# EXHIBIT 33 FILED UNDER SEAL

Emrich, Matthew

January 8, 2020

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AT SEATTLE

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, et al., on :

behalf of themselves and others : Case No.:

Similarly situated, : 17-CV-00094 RAJ

Plaintiffs, :

VS.

DONALD TRUMP, President of the : ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

United States, et al., :

Defendants. :

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Washington, DC

Wednesday, January 8, 2020

Videotaped Deposition of MATTHEW EMRICH held at U.S. Department of Justice, 450 5th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530, commencing at 9:37 a.m., before Sherry L. Brooks, Certified LiveNote Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the District of Columbia.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Emrich, Matthew

January 8, 2020

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Attorney for Plaintiffs:	
3	JENNIE PASQUARELLA, ESQ.	
4	ACLU OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	
5	1313 West Eighth Street	
6	Suite 200	
7	Los Angeles, CA 90017	
8	(213) 977-5236	
9	(213) 977-5297 (Fax)	
10	E-mail: JPasquarella@ACLUSoCal.org	
11	and	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs:	
13	HEATH L. HYATT, ESQ.	
14	CRISTINA SEPE, ESQ.	
15	NICHOLAS GELLERT, ESQ.	
16	PERKINS COIE, LLP	
17	1201 Third Avenue	
18	Suite 4900	
19	Seattle, WA 98101	
20	(206) 359-3843 and (206) 359-4843 (Fax)	
21	E-mail: HHyatt@PerkinsCoie.com	
22	E-mail: CSepe@PerkinsCoie.com	

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Emrich, Matthew

```
3
     APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
 2
          Attorney for Defendants:
                LEON TARANTO, ESQ.
                JESSE BUSEN, ESQ.
 6
                U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
                175 N Street, NE
                Room 11.220
9
                Washington, DC 20002
10
                (202) 616-4231
11
                E-mail: Leon.B.Taranto@usdoj.gov
12
13
    ALSO PRESENT:
14
          David Campbell, Videographer
15
16
          USCIS Attorneys:
17
           Caitlin Miller, Esq.
18
           Danielle Blair, Esq.
19
20
21
22
```

Emrich, Matthew

		4
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2		
3	ALSO PRESENT:	
4	Other Government Attorneys:	
5	Ethan Kanter, Esq.	
6	Brendan Moore, Esq.	
7	Michelle R. Slack, Esq.	
8	Andrew Brinkman, Esq.	
9	Victoria Braga, Esq.	
10	Kathryn Davis, Esq.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

Emrich, Matthew

	5
1	CONTENTS
2	THE WITNESS:
3	MATTHEW EMRICH
4	By Ms. Pasquarella 8
5	By Mr. Taranto 295
6	
7	
8	
9	EXHIBITS
10	EMRICH EXHIBIT NO. PAGE NO.
11	Exhibit 39 FDNS Program Assessment - Field Managers 87
12	Training Course - Jan. 2010 (Confidential)
13	Exhibit 40 Operational Guidance - USCIS (Confidential) 100
14	Exhibit 41 Memorandum Dated 8/28/17 to Robert Bukowski 141
15	(Confidential)
16	Exhibit 42 USCIS Shark Tank - July 2015 (Confidential) 200
17	Exhibit 43 Memorandum Dated 3/31/15 to Susan Krafla, et 207
18	al (Confidential)
19	Exhibit 44 FDNS FY16 Field Priorities - 10/6/15 - U.S. 212
20	Citizenship & Immigration Svs. (Confidential)
21	Exhibit 45 Memorandum Dated 8/24/15 - Re: Monthly Call 218
22	with AD, DAD, COS, and ARDS - (Confidential)

Emrich, Matthew

		6
1	EXHIBITS CONTINUED:	
2	EXHIBITS	
3	EMRICH EXHIBIT NO. PAGE NO.	
4	Exhibit 46 Memorandum Dated 6/22/17 to Matthew Emrich	224
5	(Confidential)	
6	Exhibit 47 Watchlist Service-Privacy Impact Assessment	232
7	Update - 5/5/16	
8	Exhibit 48 Watchlist Service-Privacy Impact Assessment	236
9	Update - 3/4/16	
10	Exhibit 49 Memorandum Dated 4/22/16 to B. Prelogar, et	247
11	al. (Confidential)	
12	Exhibit 50 KASOTC CARRP Document (Confidential)	250
13	Exhibit 51 FDNS-DS - 5/18/16-Privacy Impact Assessment	262
14	Exhibit 52 ATLAS Overview - USCIS FDNS Directorate	265
15	June 2016 (Confidential)	
16	Exhibit 53 Review of Defense Advanced Research Projects	273
17	Agency - 2.0 Social Media Pilot - 6/2/16	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

Emrich, Matthew

		7
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	* * * * *	
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the	
4	record on January 8th, 2020 and the time on the video	
5	monitor is approximately 9:37 a.m.	
6	This is media unit Number 1 of the	
7	videotape deposition of Matthew Emrich. This is in	
8	the matter of Abdiqafar Wagafe, et al. versus Donald	
9	Trump, President of the United States, et al.	
10	This is in the United States District	
11	Court, Western District of Washington at Seattle,	
12	Case No. 17-CV-00094-RAJ.	
13	This deposition is being held at 450 5th	
14	Street, Northwest, Washington, DC 20530. The court	
15	reporter today is Sherry Brooks for Henderson Court	
16	Reporting, and the videographer today is David	
17	Campbell, also for Henderson.	
18	Counsel, will you please identify	
19	yourselves for the record, after which the witness	
20	will be sworn in and we can proceed.	
21	MS. PASQUARELLA: Jennie Pasquarella for	
22	the plaintiffs.	

## Emrich, Matthew

		8
1	MR. HYATT: Heath Hyatt, Perkins Coie, for	
2	the plaintiffs.	
3	MR. GELLERT: Nicholas Gellert also for	
4	the plaintiffs.	
5	MS. SEPE: Cristina Sepe also for the	
6	plaintiffs.	
7	MR. TARANTO: Leon Taranto, Civil	
8	Division, Department of Justice for the defendants.	
9	MR. BUSEN: Jessie Busen, Department of	
10	Justice, for the defendants.	
11	* * * * *	
12	Whereupon,	
13	MATTHEW EMRICH	
14	called for examination by counsel	
15	for Plaintiffs and having been duly	
16	sworn by the Notary Public, was examined	
17	and testified as follows:	
18		
19	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS	
20	BY MS. PASQUARELLA:	
21	Q. Good morning, Mr. Emrich.	
22	A. Good morning.	

Emrich, Matthew

	136
1	Q. Okay. Are FDNS officers given training to
2	address anti-discrimination sorry. Let me
3	rephrase. Are FDNS officers given
4	<pre>anti-discrimination training?</pre>
5	The all of the employees of CIS have to
6	take annual annual training on sexual harassment,
7	and I believe that training touches upon prevention
8	of discrimination. I I all of the FDNS
9	officers and officers who are about who are
10	enrolled in the CARRP training have to take the
11	cultural awareness training.
12	The cultural awareness training does
13	remind officers that adjudicating benefits based
14	for any discriminatory reason is illegal.
15	Q. And that's the training that you referred
(16)	to earlier that officers review before they go to the
17 	
18	The FOBTC, which is the FDNS officer basic
19	training and the officers the FDNS officers and
20	the ISOs who go to the CARRP training have to take it
21	also. That's the cultural awareness training.
22	Q. Okay. Aside from the cultural awareness

Emrich, Matthew

		137
1	training, are you aware of any other trainings that	
2	they're provided on anti-discrimination?	
3	A. I am not aware of other trainings.	
4	MS. PASQUARELLA: Counsel, for the record,	
5	I don't believe that the cultural awareness training	
<mark>6</mark>	has been produced to us, and I think it's plainly	
7	responsive to our discovery requests. So I would	
8	request that it be provided.	
9	MR. TARANTO: If you could follow up with	
10	a specific letter or notice and direct us to the	
11	request that you think is the one that captures it,	
12	we will look into it.	
13	MS. PASQUARELLA: I will follow up by	
14	email with you, if that's okay?	
15 	MR. TARANTO: Okay.	
16	BY MS. PASQUARELLA:	
17	Q. Relatedly, are you aware of any trainings	
18	provided to FDNS officers that address racial	
19	profiling?	
20	A. I'm not aware of specific training.	
21	However, the instructors for the training are	
22	frequently reminded that not to to be	

Emrich, Matthew

		138
1	professional and to be on the lookout for any	
2	discussions that come up in the class that may lead	
3	someone to believe that discriminatory practices are	
4	endorsed anywhere in the agency.	
5	So our our both our permanent	
<mark>6</mark>	training staff and instructors who come in to train	
7	temporarily are reminded of that fact and told to be	
8	on the lookout if anything in the classes seemed to	
9	be in any way able to be inferred by students that	
10	CIS anyone in CIS is endorsing doing something	
11	other than what is legal.	
12	Q. And are you aware of that being at all	
13	part of any of the trainings that are given to	
14	officers to prevent racial profiling?	
<mark>15</mark>	A. So as far as other than what was in the	
<mark>16</mark> )	what is the cultural awareness training touches	
<u>17</u>	on that. Other than that, I'm not aware of other	
18	<pre>specific formal formal training.</pre>	
19	Q. Okay. And then would your answer be the	
20	same with respect to religious profiling?	
21	A. That is that is included, again	
22	that's included in the cultural awareness training.	

Emrich, Matthew

1	39
But other than that, I am not aware of other	
2 training.	
Q. And are you aware of any training that's	
provided to FDNS officers about religious practices?	
That would be the same answer. That's	
that's described in the cultural awareness training.	
Q. And how about cultural practices?	
8 Cultural practices as well.	
9 Q. And any specific training about Islam? Is	
that the same answer?	
11 A. I'm not aware of any specific training on	
12 Islam that officers receive.	
Q. Are there trainings provided to officers	
about specific country conditions?	
15 Not that I'm aware of.	
Q. To take one issue in particular, are there	
trainings provided to officers about military and	
paramilitary organizations in particular countries?	
19 Not that I'm aware of.	
Q. And how about any trainings with respect	
to specific country obligations to with respect to	
military conscription?	

Emrich, Matthew

		140
		140
1	A. Not that would not that I'm aware of.	
2	Q. Am I correct that military training is one	
3	of the indicators for a national security concern	
4	under CARRP?	
5	A. It is a possible national security	
<mark>6</mark> )	indicator in conjunction with other factors.	
7	Q. Okay. So could a member of the U.S.	
8	Armed Forces who applies for immigration benefit be	
9	subject to CARRP based on their military training?	
10	A. I can't answer. There would be other	
11	facts of the case that would relate to that. I can't	
12	answer without knowing the	
13	Q. Are you aware of any cases where a member	
14	of the U.S. Armed Forces was subject to CARRP?	
15	A. I am not.	
<mark>16</mark> )	Q. And is your answer true not just with	
<mark>17</mark>	respect to a particular case, but in general, have	
18	you are you not aware of whether or not a member	
19	of the U.S. Armed Forces whether any person has	
20	been subject to CARRP who was a member of the U.S.	
21	Armed Forces?	
22	A. I don't know.	

# Emrich, Matthew

		141
1	You don't know or you're not aware?	
2	MR. TARANTO: Object to the form as vague.	)
3	BY MS. PASQUARELLA:	
4	Q. So the question was are you aware of	
5	whether or not a member of the U.S. Armed Forces has	
6	ever been subject to CARRP?	
7	A. I'm not aware.	
8	Q. Has USCIS made any efforts in its	
9	trainings to ensure that officers are not equating	
10	religious practices with national security concerns?	
11	A. I can't speak for there's training	
12	that's conducted within CIS that's not under my	
13	purview, so I cannot speak to that.	
14	As far as specific FDNS training, I'm not	
15	aware of of training that's conducted under by	
16	FDNS. I'm not aware of that.	
17	MS. PASQUARELLA: Okay. I want to take a	
18	look at another exhibit. Exhibit No. 41.	
19	(Exhibit 41 was marked for	
20	identification and was attached to the deposition.)	
21	BY MS. PASQUARELLA:	
22	Q. So this is Exhibit 41. Are you familiar	

Emrich, Matthew

January 8, 2020

		301
1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	
2	*	
3	I, MATTHEW D. EMRICH , do hereby	
4	acknowledge that I have read and examined the	
5	foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct	
6	and complete transcription of the testimony given by	
7	me, and any corrections appear on the attached Errata	
8	Sheet signed by me.	
9		
10	2/21/2020	
11	(DATE) (SIGNATURE)	
12		
13	NOTARIZATION (If Required)	
14	State of	
15	County of	
16	Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on	
17	this, day of, 20, by	
18	, proved to me on the	
19	basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who	
20 .	appeared before me.	
21	Signature:	
22	(Seal)	

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Emrich, Matthew

		302
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
2	ss:	
3	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA )	
4	I, SHERRY L. BROOKS, a Notary Public	
5	within and for the District of Columbia, do hereby	
6	certify that the witness whose deposition is	
7	hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and that the	
8	within transcript is a true record of the testimony	
9	given by such witness.	
10	I further certify that I am not related to	ı
11	any of the parties to this action by blood or	
12	marriage and that I am in no way interested in the	
13	outcome of this matter.	
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	
15	hand this, day of, 20	
16		
17		
18	- <del></del>	
19	Notary Public in and for	
20	the District of Columbia	
21	My Commission Expires:	
22	November 14, 2020	