Exhibit 37 ---Filed Under Seal ----

Renaud, Daniel

January 10, 2020

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AT SEATTLE

ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, et al., on :

behalf of themselves and others : Case No.:

Similarly situated, : 17-CV-00094 RAJ

Plaintiffs, :

VS.

DONALD TRUMP, President of the :

United States, et al., :

Defendants. : ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Washington, DC

Friday, January 10, 2020

Videotaped Deposition of DANIEL RENAUD
held at Perkins Coie, 700 13th Street, NW, Suite 600,
Washington, DC 20005, commencing at 9:36 a.m., before
Sherry L. Brooks, Certified LiveNote Reporter and
Notary Public, in and for the District of Columbia.

Renaud, Daniel January 10, 2020

	99
1	term, with respect to any issue that touches on
2	CARRP?
3	A. I have. 11:57
4	Q. What orders have you given in that 11:57
5	respect?
6	A. I gave an order to stop processing certain 11:57
7	cases at one point while we were seeking clarity of
8	an executive order. I have with respect to CARRP,
9	I mean, that's that's the only part I want to talk
10	about. But that's the one that I that I recall
11	most vividly.
12	I mean, I do give other orders. I do I $^{11:58}$
13	mean, I do execute my authority when I need to. But
14	with respect to CARRP, that is that's the one that
15	that I that I recall.
16	Q. You don't recall any others? 11:58
17	A. I don't I don't think so. 11:58
18	Q. And when you're referring to the executive 11:58
19	order, you're referring to the executive order or
20	orders issued by President Trump with respect to
21	seven identified nations
22	A. I believe that, yes.

Renaud, Daniel

January 10, 2020

		100
1	Q and Muslim people from those nations?	11:58
2	A. I'm not sure of the wording of the	11:58
3	executive order, but that's that's the one.	
4	Q. And I understand you gave some kind of	11:59
5	order to stop the processing of certain cases?	
6	A. That is correct.	11:59
7	Q. What cases did you ask not to be	11:59
8	processed?	
9	A. I I I told people not to process	11:59
10	N-400 I'm sorry naturalization applications,	
11	N-400 and applications for permanent residents, I-485	5
12	from, I believe, nationals of those affected	
13	countries. And I ordered the field to essentially	
14	hold those in abeyance and not or to freeze	
15	adjudication on those, not approve or deny any of	
16	those cases.	
17	Q. And was that true regardless of whether	11:59
18	those cases were CARRP designated or not?	
19	A. Yes.	12:00
20	Q. Did you then give another order	12:00
21	overturning your previous order?	
22	A. Yeah. Actually, there were two. One was	12:00

-ATTORNEYS'-EYES-ONLY-

Renaud, Daniel January 10, 2020

		101
1	about 24 hours later. We allowed N-400s to move	
2	forward as it was in the past. That was a 24-hour	
3	very brief hold on those cases. And then I think the	
4	485s we the hold the total hold was about a	
5	week, so about a week later we released the hold on	
6	those cases.	
7	Q. Turn back to page 9 of Exhibit 55.	2:01
8	A. Yes. 1	2:01
9	Q. The second to last bullet is non-KST NS 1	2:01
10	confirmed. What does that mean?	
11	A. Again, I can give you my interpretation. 1	2:01
12	It would be someone who is not watchlisted, but there	
13	is I I really don't know. My I mean, I	
14	could guess that the there is national security	
15	information, but it's not confirmed to relate to the	
16	individual, although I'm not really sure.	
17	Q. How about the last bullet 1	2:02
18	A. I'm sorry. The confirmed would be 1	2:02
19	obviously that does relate to the individual. The	
20	not confirmed would be that it does not relate I'm	
21	sorry.	
22	Q. Let's take it one step at a time.	2:02

Renaud, Daniel

January 10, 2020

		204
1	Quote, Whether CARRP operates with an	15:53
2	anti-Muslim animus or effect or discriminates against	
3	applicants from Muslim majority countries or	
4	countries listed in the presidential executive orders	
5	issued in 2017, in identifying national security	
6	concerns, referring cases to CARRP, processing and	
7	adjudicating cases, or any other way, end quote.	
8	Do you believe you have discoverable	15:54
9	information on that topic?	
10	MR. MOORE: Objection to the extent it	15:54
11	calls for a legal conclusion. Foundation.	
12	You can answer.	15:54
13	A. I'm not sure I know exactly what	15:54
14	discoverable information is, but I certainly have	
15	I certainly have a point of view on that, and I can	
16	tell you what my belief is with respect to with	
17	respect to that.	
18	BY MR. GELLERT:	15:54
19	Q. All right. What's your belief with	15:54
20	respect to that?	
21	A. My belief is that the determining factor	15:54
22	of whether a case goes into CARRP or not, every	

-ATTORNEYS'-EYES-ONLY-

Renaud, Daniel January 10, 2020

205 single case that gets filed has the opportunity to go into CARRP processing. 15:54 3 That determination is made based on 4 information that we receive typically through our 5 background check processes. 15:54 6 The vast, vast majority of cases are 7 enrolled in CARRP because of -- because of the 15:55 11 If we get -- if we get national security information, we do not make a determination of 12 13 whether to put that case in CARRP or not put that 14 case in CARRP based on the country of nationality. 15 15:55 Once it's in CARRP, we do not process --16 we do not process cases differently based on the 17 country of nationality or citizenship or birth. 15:55 18 So to the extent that this suggests that 19 there is a different workflow or a different path for 20 cases from certain countries to follow because they 21 are in -- because the applicants or petitioners are 22 from those countries, that is without basis and

Renaud, Daniel

January 10, 2020

		206
1	completely false.	
2	Q. What about whether CARRP in effect,	15:56
3	regardless of whether separate workflows exist or	
4	don't exist do you know whether the effect of	
5	CARRP is that more people from Muslim countries	
6	Muslim-dominated countries or people who are Islamic	
7	get put into the CARRP system?	
8	A. I do not know that for for a fact. I	15:56
9	simply don't know those data.	
10	Q. You haven't evaluated that?	15:56
11	A. I have not evaluated it.	15:56
12	Q. Have you asked for anyone else to evaluate	15:56
13		
14	A. I would have no reason to I don't	15:56
15	manage by country. I manage by workload and by	
16	location.	
17	Q. But you do seek to enforce policies in a	15:57
18	nondiscriminatory way, don't you?	
19	A. I not only seek to do it, but I'm	15:57
20	successful at doing it, yes.	
21	Q. How do you know you're successful at doing	15:57
22	it?	

-ATTORNEYS'-EYES-ONLY-

Renaud, Daniel January 10, 2020

		208
1	If those policies have a disproportionate	15:58
2	effect among people from one country or another, then	
3	then you have an issue with the policy perhaps,	
4	and I can't I can't speak to the policy.	
5	What I can say is that if someone falls	15:58
6	into CARRP or not falls into CARRP has no re what	
7	country they're from has no has no no impact on	
8	whether they fall into CARRP or not.	
9	If there's national security information,	15:58
10	then they're likely to fall into CARRP. If there's	
11	not national security information, no matter what	
12	country they're from, then they won't fall into	
13	CARRP. 15 out of 10,000 cases fall into CARRP.	
14	Q. I'd like to explore that a little bit.	15:59
15	Let me start with that last statistic that you threw	
16	out. When you said 15 out of 10,000 cases, what's	
17	the 10,000 cases you're referring to?	
18	A. On average, .1 about .15 percent of our	15:59
19	workload of N-400s and 485s are processed through	
20	CARRP.	
21	Q. And when is that when did you draw that	15:59
22	statistic?	

Renaud, Daniel	January 10, 2020
----------------	------------------

		209
1	A. I think that statistic is from 2013 until	15:59
2	2018 or '19 or something like that.	
3	Q. It's been a constant, the average?	15:59
4	A. It's been pretty close to that. Yeah. I	15:59
5	don't think it's varied tremendously.	
6	Q. Is that the same for I-485s?	16:00
7	A. That's the kind of the average between	16:00
8	the two of them. I think one is like 12 and one is	
9	16 out of 10,000, so it's a .12 or .16 percent of the	
10	workload.	
11	Q. Have you provided any recommendations to	16:00
12	the people who do set policy as to how CARRP might be	
13	refined to even further reduce the risk of	
14	discriminatory effect?	
15	MR. MOORE: Objection to the extent that	16:00
16	question either presumes facts not in evidence or is	
17	argumentative.	
18	But you can answer the question.	16:00
19	A. Yeah. I don't think it's it's I	16:00
20	mean, we I guess if you want to say that we	
21	discriminate against people with national security	
22	concerns because we treat them differently, then	
II		

Renaud, Daniel January 10, 2020

		210
1	we treat cases with national security concerns	
2	differently. That's a fact.	
3	You know, we do not provide most of the	16:01
4	national security we do not provide the national	
5	security information. We are not we are not	
6	compiling and building national security information	
7	to to establish that someone is a national	
8	security concern.	
9	We are users of information that is	16:01
10	available out there by law enforcement and other	
11	agencies to to query and see if this individual	
12	who has come who has come seeking a benefit may	
13	have a national security concern.	
14	Where there is a national security	16:01
15	concern, we are going to handle that case	
16	appropriately. Where there is not a national	
17	security concern, we will not.	
18	How how they do their work, I do not	16:01
19	know. And the relative proportions of people who are	
20	who have national security information and what	
21	countries they're from, I don't know that. I don't	
22	have access to those those databases.	

Renaud, Daniel January 10, 2020

		211
1	We are simply users of this information.	16:02
2	We don't create it. We don't make it. We simply ask	
3	if there's information. And if there's information,	
4	we act one way. And if there isn't, then we act	
5	another way.	
6	We as USCIS are not saying, Oh, this	16:02
7	person is from that country, so let's do this. We	
8	run the same checks on every single case, every	
9	single case.	
10	BY MR. GELLERT:	16:02
11	Q. In every single I-400 (sic) case, you	16:02
12	evaluate whether someone is associated with someone	
13	who is associated with some entity that is associated	
14	with someone who might have been a terrorist?	
15	MR. MOORE: Counsel, do you mean N-400,	16:03
16	just for clarification?	
17	MR. GELLERT: Sure.	16:03
18	A. On every single N-400, we run the same	16:03
19	suite of background checks. If in any one of those	
20	N-400s we get a positive hit on one of those cases,	
21	then we would treat that the same way.	
22	If those we don't have one set of	16:03

Renaud, Daniel January 10, 2020

		212
1	initial checks that we run on one set of cases and on	
2	different set or additional checks that we run on	
3	other cases.	
4	MR. GELLERT: Exhibit No. 62.	16:04
5	(Exhibit Number 62 was marked for	16:04
6	identification and was attached to the deposition.)	
7	BY MR. GELLERT:	16:04
8	Q. I've handed you a declaration that I	16:04
9	received this since you testified about it earlier	
10	this morning with respect to a declaration that you	
11	submitted or was submitted after you signed it in the	
12	NIO case here in the District of the District of	
13	Columbia.	
14	Do you recall this declaration?	16:05
15	A. Yeah. I've done several declarations for	16:05
16	this, but that is my signature and I believe, yes.	
17	Q. Okay. So first of all, another thing I	16:05
18	asked you about and I guess I was corrected over	
19	the lunch hour I asked you if you had submitted a	
20	declaration in our case. And I think it was Tracy	
21	Renaud who submitted it, so I apologize if I	
22	A. Yeah. I'm not Tracy Renaud.	16:05

Renaud, Daniel January 10, 2020

	222
1	Q. Do you know what the gross other 16:37
2	naturalization time is?
3	A. I believe the other naturalization time is 16:37
4	right about 9.2 months.
5	Q. Are you no longer expediting military 16:37
6	naturalizations?
7	A. We are still expediting military 16:37
8	naturalizations.
9	Q. What types of applications that come 16:37
10	through your directorate are subjected to CARRP or
11	potentially subjected to CARRP?
12	A. So every case certainly with respect to 16:37
13	all I-485s and all N-400s well, all cases for
14	every case type, we have set background checks that
15	we run. They differ by case type. But certainly
16	with N-400s and I-485s, we run a set of checks. And
17	so every case is subjected to those initial checks.
18	Q. Are there any types of applications that 16:38
19	come through that don't get any checks?
20	A. No. 16:38
21	Q. If a child under the age of 18 files an $16:38$
22	I-485, does it go through the same types of checks?
II	