

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
<b>HIGHLY SENSITIVE DOCUMENT FILINGS</b>					
1	HSD	595-1	Pifs' Brief in support of Motion for Summary Judgment	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on p. 31); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
2	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 074 - Excerpts from A-file of a Named Plaintiff	[M]ay be filed under seal. See Dkt. No. 274 at 5-6.	Filed under seal
3 (Dup. Docs 56, 62)	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 076 - Gairson Expert Report	[Certain information] do[es] not need to be redacted unless it is a specific, granular explanation of CARRP []. Internal mechanics of CARRP, e.g., in paragraph 80, do not need to be redacted because they are highly generalized. Other paragraphs, e.g. paragraphs 81, 98, 100, 132, 133, 182 can be redacted as they discuss specific indicators or resources. Form N-400 worksheet can be redacted in whole. Exhibits D, E, G appear to be individualized applications of CARRP and may be filed under seal. See Dkt. No. 274 at 5-6.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 28, 31-49); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
4	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 077 - Excerpts from A-file of a Named Plaintiff	[M]ay be filed under seal. See Dkt. No. 274 at 5-6.	Filed under seal
5	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 078 - CARRP Worksheets from A-file of a <i>Wagafe</i> class member	[M]ay be filed under seal. See Dkt. No. 274 at 5-6.	Filed under seal
6	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 081 - Excerpts from A-file of a Named Plaintiff	[M]ay be filed under seal. See Dkt. No. 274 at 5-6.	Filed under seal
7	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 082 - Excerpts from A-file of a Named Plaintiff	[M]ay be filed under seal. See Dkt. No. 274 at 5-6.	Filed under seal
8	HSD	-	Pasq. Ex. 084 - Excerpts from A-file of a Named Plaintiff	[M]ay be filed under seal. See Dkt. No. 274 at 5-6.	Filed under seal
9	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 085 - Excerpts from A-file of a Named Plaintiff	[M]ay be filed under seal. See Dkt. No. 274 at 5-6.	Filed under seal
10	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 086 - Excerpts from T-file of a Named Plaintiff	[M]ay be filed under seal. See Dkt. No. 274 at 5-6.	Filed under seal
11	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 087 - Transcript of Named Plaintiff's Adjustment of Status Interview (DEF-00358660)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
12	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 088, Bajoghli Expert Report	Proposed redaction in paragraph 12 is approved [ ].	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on p. 7); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
13 (Dup. Docs 57, 63)	HSD	-	Pasquarella Ex. 089 - Ragland Expert Report	[Certain information] do[es] not need to be redacted unless it is a specific, granular explanation of CARRP []. Internal mechanics of CARRP, e.g., in paragraphs 69, 71-72, 114-15, do not need to be redacted because they are highly generalized. However, the second half of paragraph 70 can be redacted as it reflects specific indicators, and paragraphs 74-82, 84-103, 123, 133 can be redacted because they reflect a specific application. The first redaction in paragraph 122 is overruled for failure to establish a valid concern; the second redaction may remain due to concerns regarding interagency communication and cooperation. Paragraph 136 and footnote 1 do not need to be redacted because they are highly generalized and Defendants have not established a valid concern. Exhibit C may not be redacted for failure to establish a valid concern.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 46-49, 62-64); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
14	HSD	595-3	3d Declaration of Jennie Pasquarella	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp.1-3); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
15	HSD	595-4	Pifs' Reply in support of Motion for Summary Judgment	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 15 & 48); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
16	HSD	595-2	Defs' Opposition and Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 30 & 31); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
17	HSD	-	Busen Ex. 2 - Quinn 30(b)(6) Deposition Excerpt (Sept. 3, 2020)	Defendants fails to establish valid concerns regarding all redactions except for page 4 line 18 to page 6 line 11, and except for reference to [certain information] in the context of a specific, granular explanation of CARRP [].	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 4, 6, & 11); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
18	HSD	-	Busen Ex. 21 - Excerpts from A-file of a Named Plaintiff	Defendants fail to establish a valid concern; can be filed publicly but with any necessary redactions under LCR 5.2(a), including photos.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 2-30 (footers only)); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
19	HSD	-	Busen Ex. 23 - Excerpts from A-file of a Named Plaintiff	Defendants fail to establish a valid concern; can be filed publicly but with any necessary redactions under LCR 5.2(a), including photos.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 2-17 (footers only)); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
20	HSD	-	Busen Ex. 24 - Excerpts from T-file of a Named Plaintiff	Defendants fail to establish a valid concern; can be filed publicly but with any necessary redactions under LCR 5.2(a), including photos.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 2-12 (footers only)); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
21	HSD	-	Busen Ex. 27 - Excerpts from A-file of a Named Plaintiff	[Defendants] fail to establish a valid concern; can be filed publicly but with any necessary redactions under LCR 5.2(a), including photos.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 2-9 (footers only)); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
22	HSD	-	Busen Ex. 28 - Excerpts from A-file of a Named Plaintiff	[Defendants] fail to establish a valid concern; can be filed publicly but with any necessary redactions under LCR 5.2(a), including photos.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 2-19 (footers only)); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
23	HSD	-	Busen Ex. 29 - Excerpts from A-files of a Named Plaintiff	[Defendants] fail to establish a valid concern; can be filed publicly but with any necessary redactions under LCR 5.2(a), including photos.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 2-27 (footers) and highlighted text in body of p. 24); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
24	HSD	-	Busen Ex. 30 - Declaration of Andrew J. Davidson	Other than whether individuals were subject to CARRP review, redactions overruled because Defendants fail to establish a valid concern.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
25	HSD	595-5	Defs' Reply in support of Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Submitted for reconsideration by Defendants (red highlighted text on pp. 6-8 & 13); See Dkt. 640-Dkt. 643
<b>SEALED FILINGS RE: PLFS' MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT SISKIN</b>					
26	463	460	Plfs' Motion to Exclude Siskin	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	No action required -- Court will unseal
27	462	-	Handeyside Exhibit A - Siskin Expert Report (Feb. 2020)	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
28 (Dup. Docs 44, 60, 121, 164)	462	-	Handeyside Exhibit B - Siskin Amended Expert Report (July 2020)	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Unsealed and filed publicly
29	462	-	Handeyside Exhibit C - Siskin Deposition Excerpt (Oct. 2020)	Proposed redactions approved, as they are limited and country specific.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
30	462	-	Handeyside Exhibit D - Sageman Responsive Expert Report (August 2020)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
31 (Dup. Docs 45, 59, 185)	462	-	Handeyside Exhibit E - Siskin Responsive Expert Report (Oct. 2020)	Can be filed publicly except for the redactions on page 37 (specific fields).	Submitted for clarification by Defendants; See Dkts. 637 & 638
32 (Dup. Doc 102)	462	-	Handeyside Exhibit F - Sageman Expert Report	Proposed redactions approved only as to the latter half of paragraph 22 and paragraphs 26-28 as they involve specific indicators. Other redactions denied for failure to establish a valid concern.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
33	485	487	Defs' Opposition to Motion to Exclude Siskin	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	The parties consent to unsealing and publication by the Court
34	486	-	Taranto Declaration	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
35	486	-	Taranto Ex. 1 - Renaud Deposition Excerpt	Proposed redactions approved only as to page 17, as they implicate intergovernmental cooperation. Other redactions denied for failure to establish a valid concern.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
36	486	-	Taranto Ex. 3 - Quinn 30(b)(6) Deposition Excerpt (Sept. 3, 2020)	Proposed redactions approved as to pages 8, 13 (except lines 14-15, see Doc. 16 at 25-26), 15, 17, 20, 21. These passages contain sensitive information regarding USCIS investigative processes, contain law enforcement sensitive information regarding the extent to which third agency information is the basis for NS concerns/determinations and CARRP referrals, and contain law enforcement sensitive information regarding data fields for KST entries in Third Agency databases.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
37	486	-	Taranto Ex. 4 - Quinn Deposition Excerpt (Jan. 2020)	Proposed redactions approved except as to page 8 lines 5 through 22, for which Defendants have failed to establish a valid concern. These passages contain sensitive information regarding USCIS investigative processes, contain law enforcement sensitive information regarding the extent to which third agency information is the basis for NS concerns/determinations and CARRP referrals, and contain law enforcement sensitive information regarding data fields for KST entries in Third Agency databases.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
<b>SEALED FILINGS RE: DEFS' MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT KRUSKOL</b>					
38	475	471	Deffs' Motion to Exclude Kruskol	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	The parties consent to unsealing and publication by the Court
39	476	473	Murphy Declaration	[Approved]	No action required - unredacted public copy already on file (Dkt. 473)
40 (Dup. Doc 97)	476	-	Murphy Ex. A - Kruskol Supplemental Declaration (March 2021)	Redactions approved only as to Exhibits BV, BW, BX, and CB due to concerns regarding identification of individualized applications of CARRP.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
41 (Dup. Doc 122)	476	-	Murphy Ex. B - Kruskol Supplemental Expert Report (July 2020)	Redactions approved due to concerns regarding field identification and identification of individualized applications of CARRP, except for the numbers and percentages in Exhibits AD and AH, for which Defendants have failed to establish a valid concern.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
42	476	-	Murphy Ex. C - Kruskol Second Supplemental Expert Report (September 2020)	Redactions approved due to concerns regarding field identification and identification of individualized applications of CARRP, except for the concern types listed on, e.g., page 7 lines 7-8, 16-18, for which Defendants have failed to establish a valid concern and/or the content is already public. See Dkt. No. 431 at 4-5 n.1.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
43	476	473	Murphy Ex. D - Kruskol Deposition Excerpt	Proposed redactions approved as to page 18 (country specific data), page 38 line 1-7 (investigative processes); other redactions overruled because Defendants have failed to establish a valid concern and/or the content is already public.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
44 (Dup. Docs 28, 60, 121, 164)	476	see 523-5	Murphy Ex. E - Siskin Amended Expert Report (July 2020)	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	See Duplicate Doc 28
45 (Dup. Doc 31, 59, 185)	476	see 563-3	Murphy Ex. F - Siskin Responsive Expert Report (Oct. 2020)	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	See Duplicate Doc 31
46	493	490	Plffs' Opposition to Motion to Exclude Kruskol	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 10-11 granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP, also tends to reveal CARRP status of specific applicants
47	494		Ahmed Ex. A - Kruskol Expert Report (Feb. 2020)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
48	495		Ahmed Ex. F - Shinaberry 30(b)(6) Deposition Excerpt	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
49	506	508	Deffs' Reply in support of Motion to Exclude Kruskol	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	The parties consent to unsealing and publication by the Court
50	507	509	Taranto Declaration	[Approved]	No action required - unredacted public copy already on file (Dkt. 509)

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
51	507		Taranto Ex. 1 - Renaud Deposition Excerpt	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
52	507	509	Taranto Ex. 2 - Shinaberry 30(b)(6) Deposition Excerpt	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Unsealed and filed publicly
53	507	509	Taranto Ex. 3 - Kruskol Deposition Excerpts	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Unsealed and filed publicly
<b>SEALED FILINGS RE: DEFS' MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERTS ARASTU,</b>					
54	480		Busen Declaration	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
55 (Dup. Doc 64)	480		Busen Ex. A - Arastu Expert Report	Proposed redactions approved only as to paragraphs 52, 67, 69, 71, 84, as they are country specific or describe law-enforcement-sensitive and specific indicators or investigative processes.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
56 (Dup. Docs 3, 62)	480		Busen Ex. B - Gairson Expert Report	[Certain information] do[es] not need to be redacted unless it is a specific, granular explanation of CARRP []. Internal mechanics of CARRP, e.g., in paragraph 80, do not need to be redacted because they are highly generalized. The agency names in paragraph 79 (first and fourth lines) and 80 (second to last line), and paragraphs 81, 98, 100, 132, 133, 135, 146, 159, 160, and Exhibits C, D, E, G on the other hand, can be redacted as they discuss specific indicators or processes or are individualized applications of CARRP.	See Duplicate Doc 3
57 (Dup. Docs 13, 63)	480		Busen Ex. C - Ragland Expert Report	[Certain information] do[es] not need to be redacted unless it is a specific, granular explanation of CARRP []. Highly generalized descriptions of CARRP, e.g., in paragraphs 69, 71-72, 114-15, do not need to be redacted. However, the second half of paragraph 70, lines 10-11 of paragraph 122 can be redacted as they reflect law-enforcement-sensitive and specific indicators/processes, and paragraphs 74-103 and 133 can be redacted because they reflect a specific application.	See Duplicate Doc 13
58	480	478	Busen Ex. F - Ragland Deposition Excerpt	Proposed redactions approved, as they are limited and involve specific application.	No action required - redacted public copy already on file (Dkt. 478)
59 (Dup. Docs 31, 45, 185)	480	see 523-5	Busen Ex. G - Siskin Responsive Expert Report (Oct. 2020)	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	See Duplicate Doc 31
60 (Dup. Docs 28, 44, 121, 164)	480	see 563-3	Busen Ex. H - Siskin Amended Expert Report (July 2020)	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	See Duplicate Doc 28
61	499	497	Plfs' Opposition to Motion to Exclude Arastu, Gairson & Ragland	Proposed redactions in green highlight approved, as they are limited and involve specific application.	No action required - redacted public copy already on file (Dkt. 497)
62 (Dup. Docs 3, 56)	500		Hyatt Ex. A - Gairson Expert Report	[Certain information] do[es] not need to be redacted unless it is a specific, granular explanation of CARRP []. Internal mechanics of CARRP, e.g., in the first half of paragraph 80, do not need to be redacted because they are highly generalized. The agency names in paragraph 79 (first and fourth lines) and 80 (second to last line), and paragraphs 81, 98, 100, 132, 133, 135, 146, 159, 160, and Exhibits C, D, E, G on the other hand, can be redacted as they discuss specific indicators or processes or are individualized applications of CARRP.	See Duplicate Doc 3

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
63 (Dup. Docs 13, 57)	500		Hyatt Ex. B - Ragland Expert Report	[Certain information] do[es] not need to be redacted unless it is a specific, granular explanation of CARRP []. Highly generalized descriptions of CARRP, e.g., in paragraphs 69, 71-72, 114-15, do not need to be redacted. However, the second half of paragraph 70, lines 10-11 of paragraph 122 can be redacted as they reflect law-enforcement-sensitive specific indicators/processes, and paragraphs 74-103 and 133 can be redacted because they reflect a specific application.	See Duplicate Doc 13
64 (Dup. Docs 55, 74)	500		Hyatt Ex. C - Arastu Expert Report	Proposed redactions approved only as to paragraphs 52, 67, 69, 71, 84, as they are country specific or describe sensitive and specific indicators or investigative processes.	See Duplicate Doc 55
65	500		Hyatt Ex. E - Quinn 30(b)(6) Deposition Excerpt (Sept. 3, 2020)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
<b>SEALED FILINGS RE: PLFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT &amp;</b>					
66	472	470	Pasquarella Declaration	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
67	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 1 - Quinn Deposition Excerpt (Jan. 2020)	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
68	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 2 - Renaud Deposition Excerpt	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
69	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 3 - Talking Points on USCIS Background Checks	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
70	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 5 - 2005 DHS IG Report Reviewing USCIS Alien Security Checks	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
71	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 6 - "CARRP Overview Lecture" Training Slides	The following may be redacted: last 4 bullets of page 22, last 3 bullets of page 23, last bullet on page 28.	Unsealed and filed publicly (info covered by court-permitted redactions has been released publicly elsewhere)
72	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 7 - Defs' Objections and Responses to Plffs' First Requests for Admission	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
73	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 8 - Quinn 30(b)(6) Deposition Excerpt (Sept. 3, 2020)	Proposed redactions approved only as to pages 44-45, 62-68 as well as names at the end, as they include specific application.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
74 (Dup. Doc 55, 64)	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 9 - Arastu Expert Report	Proposed redactions approved only as to paragraphs 52, 67, 69, 71, 84, as they are country specific or describe sensitive and specific indicators or investigative processes.	See Duplicate Doc 55
75	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 10 - Lombardi Deposition Excerpt	Proposed redactions approved only as to pages 9-10, as they are limited and involve specific application.	Submitted for clarification by Defendants; See Dkts. 637 & 638
76	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 11 - Heffron Deposition Excerpt	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
77	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 12 - Benavides Deposition Excerpt	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
78	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 13 - April 11, 2008 Memo regarding Policy for Vetting and Adjudicating Cases with National Security Concerns	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
79	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 14 - USCIS Domestic Operations Directorate CARRP Workflows	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
80	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 15 - Introduction to Operations, Summer 2014 slides	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
81	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 16 - CARRP training slides (updated June 2017)	Constitutes training as to specific applications of CARRP; may remain under seal.	No action required - document will remain sealed
82	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 17 - June 2009 Neufeld Memo	Proposed redactions approved only as to page 8 (internal processes/field).	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
83	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 18 -Supplemental Guidance, Revision of Responsibilities for CARRP Cases Involving Known or Suspected Terrorists	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
84	472-1		Pasquarella Ex. 19 - CARRP training slides (updated Dec. 2015)	Constitutes training as to specific applications of CARRP; may remain under seal.	No action required - document will remain sealed
85	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 20 - "FDNS Immigration Officer Journeyman Course" training slides (undated)	Can be filed publicly other than pages 69, 73-84, 97, 113, 121-127, 138-141, 168-189, 199-204, 206-208, 214-15, 223-229, which identify law-enforcement-sensitive and specific law enforcement processes or indicators.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
86	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 21 - "National Security Branch Overview" training slides (August 2007)	Can be filed publicly other than pages 8-11, 13, 16 which identify sensitive and specific law enforcement processes or indicators.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
87	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 22 - "CARRP Deconfliction, Internal and External Vetting" training slides (undated)	Can be filed publicly other than pages 25, 30-64, 68-78, 80-81, 96, 110-111, 114-121, 182-183 which include sensitive and specific law enforcement processes/training or country-specific information.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
88	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 23 - FDNS Officer Basic Training - Instructor Guide	Can be filed publicly other than pages 12-20, 30-31, 34-36, 42-45 which include sensitive and specific law enforcement training/processes/fields.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
89	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 24 - FDNS Officer Basic Training - Participant Guide	Can be filed publicly other than pages 17-22, the last section on page 34 through the first half of page 49, and page 57 starting with the bulleted section through the middle of page 59, which include sensitive and specific law enforcement training/processes/fields.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
90 (Dup. Doc 175)	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 25 - CARRP Operational Guidance for Vetting and Adjudicating Cases with National Security Concerns (undated)	Can be filed publicly other than page 7 footnote 8, pages 11 Section B(1) to page 14, 17 to the first heading on page 19, the first heading on page 23 to page 25, and 42 up until page 43 Section C, which include sensitive and specific law enforcement training/processes/fields. The internal email on page 34 may also be redacted.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
91	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 26- CARRP Officer Training (undated)	Constitutes training as to specific applications of CARRP; may remain under seal.	No action required - document will remain sealed
92	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 27 - CARRP Adjudicator Training (undated)	Can be filed publicly other than pages 89, 117, 119-123, 149-153, 157-159, 185-187, 190-191, 196-198, 205-206, 212-216, 246, 249-258, 274-281, 299-302, which include sensitive and specific law enforcement training/processes/fields. The internal email on pages 109-110 may also be redacted.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
93	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 28 - USCIS Handbook "National Background Identify and Security Checks Operating Procedures" (December 17, 2012)	Can be filed publicly other than pages 13, 21, 64-65, and 139 to the bulleted part of page 140, which include sensitive and specific law enforcement fields/processes.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions, but with missed LE privilege redaction over database code on p. 139
94	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 29 - Operational Guidance for Vetting and Adjudicating National Security Concerns (undated)	Can be filed publicly other than page 7 footnote 8, pages 11 Section B(1) to page 14, 17 to the first heading on page 19, the first heading on page 23 to page 25, and 42 up until page 43 Section C, which include sensitive and specific law enforcement training/processes/fields. The internal email on page 34 may also be redacted.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
95	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 30 - Internal USCIS email referencing number of national security cases received and closed during a particular time period	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
96	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 31 - Standard Operating Procedures, Senior Leadership Review Board	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
97 (Dup. Doc 40)	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 32 - Kruskol Supplemental Declaration (March 2021)	Redactions approved only as to Exhibits BV, BW, BX, and CB due to concerns regarding identification of individualized applications of CARRP.	See Duplicate Doc 40
98	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 33 - Emrich Deposition Excerpts	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
99	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 34 - "Evidentiary Standards; A Guide for Weighing Evidence in NS CONCERNS" training slides	Proposed redactions approved, as they involve specific applications.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
100	472-2		Pasquarella Ex. 35 - "Attachment A - Guidance for Identifying National Security Concerns"	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
101 (Dup. Doc 114)	472-3		Pasquarella Ex. 36 - CARRP training slides (updated December 2015)	Can be filed publicly other than pages 26, 52-57, the italicized portion of page 78, content in parentheses on page 95, pages 109-110, 115- 116, and 141-143, which include sensitive and specific law enforcement indicators/processes/fields.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
102 (Dup. Doc 32)	472-3		Pasquarella Ex. 37 - Sageman Expert Report	Proposed redactions approved only as to the latter half of paragraph 22 and paragraphs 26-28, as they involve specific indicators.	Same as Duplicate Doc 32
103	472-3		Pasquarella Ex. 38 - Danik Expert Report	Proposed redactions approved only as to paragraphs 91 and 100, as they involve granular interagency cooperation.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
104	472-3		Pasquarella Ex. 39 - CARRP training slides, "Identifying and Documenting NS Concerns (updated December 2017)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: p. 15 Granular details about law enforcement sensitive USCIS database for tracking NS concerns, including law-enforcement-sensitive details on how specific watchlisted individuals are treated and on how USCIS enters NS-related information in its data systems; p. 23, Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 24-26, 33, Identify specific law enforcement sensitive fields, the disclosure of which could facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the data; pp. 35 40 45 53 54-57, 60, 69, 70, 78, 79, Include granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 67 Contains granular and law enforcement sensitive details on how USCIS applies CARRP; pp. 101, 103, 104, 107, Law enforcement sensitive details on how USCIS enters NS-related information in its data systems; Identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields, the disclosure of which could enable or facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the data
105	472-4		Pasquarella Ex. 40 - Draft CARRP Enforcement Practice Proposal (Nov. 5, 2012)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
106	472-4		Pasquarella Ex. 41 - "Studies in National Security" describing significance of certain security check codes	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
107	472-4		Pasquarella Ex. 42 - CARRP training slides (updated June 2017)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: p. 23: Redacting document password (See Court-authorized redactions for Doc. 101 p. 78); p. 42: See Court-authorized redactions for Doc. 101 p. 95; p. 43: See Court-authorized redactions for Doc. 101 p.95; pp. 46-47, 68-69, 104-11, 113, 116-18, 150-54, 166-71, 179-80, 183-84, 186-87: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an individual's application for CARRP; pp. 49, 192-94, 199, 201, 205: Law enforcement sensitive details on how USCIS enters NS-related information in its data systems; Identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields, the disclosure of which could enable or facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the data; p. 57: Identifies specific law enforcement sensitive processes or indicators, including guidance to the type of scenarios that trigger investigative hits involving NS Activity; pp. 58-59: Identifies specific law enforcement sensitive indicators/processes/fields (See Court-authorized redactions for Doc 101 p. 109-110); pp. 65, 96-97, 99, 101: Identifies specific law enforcement sensitive indicators/processes/fields

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
108	472-4		Pasquarella Ex. 43 - National Security Indicator Training slides (updated August 2017)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: p. 56: See Court-authorized redactions for Doc 89 pp 34-49, Doc 90 pp 11-14, 23, Doc 92 pp 249-58, 274-81, 299-302, Doc 93 pp 64-65, Doc 94 pp 23-25; pp. 60-61, 63-68, 70-71, 80-83, 93, 101, 117, 119, 121-26, 142-43, 167-68, 175-78: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 69: See Court-authorized redactions for Doc 89 pp 34-49, Doc 90 pp 11-14, 23, Doc 92 pp 249-58, 274-81, 299-302, Doc 93 pp 64-65, Doc 94 pp 23-25; p. 77: Identifies specific and law-enforcement-sensitive processes or indicators; p. 105: Identifies specific and law-enforcement-sensitive processes or indicators; pp. 108-09: Granular details about law enforcement sensitive internal databases; Descriptions of security check process and types of info gleaned from such checks; pp. 118-19: See Court permitted redactions for Doc 86, p. 13, Doc 101, p. 148; pp. 157-62: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP
109	472-5		Pasquarella Ex. 44 - CARRP Training Program, Module 6: Review and Test Prep training slides (updated September 2020)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 10, 19, 43: Granular guidance on how USCIS identifies and documents NS concerns in its internal systems, also identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields, the disclosure of which could enable or facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the data; p. 11: Granular guidance on how USCIS identifies and documents NS concerns in its internal systems and processes law enforcement sensitive information from third party agencies; pp. 23-33, 45, 48-52: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 44: Granular guidance on the specific application of CARRP; will also cover missed LE privilege redaction over database code on p. 13
110	472-5		Pasquarella Ex. 45 - "National Background Identify and Security Checks Operating Procedures" (August 10, 2020)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 31, 72: Granular description of law enforcement sensitive security check process and types of info gleaned from such checks; p. 87: Identifies granular and law-enforcement-sensitive processes for locating and documenting information in internal databases; pp. 88-89, 91-94, 99-106, 108, 112-19, 122, 133-36: Identifies granular and law-enforcement-sensitive processes for locating and documenting information in internal databases and forms, without redactions, could be vulnerable to hacking, replicating and compromising integrity of systems; p. 113: Identifies granular and law-enforcement-sensitive processes for locating and documenting information in internal databases and forms; Also includes law-enforcement privileged database code missed for redaction at time of production; p. 133: Descriptions of security check process and types of information gleaned from such checks; pp. 139-40, 143-44, 146-47: Granular guidance on how USCIS classifies and processes law-enforcement-sensitive information received from third agencies; will also cover missed LE privilege redaction over database code on p. 113
111	472-5		Pasquarella Ex. 46 - "Training Points of Emphasis"	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Unsealed and filed publicly
112	472-5		Pasquarella Ex. 47 - "Cross-Section Analysis of Controlled Application Review and Resolution Program" (April 10, 2015)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: p. 5: Specific and law-enforcement-sensitive indicators for identifying NS concerns (See Court approved redactions to Doc 90, pp. 12-13); p. 7: Granular, law enforcement sensitive details about USCIS database for tracking NS concerns; p. 9: Granular guidance regarding the extent to which information from specific third agencies contribute to CARRP referrals; pp. 10-11: Granular guidance on how USCIS documents NS concerns in its internal systems
113	472-5		Pasquarella Ex. 48 - CARRP training slides, Module 3: CARRP Overview (updated Dec. 2015)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: p. 47: Granular guidance on how USCIS interacts with law enforcement agencies in applying CARRP; pp. 65-67, 69, 113-15: Granular guidance on how USCIS documents NS concerns in its internal systems; p. 80-85: Granular guidance on how USCIS applies CARRP; pp. 103-04: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 110-12, 132, 133: Screenshot of law enforcement sensitive database
114 (Dup. Doc 101)	472-5		Pasquarella Ex. 49 - CARRP training slides, Module 4: Identifying and Documenting NS concerns (updated Dec. 2015)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	See Duplicate Doc 101



Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
115	472-5		Pasquarella Ex. 50 - "CARRP Identification of an NS Concern" training slides	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: p. 42: Reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 44-46: Identifies specific and law-enforcement-sensitive processes or indicators; pp. 47-50: Reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 68: Granular guidance on how to operate a law enforcement sensitive internal database or system; p. 69: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 70: Identifies specific and law-enforcement-sensitive processes or indicators (See Court-authorized redactions for Doc. 101, p. 26)
116	472-6		Pasquarella Ex. 51 - CARRP Adjudicator Training - Instructor Guide (updated December 2008)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: p. 11: Granular details on interagency cooperation and how USCIS applies CARRP; pp. 19: Reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 21: Granular guidance on how to operate a law enforcement sensitive internal database or system; pp. 27-29, 33-34: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP
117	472-6		Pasquarella Ex. 52 - Screening Coordination Working Group Meeting Minutes for Jan. 29, 2014	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
118	472-6		Pasquarella Ex. 53 - Internal USCIS email chain referencing special interest countries lists	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
119	472-6		Pasquarella Ex. 54 - FDNS-DS User Guide Updates and Articulate Link Training	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 9-10, 12-13, 17: Granular guidance on how USCIS identifies and documents NS concerns in its internal systems; pp. 21, 26: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios; pp. 28-32, 38-48, 52-56: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP
120	472-6		Pasquarella Ex. 55 - CARRP training slides (updated January 2014)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 51-54: Granular guidance on how USCIS applies CARRP; pp. 92-93, 100-01, 104-09, 117-37: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 151-52: Granular details about law enforcement sensitive USCIS database for tracking NS concerns; pp. 202-03: Granular guidance on how to operate a law enforcement sensitive internal database or system; pp. 205-06: Reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP
121 (Dup. Docs 28, 44, 60, 164)	472-6		Pasquarella Ex. 56 - Siskin Amended Expert Report (July 2020)	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	See Duplicate Doc 28
122 (Dup. Doc 41)	472-6		Pasquarella Ex. 57 - Kruskol Supplemental Expert Report (July 2020)	Redactions approved due to concerns regarding field identification and identification of individualized applications of CARRP, except for the numbers and percentages in Exhibits AD and AH, for which Defendants have failed to establish a valid concern.	See Duplicate Doc 41
123	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 58 - USCIS "Basic, Course 235, National Security" - Participant Guide	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 37-38: Identifies specific and law-enforcement-sensitive processes and granular details on interagency cooperation; pp. 92-93: Identifies specific and law-enforcement-sensitive processes or indicators
124	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 59 - FDNS Officer Basic Training, Identification of a NS Concerns training slides	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 42, 44-46: Reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 75-84, 89: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP
125	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 60 - FDNS Officer Basic Training, National Security - Participant Guide	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Unsealed and filed publicly
126	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 61 - FDNS Officer Basic Training, National Security - Instructor Guide	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 24-26, 43-44, 46-49, 65, 68-70, 72, 75-77, 94-97, 105-110: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 32: Identifies law-enforcement-sensitive and specific law enforcement processes or indicators; p. 72: Detailed descriptions of law enforcement sensitive government databases, including specific types of searches that can be queried and types of information that can be gleaned; pp. 73-74: Granular guidance on how USCIS identifies, vets, and documents NS concerns in its internal systems;
127	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 62 - "TRIG, CARRP, or Both - Same Laws Different Result"	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
128	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 63 - "National Security Overview and CARRP Processing" training slides (dated Oct. 29, 2015)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 13-17, 44-47, 72-75: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP
129	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 64 - CARRP training slides, Module 3: CARRP Overview (updated Dec. 2015)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 80-83: Granular guidance on how USCIS applies CARRP; pp. 113-15: Granular guidance on how USCIS identifies and documents NS concerns in its internal systems; also identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields, the disclosure of which could enable or facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the data; pp. 135-36: Identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields
130	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 65 - CARRP training slides, Module 4: Identifying and Documenting NS concerns (updated Dec. 2015)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 3-7: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP
131	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 66 - Internal USCIS email discussing the case of a particular benefit applicant	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
132	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 67 - CARRP, Phase II: Documenting Work in FDNS-DS training slides	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: p. 12: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 19-28, 29-33, 39-56, 58-59, 61-66: Granular guidance on how USCIS identifies and documents NS concerns in its internal systems; also identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields, the disclosure of which could enable or facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the data
133	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 68 - Siskin Deposition Excerpt (Oct. 2020)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
134	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 69 - "Senior Official CARRP Overview (Section II)" training notes	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 4, 8-9: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP
135	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 70 - "Studies in National Security, June/July 2015"	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
136	472-7		Pasquarella Ex. 72 - CARRP training slides, Module 7: Review and Test Prep (updated December 2016)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Unsealed and filed publicly, but with redactions over missed LE privileged database codes on pp. 19, 71
137	472-8		Pasquarella Ex. 73 - CARRP training slides, Module 4: Identifying and Documenting NS Concerns (updated June 2017)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 42-43: See Court-authorized redaction to Doc 101, p. 95; p. 65: See Court-authorized redaction to Doc 101, p. 116; pp. 166-71: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 190-91: Identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields, the disclosure of which could enable or facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the data
138	472-8		Pasquarella Ex. 79 - Letter from CAIR Washington to USCIS regarding Named Plaintiff Mushtaq Jihad	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
139	472-8		Pasquarella Ex. 83 - Daud Deposition Excerpt (October 2020)	Proposed redactions approved, as they involve specific applications.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
140	472-8		Pasquarella Ex. 90 - CARRP Identifying and Documenting NS Concerns training slides	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: p. 20: See Court-authorized redaction to Doc 101, p. 95; p. 22, 58: Identifies law-enforcement-sensitive and specific law enforcement processes or indicators; p. 53: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 83-86: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP (See Court-authorized redactions on Doc 101, pp. 52-57); will also cover missed LE privilege redactions on pp. 15, 22, 58
141	472-8		Pasquarella Ex. 91 - Cook Deposition Excerpts (February 2020)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
142	472-8		Pasquarella Ex. 93 - "Structural Framework for Determining an Articulate Link to National Security Concerns"	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 4-5: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
143	472-8		Pasquarella Ex. 94 - USCIS RAI0 Directorate - Officer Training, National Security, Training Module	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Unsealed and filed publicly, but with redaction over missed LE privilege database code on p. 17
144	472-8		Pasquarella Ex. 98 - Sageman Responsive Expert Report (August 2020)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
145	472-8		Pasquarella Ex. 99 - DHS Inter-Office Memoranda on Nondiscriminatory Law Enforcement and Screening Activities (2013)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
146	472-8		Pasquarella Ex. 100 - Charts of Average Processing Times for Cases From Date of Request until Receipt of LHM	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
147	548		Pasquarella Ex. 104 - Quinn 30(b)(6) Deposition Excerpt (Sept. 3, 2020)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
148	548		Pasquarella Ex. 105 - CARRP training slides, Module 5: Determining Eligibility and Vetting National Security Concerns (updated December 2015)	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 33-34, 110, 112, 145-46: Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 96-97: Reveals law enforcement sensitive domain name for classified email addresses; pp. 183, 185-92: Reveals law enforcement sensitive database fields
149	548		Pasquarella Ex. 106 - CARRP training test questions and answer key	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 3, 6, 8 Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP
150	548		Pasquarella Ex. 108 - Johansen-Mendez Expert Report	Paragraphs 31, 33, 90-91, and first portion of 92 (specific indicators) and paragraphs 69-73 (except for the last redline in paragraph 72), 78-80, 89 (specific applications) may be redacted. However, information about CARRP mechanics should not be redacted, e.g., paragraphs 36, 38, 51, final portion of 92, and 95-96. In addition, statistical data does not need to be redacted in paragraph 39, nor does generalized information in paragraph 84.	Submitted for clarification by Defendants; See Dkts. 637 & 638
151	548		Pasquarella Ex. 109 - Burbank Expert Report	Proposed redactions approved, as they are limited and involve specific indicators.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
152	548		Pasquarella Ex. 110 - Daud Deposition Excerpt (October 202)	Proposed redactions approved (except for pages 5 and 12), as they are limited and involve personal identifiers.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
153	549		Hyatt Ex. A - CARRP Training Presentation Excerpt, Module 4: Determining Eligibility and Vetting National Security Concerns	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Unsealed and filed publicly
154	549		Hyatt Ex. B - CARRP Training Presentation Excerpt, Module 5: Adjudicating National Security Concerns	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Unsealed and filed publicly
155	549		Hyatt Ex. C - CARRP Training Presentation Excerpt, Module 2: CARRP Overview	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Unsealed and filed publicly
156	549		Hyatt Ex. D - CARRP Training Presentation Excerpt, Module 3: Identifying and Documenting NS Concerns	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Unsealed and filed publicly
<b>SEALED FILINGS RE: DEFS' CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY</b>					
157	515		Evans Declaration In Support of Defs' Motion for Summary Judgment	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
158	515-1		Evans Ex. 4 - 2020 CARRP Training Module 2	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 78: Granular guidance on how USCIS identifies NS concerns and evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 100-104 106-108, 111, 112: Identify law enforcement sensitive database fields and how they are used by USCIS, the disclosure of which could enable or facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the data

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
159	515-1		Evans Ex. 5 - 2020 CARRP Training Module 3	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 10, 15 Law enforcement privileged database code missed during production; pp. 11, 12, 138, 140-141, 143-144 Granular guidance on how to operate a law enforcement sensitive internal database or system; pp. 26, 27, 28 Granular guidance on how USCIS documents NS concerns in its internal systems and identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields, also identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields and how they are used by USCIS, the disclosure of which could enable or facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the data; pp. 53, 54, 79-81, 83-85, 113, 121-24 Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; will also cover missed LE privilege redaction over database code on pp. 10, 15, 55, 61
160	515-2		Evans Ex. 7 - 2017 CARRP Training Module 5	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 23, 35, 45, 55-57, 78, 79, 85-88 Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 24-26 Identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields; pp. 29, 53, 54 Reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 101 Granular guidance on how to operate a law enforcement sensitive internal database or system
161	515-2		Evans Ex. 8 - 2017 CARRP Training, Determining Eligibility & Vetting NS Concerns	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 20-22, 32-35, 66, 67, 71, 82-87 Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 43 Granular guidance on how USCIS identifies and documents NS concerns; pp. 102-105 Granular guidance on how to operate a law enforcement sensitive internal database or system; pp. 106-110 Identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields
162	515-2, 535, 542	523-4	Evans Ex. 9 - Danik Deposition Excerpt (August 2020)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
163	515-2		Evans Ex. 10 - Burriesci Expert Report (July 2020)	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
164 (Dup. Doc 28, 44, 60, 121)	515-2	523-5	Evans Ex. 11 - Siskin Amended Expert Report (July 2020)	Same as Doc 28: Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	See Duplicate Doc 28
165	515-2		Evans Ex. 12 - "Studies in National Security, Articulate Link Writing 101"	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Submitted for clarification by Defendants; See Dkts. 637 & 638
166	515-3		Evans Ex. 14 - 2020 CARRP Training Module 5	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 13 Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 15, 17, 18 Granular guidance on how USCIS identifies and documents NS concerns in its internal systems; p. 27 Granular guidance on how to operate a law enforcement sensitive internal database or system; will also cover missed LE privilege redaction over database code on p. 26
167	515-4		Evans Ex. 17 - Costello Deposition Excerpt (September 2020)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
168	515-5		Evans Ex. 19 - 2020 CARRP Training Module 4	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 22, 44, 46, 47, 61, 68 Granular guidance on how USCIS identifies and vets NS concerns; pp. 36-39, 126 Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; pp. 68, 126 Reveals law enforcement sensitive database fields; pp. 74, 96-100 Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP; p. 108, 115-123 Granular guidance on how to operate a law enforcement sensitive internal database or system; Identifies law enforcement sensitive database fields, the disclosure of which could enable or facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the data
169	516		Evans Ex. 33 - Operational Guidance regarding "The Withholding of Adjudication (Abeyance) Regulation"	Can be filed publicly other than pages 13-18, which include templates/fields.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
170	516-1		Evans Ex. 35 - Fact Sheet with Frequently Asked Questions regarding CARRP Policy and Operational Guidance	Deferred; Defendants must either consent to publication or propose specific redactions.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: p. 29 Granular guidance on how to operate a law enforcement sensitive internal database or system, disclosure of which could enable or facilitate malicious actors in gaining unauthorized access to the system and manipulating the dat
171	516-1		Evans Ex. 36 - Charts of Average Processing Times for Cases From Date of Request until Receipt of LHM	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly

Document No.	Original Sealed Dkt. No.	Original Public Dkt. No.	Detailed Document Description	Court's Determination	Status
172	516-1		Evans Ex. 37 - Renaud Deposition Transcript (January 2020)	Proposed redactions approved only as to page 7, as they implicate intergovernmental cooperation. Other redactions denied for failure to establish a valid concern.	Filed publicly with Court-approved redactions applied
173	516-2		Evans Ex. 42 - February 6, 2009 Aytes Memo	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
174	516-3		Evans Ex. 46 - "Supplemental Guidance: Revision of Responsibilities for CARRP Cases Involving Known or Suspected Terrorists"	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
175 (Dup. Doc 90)	516-3		Evans Ex. 47 - "Operational Guidance for Vetting and Adjudicating Cases with National Security Concerns"	Can be filed publicly other than page 7 footnote 8, pages 11 Section B(1) to page 14, 17 to the first heading on page 19, the first heading on page 23 to page 25, and 42 up until page 43 Section C, which include sensitive and specific law enforcement training/processes/fields. The internal email on page 34 may also be redacted.	See Duplicate Doc 90
176	516-4		Evans Ex. 50 - Neufeld April 24, 2008 Memo regarding "Operational Guidance for Vetting and Adjudicating Cases with National Security Concerns."	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
177	517	524	Atkinson Declaration	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
178	518	525	Quinn Declaration	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Unsealed and filed publicly
179	519	526	Relph Declaration	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Proposed redactions submitted for in camera review in accordance with Dkt. 636 Defendants' bases for proposed redactions: pp. 7-8 & 11 Granular guidance on how USCIS evaluates factual scenarios and reveals law enforcement sensitive indicators that could flag an application for CARRP
180	520	527	Renaud Declaration	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
181	521	528	Siskin Declaration	Deferred; Defendants to provide version of document with proposed redactions highlighted so Court can efficiently identify portions proposed for redaction.	Unsealed and filed publicly
182	522	529	Webb Declaration	Can be filed publicly (see Order).	Unsealed and filed publicly
183	565		Evans Declaration In Support of Defs' Motion for Summary Judgment	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
184	565-1	563-2	Evans Ex. 55 - Daud Deposition Excerpt (Oct. 2020)	[Approved]	Unsealed and filed publicly
185 (Dup. Docs 31, 45, 59)	565-2	563-3	Evans Ex. 56 - Siskin Responsive Expert Report (Oct. 2020)	Can be filed publicly except for the redactions on page 37 (specific fields).	See Duplicate Doc 31