## Checklist for Considering Student Surveillance Technology

## Efficacy: Does the student surveillance technology actually make students safer?

- Reliable, unbiased, verifiable analyses largely demonstrate the tech improves student safety/well-being
- Only information provided by marketers of the tech claims it improves student safety/ well-being
- Reliable, unbiased, verifiable analyses largely demonstrate the tech does not improve student safety/well-being

## Harm: Is the technology's use likely to hurt students, in general, or any groups of vulnerable students, in particular?

- The technology's use is not likely to harm students, in general, or any groups of vulnerable students
- The technology's use is likely to harm the civil rights, civil liberties, or well-being of students, in general
- The technology's use is likely to harm the civil rights, civil liberties, or well-being of one or more groups of vulnerable students

## Opportunity Costs: Are there better interventions available that the school would not be able to use if it chooses to acquire and use the student surveillance technology?

- No alternative interventions with better efficacy, less harms, or a better benefits-to-harms ratio exists
- An alternative with better efficacy and the same harms exists
- An alternative with the same efficacy but less harms exists
- An alternative with a better benefits-to-harms ratio exists

IF YOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT IS NOT ABLE TO CHECK THE GREEN BOX IN EACH OF THE ABOVE CATEGORIES, YOU SHOULD NOT BE USING A STUDENT SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY

