U.S. FOREIGH HITELLICENCE
SURVEILE ANDE COURT

	UNITED STATES	SURVEILLAMUE COURT
(b) (1) (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(	FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE C	
1	WASHINGTON, D.C.	LEEANN FLYNN HALL CLERK OF COURT

## (U) GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S OCTOBER 18, 2018 ORDER AND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

(S//NF) The United States submits this response to an Order of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court ("FISC" or "Court") issued on October 18, 2018, regarding how the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") is implementing the retention guidelines set forth in Section III.G.2 of the Minimization Procedures Used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in Connection with Acquisitions of Foreign Intelligence Information Pursuant to Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, as Amended ("FBI 702 SMPs" or "702 SMPs"). The Court's Order required the Government to provide certain information by January 31, 2019. Specifically, the Court's Order required the Government to describe "the types of information acquired by the FBI under Section 702 that the government regards as metadata and the extent to

## SECRET//NOFORN

Classified by:

Chief, Oversight Section, OI, NSD, DOJ FBI NSICG INV; DOJ/NSI SCG-1, 1.6

Derived from: Declassify on:

20440131

OI Tracking No: 87751

(b) (1) (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i) -SECRET//NOFORN

1, 3, 7E per FBI

In approving the current FBI 702 SMPs on October 18, 2018, the Court found that the FBI's Section 702 SMPs and querying procedures provide sufficient protection for U.S. person privacy concerns "related to the indefinite retention of Section 702-acquired metadata

1, 3, 7E per FBI

1, 3, 7E per FBI

October 18, 2018 Opinion at 113-114. The Court further noted

that in considering "the type and volume of metadata acquired under Section 702 and the manner in which the government uses such metadata when evaluating the sufficiency of the targeting, minimization, and querying procedures, the Court will require the government to describe the types of metadata acquired by the FBI under Section 702 that the government regards as metadata and the extent to which such metadata can reveal location information about U.S. persons." *Id.* at 114.

(S//NF) In a similar order that was issued on July 26, 2018, the Court ordered the Government to provide, *inter alia*, a description of the types of information acquired by FBI under Titles I and III of FISA that the government regards as metadata and the extent to which that metadata can indicate the location of the user of a targeted facility. The July 26, 2018 Order

also required the Government to provide

1, 3, 7E per FBI

(b) (1) (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)

1, 3, 7E per F8I	•
1, 3, 7E per FBI	nment submitted responses on
October 19, 2018 and December 10, 2018, addressing the Court's Ju	uly 26, 2018 Order. As
explained in the Government's December 10, 2018 Response, the G	overnment's investigation
regarding certain types of information acquired under the FBI's Titl	e I and III authorities, and
which the FBI considers metadata, is ongoing.	
—(S//NF) To respond to the Court's questions about the types	of metadata that the FBI
collects under Section 702 and the extent to which that metadata is i	ndicative of the location of
U.S. persons, the National Security Division (NSD) requested that the	he FBI provide information
about the types of data it acquires pursuant to Section 702 that it reg	ards as "metadata" and that it
3, 7E per FBI NSD w	vorked with FBI attorneys
and technologists to understand the various fields of metadata, <sup>3</sup> a pro-	ocess that included
distinguishing between metadata as that term is defined in the FBI 7	
metadata, including metadata that also contains content. The FBI 70	22 SMPs define "metadata"
as "dialing, routing, addressing, or signaling information associated	•
does not include information concerning the substance, purport, or n	neaning of the
communication." See FBI 702 SMPs § III.G.1.	(b) (1) (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)
<sup>2</sup> (S/NF) The Government's October 19, 2018 Response addressing these two que 2018 Order, applies equally in the Section 702 context. See also October 18, 2018	estions from the Court's July 26, 3 Opinion at 116.
3 (Q/AID)	- I

(b) (1) (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)

-(S//NF) For example, under Section 702 of FISA, the FBI acquires the sender and
recipient e-mail addresses associated with an e-mail communication. The Government regards
this as non-content dialing, routing, addressing, or signaling (DRAS) information associated with.
a communication.
3, 7E per FBI
Such information would not qualify as "metadata" as that term is currently defined in the FBI
702 SMPs, <sup>r</sup> <sup>1, 3 per ODNI</sup> As a result, such
non-DRAS information would be subject to the retention time limits in Section III.G.1 of the FBI
702 SMPs.
(S/NF) This response describes only the metadata that the FBI regards as non-content
DRAS 1, 3, 7E per FBI
1, 3, 7E per FBI As a result of discussions with the FBI regarding the fields of metadata that the
FBI acquires 1, 3, 7E per FBI
which are detailed below.
· :
·. :
<sup>4</sup> (S//NF) The types of metadata described below represent the data the FBI acquires under Section 702 authorities that the FBI treats as dialing, routing, addressing, and signaling information. This filling does not document the entire scope of information – metadata or content – obtained by the FBI under Section 702 authorities. This information is accurate as of January 31, 2019. Technological change may impact the types of metadata FBI
acquires under its Section 702 authorities.
(b) (1) (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)

	-SECRET//NOFORN	(b) (3) -50 USC 3024 (	(i)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	3, 7E pe	r FBI	
Gov	ernment's ongoing effort to determine which aspects of that information	constitute metadata,	:
as de	fined by the FBI 702 SMPs.		•
	•	·	,
		:	

SECRET//NOFORN	
	:
	il
	;
<u> </u>	

(b)	(1)		
(b)	(3) - 50	USC	3024(i)

-SECRET//NOPORN

/h \	/11		
(D)	(1)		
(b)	(3) - 50	USC	3024(i)

-SECRET//NOFORN	
,	
·	
	·

	SECRET//NOFORN	
· ·		
·		
	·	
	•	
	•	
·		

(b) (	(1)		
(b) (	(3) -50	USC	3024(i)

(b)	(1)			
(b)	(3) - 50	USC	3024(i)	

 <del>/NOFORN</del>
•
•

002277	-SECRET//NOFORN-	(b) (1) (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

 -SECRET//NOFORN	(b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)
	:

(b) (1)

(b) (1)			
(b) $(3) - 50$	USC	3024(i)	

	-SECRET//NOPURIN	
·		
	•	
	•	
	·	
	•	

(b) (1)		
(b) (3) -50	USC	3024(i)

	-SECRET//NOFORN	(b) (1) (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)
Γ		••••

 CECRET/NOPODN	
-	
	·
	<b>I</b>
	<b>I</b>
	i
	•
	l
	l
	ł
	i i

	-SECRET//NOFORN	(b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)
	•	
,		

(b) (1)

(b) (1)			
(b) $(3) - 50$	USC	3024(i)	

	OTODET/AIOTODAI		
•	-SECRET//NOFORN		•••
			$\overline{}$
		•	
•			
			,

	-SECRET//NOFORN	(b) (3) -50 USC 3024(1)
		•
,		
		•

(b) (1) (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)

(b) (1) (b) (3) -50		
(b) (3) -50	USC	3024(i)

		•		
i				
į.				
į.				
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

## V. (U) REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

—(S//NF) As described above, the Court's Order required that this response be filed by January 31, 2019. Due to difficulties on January 31, 2019, in FBI's ability to obtain a signed verification from the appropriate FBI official, the Government was unable to timely file the response. The government respectfully requests a one day extension until February 1, 2019, to file the attached response. A proposed order to this effect is attached.

## (U) CONCLUSION

-(S//NF) The above includes the Government's response to the Court's October 18, 2018

Order and motion for an extension of time.

Respectfully submitted,

6, 7C per NSD

Dated: January 31, 2019

Kevin J. O'Connor Chief, Oversight Section Office of Intelligence National Security Division U.S. Department of Justice

## (U) VERIFICATION

—(S/NF) I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing information regarding metadata that FBI acquires under Section 702 of FISA is true and correct. The matters stated herein are based on my personal knowledge, my review and consideration of the documents and information available to me in my official capacity, and information furnished by other employees of the FBI. My conclusions have been reached in accordance therewith. Executed pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, § 1746 on 01/31/3019

Brian K. Brooks

Assistant Director

Operational Technology Division Federal Bureau of Investigation

(b) (1)		
(b) (3) -50	USC	3024(i)

## UNITED STATES FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT

WASHINGTON, D.C.	

## ORDER

(S//NF) This matter is before this Court on the motion of the United States for an order extending the deadline for the Government's response to this Court's October 18, 2018, Order in the above-captioned matter. Having given full consideration to the representations in the Government's motion, it is hereby ordered that the deadline for the Government's response to the October 18, 2018 Order is extended.

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Government's motion is GRANTED; and

## -SECRET//NOFORN

Derived from:

FBI NSICG INV;

DOJ/NSI SCG-1, 1.6

Declassify on:

20440201

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for the Government to file its response to this Court's October 18, 2018, Order is EXTENDED to February 1, 2019.

ned			Eastern Time
	Date	Time	
			JAMES E. BOASBERG
			JAMES E. BOASBERG Judge, United States Foreign