	2
August 2014	2	2	4
September 2014	0	0	0
October 2014	0	0	0
November 2014	1	1	2
December 2014	0	0	0
January 2015	2	2	4
February 2015	3	3	6
March 2015	5	5	10

⁸⁶ DEF-024658 and 024675

⁸⁷ There are 2 sets of numbers reported by MTC on their monthly reports. One set of those numbers equates to an average of 34 weapons per month, the other to 39. Whichever number is correct, this is a high numbers of weapons to be found each month in a single prison—still more than 1 per day.

⁸⁸ In fact, I understand that Plaintiffs' sanitation and nutrition expert, Diane Skipworth, discovered weapons on both of her inspections of the facility.

⁸⁹ DEF-024649 - 024663

April 2015	2	2	4
May 2015	2	2	4
June 2015	3	3	6
July 2015	4	1	5
August 2015	4	0	4
September 2015	3	0	3
October 2015	1	0	1
November 2015	1	1	2
December 2015	1	1	2
January 2016	1	0	1

As I have already referenced in this report, some of these weapons produce injuries so serious that they require transport to the hospital for medical treatment. Whether staff or inmate, the risk of becoming a victim to the use of a weapon is ever present. On average, it happens to someone in EMCF over 3 times a month.⁹⁰ This creates a climate where inmates do not feel safe in the prison they are confined in, and where the officers do not feel safe in the prison they are meant to administer.

118. Concern about one's own safety is also a theme in the correspondence the Monitors regularly receive from the inmates that indicates they feel their lives are in danger.
119. For example, on June 17, 2015, an inmate wrote the Monitor upset that he had been "assaulted, stabbed and robbed" on the 20th of the previous month and nothing was being done about it. He quotes the EMCF handbook and says he has a right to protection from harm.⁹¹
120. On May 21, 2015, another inmate wrote the Monitor. This inmate said he had already been stabbed and was worried he was about to be placed in the same unit as the inmates that had stabbed him. He was asking the Monitor to help him and said, "I feel like my life is in danger."⁹²
121. On March 3, 2015, an inmate wrote a letter to the MDOC Monitor. In that letter he begins by saying: "My life is really in danger." He then, acknowledging that he was once member of the Vice Lords gang, describes a gang-related assault that happened at another prison that he failed to participate in, even though he was expected to. Because he did not participate, he was targeted by the gang for assault and was stabbed. He was then transferred to EMCF. The danger from the gang followed him to EMCF when one of the inmates who had stabbed him arrived at the facility. Shortly thereafter, some of the gang members at EMCF threatened his life, then chased him into his cell while one of them brandished a knife. When he wrote to the Monitor he had been in his cell since the gang members had chased him. They would not allow him to receive a food tray so he had not eaten for a few days.

⁹⁰ Even removing the seeming outlier of 10 weapon-involved incidents in March 2015, the average is still more than 2 per month.

⁹¹ MDOC-CON-0001575

⁹² MDOC-CON-00001427

He ends the letter admitting he too had a knife (and a cell phone) but would willingly give both up if the Monitor could help find him protection.⁹³ On that same day, before the Monitor probably even received the letter, the inmate was driven to actions that could have led to his death. According to the incident report, the inmate:

Placed a small foreign object inside the keyhole of his cell door lock to prevent staff from being able to access the cell door. The offender then set his mattress and clothing items on fire inside the cell using the wiring from the cell light fixture to start the fire. Staff immediately attempted to put the fire out and remove the offender from the cell but were unsuccessful, as the offender had barricaded the cell door. . . . Captain Dykes then retrieved the necessary tools from the EMCF armory to remove the locking device from the door and gain access to the inside of the cell. Staff entered the cell and extinguished the fire and [the inmate] was found to be unresponsive. The offender was removed from the cell and a code blue was called. Medical staff responded and the offender was taken to the EMCF medical department where it was determined the offender would need to be transported to the Rush hospital emergency department for further evaluation via Metro ambulance.⁹⁴

The video available for the incident tells a more complete story.⁹⁵ The video begins with officers at the front of the inmate's cell. Smoke is pouring out. I assume the video did not capture the use of the fire extinguisher that put the fire out. The officers are not able to get the cell door open until the video has been running for 22 minutes and 40 seconds. During that time, the officers had not yet called for medical to respond. Once the cell door was opened medical was called for and they arrived on the scene about 4 minutes later. The inmate was unresponsive. He lay on the floor of the unit while medical attempted to treat him for another 6 minutes when he was then placed on a gurney and taken to EMCF medical. Ten minutes after his arrival in medical oxygen is administered. The video ends 48 minutes after it began with the inmate still unresponsive, having engaged in an act of self-harm likely because of, at least in part, his fear of gang members' continued threats against him.

122. On January 18, 2015, another inmate wrote to the Monitor saying he had, "refused housing," meaning that he directly refused to accept the cell assignment he had been given. In my experience this means the inmate did not feel safe in the cell or living unit assignment the staff had given him. The inmate had been in segregation for about 4 months. The inmate he was afraid of was then put into the same unit as

⁹³ MDOC-CON-00001202-1203

⁹⁴ DEF-028100

⁹⁵ DEF-028099A

him and he was afraid he would be “stabbed to death.” He asked the Monitor for an emergency transfer to a different prison.⁹⁶

123. On July 8, 2014, an inmate wrote the Monitor telling her the Gangster Disciples and the Vice Lords had put a hit on him. He told the Monitor that if he stayed at EMCF, he was afraid he was going “to get stabbed or something worse.” He was asking to be transferred to a different prison “as soon as possible” so he could be safe.⁹⁷
124. On April 4, 2014, another inmate wrote the Monitor, reminding her of his previous stabbing and asking for her help getting to protective custody because he believed his life to be “in serious danger” and did not “want to die in prison.”⁹⁸
125. On March 14, 2014, an inmate wrote a very detailed letter to the Monitor describing multiple threats from the same inmate, one time while the aggressor was brandishing a knife. He recounted how he was able to disarm the aggressor with the knife and take it from him. When the staff responded to the incident scene, the inmate who wrote the letter was in possession of the knife and he received a RVR. He was writing the Monitor asking for help getting the RVR corrected.⁹⁹
126. On November 19, 2013, an inmate living in Unit 4 wrote the Monitor and said, “I am being held at knifepoint and extorted. . . . My life is in immediate danger and I need your help.”¹⁰⁰ The Monitor responded in March 2014 telling the inmate she had forwarded his safety issues to the Warden.¹⁰¹
127. On November 20, 2013, another inmate wrote the Monitor. This inmate said, “I was assaulted with weapons by several inmates that belong to a gang. I fear for my life in a major way.”¹⁰² He was asking to be placed in protective custody.
128. There is a pattern of inmates reaching out to the Monitor for help, feeling like their lives are in danger. As I discussed earlier in this report, inherent in many of these inmates’ notes to the Monitor is an expression that the inmate has no other alternative but to hope the Monitor can help them. Implicit in their pleas for help is a distinct lack of confidence that MTC staff can or will help them. When inmates feel that staff cannot or will not protect them they are likely to do what they feel they need to do in order to stay safe, which in my opinion explains the prevalence of weapons at EMCF.
129. And sometimes the threats are even against the staff, as was the case in January 2015, when the Laundry Supervisor, a staff person, submitted an Unusual

⁹⁶ MDOC-CON-00001292

⁹⁷ MDOC-CON-00004740-4741

⁹⁸ MDOC-CON-00002903

⁹⁹ MDOC-CON-00002875-2883

¹⁰⁰ MDOC-CON-00003435

¹⁰¹ MDOC-CON-00003434

¹⁰² MDOC-CON-00003436

Occurrence Report saying that an inmate had threatened to pay someone \$500 to “take me out.” She also said the inmate made the same threat on an officer.¹⁰³

130. Also significant, in May 2014, an inmate wrote to the Monitor twice saying he was in danger from the Gangster Disciples because he had refused an order to stab the Unit Manager. In one of his letters he said: “I refuse to carry out the hit. Now the Gangster Disciples is trying to stab me and the high ranking member of the gangsters got a hit on me.”¹⁰⁴ The inmate also wrote: “[T]he warden know all about [the] situation but it seem like it don’t matter about my safety in this prison. My life is really in danger.”
131. There are many other letters written to the Monitor saying that the inmates’ “life is in danger” and asking for help either getting transferred or placed into protective custody. While that also happens in other prisons, in my experience, the frequency of it at EMCF is far higher than what is typically seen at a well-functioning prison. The sheer numbers of weapons discovered at the prison, the fact that the documentation shows they are used with some frequency, and the letters from the inmates to the Monitors asking for help, combined with insufficient staffing and lack of attention to basic correctional activities like inmate counts, all lead me to conclude that EMCF is a very dangerous prison that presents an ongoing risk of serious harm to the prisoners.

D. Staff are Poorly Trained and Engage in Unnecessary Uses of Force

132. The Monitor’s reports reveal a serious concern in the repeated finding of non-compliance to the checklist items regarding use of force. The language from the checklist describing specific items where non-compliance has repeatedly been found since September 2015 is:
- Policy governing immediate/calculated use of force consistent with MDOC
 - All use of force incidents documented and reviewed
 - Use of Force consistent with law and MDOC, incident report prepared and MDOC notified ASAP by phone/fax, contract monitor notified
 - Incident reports, other than critical, furnished [within] one week
 - Videotapes of incidents preserved/catalogued as per MDOC
 - Offender is seen by medical immediately after incident
 - Facility subscribes to prescribed confrontation avoidance procedures

¹⁰³ MDOC-CON-00001358

¹⁰⁴ MDOC-CON-00002686 and MDOC-CON00004733–4735

- Medical staff consulted prior to calculated use of force situations¹⁰⁵

One comment that frequently follows the item regarding confrontation avoidance is: “Need training in how to conduct.”¹⁰⁶

133. What the Monitor is pointing out here is that MTC is not following MDOC policy regarding use of force; that use of force events are not being documented properly either in writing or by video; that medical is not being involved in planned use of force situations; and, that inmates are not being seen by medical immediately after a use of force event. All of these items are important to make sure force is not used unnecessarily or excessively and that inmates receive medical care after a use of force event.

1. Spontaneous Uses of Force are Unnecessarily Used at EMCF in Situations That Should be Planned

134. A spontaneous use of force (SUOF) is appropriate when the inmate presents an immediate or imminent risk of harm, for example when an inmate is assaulting someone and will not respond to verbal orders to cease his actions. A planned use of force (PUOF) is appropriate when there no immediate risk of harm, for example when an inmate is refusing orders to submit to handcuffs and come out of his cell. In this example the inmate is confined to a cell and presents no immediate or imminent risk of harm. This gives the officers the advantage of time and circumstance and allows the opportunity for staff to attempt to create a dialogue with the inmate and de-escalate the situation so that use of force might be avoided. This is especially true for inmates who are mentally ill.
135. A little over two years ago I was asked to opine about the use of force on mentally ill prisoners confined in a very large jail. My report contained five findings, one of which was that the jail staff failed to allow mental health staff to attempt to de-escalate the incident so that force might be avoided. The judge adopted this recommendation and required the jail to implement it. Several months ago, I was asked to review the jail’s progress in following this new policy requirement. I was very pleased to find that when jail staff had the opportunity to attempt de-escalation, nearly 60% of the time force was in fact avoided. It is consistent with my own experience as a prison Superintendent and as Secretary of the Washington Department of Corrections, as well as what I have witnessed in several other jurisdictions. Any day an officer does not have to put their hands on an inmate to use force is a good day in corrections.
136. In my first report in this case, I criticized EMCF for failing to follow the good correctional practice of allowing mental health staff to engage in attempts to de-

¹⁰⁵ MDOC-CON-00005687 The last item on the list was found to be in compliance in February 2016 but not in any of the other months from September 2015 – March 2016

¹⁰⁶ MDOC-CON-00005687

escalate potential PUOF situations so that violence could be avoided. Oftentimes I found these opportunities were presented when the inmate took control of the food tray slot in the cell door. In my report I said:

Some inmates start fires in their cells, flood their cells, or cut on themselves, but their primary means of registering their frustration and complaints about living conditions is to place their arms through the food tray slots in their cells doors and refuse to remove them until someone addresses their issue(s)—putting themselves and other prisoners in the unit at risk of significant injury.¹⁰⁷

From my review of EORs and use of force videos, I remain critical of EMCF. I do not see that they have made progress in this area—slowing situations down so they can be turned into PUOF instead of SUOF, or in providing meaningful mental health interventions when incidents are being managed as PUOFs. There are multiple examples from my review of the records, which I describe below.

137. On June 5, 2015, an inmate was being returned to his segregation cell after time spent in a recreation cage. He began to threaten the staff, unhappy with his status on long-term segregation. He was placed back into this cell but when officers were removing his handcuffs he broke free from their control and went to the back of his cell with one hand in cuffs but the cuff key still in the lock on the handcuffs. The cell door was secure at this point and he wasn't going anywhere. According to the EOR he was immediately sprayed with a chemical agent, and then he returned the cuffs and the key. Only after the fact was a mental health staff called to the scene. But this would have been a perfect opportunity for the officers to call for a PUOF and to bring in the mental health staff before they used the spray and not after. It was clear that the inmate had an issue with his status on long-term segregation, which would have provided a place to begin a conversation. It is quite possible force could have been avoided altogether if this approach had been pursued.¹⁰⁸
138. On July 13, 2015, an inmate was in a recreation cage, unrestrained. When it came time for him to be restrained and returned to his cell, he refused to allow staff to apply the restraints. Rather than slow the situation down, the officers unlocked and entered the cage where the inmate's behavior was described as being "very combative." The officers then went hands on, taking the inmate to the ground and placing him in restraints, risking injury to the inmate and to the officers. This is a textbook situation to avoid the SUOF and convert the situation to a PUOF. Again, the inmate saw mental health after the situation was all over, rather than before. Medical staff reported the inmate had abrasions to his face.¹⁰⁹

¹⁰⁷ Expert Report of Eldon Vail (June 16, 2014), at ¶80

¹⁰⁸ DEF-029240–29254

¹⁰⁹ DEF-029487–29506

139. On May 16, 2015, during feeding time, an inmate had his arm through the food tray slot and refused to remove it and verbally threatened staff. Even though there was no immediate danger to the officer given the inmate was secure behind a locked cell door, the inmate was still immediately sprayed with a chemical agent. In this case there is no mention of involving mental health staff to de-escalate the situation either before or after the spray was used. This too should have been executed as a PUOF.¹¹⁰
140. On May 6, 2015, an inmate refused to return his cuffs after he was returned to his cell following a shower. The Captain says he attempted a verbal intervention. The EOR then says that when the inmate “reached down to pick up something at the base of his door on the inside,” although still in cuffs (proper procedure would mean he was cuffed behind his back) he was immediately sprayed. The first spray had no effect so he was sprayed a second time. Mental health staff was called between the two sprays but there is no indication in the report that they were given the opportunity to attempt to de-escalate or speak with the inmate until after both sprays had been administered. Once again, the better course of action would have been to back away, slow the situation down and prepare for a PUOF.¹¹¹
141. On April 2, 2015, a Captain responded to the segregation unit because an inmate had been able to start a fire outside of his cell. While waiting for the fire extinguisher to put the fire out, the inmate threatened to throw urine on the Captain through his food tray slot. Again, there was no immediate danger and no imminent risk of harm. And again, the inmate was immediately sprayed with a chemical agent and a mental health staff was only called in after the fact to speak with the inmate. It is a better practice for the Captain to back away from the cell so the inmate cannot successfully throw urine or other substances onto him/her and begin to develop a plan that might avoid the use of force altogether.¹¹²
142. On December 1, 2014, an inmate was in his cell and was given verbal commands to exit his cell but he refused. After being warned, he was subjected to pepper spray twice. He then submitted to restraints and was removed from the cell.¹¹³ This event was listed as a SUOF when it clearly called for PUOF. The inmate was described as aggressive and combative but he was in his cell, not going anywhere. It is possible that the time and the fact of the inmate’s limited ability to cause problems while in the cell might have worked to the officers’ advantage if they had taken the time to try and de-escalate the situation while they assembled the staff to perform a PUOF, if it turned out to be necessary.
143. On January 22, 2015 a Captain was walking by a cell door in segregation when an inmate threw an unknown liquid substance on him through the food tray slot. There is no indication that the Captain was in any immediate danger and, in my opinion,

¹¹⁰ DEF-028654–28667

¹¹¹ DEF-028585–28601

¹¹² DEF-028307–28316

¹¹³ DEF-027413–27424

no immediate response was required. Rather than back away from the cell and create an organized response, the Captain immediately sprayed the inmate with a chemical agent. Once again, mental health staff was called to the scene but not until after the inmate was already sprayed.¹¹⁴ In situations such as this, if the officers are well trained and well equipped, a PUOF is a better response than immediate spraying when there is no immediate danger. In other jurisdictions a cell shield or hand held shields are typically used when there is a need to protect the potential targets of an inmate who is throwing. These shields provide the immediate safety that stops the throwing from causing any harm and allows time for the inmate to calm down, allows time for a planned response to be assembled, and allows time for mental health staff to enter the situation and do what is possible to de-escalate the situation.

144. As illustrated by the above few examples (there are many others), the fact that EMCF routinely takes situations that could, and should, be managed as PUOF, and instead implements force immediately, places inmates and the officers at risk of unnecessary injury. And, as the Monitors' reports confirm, both MTC and MDOC know this is a longstanding problem that needs to be remedied. In fact, as mentioned above, on checklists between December 2014 – March 2016, with respect to whether EMCF “subscribes to prescribed confrontation avoidance procedures,” the Monitor found the facility non-compliant and stated simply: “Need training on how to conduct.”
145. What officers at EMCF seem to not understand is that SUOF is appropriate when there is imminent risk of harm, for example when an inmate is outside a cell and is armed or fighting and refuses to respond to the verbal orders of officers to cease the behavior. Responding immediately with force is similarly appropriate and proper when the inmate is in a cell and is assaulting his cell partner or is engaging in an act of self-harm. But when that is not the case, and especially when the inmate is securely confined to a cell and there is no imminent threat, the better course of action is to take advantage of the situation by slowing it down, making an effort to talk the inmate out of what he is doing and in the meantime, assemble a team and develop a plan to use force if it turns out to be necessary. This lack of understanding and inability to adhere to the basics of good correctional practice results in frequent unnecessary use of force events and puts inmates at risk of harm that is in many cases completely avoidable.

2. Mental Health Staff and Officers are Poorly Trained to Actually De-escalate Potential Use of Force Situations

146. Even when officers do get it right and convert situations identical to the ones above to PUOFs, because they don't allow mental health staff to engage in actual attempts to de-escalate the conflict, their practices still do not work to actually take advantage of time and circumstances so that force might not be used.

¹¹⁴ DEF-027668 –27667

147. Although I am not a psychologist and have no advanced mental health degree, I have both administered and designed correctional programs for mentally ill inmates. I have worked closely with mental health staff over the years, particularly around ways to reduce the use of force. In my opinion there is a fundamental misunderstanding of what mental health de-escalation looks like at EMCF. Mental health staff efforts are not employed in an effective way but instead are engaged in rote exercises where, from the incident reports and the videos I have been able to view, no meaningful conversations between mental health and the inmate are allowed to occur. The mental health staff shows up and almost immediately says the inmate's disturbance is "behavioral" rather than a mental health issue, and then force commences. There is rarely, if ever, an attempt to actually de-escalate these situations.
148. On July 15, 2015, an inmate had thrown a liquid on staff and refused to allow his food tray slot to be secured. In contrast to the examples above, this time the officers treated the situation as a PUOF.¹¹⁵ Mental health staff responded to the scene and they reported that "there were no signs of mental distress and that [the inmate's] action towards staff was due to behavioral issues."¹¹⁶ This comment, typical in other PUOF situations at EMCF, misses the point. The purpose of mental health staff involvement is not to categorize the inmate's state in the present moment; it is to attempt to communicate with the inmate in an effort to de-escalate the situation. In this situation, mental health staff failed to communicate with the inmate and instead simply categorized his state in the present moment, and a chemical agent was used.
149. On October 14, 2015, a nearly identical situation was reported.¹¹⁷ The inmate was locked in his cell. He threw a liquid outside of his cell and refused to submit to restraints and be removed from his cell. This was treated as a PUOF and mental health responded to the situation. The report says that the mental health staff stated the inmate's action "was behavior not mental distress." Spray was then used. Again, the process at EMCF missed the point. The opportunity to create a dialogue with the inmate so that force might be avoided was missed.
150. In another report from October 20, 2015, an inmate was refusing to be restrained to come out of the recreation cage and be returned to his cell.¹¹⁸ It was treated as a PUOF situation. Again mental health was called for and the report says: "[Mental Health Counselor] Anderson spoke briefly with [the inmate] and stated on camera that the offender was not under no type of mental distress and his refusal towards staff was only behavioral." The use of the word "briefly" emphasizes that the involvement of mental health staff is only cursory and not meaningfully purposed to attempt to de-escalate the situation so that force might be avoided.

¹¹⁵ MDOC policy requires video in a PUOF situation. In this and the next 5 incidents in my report, even though the EOR says a video exists, it was not in the materials disclosed.

¹¹⁶ DEF-029472-29482

¹¹⁷ DEF-030481-30498

¹¹⁸ DEF-030552-30535

151. In an incident from August 7, 2015, an inmate refused to allow officers to check the security of his cell door in segregation.¹¹⁹ This was managed as a PUOF and mental health was summoned to the scene. The EOR says: “It was noted that his actions towards staff was behavioral and that he was not under any mental distresses at the time.” The inmate was sprayed twice before he complied with the officers’ orders. Again, another missed opportunity to perhaps avoid force altogether.
152. On August 6, 2014, an inmate had his arm through the food tray slot and refused to remove it.¹²⁰ The situation was managed as a PUOF and mental health responded. The EOR says that mental health advised that “this was not a mental health issue but a behavior issue.” The inmate was sprayed and then complied with staff orders. While the end result was the inmate’s compliance with staff, it came at the cost of a use of force against the inmate and a missed opportunity to de-escalate the situation to avoid the need for spraying altogether.
153. On January 17, 2015 an inmate in a general population unit tied a string around his neck. It resulted in a SUOF as the inmate assaulted staff and was taken to the ground when he was being placed in restraints. The inmate was escorted to medical where the string was cut. The mental health staff “stated she would not talk to offender because his issues were not mental but behavioral.”¹²¹ In my experience I have never heard of a mental health staff refusing to even speak with an inmate who has just engaged in suicidal behavior. This particular example reinforces my opinion that MTC does not comprehend the proper role of mental health staff in use of force situations or, for that matter, the proper way to respond to inmates in distress.
154. As a result of failing to understand and properly utilize the communication skills of mental health staff to de-escalate PUOF situations, EMCF only goes through the rote exercise of bringing mental health staff to the scene to declare the issue is behavioral and not related to mental health. In my experience and training this is not how mental health involvement in planned use of force situations works in my own and other jurisdictions. The mental health staff—employing the advantage of time and circumstance—needs to have the leeway to establish a dialogue with the resisting inmate to see if they can use their communication skills to help the inmate find a way out of the situation short of being subjected to use of force. EMCF’s failure to do so results in some situations that could be de-escalated prior to and instead of resulting in use of force. As I said previously, true mental health interventions oftentimes work and it appears that EMCF does not understand this fact, or is not choosing to allow mental health interventions to be an integral part of planned use of force situations in their facility.

¹¹⁹ DEF-029830–29840

¹²⁰ DEF-026203–26214

¹²¹ DEF-027764–27777

E. Segregation Practices at EMCF

155. In my first report in 2014, writing about my interviews with inmates in segregation I said: “The most common complaint from the prisoners is that they do not get access to out of cell exercise or to showers according to policy.”¹²² In 2016, I find that little has changed at EMCF.
156. The corrections industry has basic standards for conditions of confinement for inmates in segregation. The American Correctional Association (ACA) relevant standards for out of cell exercise and showers for inmates in segregation are:
- Written policy, procedure and practice provide that inmates in segregation receive a minimum of one hour of exercise per day outside their cells, five days a week, unless security or safety considerations dictate otherwise.¹²³
 - Written policy, procedure and practice provide that inmates in segregation have the opportunity to shave and shower at least three times a week.¹²⁴
157. MDOC policy mirrors these standards, word for word, and specifically references the ACA standards.¹²⁵
158. I asked for and received a sample of records for individual inmates held in segregation at EMCF. The records I received date from October 25, 2015 – February 6, 2016. I reviewed 1,078 individual records. From my review it is clear that the ACA standards and MDOC policy are not routinely followed at EMCF.
159. From my review I found that only 172 times, about 16%, did the inmates in segregation receive their required five hours of recreation per week. Another 276 times, or 26%, inmates received four hours. And 630 times, or 58%, inmates received 3 hours or less out of cell recreation while confined to segregation.
160. I also found that only 438 times, about 41%, did inmates receive their required opportunity to shave or shower three times a week while in segregation.
161. The Monitor has also addressed this issue in her monthly reports. On her checklist in the section for Special Management Unit inmates, there is an item entitled “Offenders in disciplinary SMU retain privileges as per MDOC SOP.” In twenty-one months out of twenty-five months, including the available data from 2016, the Monitor found EMCF to be non-compliant with this item.¹²⁶

¹²² Expert Report of Eldon Vail (June 16, 2014), ¶ 39

¹²³ ACA Standard 4-4270

¹²⁴ ACA Standard 4-4262

¹²⁵ MDOC 19-01, Offender Segregation, at 3

¹²⁶ Only from June 2015 – August 2015, and in December 2015, did the Monitor find this item to be in compliance. Otherwise, from March 2014 – May 2015, in September 2015 – November 2015, and in January 2016 – March 2016, the Monitor found EMCF to be non-compliant. Put differently, in twenty-one

162. Curiously, from September 2015 until March 2016, the Monitor entered the comment “has improved” next to this item on the checklist. The records I reviewed overlapped much of this same time period (October 2015 – February 2016) and indicate that the assertion that compliance “has improved” resulted from inmates receiving their required five hours of week out of cell recreation only about 16% of the time, and only about 40% of the time did they receive their required showers. It makes me wonder how bad the situation was before the purported “improvement.”
163. When in segregation a person is completely dependent on the staff to provide for their basic human needs. Being able to regularly and routinely clean one’s body by having access to a shower is fundamental to humane treatment in every correctional facility and is a basic human need. The fact that EMCF meets their own policy requirement and the relevant correctional standards for a shower only about 40% of the time illustrates their utter disregard for the dignity and welfare of the prisoners in their charge.
164. Having the opportunity to be out of the cell for one hour a day five days a week provides some relief from the extreme social isolation caused by placement in a segregation cell. There is no serious debate about the harmful effects of segregation, especially for inmates who are mentally ill. Regular out of cell recreation is one of the ways to relieve the stress of prolonged placement in segregation.
165. I have been retained to opine or consult on the harmful impacts of segregation on prisoners in a number of cases including in the states of California, Delaware, New Jersey, Georgia, Alabama, Oregon, Montana, Illinois and Virginia. I am very familiar with the research on the issue. It is widely recognized that placing mentally ill inmates in segregation creates a significant risk of harm for that vulnerable population. This has been firmly stated by the American Psychiatric Association:

Prolonged segregation of adult inmates with serious mental illness, with rare exceptions, should be avoided due to the potential for harm to such inmates. If an inmate with serious mental illness is placed in segregation, out-of-cell structured therapeutic activities (i.e., mental health/psychiatric treatment) in appropriate programming space and adequate unstructured out-of-cell time should be permitted. Correctional mental health authorities should work closely with administrative custody staff to maximize access to clinically indicated programming and recreation for these individuals.¹²⁷

166. Dr. Terry Kupers, one of the country’s foremost psychiatric experts on the impacts of segregation on the mentally ill prisoner and an expert in this case has said:

of twenty-five months between March 2014 and March 2016, the Monitor found EMCF to be non-compliant.

¹²⁷ American Psychiatric Association, *Position Statement on Segregation of Prisoners with Mental Illness*, 2012

It is stunningly clear that for prisoners prone to serious mental illness, time served in isolation and idleness exacerbates their mental illness and too often results in suicide. This is the main reason that federal courts have ruled that prisoners with serious mental illness must not be subjected to long-term isolation.¹²⁸

167. Based on my training and experience, segregation also presents risk to inmates who have not been previously diagnosed as mentally ill. The American Bar Association acknowledges this reality and the related research in their public statements:

Some prisoners are sufficiently mentally resilient (or their stays in segregation sufficiently short) that isolating confinement does them no lasting harm; for others, the human cost can be devastating. Abundant research demonstrates that prisoners in segregation often experience physical and mental deterioration.¹²⁹

168. Yet MDOC and MTC make no provision in their policies to exclude or to avoid segregation for inmates who are mentally ill. The MDOC policy on segregation makes no mention of mental illness.¹³⁰ Neither does the policy on Administrative Segregation Long Term Status (defined as more than sixty days).¹³¹
169. The result is that inmates at EMCF, a facility designated for the mentally ill, wind up in segregation. There is a distinct lack of practices at EMCF to recognize the risk this population presents when they are in segregation.
170. The ACA recognizes the risk for all prisoners in segregation and for that reason has developed the following language in their standards:

Written policy, procedure, and practice require that all special management inmates are personally observed by a correctional officer twice per hour, but no more than 40 minutes apart, on an irregular schedule.¹³²

171. And again, MDOC has the exact same language in their policy,¹³³ which MTC is required to follow. However, a review of logbooks for EMCF segregation units shows this policy is not consistently followed.

¹²⁸ Kupers, T., *Isolated Confinement: Effective Method for Behavior Change or Punishment for Punishment's Sake?* The Routledge Handbook of International Crime and Justice Studies (2013), at 4 Justice Studies, at 4

¹²⁹ ABA Standards for Criminal Justice, 3rd edition, Treatment of Prisoners, 2011

¹³⁰ MDOC 19-01, Offender Segregation

¹³¹ MDOC 19-01-03, Administrative Segregation Long Term Status

¹³² ACA Standard 4-4257

¹³³ MDOC 19-01, at 2

172. For example, the log for December 2015 for Unit 5B contains multiple deviations from the policy requirement. I offer a sample of those deviations from pages of that logbook, showing the significant amounts of time that often pass between recorded observations of inmates:¹³⁴

- On December 2–3, 2015, the following amounts of time passed between recorded observations of inmates:

2 hours 35 minutes | 1 hour 10 minutes | 10 hours | 1 hour 4 minutes | 1 hour 14 minutes | 1 hour 15 minutes | 1 hour 50 minutes | 1 hour | 1 hour 30 minutes | 1 hour 10 minutes¹³⁵

- On December 16–17, 2015, the following amounts of time passed between recorded observations of inmates:

2 hours | 8 hours 41 minutes | 1 hour (6 times) | 1 hour 30 minutes | 10 hours 40 minutes¹³⁶

- On December 23 and 25, 2015, the following amounts of time passed between recorded observations of inmates:

1 hour (twice) | 11 hours 10 minutes | 1 hour 10 minutes | 1 hour 15 minutes | 1 hour 31 minutes | 11 hours 8 minutes¹³⁷

173. The log for December 2015 for Unit 5D shows similar patterns:

- On December 2–3, 2015, the following amounts of time passed between recorded observations of inmates:

8 hours 4 minutes | 1 hour 30 minutes | 1 hour 33 minutes | 1 hour 51 minutes¹³⁸

- On December 12–14, 2015, the following amounts of time passed between recorded observations of inmates:

2 hours 9 minutes | 1 hour 14 minutes | 8 hours 11 minutes | 1 hour (4 times) | 11 hours¹³⁹

- On December 24–26, 2015, the following amounts of time passed between recorded observations of inmates:

¹³⁴ I do not illustrate deviations from the policy of less than an hour, of which there are many.

¹³⁵ MTC-CON-00092157

¹³⁶ MTC-CON-00092168

¹³⁷ MTC-CON-00092175

¹³⁸ MTC-CON-00090350

¹³⁹ MTC-CON-00090357

8 hours 18 minutes | 1 hour 5 minutes | 2 hours 16 minutes | 2 hours 11 minutes | 1 hour 11 minutes | 1 hour 26 minutes | 17 hours 55 minutes | 1 hour 5 minutes | 1 hour 24 minutes¹⁴⁰

174. The log for December 2015 for Unit 6D similarly shows:

- On December 8–9, 2015, the following amounts of time passed between recorded observations of inmates:

8 hours 30 minutes; 1 hour 30 minutes; 1 hour (twice); 3 hours 16 minutes¹⁴¹

- On December 18–20, 2015, the following amounts of time passed between recorded observations of inmates:

2 hours 19 minutes | 1 hour 4 minutes | 1 hours 6 minutes | 1 hour 6 minutes | 2 hours 6 minutes | 2 hours | 8 hours 34 minutes | 6 hours 20 minutes | 1 hour 6 minutes | 3 hours 7 minutes | 1 hour 43 minutes | 1 hour 2 minutes | 1 hour¹⁴²

- On December 24–26, 2015, the following amounts of time passed between recorded observations of inmates:

8 hours 35 minutes | 1 hour 3 minutes | 1 hour 7 minutes | 1 hour 3 minutes | 1 hour 10 minutes | 9 hours 11 minutes | 1 hour 23 minutes | 1 hour 32 minutes | 3 hours 48 minutes¹⁴³

175. Obviously these samples from the logs clearly illustrate that EMCF is not checking on inmates in their segregation cells as required by policy. Though there are times that half hour checks are logged, there are also many examples of wide deviations from the policy as I demonstrate above.

176. The MDOC Monitor on a regular basis has identified the lack of proper logging in the EMCF segregation units. From March 2014 – March 2016, this item was found to be in non-compliance by the Monitor.

177. The fact that these logs are so bad, literally the worst I have ever seen in my career as a prison administrator and expert, reveals a disturbing lack of accountability on the part of those who supervise EMCF. Keeping a running log that accurately and consistently reports what has happened in the living unit is one of the easiest, yet most fundamental ways for supervisors to know what has gone on in their living

¹⁴⁰ MTC-CON-00090367

¹⁴¹ MTC-CON-00092087

¹⁴² MTC-CON-00092091

¹⁴³ MTC-CON-00092094

units during their absence. If supervisors are not regularly and routinely in the living units they supervise, they are not detecting that rules for inmates and expectations for officers are not being followed. This sends the message to both staff and inmates that there is no accountability for failing to follow the rules. I cannot tell whether supervisors are checking these logs regularly and just not doing anything about the deviations from policy, or whether they don't check them at all. Either way, this failure is quite profound and has consequences for the safe operation of their segregation units.

178. In previous sections of this report I have written about the problems of use of force in the EMCF segregation units. Inmates repeatedly act out by refusing to comply with rules regarding the food tray slot in their cell door. In my experience and from my conversations with inmates, it is my opinion this can be related to lack of supervision and interaction with inmates while they are in their segregation cells. When hours go by without anyone doing required security checks it is not surprising that inmates will misbehave out of frustration and take control of what little they can, like the food port, or starting a fire, or flooding their cell. The reason regular check of segregation cells is required by the ACA and MDOC is to make sure that inmates are safe but it also allows the inmate to tell the officer if they have any immediate concerns. This practice is not followed at EMCF and it creates a substantial risk of harm for the prisoners. Sometimes that risk results in tragic outcomes.
179. A three-year study in the New York City jail clearly illustrates the risk of suicide and self-harm attempts for inmates in segregation:

In 1303 (0.05%) of these incarcerations, 2182 acts of self-harm were committed, (103 potentially fatal and 7 fatal). Although only 7.3% of admissions included any solitary confinement, 53.3% of acts of self-harm and 45.0% of acts of potentially fatal self-harm occurred within this group. After we controlled for gender, age, race/ethnicity, serious mental illness, and length of stay, we found self-harm to be associated significantly with being in solitary confinement at least once, serious mental illness, being aged 18 years or younger, and being Latino or White, regardless of gender.¹⁴⁴

180. Documented events of self-harm also predictably happen in the EMCF segregation units.
181. In February 2016, an inmate housed in Unit 5A committed an act of self-harm by putting a piece of glass in his arm. He required offsite medical treatment.¹⁴⁵

¹⁴⁴ Fatos Kaba, et al., *Solitary Confinement and Risk of Self-Harm Among Jail Inmates*, Am J Public Health, 2014 March;104(3):442–447, available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3953781/>

¹⁴⁵ DEF-031817–31822

182. In late February 2016, an inmate in Unit 5B committed an act of self-harm by sticking glass shard under his skin. He required offsite medical treatment.¹⁴⁶
183. In January 2016, an inmate in Unit 5A had to be transported offsite because he had a self-inflicted wound to his abdomen. The EOR says: “The offender cut himself after he was placed on property restriction for a 72 hour period after the offender set fire to his state issue.” Upon his return to EMCF he was placed on suicide watch.¹⁴⁷
184. In January 2016, an inmate housed in Unit 6D was found with a self-inflicted wound to his leg. He was taken to an offsite hospital for treatment. He was returned to EMCF and placed back into segregation in Unit 6D.¹⁴⁸
185. In October 2015, an inmate from Unit 6D was transported to the hospital “for a self-inflicted wound to his right lower abdomen area which he reopened and placed a foreign object inside.” Upon his return from the hospital he was returned to a segregation cell.¹⁴⁹
186. Also in October 2015, another inmate from Unit 6D was taken offsite for medical treatment due to a self-inflicted wound to his leg.¹⁵⁰
187. In September 2015, an inmate in Unit 5A tied a string around his neck. He was given orders to come to the cell door and submit to restraints. He refused. Officers opened the cell door and used force to remove the string. The inmate was placed in hand and leg restraints and taken to medical following the SUOF. After a medical assessment he was returned to a segregation cell. He received an RVR for this incident.¹⁵¹
188. In July 2015, an inmate housed in Unit 5A was sent out via ambulance for a self-inflicted wound to his neck.¹⁵²
189. Also in July 2015, an inmate housed in Unit 5B set fire and flooded his cell, resulting in use of force. He was subjected to chemical spray and ceased the behavior. He was taken medical for an assessment and then returned to the same cell. He received two RVRs.¹⁵³
190. In May 2015, an inmate housed in Unit 6D required emergency care for a possible overdose. The EOR says: “Offender stated he had taken a handful of pills including

¹⁴⁶ DEF-031877–31882

¹⁴⁷ DEF-032140–32144

¹⁴⁸ DEF-031554–31559

¹⁴⁹ DEF-030574–30585

¹⁵⁰ DEF-030586–30594

¹⁵¹ DEF-030206–30277

¹⁵² DEF-029483–29486

¹⁵³ DEF-029436–29455

Xanax and was visibly and mentally impaired.” He was taken to the hospital via ambulance.¹⁵⁴

191. In December 2014 an inmate housed in 6D had to be taken to the hospital for a self-inflicted wound to his leg.¹⁵⁵
192. In October 2014, another inmate housed in Unit 6D was taken offsite to the regional medical emergency center for treatment due to an act of self-harm. He “had reopened old wounds and placed foreign objects in the wound.”¹⁵⁶
193. In September 2014, an inmate from Unit 6D was taken to medical as he had “an ink pen inside of his stomach” as a result of a self-inflicted cut. The note from the EMCF provider said, “Inmate presented to medical after cutting his throat and sticking a pen in his stomach into an old cut.” He was taken off-site to the emergency room.¹⁵⁷
194. In August 2014, an inmate from Unit 5B was taken to the hospital for treatment for two self-inflicted wounds to his left arm.¹⁵⁸
195. These are desperate and disturbing acts by individuals in distress. It may be because they are mentally ill or it may be because they are experiencing the stress from the extreme social isolation that comes with living in a segregation cell. Whatever the reason, it is simply unconscionable that EMCF fails to perform the basic and fundamental function of regularly and routinely checking on the welfare of the inmates in their segregation units.

VII. CONCLUSION

196. I recently received a declaration from an inmate who has been at EMCF since 2011. In that declaration, which is attached hereto as **Exhibit 5**, the inmate reports that on the evening of October 25, 2016, he was assaulted by approximately seven other prisoners in a cell on the upper floor in Housing Unit 5D. The cell he was assaulted in was not the cell to which he was assigned. The inmate then goes on to say:
 - He was hit, kicked, and stabbed.
 - Following the assault, he was kept in the (wrong) cell overnight, with “security” posted in front of the cell so he could not leave the cell or get help. He did not see officers do any of their required security checks the entire night.
 - Around 7:30 the next morning a Lieutenant and a Unit Manager entered the cell and could see blood on the bed,

¹⁵⁴ DEF-028497

¹⁵⁵ DEF-027262–27266

¹⁵⁶ DEF-026976–26981

¹⁵⁷ DEF-026649–26653

¹⁵⁸ DEF-026215–26221

floor, and wall from the assault. He reports his right eye was completely swollen shut and that his clothes were covered in blood.

- At the same time other prisoners came to the door of the cell. The Unit Manager asked those inmates if this was a “violation,” meaning, I believe, a beating received as internal discipline from the other inmates. One of the inmates said: “Yes, that is all it was.” The Unit Manager’s response was: “That’s normal. This is prison.” The Unit Manager and the Lieutenant then exited the cell.
- The following day, October 27, another group of prisoners who were sympathetic to the victim’s condition, escorted him back to his assigned cell on the lower floor.
- Once back in his assigned cell he got the attention of an officer and said he needed to see medical. That officer contacted medical but they said he needed to turn in a sick call slip.
- Four days later, on October 31, he saw a Sergeant he trusted and told him of the assault and that he needed medical attention.
- He was taken to medical on that day and was sent to an outside hospital when he was told he had a broken nose, a bone broken under his right eye, and several bruised ribs. He had been stabbed in his back and on his forearm and he had been bitten on the chest during the assault.¹⁵⁹

197. This example illustrates the complete dysfunction that characterizes the operation of EMCF. The inmate suffered a serious assault that was condoned by EMCF supervisors who simply said: “This is prison.” Despite obvious evidence of the assault, including visible injury to the inmate’s face, supervision of the unit was so lax that no one noticed, and for nearly a week, until the inmate encountered an EMCF staff that he thought he could trust, he received no medical attention. This example is very recent. There is clearly a substantial risk of serious harm at EMCF on a current and on-going basis.

198. In another disturbing example, the frustration of the Monitor at the dangerous conditions in the prison due to the lack of control by MTC staff is clear in an email she sent on October 14, 2015 regarding the need to move specific inmates from EMCF. She says:

These are ten offenders¹⁶⁰ who have been calling the shots/running the offenders/staff here with the ability to move almost anywhere the want to go.

¹⁵⁹ Declaration of Cordarius Nelson (December 2, 2016), *Dockery v. Fisher*, No. 3:13-cv-326-TSL-JMR

¹⁶⁰ The Monitors’ email references 10 inmates but her list has only 9 names. She offers reasons for the transfers for only 7 of them.

- [Inmate] controls/runs unit 4
- [Inmate] was just placed on long term by Mrs. M. Jackson but I believe he will still have control while locked down here.
- [Inmate] is on the seg unit but still runs things and staff. He even question me about knowing who he is when I removed a broom from his pod stating, “You must not know who I am” and I stated an inmate.
- [Inmate] controls/runs unit 2
- [Inmate] lots of control
- [Inmate] has lots of control
- [Inmate] control even though he supposed to be locked down constantly out his cell.¹⁶¹

While I again applaud the Monitor for trying to make the prison safer, I conclude from her email that she was so frustrated with MTC’s ability to control these inmates that she felt it necessary to demand they be transferred out of EMCF. But over a year after the Monitor made this demand, four of these inmates are still housed at EMCF, and the inmate who the Monitor noted “controls/runs unit 2” remains housed on Unit 2.

199. Two years after my first visit to EMCF, MTC continues to demonstrate that they do not have the ability, the capacity, the knowledge of basic prison operations—or perhaps the resources—to successfully manage a prison with close custody and mentally ill inmates, many of whom resort to gang allegiances to keep themselves safe because the staff continue to demonstrate that they are unable to do so. Equally culpable, MDOC has received a steady stream of information about the problems at EMCF, yet they have failed to act to correct those problems.
200. When there are too few staff to supervise the inmates to the point where inmates are doing the counts; when inmates are not expected to follow a rule as basic as not blocking the view into their cells; when inmates do not regularly and routinely get answers to legitimate questions they raise; when staff are not proficient in use of force procedures, especially conflict avoidance procedures, the result is a dangerous prison where the inmates, not the staff, exercise considerable control. Moreover, mentally ill inmates—which describe many of the inmates housed at EMCF—need coherent, structured programs that keep them active while clinicians work to help them learn how to control their own behavior. The overall operation at EMCF is so far away from resembling an environment where inmates are safe enough for real treatment to occur that it is challenging to recommend how they would start to go about it.

¹⁶¹ DEF_ESI_0005926

201. At this point in the evolution of the facility MTC and MDOC have demonstrated an utter incapacity to manage, control, and treat the inmates confined at the facility. MTC continues to subject all inmates, many of them mentally ill, to a significant risk of serious harm, and MDOC continues to fail to hold MTC accountable. While a number of urgent reforms are needed, including substantial expansion, upgrading, and retraining of staff, as well as substantially improved staff supervision and, at long last, effective repair or complete replacement of the defective cell door locking mechanism, there is absolutely no reason to think that MTC and MDOC have the will or the ability to make these and other necessary reforms, as discussed in my report, absent the intervention of the Court.

Respectfully Submitted:



Eldon Vail

Exhibit 1

ELDON VAIL

1516 8th Ave SE
Olympia, WA. 98501
360-349-3033
Nodleliav@comcast.net

WORK HISTORY

Nearly 35 years working in and administering adult and juvenile institutions, and probation and parole programs, starting at the entry level and rising to Department Secretary. Served as Superintendent of 3 adult institutions, maximum to minimum security, male and female. Served as Secretary for the Washington State Department of Corrections (WADOC) from 2007 until 2011.

- | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| ▪ Secretary | WADOC | 2007-2011 |
| ▪ Deputy Secretary | WADOC | 1999-2006 |
| ▪ Assistant Deputy Secretary | WADOC | 1997-1999 |
| ▪ Assistant Director for Prisons | WADOC | 1994-1997 |
| ▪ Superintendent | McNeil Island Corrections Center | 1992-1994 |
| ▪ Superintendent | WA. Corrections Center for Women | 1989-1992 |
| ▪ Correctional Program Manager | WA. Corrections Center | 1988 |
| ▪ Superintendent | Cedar Creek Corrections Center | 1987 |
| ▪ Correctional Program Manager | Cedar Creek Corrections Center | 1984-1987 |
| ▪ Juvenile Parole Officer | Division of Juvenile Rehabilitation | 1984 |
| ▪ Correctional Unit Supervisor | Cedar Creek Corrections Center | 1979-1983 |
| ▪ Juvenile Institution Counselor | Division of Juvenile Rehabilitation | 1974-1979 |

SKILLS AND ABILITIES

- Ability to analyze complex situations, synthesize the information and find practical solutions that are acceptable to all parties.
- A history of work experience that demonstrates how a balance of strong security and robust inmate programs best improves institution and community safety.
- Leadership of a prison system with very little class action litigation based on practical knowledge that constitutional conditions are best achieved through negotiation with all parties and not through litigation.
- Extensive experience as a witness, both in deposition and at trial.
- Experience working with multiple Governors, legislators of both parties, criminal justice partners and constituent groups in the legislative and policymaking process.

- Skilled labor negotiator for over a decade. Served as chief negotiator with the Teamsters and the Washington Public Employees Association for Collective Bargaining Agreements. Chaired Labor Management meetings with Washington Federation of State Employees.

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAREER ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Reduced violence in adult prisons in Washington by over 30% during my tenure as Secretary and Deputy Secretary even though the prison population became much more violent and high risk during this same time period.
- Long term collaboration with the University of Washington focusing on improving treatment for the mentally ill in prison and the management of prisoners in and through solitary confinement.
- Implemented and administered an extensive array of evidence based and promising programs:
 - Education, drug and alcohol, sex offender and cognitive treatment programs.
 - Implemented sentencing alternatives via legislation and policy, reducing the prison populations of non-violent, low risk offenders, including the Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative and, as the Secretary, the Family and Offender Sentencing Alternative. <http://www.doc.wa.gov/community/fosa/default.asp>
 - Pioneered extensive family based programs resulting in reductions in use of force incidents and infractions, as well as improved reentry outcomes for program participants.
 - Established Intensive Treatment Program for mentally ill inmates with behavioral problems.
 - Established step down programs for long-term segregation inmates resulting in significant reduction in program graduate returns to segregation. <http://www.thenewstribune.com/2012/07/10/2210762/isolating-prisoners-less-common.html>
- Initiated the Sustainable Prisons Project <http://blogs.evergreen.edu/sustainableprisons/>
- Improved efficiency in the agency by administrative consolidation, closing 3 high cost institutions and eliminating over 1,200 positions. Housed inmates safely at lowest possible custody levels, also resulting in reduced operating costs.
- Increased partnerships with non-profits, law enforcement and community members in support of agency goals and improved community safety.
- Resolved potential class action lawsuit regarding religious rights of Native Americans. http://seattletimes.nwsourc.com/html/opinion/2015464624_guest30galanda.html

- Successful settlement of the Jane Doe class action law suit, a PREA case regarding female offenders in the state's prisons for women.
- Led the nation's corrections directors to support fundamental change in the Interstate Compact as a result of the shooting of 4 police officers in Lakewood, WA.
- Dramatically improved media relations for the department by being aggressively open with journalists, challenging them to learn the difficult work performed by corrections professionals on a daily basis.

EDUCATION AND OTHER BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- Bachelor of Arts - The Evergreen State College, Washington – 1973
- Post graduate work in Public Administration - The Evergreen State College, Washington - 1980 and 1981
- National Institute of Corrections and Washington State Criminal Justice Training Commission - various corrections and leadership training courses
- Member of the American Correctional Association
- Associate member, Association of State Correctional Administrators (ASCA)
- Guest Speaker, Trainer and Author for the National Institute of Corrections (NIC)
- Commissioner, Washington State Criminal Justice Training Commission 2002-2006, 2008-2011
- Member, Washington State Sentencing Guidelines Commission 2007-2011
- Instructor for Correctional Leadership Development for the National Institute of Corrections
- Author of *Going Beyond Administrative Efficiency—The Budget Crisis in the State of Washington*, published in Topics of Community Corrections by NIC, 2003
- Advisory Panel Member, *Correctional Technology—A User's Guide*
- Consultant for *Correctional Leadership Competencies for the 21st Century*, an NIC publication

- Co-chair with King County Prosecutor Dan Satterberg, *Examining the Tool Box: A Review of Supervision of Dangerous Mentally Ill Offenders*
<http://your.kingcounty.gov/prosecutor/DMIO%20-WorkgroupFinalReport.pdf>
- Consultant for Correctional Health Care Executive Curriculum Development, an NIC training program, 2012
- Guest lecturer on solitary confinement, University of Montana Law School in 2012
- On retainer for Pioneer Human Services from July 2012 - July 2013
- On retainer for BRK Management Services from September 2012 – April 2013
- Guest Editorial, Seattle Times, February 22, 2014
<http://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/guest-opinions-should-washington-state-abolish-the-death-penalty/>

CURRENT ACTIVITIES

- Serve on the Board of Advisors for Huy, a non-profit supporting Native American Prisoners
- Serve on the Board of Directors for HEAL for Reentry, a non-profit supporting Native Americans' transition to the community
- Registered Agent for the Association of State Correctional Administrators (ASCA) in Washington
- Retained as an expert witness or correctional consultant in the following cases:
 - ***Mitchell v. Cate,***
No. 08-CV-1196 JAM EFB
United States District Court, Eastern District of California,
Declarations, March 4, 2013, May 15, 2013 and June 7, 2013
Deposed, July 9, 2013
Case settled, October 2014
 - ***Parsons, et al v. Ryan,***
No. CV 12-06010 PHX-NVW
United States District Court of Arizona
Declarations and reports, November 8, 2013, January 31, 2014,
February 24, 2014, September 4, 2014
Deposed, February 28, 2014 and September 17, 2014
Case settled, October 2014

- ***Ananachescu v. County of Clark***
No. 3:13-cv-05222-BHS
United States District Court, Western District of Tacoma
Case settled, February 2014
- ***Gifford v. State of Oregon,***
No. 6:11-CV-06417-TC
United States District Court, For the District of Oregon,
Eugene Division,
Expert report, March 29, 2013
Case settled, May 2013
- ***Coleman et al v. Brown, et al***
No. 2:90-cv-0520 LKK JMP P
United State District Court, Eastern District of California,
Declarations, March 14, 2013, May 29, 2013, August 23, 2013 and
February 11, 2014
Deposed, March 19, 2013 and June 27, 2013
Testified, October 1, 2, 17 and 18, 2013
- ***Peoples v. Fischer***
No. 1:11-cv-02694-SAS
United States District Court, Southern District of New York
Interim settlement agreement reached February 19, 2014,
Case settled, March 2016
- ***Dockery v. McCarty***
No. 3:13-cv-326 TSL JMR
United States District Court for the Southern District of
Mississippi, Jackson Division
Report, June 16, 2014
- ***C.B., et al v. Walnut Grove Correctional Authority et al***
No. 3:10-cv-663 DPS-FKB,
United States District Court for the Southern District of
Mississippi, Jackson Division
Memo to ACLU and Southern Poverty Law Center,
March 14, 2014, filed with the court
Reports to the court August 4, 2014 and February 10, 2015
Testified, April 1, 2 and 27, 2015
- ***Graves v. Arpaio***
No. CV-77-00479-PHX-NVW,
United States District Court of Arizona
Declaration, November 15, 2013
Testified, March 5, 2014
Declaration, April 1, 2016

- ***Wright v. Annucci, et al***
No. 13-CV-0564 (MAD)(ATB)
United States District Court, Northern District of New York
Reports, April 19, 2014 and December 12, 2014
- ***Corbett v. Branker***
No. 5:13 CT-3201-BO
United States District Court, Eastern District of North Carolina,
Western District
Special Master appointment November 18, 2013
Expert Report, January 14, 2014
Testified, March 21, 2014
- ***Fontano v. Godinez***
No. 3:12-cv-3042
United States District Court, Central District of Illinois,
Springfield Division
Report, August 16, 2014
Testified June 29, 2016
Case settled June 30, 2016
- ***Atencio v. Arpaio***
No. CV12-02376-PHX-PGR
United States District Court of Arizona
Reports, February 14, 2014 and May 12, 2014
Deposed, July 30, 2014
- ***State of Oregon v. James DeFrank***
Case # 11094090C
Malheur County, Oregon
- ***Disability Rights, Montana, Inc. v. Richard Opper***
No. CV-14-25-BU-SHE
United State District Court for the District of Montana,
Butte Division
- ***Larry Heggem v. Snohomish County***
No. CV-01333-RSM
United States District Court,
Western District of Washington at Seattle
Report, May 29, 2014
Deposed, June 27, 2014

- ***Padilla v. Beard, et al***
Case 2:14-at-00575
United States District Court, Eastern District of California,
Sacramento Division
Declaration, February 26, 2016
Deposed June 3, 2016
- ***Dunn et al v. Dunn et al***
No. 2:14-cv-00601-WKW-TFM
United States District Court, Middle District of Alabama
Declarations, September 3, 2014, April 29, 2015 and
June 3, 2015, Expert Report, July 5, 2016
Deposed August 21, 2016
Testified, December 22, 2016
- ***Sassman v. Brown***
No. 2:14-cv-01679-MCE-KJN,
United States District Court, Eastern District of California,
Sacramento Division
Declaration, August 27, 2014, Report, December 5, 2014
Deposed, December 15, 2014
- ***Doe v. Michigan Department of Corrections***
No. 5:13-cv-14356-RHC-RSW
United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan,
Southern Division
- ***Robertson v. Struffert, et al***
Case 4:12-cv-04698-JSW
United States District Court, Northern District of California
Declaration, March 16, 2015
Deposed May 4, 2015
Case settled, October 2015
- ***Commonwealth of Virginia v. Reginald Cornelius***
Case No: GC14008381—00
General District Court of the County of Stafford
Report, January 12, 2015
Pardon granted
- ***Latson v. Clarke***
No. 1:16-cv-00447-GBL-MSN
U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia
Report, November 16, 2016
Deposed, December 13, 2016

- ***Star v. Livingston***
Case No: 4:14-cv-03037
United States District Court, Southern District of Texas,
Houston Division
Reports, March 3, 2015 and October 12, 2016
- ***Doe v. Johnson***
Case 4:15-cv-00250-DCB
United States District Court for the District of Arizona
Reports, December 4, 2015 and March 10, 2016
Testified, November 14, 2016
- ***Redmond v. Crowther***
Civil No. 2:13-cv-00393-PMW
United States District Court, Central Division,
State of Utah
Report, April 28, 2015
Deposed, July 28, 2015
- ***Flores v. United States of America***
Civil Action No 14-3166
United States District Court, Eastern District of New York
Report, August 14, 2015
- ***Bailey v. Livingston***
Civil Action No. 4:14-cv-1698
United States District Court, Southern District of Texas,
Houston Division
Report, August 5, 2015
Deposed, December 2, 2015
- ***Rasho v. Godinez***
Civil Action No. 07-CV-1298
United States District Court, Central Division of Illinois,
Peoria Division
Case settled, December 2015
- ***State of Arizona, Appellee, v. Pete J. Van Winkle, Appellant***
No. CR-09-0322-AP
Testified, March 28, 2016
- ***Morgal v. Williams***
No. CV 12-280-TUC-CKJ
United States District Court for the District of Arizona
Report, February 1, 2016
Deposed, February 25, 2016

- ***Williams v. Snohomish County***
Case No. 15-2-22078-1 SEA
Superior Court for the State of Washington, King County
- ***Sacramento County Sheriff***
Retained by Sacramento County Sheriff to evaluate housing units in the Sacramento County jails, including maximum custody, segregation and protective custody
Report, June 27, 2016
- ***Fant v. The City of Ferguson***
Case No. 415-cv-00253 E.D. MO
United States District Court, Eastern District of Missouri
Report, January 8, 2016
- ***Community Legal Aid Society, Inc. v. Robert M. Coupe***
Case No. 1:15-cv-00688
United States District Court for the District of Delaware
Report, March 31, 2016
Settled, August 2016
- ***P.D. v. Middlesex County***
Case No. MID-L-3811-14
Superior Court of New Jersey
Report, July 29, 2016
- ***C-Pod Inmates of Middlesex County Adult Correction Center, et al. v. Middlesex County***
Civil Action No. 15-7920 (PGS)
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
Report, July 29, 2016
Settlement discussions ongoing
- ***Johnson v. Mason County***
NO. 3:14-cv-05832-RBL
United States District Court, Western District of Washington at Tacoma
Declaration, April 5, 2016
Deposed, October 26, 2016
- ***Gould v. State of Oregon, et al***
Case No. 2:15-cv-01152-SU
United States District Court for the District of Oregon
Settled, October 2016

- ***U.S. Department of Justice***
Retained by DOJ to join team investigating sexual harassment, sexual abuse and sexual assaults by inmates and staff in the Georgia Department of Corrections
Report, October 2016

- ***Daniel Evans v. Management and Training Corporation, et al***
NO. 3:15-cv-770-DPJ-FKB
United States District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Northern Division
Report, October 17, 2016

- ***Webb v. Livingston***
Civil Action NO. 6:13cv711
United States District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division

Exhibit 2

Documents Considered in Forming Expert Opinions

1. Expert Report of Eldon Vail and underlying documents (June 16, 2014), *Dockery v. Fisher*, No. 3:13-cv-00326-TSL-JMR
2. Declaration of Cordarius Nelson (December 2, 2016), *Dockery v. Fisher*, No. 3:13-cv-00326-TSL-JMR
3. *Depriest, et al. v. Walnut Grove Correctional Authority, et al.*, No. 3:10-cv-00663-CWR-FKB, Order at 26–27 (S.D. Miss. June 10, 2015) (Reeves, J. presiding).
4. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, *Citation and Notification of Penalty to the GEO Group*, Inspection No. 315306357 (June 11, 2012)
5. MS Code § 47-5-1223 (2015)
6. ACA Standard 4-4257
7. ACA Standard 4-4262
8. ACA Standard 4-4270
9. American Psychiatric Association, *Position Statement on Segregation of Prisoners with Mental Illness*, 2012
10. Kupers, T., *Isolated Confinement: Effective Method for Behavior Change or Punishment for Punishment's Sake?* The Routledge Handbook of International Crime and Justice Studies (2013)
11. Fatos Kaba, et al., *Solitary Confinement and Risk of Self-Harm Among Jail Inmates*, Am J Public Health, 2014 March;104(3):442–447, available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3953781/>
12. American Bar Association, Standards for Criminal Justice, *Treatment of Prisoners* (3d ed. 2011)
13. AG 120
14. AG 930
15. AG 2644
16. AG 2996
17. AG 3249
18. AG 3281
19. AG 3333
20. AG 4705
21. AG 4910
22. AG 5459
23. AG 5529
24. AG 5844
25. AG 5649
26. AG 5718
27. AG_014227
28. AG_014228
29. AG_014233
30. AG_014234
31. AG_014254
32. AG_014255
33. AG_014299
34. AG_014300
35. AG_015252
36. AG_015253
37. AG_015265
38. AG_015266
39. AG_015267
40. AG_015268
41. AG_015892
42. AG_015893
43. AG_015947
44. AG_015948
45. AG_017886
46. AG_017887
47. AG_018001
48. AG_018002

49. DEF_ESI_0000002
50. DEF_ESI_0000003
51. DEF_ESI_0000004
52. DEF_ESI_0000005
53. DEF_ESI_0000006
54. DEF_ESI_0000007
55. DEF_ESI_0000012
56. DEF_ESI_0000013
57. DEF_ESI_0000014
58. DEF_ESI_0000016
59. DEF_ESI_0000017
60. DEF_ESI_0000020
61. DEF_ESI_0000022
62. DEF_ESI_0000024
63. DEF_ESI_0000025
64. DEF_ESI_0000029
65. DEF_ESI_0000038
66. DEF_ESI_0000088
67. DEF_ESI_0000108
68. DEF_ESI_0000110
69. DEF_ESI_0000111
70. DEF_ESI_0000147
71. DEF_ESI_0000148
72. DEF_ESI_0000151
73. DEF_ESI_0000152
74. DEF_ESI_0000153
75. DEF_ESI_0000154
76. DEF_ESI_0000155
77. DEF_ESI_0000156
78. DEF_ESI_0000157
79. DEF_ESI_0000158
80. DEF_ESI_0000159
81. DEF_ESI_0000160
82. DEF_ESI_0000161
83. DEF_ESI_0000162
84. DEF_ESI_0000163
85. DEF_ESI_0000164
86. DEF_ESI_0000165
87. DEF_ESI_0000166
88. DEF_ESI_0000167
89. DEF_ESI_0000168
90. DEF_ESI_0000169
91. DEF_ESI_0000170
92. DEF_ESI_0000171
93. DEF_ESI_0000172
94. DEF_ESI_0000173
95. DEF_ESI_0000174
96. DEF_ESI_0000175
97. DEF_ESI_0000176
98. DEF_ESI_0000177
99. DEF_ESI_0000178
100. DEF_ESI_0000179
101. DEF_ESI_0000180
102. DEF_ESI_0000181
103. DEF_ESI_0000182
104. DEF_ESI_0000183

105. DEF_ESI_0000184
106. DEF_ESI_0000185
107. DEF_ESI_0000186
108. DEF_ESI_0000187
109. DEF_ESI_0000188
110. DEF_ESI_0000189
111. DEF_ESI_0000190
112. DEF_ESI_0000191
113. DEF_ESI_0000192
114. DEF_ESI_0000193
115. DEF_ESI_0000194
116. DEF_ESI_0000195
117. DEF_ESI_0000196
118. DEF_ESI_0000196
119. DEF_ESI_0000197
120. DEF_ESI_0000197
121. DEF_ESI_0000198
122. DEF_ESI_0000199
123. DEF_ESI_0000200
124. DEF_ESI_0000201
125. DEF_ESI_0000202
126. DEF_ESI_0000203
127. DEF_ESI_0000204
128. DEF_ESI_0000205
129. DEF_ESI_0000206
130. DEF_ESI_0000207
131. DEF_ESI_0000208
132. DEF_ESI_0000209
133. DEF_ESI_0000210
134. DEF_ESI_0000211
135. DEF_ESI_0000212
136. DEF_ESI_0000213
137. DEF_ESI_0000214
138. DEF_ESI_0000215
139. DEF_ESI_0000216
140. DEF_ESI_0000217
141. DEF_ESI_0000218
142. DEF_ESI_0000219
143. DEF_ESI_0000220
144. DEF_ESI_0000221
145. DEF_ESI_0000222
146. DEF_ESI_0000223
147. DEF_ESI_0000224
148. DEF_ESI_0000225
149. DEF_ESI_0000226
150. DEF_ESI_0000227
151. DEF_ESI_0000228
152. DEF_ESI_0000229
153. DEF_ESI_0000230
154. DEF_ESI_0000231
155. DEF_ESI_0000232
156. DEF_ESI_0000233
157. DEF_ESI_0000234
158. DEF_ESI_0000235
159. DEF_ESI_0000236
160. DEF_ESI_0000237

161. DEF_ESI_0000238
162. DEF_ESI_0000239
163. DEF_ESI_0000240
164. DEF_ESI_0000241
165. DEF_ESI_0000242
166. DEF_ESI_0000243
167. DEF_ESI_0000244
168. DEF_ESI_0000245
169. DEF_ESI_0000246
170. DEF_ESI_0000247
171. DEF_ESI_0000248
172. DEF_ESI_0000249
173. DEF_ESI_0000250
174. DEF_ESI_0000251
175. DEF_ESI_0000252
176. DEF_ESI_0000253
177. DEF_ESI_0000254
178. DEF_ESI_0000255
179. DEF_ESI_0000256
180. DEF_ESI_0000257
181. DEF_ESI_0000258
182. DEF_ESI_0000259
183. DEF_ESI_0000260
184. DEF_ESI_0000261
185. DEF_ESI_0000262
186. DEF_ESI_0000263
187. DEF_ESI_0000264
188. DEF_ESI_0000265
189. DEF_ESI_0000266
190. DEF_ESI_0000267
191. DEF_ESI_0000268
192. DEF_ESI_0000269
193. DEF_ESI_0000270
194. DEF_ESI_0000271
195. DEF_ESI_0000272
196. DEF_ESI_0000273
197. DEF_ESI_0000274
198. DEF_ESI_0000275
199. DEF_ESI_0000276
200. DEF_ESI_0000277
201. DEF_ESI_0000278
202. DEF_ESI_0000279
203. DEF_ESI_0000280
204. DEF_ESI_0000281
205. DEF_ESI_0000282
206. DEF_ESI_0000283
207. DEF_ESI_0000284
208. DEF_ESI_0000285
209. DEF_ESI_0000287
210. DEF_ESI_0000291
211. DEF_ESI_0000292
212. DEF_ESI_0000298
213. DEF_ESI_0000300
214. DEF_ESI_0000301
215. DEF_ESI_0000303
216. DEF_ESI_0000304

217. DEF_ESI_0000305
218. DEF_ESI_0000306
219. DEF_ESI_0000307
220. DEF_ESI_0000308
221. DEF_ESI_0000309
222. DEF_ESI_0000311
223. DEF_ESI_0000312
224. DEF_ESI_0000313
225. DEF_ESI_0000314
226. DEF_ESI_0000315
227. DEF_ESI_0000316
228. DEF_ESI_0000317
229. DEF_ESI_0000318
230. DEF_ESI_0000319
231. DEF_ESI_0000322
232. DEF_ESI_0000323
233. DEF_ESI_0000327
234. DEF_ESI_0000328
235. DEF_ESI_0000329
236. DEF_ESI_0000330
237. DEF_ESI_0000331
238. DEF_ESI_0000332
239. DEF_ESI_0000333
240. DEF_ESI_0000333
241. DEF_ESI_0000334
242. DEF_ESI_0000334
243. DEF_ESI_0000335
244. DEF_ESI_0000336
245. DEF_ESI_0000337
246. DEF_ESI_0000338
247. DEF_ESI_0000339
248. DEF_ESI_0000340
249. DEF_ESI_0000341
250. DEF_ESI_0000342
251. DEF_ESI_0000343
252. DEF_ESI_0000344
253. DEF_ESI_0000345
254. DEF_ESI_0000346
255. DEF_ESI_0000347
256. DEF_ESI_0000348
257. DEF_ESI_0000349
258. DEF_ESI_0000351
259. DEF_ESI_0000352
260. DEF_ESI_0000353
261. DEF_ESI_0000354
262. DEF_ESI_0000355
263. DEF_ESI_0000356
264. DEF_ESI_0000357
265. DEF_ESI_0000358
266. DEF_ESI_0000359
267. DEF_ESI_0000360
268. DEF_ESI_0000361
269. DEF_ESI_0000362
270. DEF_ESI_0000363
271. DEF_ESI_0000364
272. DEF_ESI_0000365

273. DEF_ESI_0000368
274. DEF_ESI_0000369
275. DEF_ESI_0000370
276. DEF_ESI_0000371
277. DEF_ESI_0000372
278. DEF_ESI_0000373
279. DEF_ESI_0000391
280. DEF_ESI_0000392
281. DEF_ESI_0000393
282. DEF_ESI_0000394
283. DEF_ESI_0000395
284. DEF_ESI_0000396
285. DEF_ESI_0000398
286. DEF_ESI_0000399
287. DEF_ESI_0000400
288. DEF_ESI_0000401
289. DEF_ESI_0000402
290. DEF_ESI_0000403
291. DEF_ESI_0000406
292. DEF_ESI_0000407
293. DEF_ESI_0000411
294. DEF_ESI_0000415
295. DEF_ESI_0000418
296. DEF_ESI_0000421
297. DEF_ESI_0000424
298. DEF_ESI_0000426
299. DEF_ESI_0000428
300. DEF_ESI_0000437
301. DEF_ESI_0000441
302. DEF_ESI_0000453
303. DEF_ESI_0000459
304. DEF_ESI_0000460
305. DEF_ESI_0000461
306. DEF_ESI_0000466
307. DEF_ESI_0000467
308. DEF_ESI_0000468
309. DEF_ESI_0000469
310. DEF_ESI_0000473
311. DEF_ESI_0000474
312. DEF_ESI_0000475
313. DEF_ESI_0000476
314. DEF_ESI_0000477
315. DEF_ESI_0000485
316. DEF_ESI_0000486
317. DEF_ESI_0000487
318. DEF_ESI_0000488
319. DEF_ESI_0000489
320. DEF_ESI_0000490
321. DEF_ESI_0000491
322. DEF_ESI_0000492
323. DEF_ESI_0000493
324. DEF_ESI_0000494
325. DEF_ESI_0000495
326. DEF_ESI_0000496
327. DEF_ESI_0000497
328. DEF_ESI_0000498

329. DEF_ESI_0000499
330. DEF_ESI_0000500
331. DEF_ESI_0000505
332. DEF_ESI_0000506
333. DEF_ESI_0000507
334. DEF_ESI_0000508
335. DEF_ESI_0000509
336. DEF_ESI_0000510
337. DEF_ESI_0000511
338. DEF_ESI_0000512
339. DEF_ESI_0000513
340. DEF_ESI_0000513
341. DEF_ESI_0000514
342. DEF_ESI_0000514
343. DEF_ESI_0000515
344. DEF_ESI_0000516
345. DEF_ESI_0000517
346. DEF_ESI_0000518
347. DEF_ESI_0000519
348. DEF_ESI_0000520
349. DEF_ESI_0000521
350. DEF_ESI_0000522
351. DEF_ESI_0000523
352. DEF_ESI_0000524
353. DEF_ESI_0000525
354. DEF_ESI_0000526
355. DEF_ESI_0000527
356. DEF_ESI_0000528
357. DEF_ESI_0000529
358. DEF_ESI_0000530
359. DEF_ESI_0000531
360. DEF_ESI_0000532
361. DEF_ESI_0000533
362. DEF_ESI_0000534
363. DEF_ESI_0000535
364. DEF_ESI_0000536
365. DEF_ESI_0000537
366. DEF_ESI_0000538
367. DEF_ESI_0000539
368. DEF_ESI_0000540
369. DEF_ESI_0000541
370. DEF_ESI_0000542
371. DEF_ESI_0000543
372. DEF_ESI_0000544
373. DEF_ESI_0000545
374. DEF_ESI_0000546
375. DEF_ESI_0000547
376. DEF_ESI_0000548
377. DEF_ESI_0000549
378. DEF_ESI_0000550
379. DEF_ESI_0000551
380. DEF_ESI_0000552
381. DEF_ESI_0000555
382. DEF_ESI_0000605
383. DEF_ESI_0000611
384. DEF_ESI_0000618

385. DEF_ESI_0000626
386. DEF_ESI_0000627
387. DEF_ESI_0000627
388. DEF_ESI_0000647
389. DEF_ESI_0000648
390. DEF_ESI_0000656
391. DEF_ESI_0000657
392. DEF_ESI_0000658
393. DEF_ESI_0000659
394. DEF_ESI_0000660
395. DEF_ESI_0000661
396. DEF_ESI_0000662
397. DEF_ESI_0000663
398. DEF_ESI_0000664
399. DEF_ESI_0000665
400. DEF_ESI_0000666
401. DEF_ESI_0000667
402. DEF_ESI_0000668
403. DEF_ESI_0000669
404. DEF_ESI_0000675
405. DEF_ESI_0000676
406. DEF_ESI_0000678
407. DEF_ESI_0000681
408. DEF_ESI_0000682
409. DEF_ESI_0000683
410. DEF_ESI_0000689
411. DEF_ESI_0000690
412. DEF_ESI_0000697
413. DEF_ESI_0000698
414. DEF_ESI_0000704
415. DEF_ESI_0000707
416. DEF_ESI_0000708
417. DEF_ESI_0000709
418. DEF_ESI_0000710
419. DEF_ESI_0000711
420. DEF_ESI_0000712
421. DEF_ESI_0000713
422. DEF_ESI_0000714
423. DEF_ESI_0000715
424. DEF_ESI_0000716
425. DEF_ESI_0000717
426. DEF_ESI_0000722
427. DEF_ESI_0000723
428. DEF_ESI_0000724
429. DEF_ESI_0000725
430. DEF_ESI_0000726
431. DEF_ESI_0000727
432. DEF_ESI_0000728
433. DEF_ESI_0000729
434. DEF_ESI_0000730
435. DEF_ESI_0000731
436. DEF_ESI_0000732
437. DEF_ESI_0000733
438. DEF_ESI_0000734
439. DEF_ESI_0000735
440. DEF_ESI_0000736

441. DEF_ESI_0000737
442. DEF_ESI_0000738
443. DEF_ESI_0000739
444. DEF_ESI_0000740
445. DEF_ESI_0000741
446. DEF_ESI_0000742
447. DEF_ESI_0000743
448. DEF_ESI_0000744
449. DEF_ESI_0000745
450. DEF_ESI_0000746
451. DEF_ESI_0000747
452. DEF_ESI_0000748
453. DEF_ESI_0000749
454. DEF_ESI_0000750
455. DEF_ESI_0000751
456. DEF_ESI_0000751
457. DEF_ESI_0000752
458. DEF_ESI_0000752
459. DEF_ESI_0000753
460. DEF_ESI_0000754
461. DEF_ESI_0000755
462. DEF_ESI_0000756
463. DEF_ESI_0000757
464. DEF_ESI_0000758
465. DEF_ESI_0000763
466. DEF_ESI_0000765
467. DEF_ESI_0000769
468. DEF_ESI_0000772
469. DEF_ESI_0000773
470. DEF_ESI_0000774
471. DEF_ESI_0000775
472. DEF_ESI_0000776
473. DEF_ESI_0000777
474. DEF_ESI_0000778
475. DEF_ESI_0000784
476. DEF_ESI_0000785
477. DEF_ESI_0000786
478. DEF_ESI_0000787
479. DEF_ESI_0000790
480. DEF_ESI_0000791
481. DEF_ESI_0000794
482. DEF_ESI_0000795
483. DEF_ESI_0000796
484. DEF_ESI_0000811
485. DEF_ESI_0000814
486. DEF_ESI_0000818
487. DEF_ESI_0000822
488. DEF_ESI_0000828
489. DEF_ESI_0000832
490. DEF_ESI_0000835
491. DEF_ESI_0000836
492. DEF_ESI_0000837
493. DEF_ESI_0000838
494. DEF_ESI_0000840
495. DEF_ESI_0000842
496. DEF_ESI_0000843

497. DEF_ESI_0000844
498. DEF_ESI_0000846
499. DEF_ESI_0000848
500. DEF_ESI_0000849
501. DEF_ESI_0000850
502. DEF_ESI_0000852
503. DEF_ESI_0000853
504. DEF_ESI_0000856
505. DEF_ESI_0000858
506. DEF_ESI_0000859
507. DEF_ESI_0000869
508. DEF_ESI_0000870
509. DEF_ESI_0001039
510. DEF_ESI_0001040
511. DEF_ESI_0001291
512. DEF_ESI_0001292
513. DEF_ESI_0001422
514. DEF_ESI_0001423
515. DEF_ESI_0001541
516. DEF_ESI_0001542
517. DEF_ESI_0001714
518. DEF_ESI_0001715
519. DEF_ESI_0001828
520. DEF_ESI_0001829
521. DEF_ESI_0002007
522. DEF_ESI_0002008
523. DEF_ESI_0002221
524. DEF_ESI_0002222
525. DEF_ESI_0002252
526. DEF_ESI_0002253
527. DEF_ESI_0002420
528. DEF_ESI_0002421
529. DEF_ESI_0002422
530. DEF_ESI_0002423
531. DEF_ESI_0002424
532. DEF_ESI_0002425
533. DEF_ESI_0002426
534. DEF_ESI_0002427
535. DEF_ESI_0002429
536. DEF_ESI_0002430
537. DEF_ESI_0002432
538. DEF_ESI_0002433
539. DEF_ESI_0002434
540. DEF_ESI_0002435
541. DEF_ESI_0002436
542. DEF_ESI_0002437
543. DEF_ESI_0002438
544. DEF_ESI_0002439
545. DEF_ESI_0002446
546. DEF_ESI_0002447
547. DEF_ESI_0002448
548. DEF_ESI_0002449
549. DEF_ESI_0002451
550. DEF_ESI_0002452
551. DEF_ESI_0003147
552. DEF_ESI_0003148

553. DEF_ESI_0003447
554. DEF_ESI_0003448
555. DEF_ESI_0005902
556. DEF_ESI_0005926
557. DEF_ESI_0005994
558. DEF_ESI_0005995
559. DEF_ESI_0005996
560. DEF_ESI_0006122
561. DEF_ESI_0006171
562. DEF_ESI_0006190
563. DEF_ESI_0006214
564. DEF_ESI_0006254
565. DEF_ESI_0006255
566. DEF_ESI_0006292
567. DEF_ESI_0006293
568. DEF_ESI_0006300
569. DEF_ESI_0006364
570. DEF_ESI_0006365
571. DEF_ESI_0006366
572. DEF_ESI_0006514
573. DEF_ESI_0006676
574. DEF_ESI_0006680
575. DEF_ESI_0006718
576. DEF_ESI_0006890
577. DEF_ESI_0006891
578. DEF_ESI_0006892 x
579. DEF_ESI_0006893
580. DEF_ESI_0006894 x
581. DEF_ESI_0006902
582. DEF_ESI_0006903
583. DEF_ESI_0006904 x
584. DEF_ESI_0006905 x
585. DEF_ESI_0006906
586. DEF_ESI_0006907
587. DEF_ESI_0006908 x
588. DEF_ESI_0006909 x
589. DEF_ESI_0006924
590. DEF_ESI_0006925
591. DEF_ESI_0006926 x
592. DEF_ESI_0006927
593. DEF_ESI_0006928 x
594. DEF_ESI_0007123
595. DEF_ESI_0007124
596. DEF_ESI_0007144
597. DEF_ESI_0007145
598. DEF_ESI_0007146
599. DEF_ESI_0007147
600. DEF_ESI_0007165
601. DEF_ESI_0007166
602. DEF_ESI_0007186
603. DEF_ESI_0007187
604. DEF_ESI_0007220
605. DEF_ESI_0007221
606. DEF_ESI_0007247
607. DEF_ESI_0007248
608. DEF_ESI_0007260

609. DEF_ESI_0007261
610. DEF_ESI_0007310
611. DEF_ESI_0007311
612. DEF_ESI_0007333
613. DEF_ESI_0007334
614. DEF_ESI_0007342
615. DEF_ESI_0007343
616. DEF_ESI_0007364
617. DEF_ESI_0007365
618. DEF_ESI_0007382
619. DEF_ESI_0007383
620. DEF_ESI_0007715
621. DEF_ESI_0007716
622. DEF_ESI_0007845
623. DEF_ESI_0007846
624. DEF_ESI_0007847
625. DEF_ESI_0007848
626. DEF_ESI_0007921
627. DEF_ESI_0007922
628. DEF_ESI_0007984
629. DEF_ESI_0007985
630. DEF_ESI_0008115
631. DEF_ESI_0008116
632. DEF_ESI_0008500
633. DEF_ESI_0008500
634. DEF_ESI_0008945
635. DEF_ESI_0008946
636. DEF_ESI_0008947 x
637. DEF_ESI_0008948 x
638. DEF_ESI_0009258
639. DEF_ESI_0009259
640. DEF_ESI_0010002
641. DEF_ESI_0013450
642. DEF_ESI_0013451
643. DEF_ESI_0013752
644. DEF-024646
645. DEF-024693
646. DEF-024755
647. DEF-026165
648. DEF-026171
649. DEF-026177
650. DEF-026189
651. DEF-026199
652. DEF-026203
653. DEF-026215
654. DEF-026222
655. DEF-026242
656. DEF-026251
657. DEF-026261
658. DEF-026274
659. DEF-026286
660. DEF-026293
661. DEF-026302
662. DEF-026309
663. DEF-026314
664. DEF-026319

- 665. DEF-026324
- 666. DEF-026327
- 667. DEF-026332
- 668. DEF-026340
- 669. DEF-026348
- 670. DEF-026359
- 671. DEF-026372
- 672. DEF-026380
- 673. DEF-026393
- 674. DEF-026393A
- 675. DEF-026406
- 676. DEF-026416
- 677. DEF-026423
- 678. DEF-026427
- 679. DEF-026444
- 680. DEF-026454
- 681. DEF-026469
- 682. DEF-026473
- 683. DEF-026485
- 684. DEF-026493
- 685. DEF-026502
- 686. DEF-026515
- 687. DEF-026533
- 688. DEF-026540
- 689. DEF-026547
- 690. DEF-026554
- 691. DEF-026564
- 692. DEF-026569
- 693. DEF-026581
- 694. DEF-026587
- 695. DEF-026593
- 696. DEF-026604
- 697. DEF-026609
- 698. DEF-026617
- 699. DEF-026626
- 700. DEF-026631
- 701. DEF-026639
- 702. DEF-026644
- 703. DEF-026649
- 704. DEF-026654
- 705. DEF-026658
- 706. DEF-026663
- 707. DEF-026670
- 708. DEF-026677
- 709. DEF-026683
- 710. DEF-026696
- 711. DEF-026712
- 712. DEF-026724
- 713. DEF-026739
- 714. DEF-026752
- 715. DEF-026766
- 716. DEF-026778
- 717. DEF-026789
- 718. DEF-026800
- 719. DEF-026802
- 720. DEF-026806

721. DEF-026810
722. DEF-026820
723. DEF-026830
724. DEF-026833
725. DEF-026833A
726. DEF-026845
727. DEF-026850
728. DEF-026850A
729. DEF-026863
730. DEF-026874
731. DEF-026879
732. DEF-026895
733. DEF-026917
734. DEF-026924
735. DEF-026930
736. DEF-026936
737. DEF-026949
738. DEF-026953
739. DEF-026954A
740. DEF-026964
741. DEF-026971
742. DEF-026976
743. DEF-026982
744. DEF-026990
745. DEF-026997
746. DEF-027004
747. DEF-027013
748. DEF-027019
749. DEF-027027
750. DEF-027041
751. DEF-027047
752. DEF-027062
753. DEF-027067
754. DEF-027079
755. DEF-027083
756. DEF-027096A
757. DEF-027114
758. DEF-027120
759. DEF-027131
760. DEF-027138
761. DEF-027161
762. DEF-027169
763. DEF-027175
764. DEF-027180
765. DEF-027194
766. DEF-027205
767. DEF-027210
768. DEF-027214
769. DEF-027216
770. DEF-027218
771. DEF-027228
772. DEF-027235
773. DEF-027249
774. DEF-027255
775. DEF-027262
776. DEF-027267

777. DEF-027276
778. DEF-027283
779. DEF-027283A
780. DEF-027295
781. DEF-027306
782. DEF-027330
783. DEF-027337
784. DEF-027337A
785. DEF-027349
786. DEF-027349A
787. DEF-027367
788. DEF-027374
789. DEF-027382
790. DEF-027401
791. DEF-027413
792. DEF-027425
793. DEF-027433
794. DEF-027433A
795. DEF-027443
796. DEF-027443A
797. DEF-027443B
798. DEF-027448
799. DEF-027450
800. DEF-027451
801. DEF-027453
802. DEF-027476
803. DEF-027483
804. DEF-027490
805. DEF-027497
806. DEF-027501
807. DEF-027507
808. DEF-027521
809. DEF-027529
810. DEF-027537
811. DEF-027546
812. DEF-027558
813. DEF-027571
814. DEF-027577
815. DEF-027582
816. DEF-027588
817. DEF-027604
818. DEF-027618
819. DEF-027629
820. DEF-027639
821. DEF-027648
822. DEF-027658
823. DEF-027668
824. DEF-027678
825. DEF-027683
826. DEF-027699
827. DEF-027722
828. DEF-027744
829. DEF-027753
830. DEF-027764
831. DEF-027778
832. DEF-027793

833. DEF-027807
834. DEF-027820
835. DEF-027821A
836. DEF-027831
837. DEF-027833
838. DEF-027836
839. DEF-027851
840. DEF-027862
841. DEF-027872
842. DEF-027884
843. DEF-027892
844. DEF-027905
845. DEF-027919
846. DEF-027931
847. DEF-027961
848. DEF-027968
849. DEF-027981
850. DEF-027990
851. DEF-028001
852. DEF-028009
853. DEF-028022
854. DEF-028030
855. DEF-028035
856. DEF-028039
857. DEF-028042
858. DEF-028044
859. DEF-028046
860. DEF-028048
861. DEF-028050
862. DEF-028052
863. DEF-028054
864. DEF-028059
865. DEF-028068
866. DEF-028073
867. DEF-028075
868. DEF-028075A
869. DEF-028091
870. DEF-028099
871. DEF-028099A
872. DEF-028109
873. DEF-028113
874. DEF-028125
875. DEF-028127
876. DEF-028129
877. DEF-028131
878. DEF-028139
879. DEF-028143
880. DEF-028153
881. DEF-028155
882. DEF-028157
883. DEF-028161
884. DEF-028167
885. DEF-028172
886. DEF-028178
887. DEF-028186
888. DEF-028204

889. DEF-028242
890. DEF-028253
891. DEF-028266
892. DEF-028283
893. DEF-028297
894. DEF-028297A
895. DEF-028307
896. DEF-028317
897. DEF-028329
898. DEF-028331
899. DEF-028331A
900. DEF-028345
901. DEF-028363
902. DEF-028375
903. DEF-028382
904. DEF-028389
905. DEF-028407
906. DEF-028408
907. DEF-028419
908. DEF-028425
909. DEF-028440
910. DEF-028442
911. DEF-028454
912. DEF-028466
913. DEF-028471
914. DEF-028479
915. DEF-028486
916. DEF-028499
917. DEF-028507
918. DEF-028524
919. DEF-028540
920. DEF-028562
921. DEF-028585
922. DEF-028602A
923. DEF-028620
924. DEF-028623
925. DEF-028636
926. DEF-028646
927. DEF-028654
928. DEF-028654A
929. DEF-028669
930. DEF-028684
931. DEF-028692
932. DEF-028697
933. DEF-028702
934. DEF-028708
935. DEF-028731
936. DEF-028747
937. DEF-028756
938. DEF-028767
939. DEF-028772
940. DEF-028781
941. DEF-028790
942. DEF-028796
943. DEF-028801
944. DEF-028812

945. DEF-028814
946. DEF-028819
947. DEF-028821
948. DEF-028825
949. DEF-028829
950. DEF-028840
951. DEF-028850
952. DEF-028856
953. DEF-028864
954. DEF-028867
955. DEF-028873
956. DEF-028881
957. DEF-028885
958. DEF-028893
959. DEF-028901
960. DEF-028909
961. DEF-028912
962. DEF-028919
963. DEF-028923
964. DEF-028933
965. DEF-028943
966. DEF-028949
967. DEF-028955
968. DEF-028963
969. DEF-028971
970. DEF-028978
971. DEF-028980
972. DEF-028982
973. DEF-028984
974. DEF-028989
975. DEF-028992
976. DEF-028997
977. DEF-029001
978. DEF-029006
979. DEF-029015
980. DEF-029023
981. DEF-029055
982. DEF-029076
983. DEF-029083
984. DEF-029094
985. DEF-029096
986. DEF-029105
987. DEF-029117
988. DEF-029120
989. DEF-029124
990. DEF-029128
991. DEF-029132
992. DEF-029138
993. DEF-029141
994. DEF-029150
995. DEF-029156
996. DEF-029160
997. DEF-029163
998. DEF-029167
999. DEF-029172
1000. DEF-029179

1001. DEF-029194
1002. DEF-029215
1003. DEF-029227
1004. DEF-029240
1005. DEF-029240A
1006. DEF-029255
1007. DEF-029277
1008. DEF-029277A
1009. DEF-029294
1010. DEF-029294A
1011. DEF-029308
1012. DEF-029308A
1013. DEF-029324
1014. DEF-029336
1015. DEF-029336A
1016. DEF-029357
1017. DEF-029398
1018. DEF-029398A
1019. DEF-029414
1020. DEF-029414A
1021. DEF-029422
1022. DEF-029422A
1023. DEF-029436
1024. DEF-029456
1025. DEF-029464
1026. DEF-029472
1027. DEF-029483
1028. DEF-029487
1029. DEF-029507
1030. DEF-029514
1031. DEF-029519
1032. DEF-029524
1033. DEF-029524A
1034. DEF-029528
1035. DEF-029537
1036. DEF-029543
1037. DEF-029564
1038. DEF-029564A
1039. DEF-029581
1040. DEF-029586
1041. DEF-029595
1042. DEF-029608
1043. DEF-029622
1044. DEF-029643
1045. DEF-029643A
1046. DEF-029657
1047. DEF-029666
1048. DEF-029675
1049. DEF-029688
1050. DEF-029688A
1051. DEF-029702
1052. DEF-029709
1053. DEF-029716
1054. DEF-029726
1055. DEF-029731
1056. DEF-029742

1057. DEF-029747
1058. DEF-029755
1059. DEF-029765
1060. DEF-029773
1061. DEF-029780
1062. DEF-029791
1063. DEF-029794
1064. DEF-029797
1065. DEF-029797A
1066. DEF-029814
1067. DEF-029830
1068. DEF-029841
1069. DEF-029854
1070. DEF-029861
1071. DEF-029867
1072. DEF-029867A
1073. DEF-029875
1074. DEF-029882
1075. DEF-029889
1076. DEF-029895
1077. DEF-029897
1078. DEF-029899
1079. DEF-029903
1080. DEF-029906
1081. DEF-029908
1082. DEF-029910
1083. DEF-029912
1084. DEF-029927
1085. DEF-029944
1086. DEF-029949
1087. DEF-029965
1088. DEF-029976
1089. DEF-029991
1090. DEF-030000
1091. DEF-030008
1092. DEF-030029
1093. DEF-030040
1094. DEF-030060
1095. DEF-030065
1096. DEF-030075
1097. DEF-030080
1098. DEF-030088
1099. DEF-030097
1100. DEF-030103
1101. DEF-030120
1102. DEF-030122
1103. DEF-030124
1104. DEF-030126
1105. DEF-030127
1106. DEF-030129
1107. DEF-030129A
1108. DEF-030140
1109. DEF-030160
1110. DEF-030181
1111. DEF-030206
1112. DEF-030228

1113. DEF-030238
1114. DEF-030251
1115. DEF-030251A
1116. DEF-030251B
1117. DEF-030277
1118. DEF-030284
1119. DEF-030305
1120. DEF-030313
1121. DEF-030319
1122. DEF-030334
1123. DEF-030374
1124. DEF-030381
1125. DEF-030405
1126. DEF-030405A
1127. DEF-030420
1128. DEF-030431
1129. DEF-030445
1130. DEF-030457
1131. DEF-030457A
1132. DEF-030481
1133. DEF-030499
1134. DEF-030522
1135. DEF-030536
1136. DEF-030549
1137. DEF-030559
1138. DEF-030574
1139. DEF-030586
1140. DEF-030595
1141. DEF-030605
1142. DEF-030614
1143. DEF-030622
1144. DEF-030640
1145. DEF-030794
1146. DEF-030803
1147. DEF-030817
1148. DEF-030825
1149. DEF-030833
1150. DEF-030854
1151. DEF-030873
1152. DEF-030883
1153. DEF-030895
1154. DEF-030913
1155. DEF-030935
1156. DEF-030947
1157. DEF-030953
1158. DEF-030967
1159. DEF-030996
1160. DEF-031009
1161. DEF-031020
1162. DEF-031043
1163. DEF-031043A
1164. DEF-031058A
1165. DEF-031077A
1166. DEF-031103A
1167. DEF-031103B
1168. DEF-031129A

1169. DEF-031166
1170. DEF-031177A
1171. DEF-031203A
1172. DEF-031203B
1173. DEF-031203C
1174. DEF-031219
1175. DEF-031230A.zip
1176. DEF-031251A
1177. DEF-031272A
1178. DEF-031285
1179. DEF-031285A
1180. DEF-031316
1181. DEF-031325
1182. DEF-031332
1183. DEF-031408
1184. DEF-031440
1185. DEF-031457
1186. DEF-031476
1187. DEF-031487
1188. DEF-031492
1189. DEF-031507
1190. DEF-031537
1191. DEF-031542
1192. DEF-031548
1193. DEF-031554
1194. DEF-031560
1195. DEF-031571
1196. DEF-031578
1197. DEF-031588
1198. DEF-031597
1199. DEF-031603
1200. DEF-031614
1201. DEF-031614A
1202. DEF-031630
1203. DEF-031640
1204. DEF-031646
1205. DEF-031660
1206. DEF-031678
1207. DEF-031698
1208. DEF-031702
1209. DEF-031715
1210. DEF-031720
1211. DEF-031724
1212. DEF-031753
1213. DEF-031763
1214. DEF-031768
1215. DEF-031776
1216. DEF-031784
1217. DEF-031799
1218. DEF-031817
1219. DEF-031823
1220. DEF-031840
1221. DEF-031845A
1222. DEF-031845B
1223. DEF-031856
1224. DEF-031877

- 1225. DEF-031883
- 1226. DEF-031957
- 1227. DEF-031980
- 1228. DEF-031994
- 1229. DEF-032000
- 1230. DEF-032013
- 1231. DEF-032021
- 1232. DEF-032080A
- 1233. DEF-032093
- 1234. DEF-032093A
- 1235. DEF-032133
- 1236. DEF-032140
- 1237. DEF-032151
- 1238. DEF-032182 - DEF-032241
- 1239. DEF-211317-DEF-212908
- 1240. MDOC-CON-00000001
- 1241. MDOC-CON-00000080
- 1242. MDOC-CON-00000163
- 1243. MDOC-CON-00000257
- 1244. MDOC-CON-00000298
- 1245. MDOC-CON-00000348
- 1246. MDOC-CON-00000412
- 1247. MDOC-CON-00000417
- 1248. MDOC-CON-00000423
- 1249. MDOC-CON-00000427
- 1250. MDOC-CON-00000441
- 1251. MDOC-CON-00000507
- 1252. MDOC-CON-00000565
- 1253. MDOC-CON-00000630
- 1254. MDOC-CON-00000696
- 1255. MDOC-CON-00000760
- 1256. MDOC-CON-00000824
- 1257. MDOC-CON-00000902
- 1258. MDOC-CON-00000972
- 1259. MDOC-CON-00000974
- 1260. MDOC-CON-00000977
- 1261. MDOC-CON-00000980
- 1262. MDOC-CON-00001001
- 1263. MDOC-CON-00001008
- 1264. MDOC-CON-00001014
- 1265. MDOC-CON-00001017
- 1266. MDOC-CON-00001032
- 1267. MDOC-CON-00001035
- 1268. MDOC-CON-00001043
- 1269. MDOC-CON-00001049
- 1270. MDOC-CON-00001050
- 1271. MDOC-CON-00001053
- 1272. MDOC-CON-00001055
- 1273. MDOC-CON-00001062
- 1274. MDOC-CON-00001064
- 1275. MDOC-CON-00001074
- 1276. MDOC-CON-00001077
- 1277. MDOC-CON-00001079
- 1278. MDOC-CON-00001082
- 1279. MDOC-CON-00001088
- 1280. MDOC-CON-00001091

1281. MDOC-CON-00001093
1282. MDOC-CON-00001094
1283. MDOC-CON-00001096
1284. MDOC-CON-00001105
1285. MDOC-CON-00001112
1286. MDOC-CON-00001114
1287. MDOC-CON-00001133
1288. MDOC-CON-00001135
1289. MDOC-CON-00001136
1290. MDOC-CON-00001139
1291. MDOC-CON-00001142
1292. MDOC-CON-00001148
1293. MDOC-CON-00001150
1294. MDOC-CON-00001153
1295. MDOC-CON-00001156
1296. MDOC-CON-00001189
1297. MDOC-CON-00001193
1298. MDOC-CON-00001196
1299. MDOC-CON-00001199
1300. MDOC-CON-00001202
1301. MDOC-CON-00001205
1302. MDOC-CON-00001209
1303. MDOC-CON-00001210
1304. MDOC-CON-00001211
1305. MDOC-CON-00001212
1306. MDOC-CON-00001213
1307. MDOC-CON-00001214
1308. MDOC-CON-00001221
1309. MDOC-CON-00001224
1310. MDOC-CON-00001226
1311. MDOC-CON-00001228
1312. MDOC-CON-00001229
1313. MDOC-CON-00001230
1314. MDOC-CON-00001236
1315. MDOC-CON-00001239
1316. MDOC-CON-00001242
1317. MDOC-CON-00001244
1318. MDOC-CON-00001246
1319. MDOC-CON-00001248
1320. MDOC-CON-00001249
1321. MDOC-CON-00001250
1322. MDOC-CON-00001251
1323. MDOC-CON-00001252
1324. MDOC-CON-00001254
1325. MDOC-CON-00001257
1326. MDOC-CON-00001262
1327. MDOC-CON-00001264
1328. MDOC-CON-00001273
1329. MDOC-CON-00001275
1330. MDOC-CON-00001278
1331. MDOC-CON-00001287
1332. MDOC-CON-00001292
1333. MDOC-CON-00001294
1334. MDOC-CON-00001297
1335. MDOC-CON-00001299
1336. MDOC-CON-00001302

1337. MDOC-CON-00001305
1338. MDOC-CON-00001310
1339. MDOC-CON-00001313
1340. MDOC-CON-00001316
1341. MDOC-CON-00001320
1342. MDOC-CON-00001323
1343. MDOC-CON-00001325
1344. MDOC-CON-00001328
1345. MDOC-CON-00001329
1346. MDOC-CON-00001330
1347. MDOC-CON-00001333
1348. MDOC-CON-00001334
1349. MDOC-CON-00001336
1350. MDOC-CON-00001339
1351. MDOC-CON-00001340
1352. MDOC-CON-00001341
1353. MDOC-CON-00001344
1354. MDOC-CON-00001346
1355. MDOC-CON-00001348
1356. MDOC-CON-00001349
1357. MDOC-CON-00001350
1358. MDOC-CON-00001352
1359. MDOC-CON-00001354
1360. MDOC-CON-00001355
1361. MDOC-CON-00001357
1362. MDOC-CON-00001359
1363. MDOC-CON-00001364
1364. MDOC-CON-00001365
1365. MDOC-CON-00001367
1366. MDOC-CON-00001368
1367. MDOC-CON-00001369
1368. MDOC-CON-00001372
1369. MDOC-CON-00001375
1370. MDOC-CON-00001378
1371. MDOC-CON-00001381
1372. MDOC-CON-00001384
1373. MDOC-CON-00001386
1374. MDOC-CON-00001389
1375. MDOC-CON-00001391
1376. MDOC-CON-00001402
1377. MDOC-CON-00001405
1378. MDOC-CON-00001408
1379. MDOC-CON-00001410
1380. MDOC-CON-00001413
1381. MDOC-CON-00001414
1382. MDOC-CON-00001415
1383. MDOC-CON-00001418
1384. MDOC-CON-00001421
1385. MDOC-CON-00001424
1386. MDOC-CON-00001426
1387. MDOC-CON-00001428
1388. MDOC-CON-00001429
1389. MDOC-CON-00001431
1390. MDOC-CON-00001433
1391. MDOC-CON-00001435
1392. MDOC-CON-00001436

1393. MDOC-CON-00001438
1394. MDOC-CON-00001440
1395. MDOC-CON-00001444
1396. MDOC-CON-00001454
1397. MDOC-CON-00001457
1398. MDOC-CON-00001460
1399. MDOC-CON-00001463
1400. MDOC-CON-00001465
1401. MDOC-CON-00001467
1402. MDOC-CON-00001468
1403. MDOC-CON-00001470
1404. MDOC-CON-00001473
1405. MDOC-CON-00001476
1406. MDOC-CON-00001479
1407. MDOC-CON-00001480
1408. MDOC-CON-00001482
1409. MDOC-CON-00001483
1410. MDOC-CON-00001485
1411. MDOC-CON-00001487
1412. MDOC-CON-00001490
1413. MDOC-CON-00001503
1414. MDOC-CON-00001504
1415. MDOC-CON-00001505
1416. MDOC-CON-00001507
1417. MDOC-CON-00001514
1418. MDOC-CON-00001518
1419. MDOC-CON-00001522
1420. MDOC-CON-00001537
1421. MDOC-CON-00001540
1422. MDOC-CON-00001542
1423. MDOC-CON-00001546
1424. MDOC-CON-00001550
1425. MDOC-CON-00001553
1426. MDOC-CON-00001556
1427. MDOC-CON-00001559
1428. MDOC-CON-00001562
1429. MDOC-CON-00001565
1430. MDOC-CON-00001568
1431. MDOC-CON-00001570
1432. MDOC-CON-00001574
1433. MDOC-CON-00001575
1434. MDOC-CON-00001576
1435. MDOC-CON-00001577
1436. MDOC-CON-00001579
1437. MDOC-CON-00001582
1438. MDOC-CON-00001583
1439. MDOC-CON-00001585
1440. MDOC-CON-00001589
1441. MDOC-CON-00001592
1442. MDOC-CON-00001595
1443. MDOC-CON-00001601
1444. MDOC-CON-00001602
1445. MDOC-CON-00001606
1446. MDOC-CON-00001607
1447. MDOC-CON-00001608
1448. MDOC-CON-00001609

1449. MDOC-CON-00001610
1450. MDOC-CON-00001611
1451. MDOC-CON-00001612
1452. MDOC-CON-00001613
1453. MDOC-CON-00001614
1454. MDOC-CON-00001615
1455. MDOC-CON-00001616
1456. MDOC-CON-00001617
1457. MDOC-CON-00001618
1458. MDOC-CON-00001619
1459. MDOC-CON-00001620
1460. MDOC-CON-00001621
1461. MDOC-CON-00001622
1462. MDOC-CON-00001624
1463. MDOC-CON-00001626
1464. MDOC-CON-00001628
1465. MDOC-CON-00001629
1466. MDOC-CON-00001631
1467. MDOC-CON-00001633
1468. MDOC-CON-00001634
1469. MDOC-CON-00001636
1470. MDOC-CON-00001640
1471. MDOC-CON-00001646
1472. MDOC-CON-00001647
1473. MDOC-CON-00001649
1474. MDOC-CON-00001651
1475. MDOC-CON-00001654
1476. MDOC-CON-00001657
1477. MDOC-CON-00001660
1478. MDOC-CON-00001663
1479. MDOC-CON-00001666
1480. MDOC-CON-00001669
1481. MDOC-CON-00001672
1482. MDOC-CON-00001673
1483. MDOC-CON-00001674
1484. MDOC-CON-00001675
1485. MDOC-CON-00001678
1486. MDOC-CON-00001680
1487. MDOC-CON-00001683
1488. MDOC-CON-00001684
1489. MDOC-CON-00001687
1490. MDOC-CON-00001690
1491. MDOC-CON-00001693
1492. MDOC-CON-00001696
1493. MDOC-CON-00001702
1494. MDOC-CON-00001704
1495. MDOC-CON-00001708
1496. MDOC-CON-00001719
1497. MDOC-CON-00001721
1498. MDOC-CON-00001724
1499. MDOC-CON-00001727
1500. MDOC-CON-00001729
1501. MDOC-CON-00001730
1502. MDOC-CON-00001731
1503. MDOC-CON-00001732
1504. MDOC-CON-00001735

1505. MDOC-CON-00001736
1506. MDOC-CON-00001737
1507. MDOC-CON-00001741
1508. MDOC-CON-00001743
1509. MDOC-CON-00001746
1510. MDOC-CON-00001748
1511. MDOC-CON-00001751
1512. MDOC-CON-00001752
1513. MDOC-CON-00001754
1514. MDOC-CON-00001755
1515. MDOC-CON-00001757
1516. MDOC-CON-00001758
1517. MDOC-CON-00001759
1518. MDOC-CON-00001760
1519. MDOC-CON-00001763
1520. MDOC-CON-00001764
1521. MDOC-CON-00001765
1522. MDOC-CON-00001767
1523. MDOC-CON-00001776
1524. MDOC-CON-00001777
1525. MDOC-CON-00001780
1526. MDOC-CON-00001785
1527. MDOC-CON-00001789
1528. MDOC-CON-00001790
1529. MDOC-CON-00001796
1530. MDOC-CON-00001798
1531. MDOC-CON-00001801
1532. MDOC-CON-00001804
1533. MDOC-CON-00001806
1534. MDOC-CON-00001809
1535. MDOC-CON-00001812
1536. MDOC-CON-00001816
1537. MDOC-CON-00001820
1538. MDOC-CON-00001821
1539. MDOC-CON-00001823
1540. MDOC-CON-00001825
1541. MDOC-CON-00001827
1542. MDOC-CON-00001828
1543. MDOC-CON-00001829
1544. MDOC-CON-00001833
1545. MDOC-CON-00001836
1546. MDOC-CON-00001839
1547. MDOC-CON-00001840
1548. MDOC-CON-00001841
1549. MDOC-CON-00001843
1550. MDOC-CON-00001845
1551. MDOC-CON-00001847
1552. MDOC-CON-00001850
1553. MDOC-CON-00001852
1554. MDOC-CON-00001855
1555. MDOC-CON-00001857
1556. MDOC-CON-00001859
1557. MDOC-CON-00001862
1558. MDOC-CON-00001865
1559. MDOC-CON-00001870
1560. MDOC-CON-00001871

1561. MDOC-CON-00001873
1562. MDOC-CON-00001874
1563. MDOC-CON-00001875
1564. MDOC-CON-00001877
1565. MDOC-CON-00001881
1566. MDOC-CON-00001882
1567. MDOC-CON-00001883
1568. MDOC-CON-00001884
1569. MDOC-CON-00001887
1570. MDOC-CON-00001890
1571. MDOC-CON-00001892
1572. MDOC-CON-00001896
1573. MDOC-CON-00001898
1574. MDOC-CON-00001899
1575. MDOC-CON-00001901
1576. MDOC-CON-00001904
1577. MDOC-CON-00001909
1578. MDOC-CON-00001913
1579. MDOC-CON-00001916
1580. MDOC-CON-00001919
1581. MDOC-CON-00001922
1582. MDOC-CON-00001925
1583. MDOC-CON-00001929
1584. MDOC-CON-00001930
1585. MDOC-CON-00001931
1586. MDOC-CON-00001933
1587. MDOC-CON-00001934
1588. MDOC-CON-00001938
1589. MDOC-CON-00001939
1590. MDOC-CON-00001941
1591. MDOC-CON-00001944
1592. MDOC-CON-00001945
1593. MDOC-CON-00001946
1594. MDOC-CON-00001948
1595. MDOC-CON-00001951
1596. MDOC-CON-00001954
1597. MDOC-CON-00001956
1598. MDOC-CON-00001959
1599. MDOC-CON-00001961
1600. MDOC-CON-00001965
1601. MDOC-CON-00001968
1602. MDOC-CON-00001971
1603. MDOC-CON-00001977
1604. MDOC-CON-00001978
1605. MDOC-CON-00001981
1606. MDOC-CON-00001982
1607. MDOC-CON-00001984
1608. MDOC-CON-00001987
1609. MDOC-CON-00001988
1610. MDOC-CON-00001991
1611. MDOC-CON-00001994
1612. MDOC-CON-00001995
1613. MDOC-CON-00001996
1614. MDOC-CON-00001997
1615. MDOC-CON-00001998
1616. MDOC-CON-00002001

1617. MDOC-CON-00002003
1618. MDOC-CON-00002006
1619. MDOC-CON-00002011
1620. MDOC-CON-00002013
1621. MDOC-CON-00002015
1622. MDOC-CON-00002018
1623. MDOC-CON-00002020
1624. MDOC-CON-00002023
1625. MDOC-CON-00002024
1626. MDOC-CON-00002026
1627. MDOC-CON-00002029
1628. MDOC-CON-00002030
1629. MDOC-CON-00002034
1630. MDOC-CON-00002037
1631. MDOC-CON-00002038
1632. MDOC-CON-00002042
1633. MDOC-CON-00002044
1634. MDOC-CON-00002047
1635. MDOC-CON-00002050
1636. MDOC-CON-00002054
1637. MDOC-CON-00002056
1638. MDOC-CON-00002059
1639. MDOC-CON-00002062
1640. MDOC-CON-00002065
1641. MDOC-CON-00002068
1642. MDOC-CON-00002071
1643. MDOC-CON-00002074
1644. MDOC-CON-00002084
1645. MDOC-CON-00002087
1646. MDOC-CON-00002088
1647. MDOC-CON-00002092
1648. MDOC-CON-00002094
1649. MDOC-CON-00002096
1650. MDOC-CON-00002098
1651. MDOC-CON-00002099
1652. MDOC-CON-00002100
1653. MDOC-CON-00002102
1654. MDOC-CON-00002104
1655. MDOC-CON-00002109
1656. MDOC-CON-00002110
1657. MDOC-CON-00002111
1658. MDOC-CON-00002112
1659. MDOC-CON-00002113
1660. MDOC-CON-00002117
1661. MDOC-CON-00002118
1662. MDOC-CON-00002122
1663. MDOC-CON-00002124
1664. MDOC-CON-00002125
1665. MDOC-CON-00002127
1666. MDOC-CON-00002130
1667. MDOC-CON-00002132
1668. MDOC-CON-00002133
1669. MDOC-CON-00002135
1670. MDOC-CON-00002136
1671. MDOC-CON-00002138
1672. MDOC-CON-00002140

1673. MDOC-CON-00002142
1674. MDOC-CON-00002143
1675. MDOC-CON-00002145
1676. MDOC-CON-00002147
1677. MDOC-CON-00002149
1678. MDOC-CON-00002151
1679. MDOC-CON-00002152
1680. MDOC-CON-00002153
1681. MDOC-CON-00002155
1682. MDOC-CON-00002157
1683. MDOC-CON-00002158
1684. MDOC-CON-00002159
1685. MDOC-CON-00002161
1686. MDOC-CON-00002162
1687. MDOC-CON-00002164
1688. MDOC-CON-00002166
1689. MDOC-CON-00002168
1690. MDOC-CON-00002169
1691. MDOC-CON-00002171
1692. MDOC-CON-00002177
1693. MDOC-CON-00002180
1694. MDOC-CON-00002182
1695. MDOC-CON-00002188
1696. MDOC-CON-00002191
1697. MDOC-CON-00002192
1698. MDOC-CON-00002193
1699. MDOC-CON-00002194
1700. MDOC-CON-00002195
1701. MDOC-CON-00002196
1702. MDOC-CON-00002200
1703. MDOC-CON-00002202
1704. MDOC-CON-00002204
1705. MDOC-CON-00002212
1706. MDOC-CON-00002214
1707. MDOC-CON-00002215
1708. MDOC-CON-00002228
1709. MDOC-CON-00002232
1710. MDOC-CON-00002234
1711. MDOC-CON-00002236
1712. MDOC-CON-00002238
1713. MDOC-CON-00002240
1714. MDOC-CON-00002242
1715. MDOC-CON-00002247
1716. MDOC-CON-00002250
1717. MDOC-CON-00002252
1718. MDOC-CON-00002255
1719. MDOC-CON-00002257
1720. MDOC-CON-00002259
1721. MDOC-CON-00002261
1722. MDOC-CON-00002263
1723. MDOC-CON-00002265
1724. MDOC-CON-00002267
1725. MDOC-CON-00002270
1726. MDOC-CON-00002272
1727. MDOC-CON-00002274
1728. MDOC-CON-00002278

1729. MDOC-CON-00002279
1730. MDOC-CON-00002283
1731. MDOC-CON-00002284
1732. MDOC-CON-00002291
1733. MDOC-CON-00002293
1734. MDOC-CON-00002295
1735. MDOC-CON-00002298
1736. MDOC-CON-00002299
1737. MDOC-CON-00002301
1738. MDOC-CON-00002303
1739. MDOC-CON-00002304
1740. MDOC-CON-00002316
1741. MDOC-CON-00002329
1742. MDOC-CON-00002335
1743. MDOC-CON-00002339
1744. MDOC-CON-00002340
1745. MDOC-CON-00002344
1746. MDOC-CON-00002346
1747. MDOC-CON-00002350
1748. MDOC-CON-00002354
1749. MDOC-CON-00002358
1750. MDOC-CON-00002360
1751. MDOC-CON-00002365
1752. MDOC-CON-00002371
1753. MDOC-CON-00002375
1754. MDOC-CON-00002376
1755. MDOC-CON-00002379
1756. MDOC-CON-00002381
1757. MDOC-CON-00002383
1758. MDOC-CON-00002387
1759. MDOC-CON-00002389
1760. MDOC-CON-00002393
1761. MDOC-CON-00002396
1762. MDOC-CON-00002398
1763. MDOC-CON-00002401
1764. MDOC-CON-00002404
1765. MDOC-CON-00002407
1766. MDOC-CON-00002412
1767. MDOC-CON-00002418
1768. MDOC-CON-00002420
1769. MDOC-CON-00002422
1770. MDOC-CON-00002426
1771. MDOC-CON-00002428
1772. MDOC-CON-00002437
1773. MDOC-CON-00002440
1774. MDOC-CON-00002443
1775. MDOC-CON-00002444
1776. MDOC-CON-00002447
1777. MDOC-CON-00002450
1778. MDOC-CON-00002461
1779. MDOC-CON-00002467
1780. MDOC-CON-00002470
1781. MDOC-CON-00002474
1782. MDOC-CON-00002483
1783. MDOC-CON-00002488
1784. MDOC-CON-00002489

1785. MDOC-CON-00002492
1786. MDOC-CON-00002494
1787. MDOC-CON-00002495
1788. MDOC-CON-00002496
1789. MDOC-CON-00002498
1790. MDOC-CON-00002499
1791. MDOC-CON-00002508
1792. MDOC-CON-00002509
1793. MDOC-CON-00002511
1794. MDOC-CON-00002513
1795. MDOC-CON-00002514
1796. MDOC-CON-00002518
1797. MDOC-CON-00002520
1798. MDOC-CON-00002522
1799. MDOC-CON-00002524
1800. MDOC-CON-00002526
1801. MDOC-CON-00002527
1802. MDOC-CON-00002528
1803. MDOC-CON-00002530
1804. MDOC-CON-00002534
1805. MDOC-CON-00002536
1806. MDOC-CON-00002537
1807. MDOC-CON-00002538
1808. MDOC-CON-00002539
1809. MDOC-CON-00002540
1810. MDOC-CON-00002541
1811. MDOC-CON-00002542
1812. MDOC-CON-00002543
1813. MDOC-CON-00002544
1814. MDOC-CON-00002545
1815. MDOC-CON-00002546
1816. MDOC-CON-00002547
1817. MDOC-CON-00002554
1818. MDOC-CON-00002555
1819. MDOC-CON-00002559
1820. MDOC-CON-00002561
1821. MDOC-CON-00002563
1822. MDOC-CON-00002568
1823. MDOC-CON-00002570
1824. MDOC-CON-00002575
1825. MDOC-CON-00002581
1826. MDOC-CON-00002583
1827. MDOC-CON-00002588
1828. MDOC-CON-00002595
1829. MDOC-CON-00002600
1830. MDOC-CON-00002601
1831. MDOC-CON-00002602
1832. MDOC-CON-00002603
1833. MDOC-CON-00002605
1834. MDOC-CON-00002606
1835. MDOC-CON-00002612
1836. MDOC-CON-00002617
1837. MDOC-CON-00002624
1838. MDOC-CON-00002625
1839. MDOC-CON-00002627
1840. MDOC-CON-00002630

1841. MDOC-CON-00002635
1842. MDOC-CON-00002638
1843. MDOC-CON-00002641
1844. MDOC-CON-00002646
1845. MDOC-CON-00002647
1846. MDOC-CON-00002648
1847. MDOC-CON-00002649
1848. MDOC-CON-00002654
1849. MDOC-CON-00002658
1850. MDOC-CON-00002659
1851. MDOC-CON-00002660
1852. MDOC-CON-00002661
1853. MDOC-CON-00002662
1854. MDOC-CON-00002663
1855. MDOC-CON-00002664
1856. MDOC-CON-00002665
1857. MDOC-CON-00002666
1858. MDOC-CON-00002667
1859. MDOC-CON-00002668
1860. MDOC-CON-00002669
1861. MDOC-CON-00002670
1862. MDOC-CON-00002671
1863. MDOC-CON-00002672
1864. MDOC-CON-00002673
1865. MDOC-CON-00002674
1866. MDOC-CON-00002675
1867. MDOC-CON-00002676
1868. MDOC-CON-00002677
1869. MDOC-CON-00002678
1870. MDOC-CON-00002679
1871. MDOC-CON-00002680
1872. MDOC-CON-00002682
1873. MDOC-CON-00002685
1874. MDOC-CON-00002686
1875. MDOC-CON-00002690
1876. MDOC-CON-00002691
1877. MDOC-CON-00002693
1878. MDOC-CON-00002695
1879. MDOC-CON-00002699
1880. MDOC-CON-00002701
1881. MDOC-CON-00002702
1882. MDOC-CON-00002703
1883. MDOC-CON-00002704
1884. MDOC-CON-00002706
1885. MDOC-CON-00002708
1886. MDOC-CON-00002710
1887. MDOC-CON-00002712
1888. MDOC-CON-00002715
1889. MDOC-CON-00002717
1890. MDOC-CON-00002725
1891. MDOC-CON-00002729
1892. MDOC-CON-00002731
1893. MDOC-CON-00002733
1894. MDOC-CON-00002737
1895. MDOC-CON-00002740
1896. MDOC-CON-00002741

1897. MDOC-CON-00002743
1898. MDOC-CON-00002744
1899. MDOC-CON-00002745
1900. MDOC-CON-00002746
1901. MDOC-CON-00002747
1902. MDOC-CON-00002748
1903. MDOC-CON-00002749
1904. MDOC-CON-00002750
1905. MDOC-CON-00002752
1906. MDOC-CON-00002754
1907. MDOC-CON-00002756
1908. MDOC-CON-00002758
1909. MDOC-CON-00002760
1910. MDOC-CON-00002762
1911. MDOC-CON-00002766
1912. MDOC-CON-00002769
1913. MDOC-CON-00002771
1914. MDOC-CON-00002782
1915. MDOC-CON-00002799
1916. MDOC-CON-00002802
1917. MDOC-CON-00002803
1918. MDOC-CON-00002805
1919. MDOC-CON-00002806
1920. MDOC-CON-00002807
1921. MDOC-CON-00002808
1922. MDOC-CON-00002809
1923. MDOC-CON-00002810
1924. MDOC-CON-00002811
1925. MDOC-CON-00002812
1926. MDOC-CON-00002813
1927. MDOC-CON-00002814
1928. MDOC-CON-00002815
1929. MDOC-CON-00002816
1930. MDOC-CON-00002817
1931. MDOC-CON-00002818
1932. MDOC-CON-00002819
1933. MDOC-CON-00002820
1934. MDOC-CON-00002821
1935. MDOC-CON-00002822
1936. MDOC-CON-00002823
1937. MDOC-CON-00002824
1938. MDOC-CON-00002825
1939. MDOC-CON-00002826
1940. MDOC-CON-00002827
1941. MDOC-CON-00002828
1942. MDOC-CON-00002829
1943. MDOC-CON-00002830
1944. MDOC-CON-00002842
1945. MDOC-CON-00002844
1946. MDOC-CON-00002846
1947. MDOC-CON-00002848
1948. MDOC-CON-00002850
1949. MDOC-CON-00002852
1950. MDOC-CON-00002854
1951. MDOC-CON-00002856
1952. MDOC-CON-00002858

1953. MDOC-CON-00002860
1954. MDOC-CON-00002862
1955. MDOC-CON-00002864
1956. MDOC-CON-00002868
1957. MDOC-CON-00002870
1958. MDOC-CON-00002871
1959. MDOC-CON-00002872
1960. MDOC-CON-00002874
1961. MDOC-CON-00002875
1962. MDOC-CON-00002884
1963. MDOC-CON-00002885
1964. MDOC-CON-00002887
1965. MDOC-CON-00002889
1966. MDOC-CON-00002890
1967. MDOC-CON-00002892
1968. MDOC-CON-00002893
1969. MDOC-CON-00002897
1970. MDOC-CON-00002899
1971. MDOC-CON-00002901
1972. MDOC-CON-00002903
1973. MDOC-CON-00002904
1974. MDOC-CON-00002906
1975. MDOC-CON-00002913
1976. MDOC-CON-00002915
1977. MDOC-CON-00002917
1978. MDOC-CON-00002927
1979. MDOC-CON-00002933
1980. MDOC-CON-00002934
1981. MDOC-CON-00002936
1982. MDOC-CON-00002937
1983. MDOC-CON-00002947
1984. MDOC-CON-00002949
1985. MDOC-CON-00002952
1986. MDOC-CON-00002957
1987. MDOC-CON-00002958
1988. MDOC-CON-00002959
1989. MDOC-CON-00002960
1990. MDOC-CON-00002968
1991. MDOC-CON-00002970
1992. MDOC-CON-00002972
1993. MDOC-CON-00002973
1994. MDOC-CON-00002974
1995. MDOC-CON-00002978
1996. MDOC-CON-00002979
1997. MDOC-CON-00002981
1998. MDOC-CON-00002983
1999. MDOC-CON-00003005
2000. MDOC-CON-00003008
2001. MDOC-CON-00003011
2002. MDOC-CON-00003012
2003. MDOC-CON-00003015
2004. MDOC-CON-00003017
2005. MDOC-CON-00003020
2006. MDOC-CON-00003021
2007. MDOC-CON-00003023
2008. MDOC-CON-00003025

2009. MDOC-CON-00003027
2010. MDOC-CON-00003030
2011. MDOC-CON-00003040
2012. MDOC-CON-00003043
2013. MDOC-CON-00003046
2014. MDOC-CON-00003048
2015. MDOC-CON-00003050
2016. MDOC-CON-00003056
2017. MDOC-CON-00003058
2018. MDOC-CON-00003059
2019. MDOC-CON-00003061
2020. MDOC-CON-00003063
2021. MDOC-CON-00003065
2022. MDOC-CON-00003068
2023. MDOC-CON-00003071
2024. MDOC-CON-00003072
2025. MDOC-CON-00003075
2026. MDOC-CON-00003078
2027. MDOC-CON-00003081
2028. MDOC-CON-00003082
2029. MDOC-CON-00003084
2030. MDOC-CON-00003086
2031. MDOC-CON-00003089
2032. MDOC-CON-00003091
2033. MDOC-CON-00003094
2034. MDOC-CON-00003096
2035. MDOC-CON-00003100
2036. MDOC-CON-00003101
2037. MDOC-CON-00003102
2038. MDOC-CON-00003103
2039. MDOC-CON-00003104
2040. MDOC-CON-00003105
2041. MDOC-CON-00003107
2042. MDOC-CON-00003109
2043. MDOC-CON-00003110
2044. MDOC-CON-00003111
2045. MDOC-CON-00003112
2046. MDOC-CON-00003115
2047. MDOC-CON-00003117
2048. MDOC-CON-00003120
2049. MDOC-CON-00003123
2050. MDOC-CON-00003125
2051. MDOC-CON-00003128
2052. MDOC-CON-00003131
2053. MDOC-CON-00003133
2054. MDOC-CON-00003139
2055. MDOC-CON-00003141
2056. MDOC-CON-00003144
2057. MDOC-CON-00003147
2058. MDOC-CON-00003150
2059. MDOC-CON-00003151
2060. MDOC-CON-00003152
2061. MDOC-CON-00003153
2062. MDOC-CON-00003155
2063. MDOC-CON-00003157
2064. MDOC-CON-00003160

2065. MDOC-CON-00003162
2066. MDOC-CON-00003163
2067. MDOC-CON-00003168
2068. MDOC-CON-00003169
2069. MDOC-CON-00003172
2070. MDOC-CON-00003178
2071. MDOC-CON-00003180
2072. MDOC-CON-00003181
2073. MDOC-CON-00003184
2074. MDOC-CON-00003186
2075. MDOC-CON-00003191
2076. MDOC-CON-00003198
2077. MDOC-CON-00003200
2078. MDOC-CON-00003203
2079. MDOC-CON-00003206
2080. MDOC-CON-00003211
2081. MDOC-CON-00003213
2082. MDOC-CON-00003216
2083. MDOC-CON-00003218
2084. MDOC-CON-00003221
2085. MDOC-CON-00003224
2086. MDOC-CON-00003228
2087. MDOC-CON-00003231
2088. MDOC-CON-00003234
2089. MDOC-CON-00003235
2090. MDOC-CON-00003238
2091. MDOC-CON-00003239
2092. MDOC-CON-00003240
2093. MDOC-CON-00003241
2094. MDOC-CON-00003242
2095. MDOC-CON-00003243
2096. MDOC-CON-00003244
2097. MDOC-CON-00003245
2098. MDOC-CON-00003246
2099. MDOC-CON-00003247
2100. MDOC-CON-00003248
2101. MDOC-CON-00003249
2102. MDOC-CON-00003250
2103. MDOC-CON-00003252
2104. MDOC-CON-00003254
2105. MDOC-CON-00003258
2106. MDOC-CON-00003260
2107. MDOC-CON-00003262
2108. MDOC-CON-00003277
2109. MDOC-CON-00003284
2110. MDOC-CON-00003289
2111. MDOC-CON-00003290
2112. MDOC-CON-00003291
2113. MDOC-CON-00003292
2114. MDOC-CON-00003294
2115. MDOC-CON-00003296
2116. MDOC-CON-00003301
2117. MDOC-CON-00003303
2118. MDOC-CON-00003305
2119. MDOC-CON-00003309
2120. MDOC-CON-00003314

2121. MDOC-CON-00003316
2122. MDOC-CON-00003322
2123. MDOC-CON-00003323
2124. MDOC-CON-00003338
2125. MDOC-CON-00003339
2126. MDOC-CON-00003341
2127. MDOC-CON-00003344
2128. MDOC-CON-00003350
2129. MDOC-CON-00003352
2130. MDOC-CON-00003355
2131. MDOC-CON-00003358
2132. MDOC-CON-00003360
2133. MDOC-CON-00003364
2134. MDOC-CON-00003385
2135. MDOC-CON-00003393
2136. MDOC-CON-00003402
2137. MDOC-CON-00003405
2138. MDOC-CON-00003408
2139. MDOC-CON-00003411
2140. MDOC-CON-00003414
2141. MDOC-CON-00003418
2142. MDOC-CON-00003422
2143. MDOC-CON-00003431
2144. MDOC-CON-00003434
2145. MDOC-CON-00003436
2146. MDOC-CON-00003443
2147. MDOC-CON-00003447
2148. MDOC-CON-00003452
2149. MDOC-CON-00003454
2150. MDOC-CON-00003456
2151. MDOC-CON-00003467
2152. MDOC-CON-00003471
2153. MDOC-CON-00003475
2154. MDOC-CON-00003477
2155. MDOC-CON-00003480
2156. MDOC-CON-00003482
2157. MDOC-CON-00003485
2158. MDOC-CON-00003489
2159. MDOC-CON-00003495
2160. MDOC-CON-00003499
2161. MDOC-CON-00003516
2162. MDOC-CON-00003520
2163. MDOC-CON-00003522
2164. MDOC-CON-00003529
2165. MDOC-CON-00003530
2166. MDOC-CON-00003534
2167. MDOC-CON-00003537
2168. MDOC-CON-00003538
2169. MDOC-CON-00003545
2170. MDOC-CON-00003549
2171. MDOC-CON-00003554
2172. MDOC-CON-00003555
2173. MDOC-CON-00003567
2174. MDOC-CON-00003569
2175. MDOC-CON-00003572
2176. MDOC-CON-00003575

2177. MDOC-CON-00003578
2178. MDOC-CON-00003580
2179. MDOC-CON-00003588
2180. MDOC-CON-00003593
2181. MDOC-CON-00003599
2182. MDOC-CON-00003600
2183. MDOC-CON-00003602
2184. MDOC-CON-00003606
2185. MDOC-CON-00003608
2186. MDOC-CON-00003610
2187. MDOC-CON-00003611
2188. MDOC-CON-00003612
2189. MDOC-CON-00003614
2190. MDOC-CON-00003615
2191. MDOC-CON-00003619
2192. MDOC-CON-00003623
2193. MDOC-CON-00003624
2194. MDOC-CON-00003627
2195. MDOC-CON-00003633
2196. MDOC-CON-00003636
2197. MDOC-CON-00003638
2198. MDOC-CON-00003640
2199. MDOC-CON-00003644
2200. MDOC-CON-00003648
2201. MDOC-CON-00003668
2202. MDOC-CON-00003669
2203. MDOC-CON-00003670
2204. MDOC-CON-00003676
2205. MDOC-CON-00003678
2206. MDOC-CON-00003681
2207. MDOC-CON-00003684
2208. MDOC-CON-00003692
2209. MDOC-CON-00003694
2210. MDOC-CON-00003696
2211. MDOC-CON-00003700
2212. MDOC-CON-00003702
2213. MDOC-CON-00003705
2214. MDOC-CON-00003706
2215. MDOC-CON-00003752
2216. MDOC-CON-00003755
2217. MDOC-CON-00003759
2218. MDOC-CON-00003761
2219. MDOC-CON-00003763
2220. MDOC-CON-00003772
2221. MDOC-CON-00003773
2222. MDOC-CON-00003779
2223. MDOC-CON-00003780
2224. MDOC-CON-00003796
2225. MDOC-CON-00003814
2226. MDOC-CON-00003833
2227. MDOC-CON-00003837
2228. MDOC-CON-00003840
2229. MDOC-CON-00003842
2230. MDOC-CON-00003844
2231. MDOC-CON-00003845
2232. MDOC-CON-00003847

2233. MDOC-CON-00003851
2234. MDOC-CON-00003852
2235. MDOC-CON-00003857
2236. MDOC-CON-00003859
2237. MDOC-CON-00003863
2238. MDOC-CON-00003865
2239. MDOC-CON-00003866
2240. MDOC-CON-00003867
2241. MDOC-CON-00003869
2242. MDOC-CON-00003870
2243. MDOC-CON-00003873
2244. MDOC-CON-00003874
2245. MDOC-CON-00003876
2246. MDOC-CON-00003879
2247. MDOC-CON-00003882
2248. MDOC-CON-00003883
2249. MDOC-CON-00003891
2250. MDOC-CON-00003897
2251. MDOC-CON-00003899
2252. MDOC-CON-00003907
2253. MDOC-CON-00003908
2254. MDOC-CON-00003912
2255. MDOC-CON-00003913
2256. MDOC-CON-00003915
2257. MDOC-CON-00003930
2258. MDOC-CON-00003931
2259. MDOC-CON-00003938
2260. MDOC-CON-00003940
2261. MDOC-CON-00003945
2262. MDOC-CON-00003951
2263. MDOC-CON-00003962
2264. MDOC-CON-00003965
2265. MDOC-CON-00003966
2266. MDOC-CON-00003970
2267. MDOC-CON-00003974
2268. MDOC-CON-00003976
2269. MDOC-CON-00003978
2270. MDOC-CON-00003987
2271. MDOC-CON-00003992
2272. MDOC-CON-00003994
2273. MDOC-CON-00003997
2274. MDOC-CON-00004028
2275. MDOC-CON-00004029
2276. MDOC-CON-00004035
2277. MDOC-CON-00004036
2278. MDOC-CON-00004098
2279. MDOC-CON-00004130
2280. MDOC-CON-00004133
2281. MDOC-CON-00004134
2282. MDOC-CON-00004136
2283. MDOC-CON-00004140
2284. MDOC-CON-00004143
2285. MDOC-CON-00004146
2286. MDOC-CON-00004148
2287. MDOC-CON-00004151
2288. MDOC-CON-00004153

2289. MDOC-CON-00004154
2290. MDOC-CON-00004156
2291. MDOC-CON-00004158
2292. MDOC-CON-00004161
2293. MDOC-CON-00004163
2294. MDOC-CON-00004165
2295. MDOC-CON-00004167
2296. MDOC-CON-00004168
2297. MDOC-CON-00004169
2298. MDOC-CON-00004172
2299. MDOC-CON-00004175
2300. MDOC-CON-00004178
2301. MDOC-CON-00004180
2302. MDOC-CON-00004183
2303. MDOC-CON-00004186
2304. MDOC-CON-00004193
2305. MDOC-CON-00004194
2306. MDOC-CON-00004197
2307. MDOC-CON-00004202
2308. MDOC-CON-00004209
2309. MDOC-CON-00004211
2310. MDOC-CON-00004214
2311. MDOC-CON-00004218
2312. MDOC-CON-00004221
2313. MDOC-CON-00004225
2314. MDOC-CON-00004228
2315. MDOC-CON-00004231
2316. MDOC-CON-00004233
2317. MDOC-CON-00004235
2318. MDOC-CON-00004237
2319. MDOC-CON-00004238
2320. MDOC-CON-00004243
2321. MDOC-CON-00004244
2322. MDOC-CON-00004257
2323. MDOC-CON-00004260
2324. MDOC-CON-00004263
2325. MDOC-CON-00004266
2326. MDOC-CON-00004269
2327. MDOC-CON-00004271
2328. MDOC-CON-00004273
2329. MDOC-CON-00004275
2330. MDOC-CON-00004276
2331. MDOC-CON-00004277
2332. MDOC-CON-00004279
2333. MDOC-CON-00004287
2334. MDOC-CON-00004289
2335. MDOC-CON-00004290
2336. MDOC-CON-00004291
2337. MDOC-CON-00004294
2338. MDOC-CON-00004298
2339. MDOC-CON-00004301
2340. MDOC-CON-00004302
2341. MDOC-CON-00004305
2342. MDOC-CON-00004308
2343. MDOC-CON-00004309
2344. MDOC-CON-00004311

2345. MDOC-CON-00004313
2346. MDOC-CON-00004315
2347. MDOC-CON-00004317
2348. MDOC-CON-00004319
2349. MDOC-CON-00004323
2350. MDOC-CON-00004327
2351. MDOC-CON-00004344
2352. MDOC-CON-00004347
2353. MDOC-CON-00004350
2354. MDOC-CON-00004356
2355. MDOC-CON-00004360
2356. MDOC-CON-00004361
2357. MDOC-CON-00004363
2358. MDOC-CON-00004367
2359. MDOC-CON-00004369
2360. MDOC-CON-00004371
2361. MDOC-CON-00004372
2362. MDOC-CON-00004375
2363. MDOC-CON-00004378
2364. MDOC-CON-00004380
2365. MDOC-CON-00004382
2366. MDOC-CON-00004384
2367. MDOC-CON-00004386
2368. MDOC-CON-00004388
2369. MDOC-CON-00004390
2370. MDOC-CON-00004392
2371. MDOC-CON-00004394
2372. MDOC-CON-00004396
2373. MDOC-CON-00004398
2374. MDOC-CON-00004400
2375. MDOC-CON-00004402
2376. MDOC-CON-00004404
2377. MDOC-CON-00004406
2378. MDOC-CON-00004408
2379. MDOC-CON-00004410
2380. MDOC-CON-00004412
2381. MDOC-CON-00004414
2382. MDOC-CON-00004416
2383. MDOC-CON-00004417
2384. MDOC-CON-00004419
2385. MDOC-CON-00004427
2386. MDOC-CON-00004428
2387. MDOC-CON-00004429
2388. MDOC-CON-00004433
2389. MDOC-CON-00004437
2390. MDOC-CON-00004438
2391. MDOC-CON-00004442
2392. MDOC-CON-00004446
2393. MDOC-CON-00004457
2394. MDOC-CON-00004459
2395. MDOC-CON-00004463
2396. MDOC-CON-00004465
2397. MDOC-CON-00004467
2398. MDOC-CON-00004469
2399. MDOC-CON-00004470
2400. MDOC-CON-00004472

2401. MDOC-CON-00004475
2402. MDOC-CON-00004477
2403. MDOC-CON-00004478
2404. MDOC-CON-00004480
2405. MDOC-CON-00004482
2406. MDOC-CON-00004488
2407. MDOC-CON-00004493
2408. MDOC-CON-00004501
2409. MDOC-CON-00004503
2410. MDOC-CON-00004505
2411. MDOC-CON-00004507
2412. MDOC-CON-00004510
2413. MDOC-CON-00004511
2414. MDOC-CON-00004513
2415. MDOC-CON-00004516
2416. MDOC-CON-00004518
2417. MDOC-CON-00004520
2418. MDOC-CON-00004526
2419. MDOC-CON-00004528
2420. MDOC-CON-00004529
2421. MDOC-CON-00004530
2422. MDOC-CON-00004532
2423. MDOC-CON-00004534
2424. MDOC-CON-00004536
2425. MDOC-CON-00004544
2426. MDOC-CON-00004546
2427. MDOC-CON-00004548
2428. MDOC-CON-00004549
2429. MDOC-CON-00004550
2430. MDOC-CON-00004552
2431. MDOC-CON-00004554
2432. MDOC-CON-00004559
2433. MDOC-CON-00004561
2434. MDOC-CON-00004562
2435. MDOC-CON-00004564
2436. MDOC-CON-00004566
2437. MDOC-CON-00004568
2438. MDOC-CON-00004569
2439. MDOC-CON-00004571
2440. MDOC-CON-00004572
2441. MDOC-CON-00004612
2442. MDOC-CON-00004618
2443. MDOC-CON-00004621
2444. MDOC-CON-00004622
2445. MDOC-CON-00004624
2446. MDOC-CON-00004627
2447. MDOC-CON-00004628
2448. MDOC-CON-00004632
2449. MDOC-CON-00004634
2450. MDOC-CON-00004635
2451. MDOC-CON-00004644
2452. MDOC-CON-00004660
2453. MDOC-CON-00004664
2454. MDOC-CON-00004668
2455. MDOC-CON-00004670
2456. MDOC-CON-00004672

2457. MDOC-CON-00004674
2458. MDOC-CON-00004676
2459. MDOC-CON-00004681
2460. MDOC-CON-00004682
2461. MDOC-CON-00004684
2462. MDOC-CON-00004687
2463. MDOC-CON-00004689
2464. MDOC-CON-00004691
2465. MDOC-CON-00004695
2466. MDOC-CON-00004698
2467. MDOC-CON-00004700
2468. MDOC-CON-00004705
2469. MDOC-CON-00004707
2470. MDOC-CON-00004709
2471. MDOC-CON-00004714
2472. MDOC-CON-00004716
2473. MDOC-CON-00004718
2474. MDOC-CON-00004719
2475. MDOC-CON-00004722
2476. MDOC-CON-00004724
2477. MDOC-CON-00004726
2478. MDOC-CON-00004728
2479. MDOC-CON-00004732
2480. MDOC-CON-00004733
2481. MDOC-CON-00004736
2482. MDOC-CON-00004738
2483. MDOC-CON-00004740
2484. MDOC-CON-00004743
2485. MDOC-CON-00004746
2486. MDOC-CON-00004751
2487. MDOC-CON-00004765
2488. MDOC-CON-00004778
2489. MDOC-CON-00004820
2490. MDOC-CON-00004847
2491. MDOC-CON-00004882
2492. MDOC-CON-00004894
2493. MDOC-CON-00004967
2494. MDOC-CON-00005050
2495. MDOC-CON-00005183
2496. MDOC-CON-00005265
2497. MDOC-CON-00005361
2498. MDOC-CON-00005449
2499. MDOC-CON-00005547
2500. MDOC-CON-00005651
2501. MDOC-CON-00005744
2502. MDOC-CON-00005948
2503. MDOC-CON-00006021
2504. MTC_ESI-0000635
2505. MTC_ESI-0000636
2506. MTC_ESI-0000717
2507. MTC_ESI-0000718
2508. MTC_ESI-0000719
2509. MTC_ESI-0000911
2510. MTC_ESI-0000912
2511. MTC_ESI-0000920
2512. MTC_ESI-0000921

2513. MTC_ESI-0000947
2514. MTC_ESI-0000948
2515. MTC_ESI-0000988
2516. MTC_ESI-0000989
2517. MTC_ESI-0000990
2518. MTC_ESI-0000991
2519. MTC_ESI-0001093
2520. MTC_ESI-0001094
2521. MTC_ESI-0001112
2522. MTC_ESI-0001113
2523. MTC_ESI-0001125
2524. MTC_ESI-0001126
2525. MTC_ESI-0004265
2526. MTC_ESI-0004266
2527. MTC_ESI-0004303
2528. MTC_ESI-0004304
2529. MTC_ESI-0005508
2530. MTC_ESI-0005509
2531. MTC-CON-00017390
2532. MTC-CON-00017416
2533. MTC-CON-00017417
2534. MTC-CON-00017446
2535. MTC-CON-00017469
2536. MTC-CON-00017474
2537. MTC-CON-00017492
2538. MTC-CON-00017516
2539. MTC-CON-00017538
2540. MTC-CON-00017625
2541. MTC-CON-00017631
2542. MTC-CON-00017655
2543. MTC-CON-00017677
2544. MTC-CON-00017700
2545. MTC-CON-00017719
2546. MTC-CON-00017742
2547. MTC-CON-00088412
2548. MTC-CON-00088624
2549. MTC-CON-00088856
2550. MTC-CON-00089149
2551. MTC-CON-00089573
2552. MTC-CON-00090250, 1B December 2015
2553. MTC-CON-00090350, 2A December 2015
2554. MTC-CON-00090393, 2B December 2015
2555. MTC-CON-00090844, Medical December 2015
2556. MTC-CON-00091445, HU 4 December 2015
2557. MTC-CON-000920348, 5D December 2015
2558. MTC-CON-00092083, 6D-Ad Seg December 2015
2559. MTC-CON-00092156, 5B December 2015
2560. MTC-CON-00092303, 6A December 2015
2561. MTC-CON-00092400, 2C December 2015
2562. MTC-CON-00127946, HU 1 January 2016
2563. MTC-CON-00128146, HU 6 January 2016
2564. MTC-CON-00128540, HU 5 January 2016

Exhibit 3 to the Vail 2016 Report is the Vail 2014 Report. This exhibit has been removed and is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 4

Mississippi Department of Corrections
 On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

1
2

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT		F	Rating	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
		Administration & Management			
3	Staffing Pattern				
4	1. Comprehensive staffing analysis determines staffing needs and plans.		C	more staff needed	
5	2. Essential posts and positions are filled with qualified personnel.		C		
6	3. Personnel hired after background check in compliance with MDOC policy and procedures		C		
7	4. Personnel vacancies filled within 30 days		C		
8	5. Authority notifies MDOC of any position vacant longer than 30 days		C		
9	6. Authority and/or Operator has a random drug screening program of employees working at the facility		C	10 staff members were tested for March/ results are pending.	
10	7. Orientation and training program of employees per ACA		C		
11	8. Personnel subject to testing for contagious disease per State Board of Health		C		
12	9. Staff Training				
13	10. The facility conducts appropriate orientation, initial training, and annual training for all staff, contractors, and volunteers.		C	has improved	
14	11. Staff training is conducted according to a regular schedule with sufficient classes to maintain pre-service and in-service training hour compliance.		C		
15	12. Quality Control				
16	13. Contract Facility QCP in place.		C		
17	14. Contract Facility reports shared with CM.		C		
18	15. Subcontractor QCPs in place.		NA		
19	16. Subcontractor QCPs reports shared with MDOC personnel.		NA		
20	17. Using Offendertrak randomly select a sample no less than 10 employees, volunteers, and contract workers and verify that their information has been entered in Offendertrak as required by SOP.		C		
21	18. Staff-Offender Communication				
22	19. Housing unit rounds conducted frequently by housing unit security staff.		C		
23	20. Housing unit rounds conducted daily by Unit Management staff.		C	I have observed unit managers making rounds not written in offender trak, offenders state no response	
24	21. Offender requests answered within MDOC requirements.		NC		asap

26

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

	CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT	F	Rating C/NC/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
27	Members of facility management visit housing units and interact with offenders.		C	has improved	
28	Request forms are available to offenders.		C		
29	5 Offender Funds and Personal Property				
30	A. Inventory personal property/funds is maintained.		C		
31	B. Funds/valuables documented on receipt.		C		
32	C. Offenders property searched for contraband.		C		
33	E. Offender funds are deposited into the appropriate account.		C		
34	F. Offender personal property is appropriately secured.		NC	Plan in place but it does not include offender monitoring property being packed and inventoried which it should.	asap
35	6 Commissary				
36	A. Only MDOC approved items are stocked in Commissary.		C		
37	7 Offender Files				
38	A. Offender file present/created for each new arrival.		C		
39	B. Offender files contain documents generated during custody.		C		
40	C. Offender files maintained in a secure area.		C		
41	Physical Plant				
42	A. Security officer posts located in or immediately adjacent to offender living areas to permit officers to see or hear and respond promptly to emergency situations.		NC	Camera set up need work	asap
43	B. Personal contact and interaction between staff and offenders is required and facilitated.		C		

1 On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet
 2 Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016 Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

3	CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT	F	Rating C/N/C/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
44	Institutional Operations				
45	9. Emergency Plans				
46	A. Staff trained, and able to identify signs of offender unrest.	C			
47	B. Written plans locate emergency shut off valves and switches.	C			
48	C. Evacuation routes primary and secondary.	C			
49	D. A complete set of emergency plans is up to date and available.	C			
50	E. Facility conducts mock emergency exercises throughout the year to test specific plans.	C		3/31/16 exercise conducted zone filled with smoke. Only minor issues addressed.	
51	F. Staff work stoppage plan is available.	C			
52	G. The facility meets annually with local, state, & federal officials to discuss MOUs and cooperative contingency plans.	C			
53	10. Environmental Health and Safety				
54	A. System for storing/issuing/maintaining hazardous materials.	C			
55	B. Complete inventories of hazardous materials maintained.	C			
56	C. A complete list of MSDS readily accessible to staff and offenders.	C			
57	D. Fire prevention/control/evacuation plan.	C			
58	E. Fire alarm system is operational.	C			
59	F. Conduct fire/evacuation drills according to schedule/standard.	C			
60	G. Staff trained to prevent contact with blood and bodily fluids.	C			
61	H. Emergency generators are tested bi-weekly.	C			
62	I. Every employee and offender using flammable, toxic, or caustic materials receives advance training in their use, storage, and disposal.	C			
63	J. Safety Office (or officer) maintains files of inspection reports, including corrective actions taken.	C			
64	K. Facility appears clean and well maintained.	C		has improved	
65	L. All flammable and combustible materials (liquid and aerosol) are stored and used according to label recommendations.	C			
66	11. Transportation				
67	A. Documentation indicating safety repairs are completed immediately and vehicles are not used until they have been repaired and inspected, is available for review.	C			
68	B. Policies and procedures are in place addressing the use of restraining equipment on transportation vehicles.	C			
69	C. Vehicles have 2 way radios, cellular telephones, equipment boxes in accordance with the Use of Force standard.	C		Transportation officers have access to cell phones	
70	D. Vehicles have written contingency plans on board.	C			

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

	CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT	F	Rating C/NC/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
71	12 Contraband	F			
72	A. Policy in place for handling contraband.		C		
73	B. Contraband disposed of properly and documented.		C		
74	C. Facility staff make a concerted effort to control contraband.		C	has improved	
75	13 Facility Security and Control				
76	A. Central control/housing units (CC/HU) logs in place.		C		
77	B. CC/HU: Equipment logs in place.		C		
78	C. CC/HU: Equipment inventories accurate.		NC/NA		
79	D. CC/HU: Post Orders in place and signed.		NC/NC		
80	E. Staff are required to conduct security checks of assigned areas.		C		
81	F. All visitors officially recorded in a visitor log book.		C		
82	G. Front entrance staff inspect ID of everyone entering/exiting.		C		
83	H. Maintain a log of all incoming and departing vehicles.		C		
84	I. Housing unit searches occur at irregular times.		C		
85	J. Area searches documented in log book.		C		
86	K. Daily/Monthly fence checks completed and logged.		C		
87	L. Daily procedures include: perimeter alarm system tests; physical checks of the perimeter fence; documenting the results.		C		
88	M. Tools taken into the secure area of the facility are inspected and inventoried before entering and prior to departure.		NC	Have not observed tools being inventoried upon exit of a unit.	15-Dec
89	N. The facility has in place a procedure and practice to gather, analyze and utilize intelligence information to include areas such as STGs, narcotics trafficking, financial info, telephone surveillance, high profile offenders, visiting room activities, et al.		C		
90	O. The facility shares intelligence information with MDOC.		C		
91	P. Male and females are segregated from each other per MDOC SOP.		NA		
92	Q. Unit shakedowns are conducted.		C		
93	R. Random shakedowns conducted & documented.		C		
94	S. The facility employs a schedule to insure that all areas of the facility are routinely searched.		NC	I have not seen a schedule.	
95	14 Armory				
96	A. Master inventory in place.		C		
97	B. Sign-in/out for equipment.		C		
98	C. Inventories accurate.		C		

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

	F	Rating C/NC/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
99				
100		C		
101		C		
102		C		
103		C		
104		C		
105		C		
106				
107		NC	counts are conducted improperly	asap
108		C		
109		NC		asap
110				
112		NC	staff do not take items down that are hanging therefore they cannot see in the cell to verify offenders	asap
113		C		
114		NC		
115		NC	has improved Has improved.	
116		C		
117		C		
118				
119		NC		
120		NC	Has improved	
121		C		
122		C		
123		C		
124		C		
125		NC		
126		NC		
127		C		

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016 Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

1

2

3

CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT	F	Rating C/NC/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
I. Offenders in disciplinary SMU retain designated privileges as per MDOC SOP.		NC	Has improved	
J. The facility administrator (or designee) visits each SMU daily.		NC		
K. A health care provider visits offenders in a SMU as per MDOC SOP, and offenders are provided any medications prescribed for them.		C	this is not provide. Most times the ADOS has not being advised there are offenders in the seg for several weeks.	
O. When a offender has been held in Admin Segregation for more than 30 days, the facility administrator notifies MDOC.		NC		asap

128

129

130

131

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

	F	Rating C/NC/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
132				
133	C			
134	C			
135	C		offenders are not issued tools	
136	NC			
137	C			
138	C			
139	C			
140				
141	NC			
142	NC		improving	
143	NC			
144	NC			
145	NC			
146	NC			12/15/2014
147	NC		Need training on how to conduct	12/15/2014
148	C			
149	C			
150	NC		Not in some situations	
151				
152	C			
153	C			
154	NC		has improved	
155	C			
156	C			
157				
158	C			
159	C			

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

	CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT	F	Rating C/C/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
160	C. Offender access provided to include SMU.		C		
161	D. Denials documented.		C		
162	23 Transfer of Offenders				
163	A. Offender provided with offender transfer notification form.		NA		
164	B. Health records/transfer summary accompany offender.		C		
165	C. Funds and personal property accompany offender.		C		
166	D. Offender life/information accompanies each offender.		C		

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

1	2	3	F	Rating C/NC/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
167		CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT				
168		24 Food Service				
169		A. Post orders in place and signed.	C			
170		B. Appropriate food temperatures are maintained for both hot and cold food and food storage with temperatures logged.	NC			
171		C. Freezer: temperature 0 degrees.	C			
172		D. Refrigerators: 35 to 45 degrees.	C			
173		E. Dry storage: 45 to 85 degrees.	C			
174		F. Dishwasher final rinse: 180 degrees w/o sanitizer.	C			
175		G. Dishwasher rinse w/sanitizer 140 to 160 degrees.	C			
176		H. Equipment and chemical logs are in place.	C			
177		I. Equipment and chemical inventories are accurate.	C			
178		J. Sanitation supplies are issued according to policy/procedure.	C			
179		K. Food Service department maintained at a high level of sanitation.	C			
180		L. Offenders receive safety and appropriate equipment training prior to beginning work in department.	C			
181		M. Offenders are monitored daily for health and cleanliness, with documentation.	C			
182		N. Food Service offender workers exchange garments daily.	NC		Some of the offenders need new issues. (dirty/stains)	
183		O. Sharps and tool control inventory and procedures are in place.	NC		tools were out but not signed out	asap
184		P. Facility has a standard MDOC approved menu cycle, 2900 calories per day.	C			
185		Q. A registered dietician conducts nutritional analysis.	C			
186		R. Menu is served as scheduled/all menu changes documented.	C			
187		S. Special diet/religious diet menu for authorized offenders.	C			
188		T. Weekly inspections conducted and documented.	C			
189		25: Hunger Strikes				
190		A. Procedures for referring offender to medical if verbally refused or observed refusing to eat as per MDOC SOP.	C			
191		B. Staff receive training in identification of hunger strike.	C			
192		C. Process for determining reason for hunger strike.	C			
193		26: Medical Care				
194		A. Intake process includes medical and mental health screening.	C			
195		B. Sick call procedures established.	C			
196		C. Adequate medical staff available proportionate to population.	C			
197		D. Pharmaceuticals stored in a secure area.	C			

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016 Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

1
2

	CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT	F	Rating C/NC/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
198	E. All offenders receive physical examination/assessment as per MDOC SOP.		C		
199	F. Sick call slips available in all housing areas. The facility has a written plan for 24 hour emergency health care when no medical staff are on-duty or when immediate outside medical attention is required.		NC	forms are not always available	
200	G. Medical records are available and transferred with the offender.		C		
201	H. Records are maintained of medication distribution.		C		
202	I. All sharps are under strict control and accountability.		C		
203	J. A sharps container is used to dispose of used sharps.		C		
204	K. The medical department is maintained at a high level of sanitation.		C		
205	L.		C		

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

	F	Rating C/NC/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT				
206				
207				
208				
209				
210				
211				
212				
213				
214				
215				
216				
217				
218				
219				
220				
221				
222				
223				
224				
225				
226				
227				
228				
229				
27				
A.	C			
B.	C		has improved	
C.	NC		offenders alter the clothing	
D.	C			
E.	C			
F.	C		Has improved a lot still need a little more work.	
G.	C			
H.	C			
28.				
A.	C			
B.	C			
C.	C			
D.	C			
29.				
A.	C			
B.	C			
C.	C			
30.				
A.	NC		rules according to offender handbook are not followed	15-Dec
B.	C			
C.	C			
D.	C			
31.				

1 On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet
 2 Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

	F	Rating C/NC/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
230		C	needs work.	
231		NC		
232		C		
233		NA	most don't leave EMCF	12/15/2014
234		NA	some unit do not use informals	
235				
236		C		
237		C		
238		C		
239		C		
240		C		
241		C		
242		C		
243		C		
244		C		

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

		F	Rating C/N/C/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
245	33 Classification System				
246	A. All offenders classified appropriately upon arrival.	C			
247	B. Reassessment and reclassification processes in place.	C			
248	C. Housing assignments are based upon classification.	C			
249	D. Work assignments are based upon classification system.	NC		has improved	
250	E. Offenders are assigned to classification and programs as required by MDOC verified via Offendertrak.				
251	F. Verify monthly offender contact with the case manager.	C			
252	G. Review offenders pending placement in the Behavior Modification Program and verify MDOC SOP time frame compliance.	NA			
253	H. Check offenders assigned to sensitive job placements to verify appropriate classification.	C			
254	I. Verify that offenders that work off-site are classified appropriately and qualified.	NA			
255	J. Verify that offenders assigned to computer jobs meet MDOC SOP guidelines.	NA			
256	34 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention				
257	A. The facility has a Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Program.	C			
258	B. Offenders are advised of the program.	C			
259	C. All staff are trained, initially and in annual refresher training, in the prevention and intervention areas.	C			
260	D. Sexual Assault Awareness Notice is posted on all housing unit bulletin boards.	C			
261	Offender Programs				
262	35 Correspondence and Other Mail				
263	A. Incoming mail screened and delivered daily.	C			
264	B. Outgoing mail screened for contraband.	C			
265	C. Legal mail opened in front of offender.	C			
266	D. Incoming funds processed properly.	C			
267	E. Rules for correspondence and other mail posted or annotated in offender handbook.	C			
268	F. Facility has a system for offenders to purchase postage.	C			
269	G. SMU has same correspondence privileges as general population.	C			
270	36 Recreation				
271	A. Outdoor/indoor recreation is provided.	C		has improved	

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

	CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT	F	Rating C/N/C/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
272	Access to outdoor recreation activities permitted am/pm daily weather permitting with documentation.		C	has improved	
273	Staff conduct daily searches of recreation areas.		C		
274	In unit activities are available		C		
275	37 Religious Practices				
276	A. Offenders are allowed to engage in religious services.		C		
277	B. Authorized religious items are allowed in Offender possession.		C		

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

1

2 Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

		F	Rating C/NC/NA	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Due Date
3	CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT				
278	38 Telephone Access				
279	A. Upon intake, offenders are made aware of phone policies.	C			
280	B. Out of order phones reported and maintenance notified.	C			
281	C. Telephones inspected regularly by staff.	NC			
282	D. Telephone access rules posted in each housing unit.	C			
283	E. Emergency phone call messages delivered to offenders.	C			
284	F. Special access calls are available to offenders.	C			
285	G. Notification of telephone monitoring posted by unit phones.	C			
286	H. Using Offendertrak select 10 offenders to verify that they were able to update their telephone list on the annual date of entry to MDOC.	NC			
287	39 Visitation				
288	A. Written visitation schedule posted and accessible to the public.	NC			
289	B. General visitation log book maintained.	C			
290	C. Visitor dress code enforced.	C			
291	D. Facility complies with visitation schedule.	C			
292	E. Visitors are searched and identified per standards.	C			
293	F. Verify in Offendertrak via interviews with offenders opportunity to update visitation list.	C			
294	G. Using Offendertrak select 10 offenders to verify that visitors were registered in the system.	NC			
295	40 Work & Programs				
296	A. Facility has a work program.	C			
297	B. RID first 90 days.	NA			
298	C. Counseling and mental health: alcohol/drug, chaplaincy, pre-release, literacy, individual counseling, other programs and services necessary to comply with ACA.	C			
299	D. Authority provides educational programs: compulsory, literacy, GED, ABE and special ed.	C			
300	E. Authority provides 5 separate vocations				
301	F. ABE curriculum meets MDOE	C			
302	G. Substance abuse treatment consistent with MDOC similar population.	C			
303	H. Facility maintains documentation of all work assignments/classification levels.	C			
304	I. Facility complies with work hour and pay requirements for offenders.	C			
305	J. Offenders are medically screened to participate where required.	C			
306	K. Offenders receive proper training and safety equipment.	C			

On-Site Contract Monitoring Worksheet

Facility/EMCF Month/Year March/2016

Contract Monitor Vernell Thomas

Due Date	Corrective Action Required / Comments	Rating C/NC/NA	F	CONTRACT MONITORING ASSESSMENT
		C		L All offenders are appropriately assigned to work and/or programs.

1

2

3

307

308

Exhibit 5

1. I, Cordarious Nelson, declare the following under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746.
2. I am a prisoner in MDOC custody. My MDOC number is 150153. I have been at EMCF since on or around May 2011.
3. On Tuesday, October 25, 2016 I was assaulted by approximately seven other prisoners on Housing Unit 5D. It happened in cell 205.
4. On Tuesday, October 25, 2016, sometime between 8:00 p.m. to 9:00p.m., I stepped into Cell 205 on 5D. I walked into that cell because it was empty, and I needed to use the restroom. I was followed inside by seven other prisoners: Isaiah Sanders, Craig Williams, Adrian Larry, Max Armstrong, Jessie Wilson, Anthony Day and Joseph Bennett. Once inside the cell, I was hit, kicked, and stabbed by all of these prisoners. I don't know how long it lasted, but it felt like at least five minutes. Prisoner Terrance Williams stood outside of the cell door to make sure that no other prisoner or staff member came into the cell during the assault.
5. After I was assaulted, I stayed in the vacant cell, cell 205 on 5D, overnight. I did not have any property and I did not see any officer do security checks that night, so I had no way to get assistance. The prisoners who assaulted me posted security in front of the cell so I could not get help or get out of the cell. There were two prisoners close to the cell door at all times.
6. On Wednesday morning, October 26, 2016, I did not come out of my cell early to help Sgt. Pollard pass out food trays, which is something I do every day. When I was not up and out of my cell, I believe Sgt. Pollard told the next shift that someone should check on me.
7. Lt. Cooney and Unit Manager Anderson came onto the zone on Wednesday morning around 7:30 a.m. Lt. Cooney and Unit Manager Anderson entered the cell. There was blood on the bed, floor, and wall from the assault. In addition, my right eye was completely swollen shut and my left eye was almost swollen shut. My clothes were covered with blood.
8. When Unit Manager Anderson and LL Cooney came to cell 205, about three or four of the prisoners who assaulted me crowded around the doorway. Unit Manager Anderson asked, "What was this—a violation?" One of the prisoners who assaulted me responded,

"Yes, that is all it was." Then, Unit Manager Anderson stated, "That's normal. This is prison." He then turned around and walked out of the cell. Lt. Cooney followed him.

9. Neither Unit Manager Anderson nor Lt. Cooney asked me anything about what happened or asked if I needed medical attention. At some point that day, an officer came on the zone to conduct a security check, but the prisoners in front of my cell prevented the officer from looking into the cell. The officer kept walking, and I had no way to seek assistance from the officer without risking further injury.
10. I stayed in Cell 205 until Thursday, October 27, 2016, when six or seven other prisoners who I know came and helped me get back to my own cell where I was assigned. This happened Thursday morning. I went to my assigned cell, 113. Once I was back in 113, I asked an officer for medical attention. The officer radioed to Ms. Moore, the picket officer, who called Medical. The response from Medical was that I needed to submit a sick call. At this time, my face was still badly swollen and it was obvious to anyone that I had been assaulted. I tried, how I could, to seek assistance from officers, but was fearful I might be attacked again if I reported the prisoners who assaulted me.
11. I was in cell 113 on 5D from Thursday, October 27, 2016 through Monday, October 31, 2016. On each of those days, I saw approximately two officers on the zone each day, but I was still fearful for my safety. During that time, I still had visible injuries to my face.
12. On Monday, October 31, 2016, I saw Sgt. McAlister while I was in the recreation yard and felt like I could trust him enough to get me off the zone safely. I told him that I had been assaulted and needed medical attention. Sgt. McAlister helped me get off the zone and brought me to medical. Before I left the zone on October 31, 2016, Unit Manager Anderson or Lt. Cooney never came back to the zone to offer assistance or to make sure I received medical attention or further investigate what had occurred.
13. On my way to Medical, Sgt. McAlister and Officer Matthews were escorting me and they stopped by Investigator Sistrunk's office and Investigator Sistrunk took pictures of my injuries and I told him who had assaulted me.
14. Once I was taken to medical, I was sent to Anderson Hospital on Monday, October 31, 2016 in a van. At Anderson Hospital, I was told that I had a broken nose, a broken bone under my right eye, and several bruised ribs. I was stabbed in my upper back and had another wound from the knife on my left forearm. I have scars from those stab wounds. I was also bitten on my chest during the assault.

15. I returned to EMCF later that day and was placed in medical. When I returned from the hospital, I met with Investigator Sistrunk and Lt. McCarra and completed a written statement about what happened.
16. I filed an ARP about this incident on or around sometime in mid-November 2016.
17. I declare under the penalty of perjury that the above statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature Cordarius Nelson

Dated this 2nd day of December, 2016.

Cordarius Nelson, MDOC #150153
East Mississippi Correctional Facility

Exhibit 6

Prisoner Interviews Considered in Forming Expert Opinions

Paragraph No.	Prisoner Name	MDOC No.	Housing Unit
18	Charlie Jones	36565	1D
	Marcus Smith	176377	1A
	Timothy Morgan	65975	3B
	Nakiea Sutton	R4655	3A
	Richard Dunn	174130	4D
	Michael Voyles	167958	4B
	Joshua Clay	151757	2C
	Jermaine Dockery	K2538	1D
	Antonio Chapman	158868	1A
	Steven Crosby	49954	6A
	Michael Willie	72748	1D
	Gentry Smith	106460	5B
	David Grogan	174048	5A
	Richard Lewis	136897	5C
	Merlin Hill	R4779	3A
	James Ferguson	60446	5A
	Johnathon Mosby	166998	5A
	Bobby Trotter	L4136	5B
	Xavier Crosby	137584	5B
	Timothy Ervin	109209	5B
Moses Simpson	Unknown	5B	
Alvin Lockett	44018	5B	
Ricky Thomas	198449	5B	
William Griggs	143581	Unknown	
Terry Pierce	155018	5B	
Bernard Carter	K7922	Unknown	
42	Charlie Jones	36535	1D
	Marcus Smith	176377	1A
	Timothy Morgan	65975	3B
	Nakiea Sutton	R4655	3A
	Richard Dunn	174130	4D
	Michael Voyles	167958	4B
	Joshua Clay	151757	2C
	Jermaine Dockery	K2538	1D
	Antonio Chapman	158868	1A
	Terry Pierce	155018	5B
	David Grogan	174048	5A
	Richard Lewis	136897	5C
Merlin Hill	R4779	3A	
43	Marcus Smith	176377	1A
	Joshua Clay	151757	2C
	Jermaine Dockery	K2538	1D
	Antonio Chapman	158868	1A

	Merlin Hill	R4779	3A
45	Charlie Jones Michael Voyles Joshua Clay Jermaine Dockery Antonio Chapman David Grogan	36535 167958 151757 K2538 158868 174048	1D 4B 2C 1D 1A 5A
67	Charlie Jones Jermaine Dockery Antonio Chapman	36535 K2538 158868	1D 1D 1A
71	Richard Dunn Michael Voyles Joshua Clay Jermaine Dockery Steven Crosby Merlin Hill	174130 167958 151757 K2538 49954 R4779	4D 4B 2C 1D 6A 3A
78	Marcus Smith Joshua Clay Jermaine Dockery Antonio Chapman Merlin Hill	176377 151757 K2538 158868 R4779	1A 2C 1D 1A 3A
110	Charlie Jones Marcus Smith Timothy Morgan Nakiea Sutton Richard Dunn Michael Voyles Jermaine Dockery Terry Pierce	36535 176377 65975 R4655 174130 167958 K2538 155018	1D 1A 3B 3A 4D 4B 1D 5B
111	David Grogan	174048	5A
178	Steven Crosby Gentry Smith Terry Pierce David Grogan	49954 106460 155018 174048	6A 5B 5B 5A