

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
1	C06800690	This document is a signed letter to Senator Heinrich authored by an Agency Official. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses).	4/24/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP (Released in Part)
2	C06800740	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel and the media regarding media engagement concerning a prospective article written about Ms. Haspel as the Acting CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official titles, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional inter-agency deliberations. Disclosure of these communications would reveal internal agency deliberations related to engagement with the media. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the email address of the journalist.	4/26/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
3	C06800815	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel and the media providing written material regarding Ms. Haspel's nomination for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official titles, and telephone numbers).	4/4/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
4	C06801031	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel and the media regarding a CIA letter sent to Senate members. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the email address of the journalist.	4/25/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
5	C06801316	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel regarding a meeting with Senator Rubio. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were	4/11/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the names of senate staffers.				
6	C06801447	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA personnel and the media regarding the Agency's review of a written article concerning Ms. Haspel. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the names and email addresses of senate staff members.	5/8/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
7	C06801574	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel and Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) personnel regarding a statement provided by the Director (DNI) and Principal Deputy Director (PDDNI) of ODNI in support of Ms. Haspel's nomination for	5/6/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the identifying information of ODNI personnel (names, email addresses, official titles, and telephone numbers).				
8	C06801629	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel and the media for the provision of Ms. Haspel's unclassified career timeline and inquiry concerning her confirmation process. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the journalist's telephone number.	5/1/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
9	C06801668	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel and the media concerning a written article about Ms. Haspel's confirmation. Exemption (b)(3) (National	4/25/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (name and email address). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the journalist's telephone number.				
10	C06801751	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel and Senate staff members regarding Ms. Haspel's confirmation hearing preparation. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(6) also protects personal identifying information for Ms. Haspel and the names and email addresses of Senate staff personnel .	5/4/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
11	C06801804	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel and the news media regarding Ms. Haspel's appearance before the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (name, email address, official title, and telephone number).	5/8/2018	1	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
12	C06801845	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel and the news media concerning Senator Paul's comments regarding CIA Director nominee Ms. Haspel. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (email address and telephone number).	3/15/2018	1	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
13	C06801905	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel and the news media initiating interviews of individuals in support of Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information	4/30/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the email address of the news media journalist.				
14	C06802028	This document contains an internal email communication between Agency personnel regarding the authenticity of an article written about Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses).	4/6/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
15	C06802678	This document consists of an email exchange between various Agency personnel regarding a written biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel as a nominee for Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information	6/5/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses).				
16	C06848233	This document consists of an email from Agency personnel to a news media journalist providing an article written about Ms. Haspel. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the email address of the news media journalist.	3/22/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
17	C06799776	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel circulating a revision of an internal draft document about Ms. Haspel's career and as the nominee for CIA Director for review and identifying CIA personnel who provided edits. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to	4/20/2018	1	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Denied In Full (DIF)

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning revisions to a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations related to the revision of the draft document.				
18	C06799859	This document consists of an email exchange between senior Agency Officials and Agency personnel circulating and assessing a draft response to proposed talking points from the White House regarding a development with potential relevance to Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemptions (b)(1)	5/10/2018	6	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments concerning a draft response to proposed talking points from the White House. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations concerning the draft response.				
19	C06799863	This document consists of an email exchange between a Senior Agency official and Agency personnel discussing whether to conduct news media engagement and intra-agency outreach regarding a response from a U.S. Senator on Ms.	4/4/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		Haspel's nomination. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional correspondence concerning whether to conduct press engagement and inter-agency outreach. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on this subject.				
20	C06799879	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel circulating draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review and attachments containing the draft language and additional information pertaining to the inquiry. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act)	4/28/2018	37	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (code words, classification and dissemination control markings). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions concerning a proposed response to Senate requests for information. This document contains draft language for a response and disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the Senate inquiry.				
21	C06799893	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft	5/8/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, location, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations related to a request for review and comment concerning a draft document and disclosure of these communications would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on the draft document. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).				
22	C06799899	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from	5/8/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>the White House for review and an attachment of the draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations related to a request for review and comment concerning a draft document. Disclosure of these communications would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House about the draft document. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).</p>				
23	C06799903	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms.	5/10/2018	7	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations related to a request for review and comment concerning proposed edits to a draft document. The attachment contains track changes. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House concerning the draft document. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).</p>			(b)(6)	

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
24	C06799905	<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations related to a request for review and comment concerning a draft document and proposed edits for consideration. The attachment contains track changes for the draft document. Disclosure of these communications would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House</p>	5/13/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		about the draft document. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).				
25	C06799916	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel and the Agency's Director's Office personnel seeking approval on the use and revision of a particular draft proposed article written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, telephone numbers and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional correspondence concerning a draft document. This document contains internal agency pre-decisional deliberations regarding the use of a particular document in furtherance of an objective. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency	5/7/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		deliberations on the use of the draft document.				
26	C06799945	This document consists of an email between Agency personnel forwarding draft talking points to address Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with an attachment of the draft language. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, office locations, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. The attached document contains draft talking points with track changes. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft talking points.	4/23/2018	52	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
27	C06799948	This document consists of an email between Agency personnel circulating revisions of a draft factsheet about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that	5/8/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		was received from the White House with draft document attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations between the Agency and the White House. This email contains a draft document and proposed edits. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on the draft factsheet. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).				
28	C06799953	This document consists of an email between Agency personnel	4/25/2018	11	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>circulating the revision of a draft factsheet and biographical document about Ms. Haspel’s career and as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft documents attached.</p> <p>Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, methods, and covert locations).</p> <p>Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses).</p> <p>Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This email contains draft documents and track changes with proposed recommendations and edits to the draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on the draft document.</p>			<p>(b)(3) Nat’l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)</p>	

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
29	C06799957	<p>This document consists of an email between Agency personnel providing recommendations regarding draft language for a written document about Ms. Haspel’s career and as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal deliberations. This document contains discussions of proposed revisions for a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on the proposed revisions.</p>	4/15/2018	3	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat’l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
30	C06799962	<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel concerning a draft document about Ms. Haspel's career for public release as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal deliberations. This document contains proposed revisions and assessments of draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft document.</p>	4/19/2018	2	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
31	C06799989	<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel</p>	4/27/2018	5	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with draft responses attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations related to responses to Senate requests for information. This document contains recommendations for draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations related to the Senate inquiries.			(b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	
32	C06799994	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency	4/27/2018	44	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>component personnel forwarding Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for a response. This email also attached documents pertaining to the inquiries for reference. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to responses to Senate requests for information. This document contains assessments concerning how to respond to the Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal</p>			<p>(b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)</p>	

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		agency deliberations on the response to the Senate inquiries.				
33	C06799996	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, location, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional correspondence related to a request for review and comment concerning a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on the review of the draft	5/8/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		document. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).				
34	C06800002	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior CIA Officials providing a letter in response to a Senator's inquiry and a completed Senate Questionnaire. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, intelligence methods, covert locations, information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(6) also protects personal identifying information for Ms. Haspel and the names and email addresses of Senate staff personnel.	5/5/2018	21	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)	RIP
35	C06800012	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency	5/11/2018	93	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>component personnel concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with documents attached for reference. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, code words, classification and dissemination control markings). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations for a draft response to a Senate inquiry and disclosure of these communications would reveal</p>			<p>(b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)</p>	

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		internal agency deliberations on the Senate inquiry responses.				
36	C06800025	This document consists of various email exchanges between Agency personnel and CIA attorneys concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft responses. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	4/30/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
37	C06800041	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel concerning White House review of draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft responses attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations between the Agency and the White House. This document contains draft responses with track changes and assessments of the proposed language. Disclosure of this document would reveal Agency and White House deliberations on the draft responses. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to	5/4/2018	12	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		protect identifying information of White House personnel.				
38	C068000069	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel forwarding Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for a response. This email also attached documents pertaining to the inquiries for reference. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to responses to Senate requests for information. This document	4/28/2018	10	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		contains assessments concerning how to respond to the Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the Senate requests.				
39	C06800082	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for a response. This email also attached the draft response. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for a response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft	4/30/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		response. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the names and email addresses of Senate staff members.				
40	C06800098	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing recommendations for a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of a proposed draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal	4/30/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		agency deliberations on the Senate inquiry. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
41	C06800100	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel providing a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations concerning a proposed response to a Senate inquiry. This document contains a draft language for a proposed response. Disclosure of this	4/27/2018	9	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a response to the Senate inquiry.				
42	C06800102	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with draft responses attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for a proposed response. Disclosure of this document would reveal	4/27/2018	7	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to Senate inquiries.				
43	C06800105	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions concerning a proposed response to Senate requests for information. This document contains draft language for a response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency	4/27/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3)Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		deliberations on the proposed Senate responses.				
44	C06800107	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations concerning a proposed response to Senate requests for information. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft	4/30/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations the Senate inquiries. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
45	C06800455	This document is an email exchange from an Agency employee to senior Agency employees providing a draft document regarding excerpts from Ms. Haspel’s opening statement before the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence for review with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains a draft document submitted for review and comment prior to distribution. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal	5/8/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		agency deliberations on the draft opening statement.				
46	C06800477	This document consists of an email exchange between a CIA attorney and a senior CIA attorney providing recommendations for a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document assessments and recommendations regarding a	4/27/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on the draft response to the Senate inquiry.				
47	C06800517	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel concerning how to respond to an internal request for information regarding Ms. Haspel in support of her nomination for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (covert personnel, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains assessments and	3/29/2018	4	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'I Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		recommendations regarding the request for information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on the request for information.				
48	C06800575	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft responses attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions concerning a proposed response	4/27/2018	7	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		to Senate requests for information. This document contains draft language for a response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to Senate inquiries.				
49	C06800584	This document consists of various email exchanges between CIA attorneys concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft	4/30/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft responses. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
50	C06800594	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials providing recommendations for draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal	5/3/2018	7	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		Agency deliberations. This document contains assessments and recommendations concerning draft responses to particular inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to Senate inquiries.				
51	C06800597	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials concerning a draft document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document	4/8/2018	9	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		contains draft language submitted for review. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on the draft document.				
52	C06800599	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials providing draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes	4/15/2018	6	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		and proposed edits to draft language for a response to a Senate inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on the draft response to the Senate inquiry.				
53	C06800698	This document is an email communication between Agency personnel proposing CIA conduct outreach to a particular media point of contact concerning Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains discussion proposing media engagement on a particular topic. Disclosure of this document would reveal preliminary internal Agency deliberations on potential media engagement.	3/28/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
54	C06800736	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel regarding draft responses to a media inquiry	4/24/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		about Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect internal Agency deliberations. The document contained draft language and assessments of the draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal preliminary internal Agency deliberations on a response to the media inquiry.				
55	C06801091	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel regarding draft talking points concerning Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director with draft talking points attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. The email contains recommendations and assessments for the draft	3/16/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		attached document. The attachment contains draft language reflecting the recommendations and assessments. Disclosure of this document would reveal preliminary internal Agency deliberations on the draft talking points.				
56	C06801321	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials and White House officials providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review with attached draft responses. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions concerning proposed responses to Senate requests for information. The attached document contains track changes to draft language for responses to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal	5/14/2018	69	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		deliberations between the Agency and White House on the Senate inquiries.				
57	C06801335	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing recommendations for a proposed response to a media inquiry about Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations regarding a draft response. This document contains proposed recommendations and edits to a draft written response to a media inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal pre-decisional internal agency deliberations on a response to the media inquiry.	4/19/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
58	C06801441	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing	3/16/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		proposed media talking points about Ms. Haspel’s nominations with attached draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains proposed talking points. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft talking points.				
59	C06801443	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing proposed media talking points regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains proposed talking points	3/15/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		and assessment of the proposed language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on draft talking points.				
60	C06801449	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing recommendations and assessments for a draft email to supporters regarding Ms. Haspel's position as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft email.	4/27/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
61	C06801453	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel providing comments on and circulating a draft response to a media inquiry	4/25/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		regarding Ms. Haspel’s nomination. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains comments and a draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on the draft response to a media inquiry.				
62	C06801584	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel providing comments on and circulating a draft response to a media inquiry regarding Ms. Haspel’s nomination. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains comments and a draft response. Disclosure	4/17/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a draft response to a media inquiry.				
63	C06801825	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing revisions to proposed media talking points regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains proposed talking points. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the proposed talking points.	3/15/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
64	C06801850	This document consists of email communications from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney providing a legal position on an issue concerning how to respond to a media inquiry pertaining to Ms. Haspel as the CIA Director nominee and attaching	3/14/2018	40	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>documents relating to the legal position. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (covert personnel). Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between the Office of General Counsel (OGC) personnel concerning how to respond media inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a response to a media inquiry. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
65	C06801857	<p>This document consists of email communications between CIA Attorneys regarding whether certain information about Ms. Haspel's Agency career is classified. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions about the classification of specific information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on this classification issue.</p>	3/14/2018	3	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
66	C06801861	This document consists of email communications from a CIA Attorney to a Senior Agency official discussing a legal position on a particular Agency specific issue about Ms. Haspel as the CIA Director nominee. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions and legal assessments regarding an Agency specific issue about Ms. Haspel as the CIA Director nominee and	3/30/2018	4	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a this issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by OGC attorneys that was solicited by an Agency component.				
67	C06801923	This document consists of email communications from a CIA Attorney to a Senior Agency official providing a draft document discussing a legal position on a particular Agency specific declassification issue regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with draft document attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence sources and methods (intelligence methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, Agency	4/20/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		personnel contact information, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions and legal assessments regarding a declassification issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on this issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
68	C06801976	This document consists of an email communication from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods	5/11/2018	7	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		(intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for responses to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on the draft responses to Senate inquiries.				
69	C06802079	This document consists of an email communication from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney providing draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect	4/27/2018	11	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for responses to an inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to a Senate inquiry.				
70	C06802090	This document consists of an email communication from a Senior CIA Attorney to a CIA Attorney providing recommendations for draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review and the attached draft responses. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3)	5/11/2018	9	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		(CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. The document contains comments, recommendations and track changes regarding draft language for responses to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to a Senate inquiry.				
71	C06802092	This document consists of an email communication from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney regarding the review of draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review and the attached draft responses. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources	5/11/2018	18	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for responses to Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on Senate inquiries.				
72	C06802097	This document consists of various email exchanges between Agency personnel and between CIA attorneys concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect	4/30/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
73	C06802099	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing recommendations for draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence	4/30/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments regarding draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to a Senate inquiry. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
74	C06802125	This document consists of email communications from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney regarding a draft document discussing a legal position on a declassification issue regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for	4/27/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>CIA Director with the draft document attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence sources and methods (intelligence methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, Agency personnel contact information, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions and legal assessments regarding a particular declassification issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a declassification issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
75	C06802140	This document consists of email communications between Agency personnel and a CIA Attorney regarding whether certain information about Ms. Haspel's career is classified. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, Agency personnel contact information, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions about the classification of specific information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal	3/14/2018	2	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		agency deliberations concerning Ms. Haspel's career.				
76	C06802275	This document consists of an email communication between Agency personnel regarding recommendations and review of a draft biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director for publication. The draft document is attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains comments, recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal	3/20/2018	10	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		Agency deliberations on a draft biographical document.				
77	C06802284	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior CIA Officials regarding discussion and providing recommendations concerning a draft document on the potential declassification and public release of information regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, official title, telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel and disclosure would reveal internal Agency	3/29/2018	7	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		deliberations on a particular declassification and public release issue.				
78	C06802288	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior CIA Officials regarding discussion and providing recommendations concerning a draft document on the potential declassification and public release of information regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, official title, telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel and disclosure would	3/29/2018	7	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular declassification and public release issue.				
79	C06802319	This document consists of an email communication between Agency personnel regarding recommendations and review of a draft biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director for publication. The draft document is attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, official title, office location, telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency	3/20/2018	10	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		personnel and disclosure would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a draft biographical document.				
80	C06802321	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior CIA Officials, including Agency counsel, regarding discussion and providing recommendations concerning a draft document on the potential declassification and public release of information regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence methods, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, Agency identification numbers, official title, office location, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect	3/30/2018	13	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		pre-decisional discussions related to the information contained within a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a particular declassification and public release issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
81	C06802326	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying	3/30/2018	9	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document written in response to a Senator's inquiry. This document contains recommendations and assessments of the draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a draft letter.				
82	C06802328	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry regarding Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked	3/30/2018	4	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document. This document contains recommendations, assessments and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation regarding the draft letter written in response to a Senator’s inquiry.				
83	C06802390	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator’s inquiry regarding Ms. Haspel’s nomination with draft letter attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft	4/7/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		document. This document contains recommendations, assessments, track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation regarding the draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry.				
84	C06802397	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel providing proposed edits and comments for a draft opening statement written for Ms. Haspel as CIA Director nominee in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to public release. The draft document is attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address, Agency and Agency identification numbers, official title). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document. This document contains track changes and proposed edits. Disclosure of this document would reveal	4/18/2018	15	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		internal Agency deliberation regarding the draft opening statement.				
85	C06802455	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior CIA attorneys discussing an assessment of a particular Agency specific legal issue about Ms. Haspel as the CIA Director nominee. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains legal recommendations and assessments regarding an Agency specific issue about Ms. Haspel as the CIA Director	3/22/2018	2	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		nominee and disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a this issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
86	C06802458	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel commenting on revisions and proposed edits for letters written in response to a Senator's inquiry with letters attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activity, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to	4/3/2018	8	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains assessments and revisions made to a document prior to its finalization. Disclosure of these communications would reveal internal agency deliberations on a written response to a Senator's inquiry.				
87	C06802502	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior CIA Attorneys providing proposed edits to a letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, position title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes, recommendations, and proposed edits. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a	4/17/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		written response to a Senator's inquiry. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
88	C06802507	This document contains email exchanges between a Senior Agency employee and a CIA attorney discussing whether to document the basis for a particular decision concerning a declassification issue regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification number and contact information). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments and disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on the declassification issue. In addition, the attorney client	4/18/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
89	C06802574	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with draft document attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names , Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and draft responses to Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document	4/27/2018	11	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		would reveal internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to Senate inquiries.				
90	C06802578	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names , Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to Senate inquiries.	4/27/2018	9	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
91	C06802583	This document consists of an email from a Senior CIA Attorney to Agency component personnel regarding whether certain information about Ms. Haspel’s career is classified. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity and intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification number and email address). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. The document contains deliberations on a particular classification issue and disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on this issue.	3/16/2018	2	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat’l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
92	C06802652	<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations and assessments for draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names , Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning draft responses to a Senate inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft responses.</p>	4/27/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
93	C06802674	This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, concerning a draft document on the potential declassification and public release of information regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains the proposed preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency	3/29/2018	16	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		deliberations on the draft document. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
<p>94</p>	<p>C06802677</p>	<p>This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, concerning a draft document on the potential declassification and public release of information regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to</p>	<p>3/30/2018</p>	<p>16</p>	<p>(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)</p>	<p>DIF</p>

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains the proposed preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft document. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
95	C06802707	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, concerning a draft document on the potential declassification and public release of information regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or	3/29/2018	15	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains the proposed preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft document. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
96	C06802711	This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel regarding the potential declassification and public release of information regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for	3/23/2018	24	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>CIA Director with the documents for review attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations regarding possible release of the draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations about the declassification and public release of information.</p>				
97	C06802799	<p>This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing recommendations for draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director.</p>	4/27/2018	10	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to Senate inquiries. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
98	C06802813	<p>This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, regarding the potential declassification and public release of information regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency analysis, recommendations and deliberations and disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on potential declassification and public release of information. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to</p>	3/28/2018	6	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
99	C06802819	This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, regarding the potential declassification and public release of information regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains the proposed preparation of a document for	3/30/2018	16	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on potential declassification and public release of information. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
100	C06802898	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing recommendations for a draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers,	4/27/2018	7	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal CIA attorney deliberations. This document contains recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to Senate inquiries. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
101	C06802911	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, seeking legal advice regarding draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect	4/27/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on draft responses to Senate inquiries. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect this document, which consists of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney that was solicited by an Agency component.</p>				
102	C06802913	<p>This document is an email from a CIA attorney to Agency personnel providing legal advice and recommendations regarding draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence</p>	4/27/2018	10	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains draft responses and recommendations. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on draft responses to Senate inquiries. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect this document, which consists of legal advice provided by an OGC attorney that was solicited by an Agency component.				
103	C06802920	This document is an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing comments and recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were	4/17/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes, comments, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a draft letter written in response to a Senator’s inquiry. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.				
104	C06802946	This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing recommendations and comments for a draft document regarding the potential declassification and public release of information regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft document attached.	4/19/2018	6	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat’l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and comments between Agency personnel concerning a legal issue and track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a declassification issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>				
105	C06802948	This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing legal advice and recommendations for a draft	4/18/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		response to a letter from a U.S. Senator regarding Ms. Haspel's nomination with the draft letter attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and official titles, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between OGC personnel concerning a draft response to a letter from a U.S. Senator. Additionally, this document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. The attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney.				
106	C06802950	This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing legal advice and recommendations for draft response to a letter from a U.S. Senator regarding Ms. Haspel's	4/19/2018	91	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		nomination with documents cited by a CIA attorney attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and official titles, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between OGC personnel concerning a draft response to a letter from a U.S. Senator and disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on this draft documents. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney.				
107	C06802952	This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing legal advice and recommendations for draft response to a letter from a U.S. Senator regarding Ms. Haspel's nomination with the draft letter attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA	4/17/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and official titles, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between OGC personnel concerning a draft response to a letter from a U.S. Senator. Additionally, this document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a draft response. The attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney.				
108	C06802988	This document is an email between Agency personnel providing recommendations for document classification with documents attached for review. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence sources and	5/3/2018	16	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		methods (intelligence activity, covert location). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, email addresses, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the classification of a document.				
109	C06849100	This document consists of draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a responses to Senate inquiries.	4/28/2018	8	(b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
110	C06849103	<p>This document contains draft language and recommendations for an article about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. The draft document includes information about Ms. Haspel's career for review and edit. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (covert locations, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and official titles). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on an article about Ms. Haspel.</p>	unknown	18	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
111	C06849108	This document is a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry about Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry.	3/26/2018	2	(b)(5)	DIF
112	C06849110	This is a draft document regarding information about Ms. Haspel's Agency career for public release. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft document.	Unknown	1	(b)(5)	DIF
113	C06849112	This is a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that contains edits and comments for revision prior to publication. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect	5/03/2018	4	(b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains track changes, comments, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft factsheet.				
114	C06849116	This is a draft biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director document that contains edits and comments for revision prior to publication. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activity, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, functions, official titles). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this	Unknown	4	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
 Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a draft biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel.				
115	C06849125	This document consists of draft talking points to address Senate inquiries which was drafted for review and advice regarding the matters expressed in the document in preparation for Ms. Haspel's Senate nomination hearings. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains handwritten notes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft talking points.	4/20/2018	36	(b)(5)	DIF
116	C06849130	This document consists of draft language for a letter drafted by Agency personnel in response to a Senate inquiry about Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this	4/24/2018	2	(b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a draft response to a Senate inquiry.				
117	C06849132	This document consists of draft language for a letter drafted by Agency personnel in response to a Senate inquiry about Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language for a letter. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a draft response to a Senate inquiry. Exemption (b)(6) was	4/29/2018	10	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		asserted to protect the names of Senate Staff members.				
118	C06849177	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft opening statement.	4/6/2018	10	(b)(5)	DIF
119	C06849179	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency	4/6/2018	8	(b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		deliberations on the draft opening statement.				
120	C06849181	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft opening statement.	4/6/2018	14	(b)(5)	DIF
121	C06849183	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency	4/6/2018	8	(b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		deliberations on the draft opening statement.				
122	C06849185	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft opening statement.	4/18/2018	12	(b)(5)	DIF
123	C06849187	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft opening statement.	5/3/2018	11	(b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
124	C06849189	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for a written document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on the draft opening statement.	5/8/2018	9	(b)(5)	DIF
125	C06849191	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's statement for the record for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains handwritten notes and draft language for a written document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on draft language for Ms. Haspel's statement for the record.	5/9/2018	4	(b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
126	C06849195	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel discussing a specific issue regarding whether to include particular information in a publication about Ms. Haspel's career. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations on how to address a specific issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal preliminary internal Agency deliberations on information included in a publication.	3/22/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
127	C06849210	This document consists of draft document from CIA Attorneys to a Senior Agency Official providing legal guidance on a particular Agency specific issue regarding Ms. Haspel's CIA Director nomination. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O.	4/17/2018	8	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency discussions related to a legal issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations regarding Ms. Haspel's CIA Director nomination. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by CIA attorneys that was solicited by Agency officials.</p>				
128	C06849452	<p>This document consists of email exchanges between Agency and White House personnel circulating a draft document written in response to a media inquiry about Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked</p>	5/8/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)
Central Intelligence Agency Second Supplemental *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and contact information). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and White House regarding the draft document. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the names of White House personnel.				
129	C06849592 C06912943	This document consists of a letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with the attachment of an internal Agency personnel document. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, organization, functions, and official titles).	5/15/2018	11	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP