EXHIBIT 53 -FILED-UNDER-SEAL-

From: Ibrahim, Salaheldin M

Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 11:02 AM

To: Padilla, April Y; Thomas, Ronnie D

Subject: FW: For Review - D2 Decision Memo on Implementing DHS Nondiscriminatory Policy

Attachments: D2 Decision Memorandum - Anti-profiling Response (FDNS Draft).docx; Nondiscriminatory Law Enforcement and Screening Activities Memo_Signed 8....pdf; DHS OIG report Supervision of Aliens Commensurate with Risk (May 10 2011).pdf; RE: DHS Anti-profiling

Policy Meeting; ICE MOA Anti-Fraud Guidelines-final 12-12-08.pdf; FCPTC Training Material V2 3 2 2012.pptx

April/Ron

Time-Sensitive- edits/comments, cleared through your leadership, by COB next Friday, December 20th.

Please let me know if I should reach out to the Service Centers for any comments regarding the attached FDNS response. As part of this working group, I have previously identified the following policy documents and training modules that should be revised to reflect the Secretary's Anti-Profiling policy:

DP, LE

DP, LE

DP, LE

DP, LE

Special Interest Countries

Case 2:17-cv-00094-LK Document 645-61 Filed 11/17/23 Page 3 of 4

and the second second second second	
are NS concerns. See	
Section (Control of Control	
the their actions	
HIRCA DISCUSSION	
to the second contract	
CARRY The inclusion	
Contract to	
accidentally	

I provided the working group with the list and source of the ICE List for Designated Special Interest Countries, but ICE requested DHS OIG to remove the Specially Designated Countries list which was previously published in May 2011 (see Appendix D, Page 18 of the lattached OIG report)

Click on this link to see the updated report dated December 2011. http://www.oig.dhs.gov/assets/Mgmt/OIG_11-81_Dec11.pdf

Specially Designated Countries (Removed)

In August of 2011, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement issued a statement on its public website that said,

"The specially designated country list as described in Appendix D was created in 2003, is outdated and is being eliminated. The internal procedural guidance has been rescinded and the internal screening criteria and processes are being revised. The list was not based on any judgment that the states listed supported, sponsored or encouraged terrorism. Indeed, many of the states listed are important and committed partners of the United States in countering terrorism. As threats around the world evolve, the United States will continue to work closely with our international partners to ensure the safety and security of people around the globe". (http://www.ice.sov/about/offices/homeland-securityinvestigations/oia/screening.htm)

In light of ICE's statement and at ICE's request, OIG is withdrawing Appendix D to prevent any confusion or misunderstanding.

Please let me know if there is no need to reach out to the Service Centers for any comments. FDNS has already included my recommendation regarding the 2008 ICE-USCIS Agreement. I do not have any additional edits/comments.

Thank you,

Mo

Salaheldin (Mohamed) Ibrahim | Threat Assessment Branch | Service Center Operations | US Citizenship and Immigration Services [USCIS] | Department of Homeland Security (DHS) | \$202.272.

70 Massachusetts Avenus MW, 2nd floor, Suite 2210, Washington, DC 28529

X

WAPNING: The decument is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (S.U.S.C. 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid need to know without prior approved from the originator. Further transmission of LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE information is limited by The Privacy Act (S.U.S.C. SS2(a)) and Trade Becrete Act (18.U.S.C. 1905), in accordance with the Third Agency Rule. If you are not the informed recipient or agent responsible for delivering the information to the intended recipient, unsufficient disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this transmission is strictly provibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the senser and delete all copies from your system.

From: Mooney, Matthew C

Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 8:54 AM

To: Ibrahim, Salaheldin M; Lombardi, Cherie A; Quinn, Kevin T; Jordan, John S; Huntenburg, Bettina M; Hurteau, Mallory J; Gentry, Anthony E; Matthews, Lauren E; Russell, Mary Ann; Bryce, Mary (Bunnie); Benavides, Jaime L; Zill, Katherine F; Chiang, James Y; Hamilton, Cristina A; Oviosu, Esther; Dombek, Christopher D; Jacob, Ben

Subject: For Review - D2 Decision Memo on Implementing DHS Nondiscriminatory Policy

All,

Case 2:17-cv-00094-LK Document 645-61 Filed 11/17/23 Page 4 of 4

Please find attached a draft memo to D2 encompassing the results of our discussions on implementing the DHS nondiscriminatory policy for law enforcement and screening activities. I would appreciate your edits/comments, cleared through your leadership, by COB next Friday, December 20th.

Best

Matt

Matthew Mooney

Special Assistant USCIS Fraud <u>Dete</u>ction and National Security Directorate