EXHIBIT F FILED UNDER SEAL

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, et al., on)
behalf of themselves and others)
similarly situated,)

Plaintiffs,) No.

v.) 17-cv-00094 RAJ

DONALD TRUMP, President of the)
United States, et al.,)

Defendants.)

CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KEVIN MARK SHINABERRY

Monday, August 31, 2020; 10:24 a.m. EST

Job No.: 623007

Pgs. 1 - 221

Reported by: Cindy L. Sebo, RMR, CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, RSA, Remote Counsel Reporter, LiveDeposition Authorized Reporter

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES (866) 624-6221 www.MagnalS.com



	Page 2
1	Confidential Videotaped Deposition
2	of KEVIN MARK SHINABERRY taken by Counsel for
3	Plaintiffs, held remotely before Cindy L. Sebo,
4	Registered Merit Court Reporter, Certified Real-Time
5	Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified
6	Shorthand Reporter, Certified Court Reporter,
7	Certified LiveNote Reporter, Real-Time Systems
8	Administrator, Remote Counsel Reporter,
9	LiveDeposition Authorized Reporter and Notary Public,
10	beginning at approximately 10:24 a.m. EST, when were
11	present on behalf of the respective parties:
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	



		Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	(All via Zoom Video Communications)	
3	Attorney for Plaintiffs:	
4	HARVARD IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE CLINICAL	
5	PROGRAM, HARVARD LAW SCHOOL	
6	SAMEER AHMED, ESQUIRE	
7	6 Everett Street	
8	Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138	
9	617.384.0088	
10	sahmed@law.harvard.edu	
11	-and-	
12	ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	
13	JENNIFER PASQUARELLA, ESQUIRE	
14	1313 W. 8th Street	
15	Los Angeles, California 90017	
16	213.977.5236	
17	jpasquarella@aclusocal.org	
18	(Continued)	
19		
20		
21		
22		



		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2	Attorney for Plaintiffs (Continued):	
3	PERKINS COIE LLP	
4	PAIGE L. WHIDBEE, ESQUIRE	
5	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900	
6	Seattle, Washington 98101-3099	
7	206.359.3629	
8	HSchneider@perkinscoie.com	
9		
10		
11	Attorneys for Defendants:	
12	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, CIVIL DIVISION	
13	LEON B. TARANTO, ESQUIRE	
14	1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest	
15	Room 8018-S	
16	Washington, D.C. 20004	
17	202.616.4231	
18	leon.b.taranto@usdoj.gov	
19	(Continued)	
20		
21		
22		



		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2	Attorney for Defendants (Continued):	
3	ANDREW BRINKMAN, ESQUIRE	
4	P.O. Box 878, Ben Franklin Station	
5	Washington, D.C. 20044	
6	202.305.7035	
7	andrew.brinkman@usdoj.gov	
8	-and-	
9	U.S. CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES	
10	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY	
11	CAITLIN MILLER, ESQUIRE	
12	JESSICA SCHAU NELSON, ESQUIRE	
13	KAITLYN CHARETTE, ESQUIRE	
14	11 Massachusetts Avenue, Northwest	
15	Suite 3100, Mail Stop 2121	
16	Washington, D.C. 20529-2121	
17	202.272.1107	
18	caitlin.e.miller@uscis.dhs.gov	
19		
20		
21		
22		
1		



```
Page 6
              A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued):
 1
 2
        Attorney for Defendants (Continued):
 3
            U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE (SEA)
            BRIAN C. KIPNIS, ESQUIRE
 5
            700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
            Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
 6
            206.553.7970
 7
            brian.kipnis@usdoj.gov
 9
10
11
        ALSO PRESENT:
            DAN KATZ, Videographer
12
            SEAN KRUSKOL, Cornerstone Research
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```



		Page 7
	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	3
KEVIN MARK SH	IINABERRY	
EXAMINATION	BY	PAGE
Mr. Ahmed		12, 92
	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
(Exhibits A	attached to the Original Transcrip	pt.)
DEPOSITION EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Exhibit A	Agreement for Taking of	
	Deposition of USCIS Pursuant	
	to Rule 30(b)(6), F.R.Civ.P.	17
Exhibit B	Excel Spreadsheet	24
Exhibit C	CSV file	26
		20
Exhibit C1	Excerpt from the USCIS	
	detail data	94
	EXAMINATION Mr. Ahmed (Exhibits A DEPOSITION EXHIBIT Exhibit A Exhibit B Exhibit C	EXAMINATION BY Mr. Ahmed INDEX TO EXHIBITS (Exhibits Attached to the Original Transcript DEPOSITION EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION Exhibit A Agreement for Taking of Deposition of USCIS Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6), F.R.Civ.P. Exhibit B Excel Spreadsheet Exhibit C CSV file Exhibit C1 Excerpt from the USCIS



Case 2:17-cv-00094-LK Document 645-18 Filed 11/17/23 Page 9 of 18

			Page 8
1			
2	II	NDEX TO EXHIBITS (Continued)	
3			
4	DEPOSITION EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
5	Exhibit C2	Excerpt from the USCIS	
6		detail data	173
7	- 1 - 1 - 1		
8	Exhibit C3	Excerpt from the USCIS	
9		detail data	176
10	Exhibit C4	Excerpt from the USCIS	
11		detail data	198
12			
13	Exhibit C5	Excerpt from the USCIS	
14		detail data	202
15	Exhibit C6	Excerpt from the USCIS	
16		detail data	204
17			
18	Exhibit D	FY2014 INSITE Review Results,	
19		CARRP assessment, Bates	
20		stamped DEF-00147440 through	
21		DEF-00147444	71
22			



Case 2:17-cv-00094-LK Document 645-18 Filed 11/17/23 Page 10 of 18

			Page 9
1			
2	II	NDEX TO EXHIBITS (Continued)	
3			
4	DEPOSITION EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
5	Exhibit E	Data dictionary	82
6		- -	
7	Exhibit F	E-mail string	106
8	Ewhihi+ C	CARRP Overview Lecture, Bates	
9	EXIIIDIC G		
10		stamped DEF-00429503 through	
11		DEF-00429574	116
12	Exhibit H	USCIS User Guide, FDNS-DS	
13		User Guide, Release 16.2,	
14		Bates stamped DEF-00428622	
15		through DEF-00429219	118
16	Evhihit T	Excel Spreadsheet	160
17	EXHIDICI	Excel Spleadsheet	100
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			



	Page 10
1	STIPULATIONS
2	
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED
4	by and between counsel no party to the litigation
5	will object to the remote deposition on the grounds
6	that the certified stenographer may not have the
7	legal authority to swear in the witness.
8	
9	FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
10	that in lieu of the oath administered in person,
11	the witness declares the testimony in this matter
12	under the penalty of perjury.
13	
14	FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
15	that the certified stenographer is not physically
16	present in the deposition room and will be
17	reporting this deposition remotely.
18	
19	FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
20	all parties and their counsel consent to this
21	arrangement and waive any objections to this manner
22	of reporting.



	Page 11
1 PROCEEDINGS	
2	
3 Remote Deposition	
4 Monday, August 31, 2020; 10:24 a.m.	
5	
6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on	
7 the record.	
8 This begins the Video 1 in the	
9 deposition of Kevin Shinaberry in the	
10 matter of Abdiqafar Wagafe, et al. versus	
11 Donald Trump, President of the United	
12 States, et al., in the	
13 United States District Court,	
14 Western District of Washington at	
15 Seattle.	
16 Today is Monday, August 31st,	
17 2020. The time is 10:24 a.m. Eastern.	
Deposition is being taken remotely	
19 at the request of Perkins Coie LLP.	
20 The videographer is Dan Katz, and	
21 the court reporter is Cindy Sebo.	
22 All counsel and parties present	



	Page 12
1	will be noted on the stenographic record.
2	Will the court reporter please
3	swear in the witness?
4	
5	KEVIN MARK SHINABERRY,
6	after having been first duly sworn remotely
7	by the certified stenographer, was examined
8	and testified remotely as follows:
9	
10	CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER: The
11	witness is sworn, and I'll go on mute.
12	
13	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
14	
15	BY MR. AHMED:
16	Q. Hi, Mr. Shinaberry.
17	Could you please state your name for
18	the record?
19	A. Kevin Mark Shinaberry.
20	Q. My name is Sameer Ahmed, and I'm one
21	of the attorneys for the Plaintiffs in this case.
22	How are you doing this morning?



	Page 205
1	(Certified Stenographer requested
2	clarification due to audio
3	distortion/malfunction.)
4	BY MR. AHMED:
5	Q. So in this excerpt from the USCIS
6	detail data, it lists a receipt date of
7	August 29th, 2019 and the current status date of
8	August 29th, 2019. And it says the application
9	has been approved.
10	And how can an application be
11	approved the same day it was received?
12	A. Yeah. And so I think the crux of the
13	issue is a a data entry error, likely at the
14	time of of adjudication.
15	Q. Do you know approximately how many
16	entries have data errors at the time of
17	adjudication?
18	MR. TARANTO: Counsel, are you
19	asking as to the each of the nine-plus
20	million cases that are adjudicated?
21	MR. AHMED: I'm asking of the
22	USCIS detail data that had 10 million



	Page 206
1	entries. So does he have an idea of
2	approximately how many of those entries
3	would have data errors.
4	THE WITNESS: Obvious ones like
5	like this with zero or negative
6	processing times is a very small number.
7	Out of all 10.6 million applications, I
8	believe it's probably a few hundred.
9	BY MR. AHMED:
10	Q. But you don't know that for sure,
11	correct? You're just estimating?
12	A. I could determine it by looking at
13	the data, but off the top of my head, I do not
14	know that for certain.
15	Q. Let's turn back to a USCIS summary
16	data, which is previously marked Exhibit E.
17	And do you see this in front of you,
18	data that was provided to Plaintiffs in June of
19	2020?
20	A. I do.
21	Q. So I want to turn to the third tab,
22	Adjudicated processing times.



Page 207 Do you see the tables on this tab? 1 2 Α. Yes, I do. And these tables say -- or this tab 3 0. contains tables for the average and median 5 processing times for adjudicated applications; is that correct? 6 7 That's correct. And if you look at Note 3 here, it 0. 9 says, Processing times for adjudicated 10 applications are calculated using latest 11 adjudicated decision minus the receipt date. 12 Is that correct? 13 Α. That's correct. So for the entries where the current 14 15 status date is prior to the receipt date, how 16 were those processing times calculated? 17 What I did and is the normal practice Α. in our reporting on processing times is to 18 exclude values less than -- than 1. So those 19 20 applications are included in receipt counts but 21 excluded from the processing times. 22 Is that noted anywhere on this Q.



Page 208 document? 1 2 I don't believe so. 3 So to your understanding, you had excluded -- scratch that. 5 I guess the -- similar to -- for the entries where the current status date is equal 7 to receipt date, were those processing times also excluded on this table? 9 Yes, and any processing time less Α. 10 than 1. So if they have an equal date, they're 11 going to be excluded. 12 But say someone -- but -- say the --Q. 13 the current status date was improperly inserted two days after the receipt date. 14 That wouldn't be included in this 15 16 table, correct? 17 If the status date was two days after the receipt date, it would be included. 18 19 So say USCIS made an error and they Q. 20 said the application is adjudicated and approved 21 two days after it was received. 22 That application would be included



```
Page 209
       in the processing times reflected in this data,
 1
 2
       correct?
 3
                   MR. TARANTO: Objection: lack of
            foundation.
 5
                   But you may answer, if you can.
 6
                   THE WITNESS: Theoretically, yes,
 7
            that would be included.
                   MR. AHMED: Okay. If we could go
9
            off the record, I -- for about
10
            10 minutes. I just want to see what
            other questions I may have for you. And
11
12
            then hopefully we can wrap up this
13
            deposition. So let's go off the record.
14
                   Sorry.
15
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I couldn't
16
            unmute there.
17
                   4:09 p.m. We're off the record.
18
19
                   (Whereupon, a recess was taken from
20
                    4:09 p.m. to 4:23 p.m.)
21
22
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 4:23 p.m.
```

