EXHIBIT 2 - FILED UNDER SEAL -

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, et al., on)
behalf of themselves and others)
similarly situated,)

Plaintiffs,) No.

v.) 17-cv-00094 RAJ

DONALD TRUMP, President of the)
United States, et al.,)

Defendants.)

CONFIDENTIAL UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KEVIN MARK SHINABERRY

Monday, August 31, 2020; 10:24 a.m. EST

Job No.: 623007

Pgs. 1 - 221

Reported by: Cindy L. Sebo, RMR, CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, RSA, Remote Counsel Reporter, LiveDeposition Authorized Reporter

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES (866) 624-6221 www.MagnaLS.com



```
Page 124
 1
       BY MR. AHMED:
 2
                   So from the section I just read, the
            Q.
       question was, Is it true that an NS concern CME
 3
       is created when an application could fall under
 5
       CARRP?
                   Is that correct?
 6
 7
                   I'm going to need a minute to read
       what's on this page.
 9
            Q.
                   Sure.
10
                    (Whereupon, the witness reviews the
11
                    material provided.)
12
                   THE WITNESS: I think you -- never
13
           mind.
14
                   Sorry.
15
       BY MR. AHMED:
                   So the sentence you just read said,
16
            0.
       An NS concern is created when the application or
17
18
       petition is associated with information that
19
       could fall under CARRP.
20
                   And my question is, Is that correct?
21
                    (Whereupon, the witness continues
22
                    to review the material provided.)
```



```
Page 125
       BY MR. AHMED:
 1
 2
            Q.
                   We can go off the record if you want
      more time.
 3
                   MR. TARANTO: We will stay on the
 5
            record. But I would object to asking
            questions as to the particular document.
 6
 7
            The guide is also beyond the -- the scope
            of the witness' designation. So the
 9
            answers that the witness is giving would
10
            be in his personal capacity and based on
11
            his personal knowledge.
12
                   MR. AHMED: And just to respond to
13
            that, all these questions are directly
            relevant to Subtopic Number 8 and how he
14
15
            defines CME in his own data dictionary
16
            that he created. That is also, I
            believe, Topic Number 9 or 10.
17
18
                   MR. TARANTO: And if you ask that
19
            question, he will answer it, but this
20
            goes --
21
                   MR. AHMED: I'm --
22
                   MR. TARANTO: -- beyond that.
```



	Page 126
1	MR. AHMED: I'm asking him
2	because he told me that in the CARRP case
3	flag that he created, he said what
4	whenever an NS concern CME is created,
5	his understanding is that application is
6	subjected to CARRP.
7	Now, the FDNS User Guide says
8	something different. It just says an NS
9	concern CME is created when an
10	application could fall under CARRP. And
11	I'm just asking him to confirm that
12	that's what the actual guide says.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's
14	that's how I read it.
15	BY MR. AHMED:
16	Q. Okay. So an NS concern CME could be
17	created even when the application does not
18	definitely fall under CARRP; is that correct?
19	A. Well, it doesn't state that
20	explicitly, but I suppose you could infer that
21	from the way that it's worded.
22	Q. Okay. Let's turn to another page in

