ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

U	л 42	ω	Entry
COPSOT3TP	C06801031	C06800815	CADRE No.
email exchange between Agency personnel regarding a meeting with Senator Rubio. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel and the media regarding a CIA letter sent to Senate members. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the email address of the journalist.	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel and the media providing written material regarding Ms. Haspel's nomination for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official titles, and telephone numbers).	Description
4/11/2010	4/25/2018	4/4/2018	Date of Doc.
No. of	2	∞	No. of Pages
(b)(6)		(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
	RP	RIP	Disposition

	7		n		Entry
	C06801574		000001111		CADRE No.
regarding a statement provided by the Director (DNI) and Principal Deputy Director (PDDNI) of ODNI in support of Ms. Haspel's nomination for	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel and Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) personnel	email exchange between CIA personnel and the media regarding the Agency's review of a written article concerning Ms. Haspel. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the names and email addresses of senate staff members.	staffers.	invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the names of senate	Description
	5/6/2018		F /0 /2018		Date of Doc.
	2		0		No. of Pages
	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	(b)(6)			Exemptions Cited
	RIP		5		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

unit A	9		œ		Entry
TADRE NO.	C06801668		C06801629		CADRE No.
Agency personnel and the media concerning a written article about Ms. Haspel's confirmation. Exemption (b)(3) (National	This document contains email	Agency personnel and the media for the provision of Ms. Haspel's unclassified career timeline and inquiry concerning her confirmation process. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the journalist's telephone number.	This document contains email	Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the identifying information of ODNI personnel (names, email addresses, official titles, and telephone numbers).	Description
Date of Poc.	4/25/2018		5/1/2018		Date of Doc.
lo on	3		2		No. of Pages
(b)(6)	(b)(3) CIA Act	(b)(6)	(b)(3) CIA Act		Exemptions Cited
Disposition	RIP		RIP		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of	Exemptions	Disposition
				Pages	Cited	
		Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing				
		specific intelligence sources and				
		Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and				
		(b)(6) were invoked to protect				
		identifying information of CIA				
		personnel (name and email address). Exemption (b)(6) was				
		asserted to protect the				
		journalist's telephone number.				
10	C06801751	This document consists of email	5/4/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act	RIP
		exchanges between Agency			(b)(6)	
		personnel and Senate staff				
		members regarding Ms. Haspel's				
		confirmation hearing				
		preparation. Exemptions $(b)(3)$				
		(CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked				
		to protect identifying				
		information of CIA personnel				
		(names and email addresses).				
		Exemption (b)(6) also protects				
		personal identifying information				
		for Ms. Haspel and the names				
		and email addresses of Senate				
		staff personnel .	,			

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Ĭ.	13	12	11	Entry
TATALE NO.	C06801905	C06801845	C06801804	CADRE No.
Haspel's nomination. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel and the news media initiating interviews of individuals in support of Ms.	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel and the news media concerning Senator Paul's comments regarding CIA Director nominee Ms. Haspel. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (email address and telephone number).	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel and the news media regarding Ms. Haspel's appearance before the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (name, email address, official title, and telephone number).	Description
nets of Doc.	4/30/2018	3/15/2018	5/8/2018	Date of Doc.
lo. of	ത	ь	1	No. of Pages
Stad	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
Disposition	RP	Σ	RIP	Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

15	14		Entry
C06802678	C06802028		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between various Agency personnel regarding a written biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel as a nominee for Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information	This document contains an internal email communication between Agency personnel regarding the authenticity of an article written about Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses).	revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the email address of the news media journalist.	Description
6/5/2018	4/6/2018		Date of Doc.
4	0		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
R _P	RP		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

MIN.	17	16	Entry
ADM: No.	C06799776	C06848233	CADRE No.
(b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O.	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel circulating a revision of an internal draft document about Ms. Haspel's career and as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemptions (b)(1) and	revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). This document consists of an email from Agency personnel to a news media journalist providing an article written about Ms. Haspel. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the email address of the news media journalist.	Description
Date of Dioc.	4/20/2018	3/22/2018	Date of Doc.
2 8	ь	ω	No. of Pages
Baser September 1	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
npiñeceja	Denied In Full (DIF)	RIP	Disposition

18		Entry
C06799859		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between senior Agency Officials and Agency personnel circulating and assessing a draft response to an inquiry from the White House. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or sources and methods (intelligence activities).	activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) was invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning revisions to a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
5/10/2018		Date of Doc.
6		No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

PATRICK .		19		Entry
CADATE NO.		C06799863		CADRE No.
(b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA	Agency official and Agency personnel discussing whether to conduct news media engagement and intra-agency outreach. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security).	This document consists of an email exchange between a Senior	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments concerning a draft response to a White House inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
Detre of Doc.		4/4/2018		Date of Doc.
5 0 0 0 0 0		4		No. of Pages
amothgrasses bastic	Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec		Exemptions Cited
Disposition		DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

	Entry
	CADRE No.
email exchange between Agency component personnel circulating draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review and attachments containing the draft language and additional information pertaining to the inquiry. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (code words, classification and dissemination control markings). Exemptions	personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional correspondence concerning whether to conduct press engagement and interagency outreach on a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.
	Date of Doc.
	No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Cited
	Disposition

	6	21		Entry
DASPRE No.		C06799893		CADRE No.
(information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect	email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods	This document consists of an	invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions concerning a proposed response to Senate requests for information. This document contains draft language for a response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues	Description
See of Bos.	BETTER	5/8/2018		Date of Doc.
0 di 0 di	la la	6		No. of Pages
anolitement	(b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	(b)(3) CIA Act		Exemptions Cited
Disposition		DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

22		Entry
C06799899		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security).	identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, location, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations related to a request for review and comment concerning a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).	Description
5/8/2018		Date of Doc.
, Ch		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

athy		23		Entry
CADRE NO.		C06799903		CADRE No.
revealing specific intelligence	(National Security Act) was invoked to protect information	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft document. Exemption (b)(3)	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional deliberations related to a request for review and comment concerning a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).	Description
bate of boc.		5/10/2018		Date of Doc.
100		7		No. of Pages
1 seditement	Dood	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
gjeloegjou		DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

24		Entry
C06799905		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft	sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional deliberations related to a request for review and comment concerning proposed edits to a draft document. The attachment would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).	Description
5/13/2018		Date of Doc.
თ		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

		25																													Entry	
		C06799916																													CADRE No.	
personnel and the Agency's	email exchange between Agency	This document consists of an	email addresses).	identifying information of White	(b)(6) was asserted to protect	a particular issue. Exemption	Agency and the White House on	deliberations between the	this document would reveal	draft document. Disclosure of	contains track changes for the	consideration . The attachment	document and proposed edits for	comment concerning a draft	a request for review and	decisional deliberation related to	was asserted to protect pre-	addresses). Exemption (b)(5)	telephone number, and email	personnel (names, official titles,	identifying information of CIA	(b)(6) were invoked to protect	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and	(information security).	sources and methods	revealing specific intelligence	invoked to protect information	(National Security Act) was	document. Exemption (b)(3)		Description	
Sand in wheel		5/7/2018																													Date of Doc.	
	2020	4																												9	No. of Pages	
(b)(b)	(b)(5)	(b)(3) CIA Act																													Exemptions Cited	
The second second second		DIF																													Disposition	

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

26		Entry
C06799945		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email between Agency personnel forwarding draft talking points to address Senate inquiries with an attachment of the draft language. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to	Director's Office personnel seeking approval on the use and revision of a particular draft proposed article written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, telephone numbers and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional correspondence a concerning a draft document. This document contains internal agency pre-decisional deliberations regarding the use of a particular document in furtherance of an objective. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
4/23/2018		Date of Doc.
52		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

		27		Entry
ON ERGA		C06799948		CADRE No.
identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, office locations, telephone	was received from the White House with draft document attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect	This document consists of an email between Agency personnel circulating revisions of a draft document about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that	protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, office locations, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional intra-agency deliberations. The attached document contains draft talking points with track changes. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues.	Description
sats of Doc.		5/8/2018		Date of Doc.
		6		No. of Pages
Prohipman Best		(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
restlengeld		DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

28		Entry
C06799953		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email between Agency personnel circulating the revisions to draft documents about Ms. Haspel's career and as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft documents attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, methods, and covert locations).	numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations between the Agency and the White House. This email contains a draft document and proposed edits. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).	Description
4/25/2018		Date of Doc.
11		No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

and a second	29	Entry
CADKE NO.	C06799957	CADRE No.
reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This email contains draft documents and track changes with proposed recommendations and edits to the draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on particular issues. This document consists of an email between Agency personnel providing recommendations regarding draft language for a written document about Ms. Haspel's career and as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and	Description
part of box.	4/15/2018	Date of Doc.
0 kg 2 kg	ω	No. of Pages
anottqrissi best	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
Disposition	DIF	Disposition

30		Entry
C06799962		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel concerning a draft document about Ms. Haspel's career for public release. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect	locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal deliberations. This document contains discussions of proposed revisions for a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
4/19/2018		Date of Doc.
2		No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

																	31														Entry
A MADE WITH																	C06799989														CADRE No.
information of CIA personnel	to protect identifying	(CIA Act) and (b)(E) ware invoked	activities). Exemptions (b)(3)	activities or intelligence sources	13526 and reflects intelligence	classified under 1.4(c) of E.O.	applies to certain material that is	(b)(3) (National Security Act)	(h)(a) (Noticeal Security Act)	attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and	CIA Director with draft responses	Ms. Haspel as the nominee for	Senate inquiries addressed to	concerning draft responses to	component personnel	email exchange between Agency	This document consists of an	issue for consideration.	deliberations on a particular	would reveal internal agency	Disclosure of this document	assessments of draft language.	contains proposed revisions and	deliberations. This document	protect pre-decisional internal	Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to	numbers, and email addresses).	office locations, telephone	personnel (names, official titles,	identifying information of CIA	Description
																	4/27/2018														Date of Doc.
and the																	5														No. of Pages
The Appenditements	Dred											(b)(6)	(b)(5)	Act	(b)(3) Nat'l Sec	(b)(3) CIA Act															Exemptions Cited
Didaseo(State																	DF														Disposition

32		Entry
C06799994		CADRE No.
responses to Senate requests for information. This document contains recommendations for draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel forwarding Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for a response. This email also attached documents pertaining to the inquiries for reference. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3)	(names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations related to	Description
4/27/2018		Date of Doc.
44		No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

								33																		Entry	1
CADRE NA.								C06799996																		CADRE No.	
sources and methods (information security).	revealing specific intelligence	document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information	the White House for review and an attachment of the draft	Director that was received from	Hasnel as the nominee for CIA	personnel forwarding a draft	email exchange between Agency	This document consists of an	particular issues.	agency deliberations on	document would reveal internal	inquiries. Disclosure of this	how to respond to the Senate	contains assessments concerning	for information. This document	to responses to Senate requests	pre-decisional discussions related	(b)(5) was asserted to protect	and email addresses). Exemption	locations, telephone numbers,	numbers, official titles, office	(names, Agency identification	information of CIA personnel	to protect identifying	(CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked	Description	
pass of bos.								5/8/2018																		Date of Doc.	7-1-167
9 1								4																		Pages	21 of
Sholtprined				(5)(5)	(b)(6)	Act	(b)(3) Nat'l Sec	(b)(3) CIA Act																		Cited	
Disposition								DIF																		טואסטונוסוו	ַם: בּבּיבים: בּבּיבים

34		Entry
C06800002		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between Senior CIA Officials providing a letter in response to a Senator's inquiry and a completed Senate Questionnaire. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, location, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional correspondence related to a request for review and comment concerning a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses)	Description
5/5/2018		Date of Doc.
21		No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

		35		Entry
JADAS Mar		C06800012		CADRE No.
dissemination control markings). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect	CIA Director with documents attached for reference. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, code words, classification and	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for	(intelligence activities, intelligence methods, covert locations, information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, and email addresses) Exemption (b)(6) also protects personal identifying information for Ms. Haspel and the names and email addresses of Senate staff personnel.	Description
pare of Boc.		5/11/2018		Date of Doc.
or of the state of		93		No. of Pages
Saldiemas		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
noliteragel		DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

ယ		Entry
C06800025		CADRE No.
This document consists of various email exchanges between Agency personnel and CIA attorneys concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency	identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations for a draft response to a Senate inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
1/27/2014		Date of Doc.
თ		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

	37		Entry
AND STREET	C06800041		CADRE No.
responses attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel concerning White House review of draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for	deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	Description
Dad to etter	5/4/2018		Date of Doc.
	12		No. of Pages
heat	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
notrisogan	DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

38	Entry
C068000069	CADRE No.
was asserted to protect predecisional deliberations between the Agency and the White House. This document contains draft responses with track changes and assessments of the proposed language. Disclosure of this document would reveal Agency and White House deliberations on particular issues. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel. This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel forwarding Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for a response. This email also attached documents pertaining to the inquiries for reference. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and	Description addresses). Exemption (b)(5)
4/28/2018	Date of Doc.
10	No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA ACT (b)(3) Nat'l Sec ACT (b)(5) (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
DIF	Disposition

	39	Entry
	C06800082	CADRE No.
specific intelligence sources and methods (information security).	(b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to responses to Senate requests for information. This document contains assessments concerning how to respond to the Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues. This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for a response. This email also attached the draft response. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing	Description
	4/30/2018	Date of Doc.
Mark Control	ST CT	No. of Pages
Best	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
	DIF	Disposition

40		Entry
C06800098		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing recommendations for a draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for a response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the names and email addresses of Senate staff members.	Description
4/30/2018		Date of Doc.
и		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

Ē	41		Entry
ADME No.	C06800100		CADRE No.
specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel providing a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing	protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of a proposed draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	Description
Date of Doc.	4/27/2018		Date of Doc.
2 10	9		No. of Pages
Serolly/more Disease	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
piedestal	DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

4 <u>2</u>		Entry
C06800102		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with draft responses attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect	Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations concerning a proposed response to a Senate inquiry. This document contains a draft language for a proposed response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
4/27/2018		Date of Doc.
7		No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

Ē		43		Entry
ADHE No.		C06800105		CADRE No.
location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to	Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director.	identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for a proposed response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
pairs of their		4/27/2018		Date of Doc.
2 8		6		No. of Pages
Cardinament and Cardinament an		(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3)Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
Disposition		DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

4 4		Entry
C06800107		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations concerning	protect pre-decisional discussions concerning a proposed response to Senate requests for information. This document contains draft language for a response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue for consideration.	Description
4/30/2018		Date of Doc.
6		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

THE PARTY OF THE P	ž į	an and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second a second a second and a second and a second a second a second	Entry
CADRE No.	C00000+13	000000	CADRE No.
document regarding Ms. Haspel's opening statement before the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence for review with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains a draft document	exchange from an Agency employee to senior Agency employees providing a draft	a proposed response to Senate requests for information. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	Description
Sport to transfer and the state of the state	BEONDERA	5/8/2018	Date of Doc.
		ω	No. of Pages
Ched	(b)(5) (b)(6)	(b)(3) CIA Act	Exemptions Cited
motheogeth		DE	Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

. 40		Entry
C06800477		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between a CIA attorney and a senior CIA attorney providing recommendations for a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document assessments and	submitted for review and comment prior to distribution. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
4/27/2018		Date of Doc.
0		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Mark .					2															47							Entry
ADME No.					CONDITION															C06800517							CADRE No.
deliberations. This document contains assessments and	pre-decisional internal Agency	email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect	numbers, office location, official	information of CIA personnel	to protect identifying	locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked	(covert personnel, covert	intelligence sources and methods	reflects intelligence activity or	under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and	certain material that is classified	(National Security Act) applies to	Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3)	nomination for CIA Director.	Haspel in support of her	for information regarding Ms.	respond to an internal request	personnel concerning how to	email exchange between Agency	This document consists of an	particular issue.	Agency deliberations on a	document would reveal internal	draft response. Disclosure of this	recommendations regarding a		Description
Date of Date					8100/1548															3/29/2018							Date of Doc.
10, 01																				4						rages	No. of
anoligeness					DICTION ACT	See Plan (E)/d									(b)(6)	(b)(5)	Act	(b)(3) Nat'l Sec	(b)(3) CIA Act	(b)(1)						Cited	Exemptions
Desposition (DIF			·				Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

ė daras salas	48		Entry
	C06900575		CADRE No.
email exchange between Agency component personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft responses attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions concerning a proposed response	This document consists of an	recommendations regarding the request for information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
1/2//2/	8100/20/1		Date of Doc.
	7		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	(h)(1)		Exemptions Cited
	ᄀ		Disposition

in Application of the Control of the	â		49		Entry
DADRE No.	ZYZOQ830		C06800584		CADRE No.
deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft	(b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency	(National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and	This document consists of various email exchanges between CIA attorneys concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for	to Senate requests for information. This document contains draft language for a response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
Date of Doc.	Brochtola		4/30/2018		Date of Doc.
20 de 10 de			ъ		No. of Pages
Brodhymne	DA ALC IS IS	Distriction (E)(a)	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	-	Exemptions Cited
probleman			DIF		Disposition

50 O			Entry
C06800594			CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials providing recommendations for draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal	exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written	Description
5/3/2018			Date of Doc.
7			No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)			Exemptions Cited
DIF			Disposition

51	Entry
C06800597	CADRE No.
Agency deliberations. This document contains assessments and recommendations concerning draft responses to particular inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials concerning a draft document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document	Description
4/8/2018	Date of Doc.
9	No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
DIF	Disposition

ហ N		Entry
C06800599		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials providing draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal	submitted for review. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
4/15/2018		Date of Doc.
თ		No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DH		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

л. Д	28	5 3		Entry
C06800736	060000000	C06800698		CADRE No.
This document contains email communications between Agency personnel regarding draft responses to a media inquiry. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and	media engagement on a particular topic. Disclosure of this document would reveal preliminary internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document is an email communication between Agency personnel regarding media outreach. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains discussion proposing	Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and proposed edits to draft language for a response to a Senate inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
4/24/2018	No Sycological Services (Services)	3/28/2018		Date of Doc.
U	n	3		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	p)(q) = 100	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
D		DIF		Disposition

Si Ci		Entry
C06801091		CADRE No.
document would reveal preliminary internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. This document contains email communications between Agency personnel regarding draft talking points concerning Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director with draft talking points attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional intra-agency deliberations. The email contains recommendations and assessments for the draft attached document. The attached document.	(b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect internal Agency deliberations. The document contained draft language and assessments of the draft language. Disclosure of this	Description
3/16/2018		Date of Doc.
co		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

The second	57	56	Entry
ADM SHOAL	C06801335	C06801321	CADRE No.
communications between	This document contains email	assessments. This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials and White House officials providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review with attached draft responses. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions concerning proposed responses to Senate requests for information. The attached document contains track changes to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and White House on particular issues.	Description language reflecting the
	4/19/2018	5/14/2018	Date of Doc.
	ω	69	No. of Pages
(b)(5)	(b)(3) CIA Act	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
Dissource 1	DIF	DIF	Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

ហ 		Entry
C06801441		CADRE No.
This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing proposed media talking points with attached draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-	Agency personnel discussing recommendations for a proposed response to a media inquiry. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional intra-agency deliberations regarding a draft response. This document contains proposed recommendations and edits to a draft written response to a media inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal predecisional internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
3/16/2018		Date of Doc.
∞		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	(b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

61	Entry
C06801453	CADRE No.
assessments for a draft letter regarding Ms. Haspel's position as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel providing comments on and circulating a draft response to a media inquiry. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) was invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains comments and a draft response. Disclosure of this	Description
4/25/2018	Date of Doc.
4	No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
DIF	Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

	63	6 N		Entry
	C06801825	C06801584		CADRE No.
CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5)	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing revisions to proposed media talking points regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel providing comments on and circulating a draft response to a media inquiry. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains comments and a draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations.	document would reveal internal Agency deliberations.	Description
	3/15/2018	4/17/2018		Date of Doc.
	4	4		No. of Pages
Dead.	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	(b)(5) (b)(6) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
	DIF	D		Disposition

6- <u>4</u>			Entry
C06801850			CADRE No.
This document consists of email communications from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney providing a legal position on an issue concerning how to respond to a media inquiry. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence sources and methods (covert personnel). Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional analysis, recommendations and	deliberations on a particular issue.	was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains proposed talking points. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency	Description
3/14/2018			Date of Doc.
40			No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)			Exemptions Cited
DIF			Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

THE STATE OF THE S			65		Entry
CADRE No.			C06801857		CADRE No.
personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers,	security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA	(b)(1) and (b)(3) (National	This document consists of email communications between CIA Attorneys regarding a classification issue. Exemptions	deliberations between the Office of General Counsel (OGC) personnel concerning how to respond media inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	Description
butte of Doc.		STORY PROPERTY.	3/14/2018		Date of Doc.
2 to 10 to 1			ω		No. of Pages
Statistics	(0)(0)	(b)(5)	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act		Exemptions Cited
adiffundation			DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

66		Entry
C06801861		CADRE No.
This document consists of email communications from a CIA Attorney to a Senior Agency official discussing a legal position on a particular Agency specific issue. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption	and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions about the classification of specific information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
3/30/2018		Date of Doc.
4		No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

														67																			Entry
														C06801923																			CADRE No.
(b)(6) were invoked to protect	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and	(intelligence methods).	intelligence sources and methods	1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects	material that is classified under	Security Act) applies to certain	Exemption (b)(3) (National	with draft document attached.	particular Agency specific issue	discussing a legal position on a	providing a draft document	Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney	communications from a CIA	This document consists of email	Agency component.	attorneys that was solicited by an	legal advice provided by OGC	discussions, which consist of	מספו וכת נס טוסנכני נווכסכ	asserted to protect these	attorney client privilege was also	a particular issue. In addition, the	internal agency deliberations on	this document would reveal	particular issue. Disclosure of	assessments regarding a	discussions and legal	reflects internal agelicy	deliberations. This document	pre-decisional internal Agency	(b)(5) was asserted to protect		Description
														4/20/2018																			Date of Doc.
														5																		Pages	No. of
Commence of the Commence of th										(b)(6)	(b)(5)	Act	(b)(3) Nat'l Sec	(b)(3) CIA Act																		Cited	Exemptions
														DIF																			Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

60 80		Entry
C06801976		CADRE No.
This document consists of an email communication from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified	identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, Agency personnel contact information, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions and legal assessments regarding a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	Description
5/11/2018		Date of Doc.
7		No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

Ě	69		Entry
ADM TRUCK	C06802079		CADRE No.
invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods	This document consists of an email communication from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney providing draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was	reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for responses to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
ste of Doc.	4/2//2018		Date of Doc.
lo. of			No. of Pages
Sentitions	(b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
Magnetica	S		Disposition

70	Entry
C06802090	CADRE No.
Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for responses to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. This document consists of an email communication from a Senior CIA Attorney to a CIA Attorney providing recommendations for draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review and the attached draft responses. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence	Description
5/11/2018	Date of Doc.
9	No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA ACT (b)(3) Nat'l Sec ACT (b)(5) (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
DIF	Disposition

	71		Entry
Apre No.	C06802092		CADRE No.
responses. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is	This document consists of an email communication from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney regarding the review of draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review and the attached draft	activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. The document contains comments, recommendations and track changes regarding draft language for responses to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
hete of Doc.	5/11/2018		Date of Doc.
of of the control of	18		No. of Pages
Meditament	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
Signodali	DIF		Disposition

72		Entry
C06802097		CADRE No.
This document consists of various email exchanges between Agency personnel and between CIA attorneys concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence	classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for responses to Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
4/30/2018		Date of Doc.
6		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

						73																									Entry	
DADRE NO.						C06802099																									CADRE No.	
nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemption (b)(3)	addressed to Ms. Haspel as the	responses to a Senate inquiry	recommendations for draft	attorneys providing	email exchange between CIA	This document consists of an	CIA attorney.	legal assessment provided by a	exchanges, which consist of a	asserted to protect these written	attorney client privilege was also	particular issues. In addition, the	agency deliberations on	document would reveal internal	responses. Disclosure of this	assessments of proposed draft	contains recommendations and	deliberations. This document	pre-decisional internal Agency	(b)(5) was asserted to protect	email addresses). Exemption	titles, telephone numbers, and	identification numbers, official	personnel (names, Agency	identifying information of CIA	(b)(6) were invoked to protect	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and	(dissemination control marking).	sources and methods		Description	
Sed to said						4/30/2018																									Date of Doc.	
2 10						5																								1 9803	No. of	
Serial happiness		(b)(6)	(b)(5)	Act	(b)(3) Nat'l Sec	(b)(3) CIA Act																								Cicca	Cited	
probleman						DIF																									Disposition	

74		Entry
C06802125		CADRE No.
This document consists of email communications from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney regarding a draft document	(National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments regarding draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	Description
4/27/2018		Date of Doc.
5		No. of Pages
(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5)		Exemptions Cited
DIF		Disposition

	discussing a legal position on a particular issue. Exemption		(b)(6)	
	(b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is			
	classified under 1.4(c) of E.O.			
	13526 and reflects intelligence sources and methods			
	(intelligence methods).			
	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect			
	identifying information of CIA			
	personnel (names, Agency			
	personnel contact information,			
	and email addresses). Exemption			
	(b)(5) was asserted to protect			
	pre-decisional intra-agency			
	reflects internal agency			
	discussions and legal			
	assessments regarding a			
	particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal			
	internal agency deliberations on			
	a particular issue. In addition, the			
	attorney client privilege was also			
	asserted to protect these			
	legal assessment provided by a			
				•

$\tilde{\sigma}$	Entry
C06802140	CADRE No.
This document consists of email communications between Agency personnel and a CIA Attorney regarding a classification issue. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, Agency identification numbers, Agency identification formation, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions about the classification of specific information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Description
3/14/2018	Date of Doc.
2	No. of Pages
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Exemptions Cited
DIF	Disposition

Entry	76																												
CADRE No.	C06802275																												
Description	This document consists of an email communication between	Agency personnel regarding recommendations and review of	a draft biographical document	regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee	for CIA Director for publication.	The draft document is attached.	Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3)	(National Security Act) applies to	certain material that is classified	under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and	reflects intelligence activity or	intelligence sources and methods	(intelligence activities).	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and	(b)(6) were invoked to protect	identifying information of CIA	personnel (names, Agency	identification numbers, office	location, official titles, telephone	numbers, and email addresses).	Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to	protect pre-decisional internal	Agency deliberations. This	document contains comments,	recommendations and draft	language. Disclosure of this	document would reveal internal	Agency deliberations on a	
Date of Doc.	3/20/2018																												
No. of Pages	10																												
Exemptions Cited	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Soc	(b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act	(b)(5)	(b)(6)																									
Disposition	DIF																												

	77	Entry
	C06802284	CADRE No.
a particular issue concerning a draft document on a particular issue concerning the public release of information. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, official title, telephone numbers, official title, telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning a particular issue. Disclosure would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior CIA Officials regarding discussion and providing recommendations	Description
	3/29/2018	Date of Doc.
	7	No. of Pages
(b)(6)	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act	Exemptions Cited
	DIF	Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

																												78	Entry
																												C06802288	CADRE No.
a particular issue.	internal Agency deliberations on	issue. Disclosure would reveal	personnel concerning a particular	deliberations between Agency	recommendations and	protect pre-decisional analysis,	Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to	official title, telephone numbers).	Agency identification numbers,	(names, email addresses and	information of CIA personnel	to protect identifying	(CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked	personnel). Exemptions (b)(3)	(intelligence activities, covert	intelligence sources and methods	reflects intelligence activity or	under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and	certain material that is classified	(National Security Act) applies to	Exemptions $(b)(1)$ and $(b)(3)$	public release of information.	a particular issue concerning the	concerning a draft document on	providing recommendations	Officials regarding discussion and	exchanges between Senior CIA	This document consists of email	Description
																												3/29/2018	Date of Doc.
																												7	No. of Pages
																							(b)(6)	(b)(5)	Act	(b)(3) Nat'l Sec	(b)(3) CIA Act	(b)(1)	Exemptions Cited
																												DIF	Disposition

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Disposition	FIG.
Exemptions Cited	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages	10
Date of Doc.	3/20/2018
Description	This document consists of an email communication between Agency personnel regarding recommendations and review of a draft biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director for publication. The draft document is attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, official title, office location, telephone numbers). Exemptions and decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning a particular issue. Disclosure would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.
CADRE No.	C06802319
Entry	79

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of	Exemptions	Disposition
				Pages	Cited	
T	C06802321	This document consists of email	3/30/2018	13	(b)(1)	DIF
		exchanges between Senior CIA			(b)(3) CIA Act	
		Officials, including Agency			(b)(3) Nat'l Sec	
		counsel, regarding discussion and			Act	
		providing recommendations			(p)(2)	
		concerning a draft document on			(9)(q)	
		a particular issue about the				
		public release of information				
		with the draft document				
		attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and				
		(b)(3) (National Security Act)				
		applies to certain material that is				
		classified under 1.4(c) of E.O.				
		13526 and reflects intelligence				
		activity or intelligence sources				
		and methods (intelligence				
		methods, covert personnel).				
		Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and				
		(b)(6) were invoked to protect				
		identifying information of CIA				
		personnel (names, email				
		addresses, Agency identification				
		numbers, official title, office				
		location, and telephone				
		numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was				
		asserted to protect pre-				
		decisional discussions related to				
		the information contained within				
		a draft document. This				
		Disclosure of this document				
		would reveal internal Agency				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		DIF
Exemptions Cited		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		6
Date of Doc.		3/30/2018
Description	deliberation on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document. This document
CADRE No.		C06802326
Entry		81

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		contains recommendations and assessments of the draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a particular issue.				
83	C06802328	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 135.26 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document. This document contains recommendations,	3/30/2018	4	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a particular issue.				
83	C06802390	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with draft letter attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document. This document contains recommendations, assessments, track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a particular issue.	4/7/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
8	C06802397	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel providing proposed edits and comments for a draft document written for Ms. Haspel as CIA Director nominee in	4/18/2018	15	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		DIF
Exemptions Cited		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		∞
Date of Doc.		4/3/2018
Description	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains legal recommendations and assessments regarding a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel commenting on revisions and proposed edits for letters written in response to a Senator's inquiry with letters attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and
CADRE No.		C06802458
Entry		98

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activity, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intraagency deliberations. This document contains assessments and revisions made to a document prior to its finalization. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.				
87	C06802502	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior CIA Attorneys providing proposed edits to a letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA	4/17/2018	e e	(b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

personner (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, position title, and telephone number).
Exemption (b)(s) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes, recommendations, and proposed edits. Disclosure of this document would reveal
internal Agency deliberation on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written
exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a
This document contains email exchanges between a Senior
Agency employee and a CIA attorney discussing whether to document the basis for a particular decision. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification number and contact information). Exemption (b)(5)

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		PIC CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT	noirleagaid
Exemptions Cited		(b)(3) Nat'l Sec (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Silve
No. of Pages		11	Segment of
Date of Doc.	¥ 25	4/27/2018	apple of Boc.
Description	decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments for a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with draft document attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of	CIA personnel (names , Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone
CADRE No.		C06802574	WORK IN
Entry		6 8	

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Disposition		DIF
Exemptions Cited		(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		6
Date of Doc.		4/27/2018
Description	numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendation and draft responses to Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal
CADRE No.		C06802578
Entry		06

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Disposition		DIF	noskopst
Exemptions Cited		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Triple Triple Triple
No. of Pages		2	# F
Date of Doc.		6/5/2018	and house
Description	Agency deliberations. This document contains draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document consists of email from a Senior CIA Attorney to Agency component personnel regarding the classification of particular information. Agency officials circulating talking points for an upcoming meeting. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity and intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification number and email address). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-	agency deliberations. The document contains deliberations on a particular piece of
CADRE No.		C06802583	WEISE MOT
Entry		91	

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		FIG.
Exemptions Cited		(b)(3) Nat'l Sec (b)(5) (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		∞
Date of Doc.		4/27/2017
Description	information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations and assessments for draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names , Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning draft responses to a Senate inquiry. Disclosure of this document
CADRE No.		C06802652
Entry		92

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		DIF		
Exemptions Cited		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	PILES PILES	
No. of Pages		16		
Date of Doc.		6/5/2018		
Description	would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, regarding the preliminary classification review of a document prepared for release.	Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intraagency deliberations. This document contains the proposed	preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation.
CADRE No.		C06802674		
Entry		93	12	

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		DIF
Exemptions Cited		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		16
Date of Doc.		6/5/2018
Description	Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, regarding the preliminary classification review of a document prepared for release with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to
CADRE No.		C06802677
Entry		8

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		DIF
Exemptions Cited		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		15
Date of Doc.		3/29/2018
Description	protect pre-decisional intra- agency deliberations. This document contains the proposed preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, regarding the preliminary classification review of a document prepared for release with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence activity or intelligence activities, covert personnel).
CADRE No.		C06802707
Entry		95

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition			DIF
Exemptions Cited	,		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages			24
Date of Doc.			3/23/2018
Description	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains the proposed	preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel regarding the preliminary classification review of a document prepared for release. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified
CADRE No.			C06802711
Entry			96

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		DIF	Station state of
Exemptions Cited		(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	in employment
No. of Pages		10	\$008
Date of Doc.		4/27/2018	cod to sest
Description	under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional intra-agency deliberations regarding possible release of the draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing recommendations for draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control	marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA
CADRE No.		C06802799	Christis and
Entry		97	ATEN.

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

ns Disposition		Act 'I Sec
f Exemptions Cited		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
nc. No. of Pages		
Date of Doc.		3/28/2018
Description	Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, regarding the preliminary classification review of a document prepared for release. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and
CADRE No.		C06802813
Entry		86

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		DIF
Exemptions Di		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		16
Date of Doc.		3/30/2018
Description	sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations Agency personnel concerning a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, regarding the preliminary classification review of a document prepared for release. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified
CADRE No.		C06802819
Entry		66

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Disposition		DIF
Exemptions Cited		(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5)
No. of Pages		7
Date of Doc.		4/27/2018
Description	under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intragency deliberations. This document contains the proposed preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing recommendations for a draft
CADRE No.		C06802898
Entry		100

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

No. of Exemptions Disposition Pages Cited	(9)(q)																										
Date of Doc.																											
Description	responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the	nominee for CIA Director.	Security Act) was invoked to	protect information revealing	specific intelligence sources and	methods (dissemination control	marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA	Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to	protect identifying information of	CIA personnel (names, Agency	identification numbers, office	location, telephone numbers,	and email addresses). Exemption	(b)(5) was asserted to protect	pre-decisional internal CIA	attorney deliberations. This	document contains	recommendations, proposed	recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language.	recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document	recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency	recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular	recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney	recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted	recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written	recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a	recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a
CADRE No.																											
Entry																											

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition	DIF
Exemptions Cited	(b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages	∞ ·
Date of Doc.	4/27/2018
Description	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, seeking legal advice regarding draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect this
CADRE No.	C06802911
Entry	101

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of	Exemptions	Disposition
				Pages	Cited	
		document, which consists of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney that was solicited by an Agency component.				
102	C06802913	This document is an email from a CIA attorney to Agency personnel providing legal advice and recommendations regarding draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intragency deliberations. This document contains draft responses and recommendations. Disclosure of this document would reveal	4/27/2018	10	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Disposition		DIF
Exemptions Cited		(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		∞ ·
Date of Doc.		04/17/2018
Description	a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect this document, which consists of legal advice provided by an OGC attorney that was solicited by an Agency component.	This document is an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing comments and recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes, comments, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal
CADRE No.		C06802920
Entry		103

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
104	C06802946	This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing recommendations and comments for a draft document regarding a specific issue with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and comments between Agency personnel concerning a legal issue and track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a	4/19/2018	9	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition	7	DIF
Exemptions Cited		(b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		oo ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa a
Date of Doc.		4/18/2018
Description	particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing legal advice and recommendations for draft language regarding a draft letter with the draft letter attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and official titles, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between OGC personnel concerning a legal issue. Additionally, this document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. The attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions.
CADRE No.		C06802948
Entry		105

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		which consist of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney.				
106	C06802950	This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing legal advice and recommendations for draft language regarding a draft letter with documents for reference attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and official titles, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between OGC personnel concerning a legal issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney.	4/19/2018	91	(b)(5) (b)(6) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Disposition	DIF
Exemptions Cited	(b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages	9
Date of Doc.	4/17/2018
Description	This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing legal advice and recommendations for draft language regarding a draft letter with the draft letter attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and official titles, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between OGC personnel concerning a legal issue. Additionally, this document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. The attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney.
CADRE No.	C06802952
Entry	107

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition	DIF	DIF
Exemptions Cited	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	(s)(q)
No. of Pages	16	∞
Date of Doc.	5/3/2018	4/28/2018
Description	This document is an email between Agency personnel providing recommendations for document classification with document sattached for review. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activity, covert location). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, email addresses, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document consists draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director.
CADRE No.	C06802988	C06849100
Entry	108	109

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		DIF
Exemptions Cited		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		18
Date of Doc.		unknown
Description	Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document contains draft language and recommendations for an article about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. The draft document includes information about Ms. Haspel's career for review and edit. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (covert locations, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and official titles). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This
CADRE No.		C06849103
Entry		110

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.				
111	C06849108	This document is a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency	3/26/2018	2	(5)(9)	DIF
		deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.				
112	C06849110	This is a draft document regarding information about Ms. Haspel's Agency career for public release. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Unknown	Т	(5)(9)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Disposition	DIF	Sec Sec
Exemptions Cited	(b)(5)	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages	4	4
Date of Doc.	05/03/2018	Unknown
Description	This is a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that contains edits and comments for revision prior to publication. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains track changes, comments, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This is a draft biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director document that contains edits and comments for revision prior to publication. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activity, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, functions,
CADRE No.	C06849112	C06849116
Entry	113	114

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		official titles). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.				
115	C06849125	This document consists of draft	4/20/2018	36	(p)(2)	DIF
	AT resident to	talking points to address Senate inquiries which was drafted for review and advice regarding the matters expressed in the document in preparation for Ms. Haspel's Senate nomination hearings. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains handwritten notes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	Transfer of the second of the		pitati strat, (280) pitati	
116	C06849130	This document consists of draft language for a letter drafted by Agency personnel in response to a Senate inquiry. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency	4/24/2018	2	(p)(s)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		DIF	
Exemptions Cited		(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	
No. of Pages		10	
Date of Doc.		4/29/2018	
Description	deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document consists of draft language for a letter drafted by Agency personnel in response to a Senate inquiry. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence activity or intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language for a letter. Disclosure of this document would reveal	internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. Exemption
CADRE No.		C06849132	
Entry		117	

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Disposition		DIF	DIF
Exemptions Cited		(5)(9)	(b)(5)
No. of Pages		10	∞
Date of Doc.		3/28/2018	4/6/2018
Description	(b)(6) was asserted to protect the names of Senate Staff members.	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency
CADRE No.		C06849177	C06849179
Entry		118	119

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
	deliberations on a particular issue.				
C06849181	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	4/6/2018	14	(b)(5)	DIF
C06849183	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency	4/6/2018	∞	(b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		deliberations on a particular issue.				
122	C06849185	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a	4/16/2018	11	(5)(9)	DIF
	The second second	particular issue.				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
C06849189	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for a written document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	5/18/2018	6	(5)(5)	DIF
C06849191	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's statement for the record for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains handwritten notes and draft language for a written document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	5/9/2018	4	(b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition	DIF	DIF
Exemptions Cited	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages	4	ο
Date of Doc.	3/22/2018	4/17/2018
Description	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel discussing a specific issue regarding whether to include particular information in a publication. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations on how to address a specific issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal preliminary internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	This document consists of draft document from CIA Attorneys to a Senior Agency Official providing legal guidance on a particular Agency specific issue. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods
CADRE No.	C06849195	C06849210
Entry	126	127

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Disposition		DIF
Exemptions Cited		(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)
No. of Pages		τν
Date of Doc.		5/8/2018
Description	(intelligence methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect predecisional discussions related to a legal issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by CIA attorneys that was solicited by Agency officials.	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency and White House personnel circulating a draft document written in response to a media inquiry. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and contact information). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-
CADRE No.		C06849452
Entry		128

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN) Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		decisional discussions related to				
		the graft gocument. Disclosure of this document would reveal				
		deliberations between the				
		Agency and winte nouse regarding a particular issue.				
		Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to				
		protect the names of White				
		House personnel.				
129	C06849592	This document consists of a	5/15/2018	11	11 (b)(3) CIA Act	DIF
		letter written in response to a			(9)(q)	
		Senator's inquiry with the				
		attachment of an internal Agency				
		personnel document.				
		Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and				
		(b)(6) were invoked to protect				
		identifying information of CIA				
		personnel (names, organization,				
		functions, and official titles).				