IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, SIXTH DISTRICT

Case No.: 6D23-2104

L.T. No.: 2022-CF-009611-A-O

STATE OF FLORIDA, *Appellant*,

v.

PETER WASHINGTON, JR., *Appellee*.

ON APPEAL FROM A FINAL ORDER OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR

ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

MOTION OF AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION,
BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE,
ACLU FOUNDATION OF FLORIDA, AND
NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND
FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN AMICI CURIAE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF
APPELLEE PETER WASHINGTON, JR.

Pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.370, the American Civil Liberties Union ("ACLU"), Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law (the "Brennan Center"), ACLU Foundation of Florida, Inc. ("ACLU-FL"), and NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. ("LDF") respectfully move this Honorable Court for leave

to file the attached brief as *Amici Curiae* in support of Appellee Peter Washington, Jr. In support of this motion, proposed *amici* state the following:

- 1. Amici are nonprofit, nonpartisan civil and voting rights organizations that seeks to uphold and protect rights guaranteed to all citizens by the Constitution, including the right to vote.
- 2. Amici supported Amendment 4, the historic state constitutional amendment that brought an end to Florida's system of lifetime disenfranchisement. And for over two decades, amici have worked inside and outside of the courtroom to expand and defend the right to vote of returning citizens¹ in Florida and in other states.
- 3. The issue to be addressed in this case is whether the Office of Statewide Prosecution ("OSP") has authority to prosecute an isolated incident of an individual, acting alone in one judicial circuit, for purportedly voting while ineligible.
- 4. *Amici* have a significant interest in the resolution of this issue because Appellant seeks an unprecedented expansion of OSP's

-

¹ A "returning citizen" is an individual with a felony conviction.

authority. Such an expansion would risk the unjust prosecutions of additional returning citizens whom the State has confused or misled about their eligibility. And those prosecutions would, in turn, result in further intimidation and disenfranchisement of Florida's returning citizens who *are* eligible voters in Florida, a disproportionate number of whom are Black because of bias in the criminal system.

- 5. The participation of *amici* will benefit this Court by demonstrating how this dramatic expansion of OSP's authority—in addition to being radically at odds with OSP's constitutional authority, as well as its original intent and longstanding practice—would have far-reaching consequences for fair democracy in Florida, particularly given the State's failure to administer its complex voting-rights restoration system.
- 6. The participation of *amici* will not cause any delay or disruption in these proceedings.
- 7. Undersigned counsel certifies that they have consulted with both the Appellant and Appellee, who have both consented to ACLU's, the Brennan Center's, ACLU-FL's, and LDF's participation as *amici curiae*.

WHEREFORE, ACLU, the Brennan Center, ACLU-FL, and LDF respectfully request this Honorable Court grant this motion for leave to file the attached brief as *amici curiae* in support of Appellee.

Dated October 26, 2023.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

/s/ Julie Ebenstein

Julie Ebenstein (FBN 91033) 125 Broad St. New York, NY 10004 (212) 607-3300 jebenstein@aclu.org

ACLU FOUNDATION OF FLORIDA

/s/ Caroline A. McNamara
Caroline A. McNamara (FBN 1038312)
Daniel B. Tilley (FBN 102882)
4343 W Flagler St, Suite 400
Miami, FL 33138
(786) 363-2714
cmcnamara@aclufl.org
dtilley@aclufl.org

Nicholas L.V. Warren (FBN 1019018) 1809 Art Museum Drive, Suite 203 Jacksonville, FL 32207 (786) 363-1769 nwarren@aclufl.org

Counsel for Amici Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on October 26, 2023, a true and correct copy of the foregoing will be furnished via the Florida Court's E-Filing Portal to:

Office of the Attorney General

Henry C. Whitaker
Jeffrey Paul DeSousa
Alison E. Preston
The Capitol, PL-01
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
(850) 414-3300
alison.preston@myfloridalegal.com
jenna.hodges@myfloridalegal.com

Robert C. Finkbeiner, Jr. Chief Assistant Statewide Prosecutor 135 W. Central Blvd. Ste. 1000 Orlando, FL 32801 (407) 245-0893 robert.finkbeiner@myfloridalegal.com

Counsel for the State of Florida

Roger L. Weeden

ROGER L. WEEDEN, ESQ. 605 East Robinson Street Ste. 25
Orlando, FL 32801
Telephone: (407) 894-9004
rlweeden@aol.com

Counsel for Peter Washington, Jr.

<u>/s/ Caroline A. McNamara</u> Caroline A. McNamara

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, SIXTH DISTRICT

Case No.: 6D23-2104

L.T. No.: 2022-CF-009611-A-O

STATE OF FLORIDA, *Appellant*,

v.

PETER WASHINGTON, JR., *Appellee*.

ON APPEAL FROM A FINAL ORDER OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION, BRENNAN CENTER FOR
JUSTICE, ACLU FOUNDATION OF FLORIDA, AND NAACP LEGAL
DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND
IN SUPPORT OF APPELLEE PETER WASHINGTON, JR.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION Julie A. Ebenstein (FBN 91033) 125 Broad St. New York, NY 10004 (212) 549-2500 jebenstein@aclu.org ACLU FOUNDATION OF FLORIDA Caroline A. McNamara (FBN 1038312) Daniel B. Tilley (FBN 102882) 4343 W Flagler St, Suite 400 Miami, FL 33138 (786) 363-2714 cmcnamara@aclufl.org dtilley@aclufl.org

Counsel for Amici Curiae

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Pa	age
STA	ГЕМЕ	ENT C	OF INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE	1
PRE	LIMIN	NARY	STATEMENT	3
ARG	UME	NT		7
I.			KS AUTHORITY TO PROSECUTE MR. GTON	7
	A.	to th	's Prosecution of Mr. Washington Stands in Contra ne History and Intent Behind OSP's Creation, and t 's Longstanding Practice	0
	B.		ellant's Position Would Expand OSP's Authority and the Limits Set by the Florida Constitution	13
		1.	The Constitution Limits OSP's Authority to Multi-Circuit, Organized Crimes That Cannot Be Efficiently Prosecuted by State Attorneys	
		2.	The Legislature Cannot Expand OSP's Statutory Authority Beyond the Constitution	17
II.	ISOI FLO	LATEI RIDA	HINGTON'S CASE INVOLVES, AT WORST, AN D INSTANCE OF VOTER CONFUSION CAUSED BY 'S FAILURE TO ADMINISTER ITS VOTING-RIGHTS ATION SYSTEM	\
III.	VOT	ER C	G OSP TO PROSECUTE ISOLATED INCIDENTS OF ONFUSION WILL CHILL VOTING BY ELIGIBLE NG CITIZENS	
CON	CLUS	SION		27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)
CASES
Advisory Op. to Governor—1996 Amendment 5, 706 So.2d 283 (Fla. 1997)
Advisory Op. to Governor re: Implementation of Amendment 4, the Voting Restoration Amendment, 288 So.3d 1070 (Fla. 2020)
Corrales v. State, 84 So.3d 406 (Fla. 1st DCA 2012)
Dep't of Env'tal Prot. v. Millender, 666 So.2d 882 (Fla. 1996) 14
Fla. Dep't of Agric. & Consumer Servs. v. Dolliver, 283 So.3d 953 (Fla. 2d DCA 2019)
Fla. Hosp. Waterman, Inc. v. Buster, 984 So.2d 478 (Fla. 2008)
Gallant v. Stephens, 358 So.2d 536 (Fla. 1978)
Gray v. Bryant, 125 So.2d 846 (Fla. 1960)
Jones v. DeSantis, 462 F. Supp. 3d 1196 (N.D. Fla. 2020)
Jones v. Governor of Fla., 975 F.3d 1016 (11th Cir. 2020)
Lab'y Corp. of Am. v. Davis, 339 So.3d 318 (Fla. 2022)
Notami Hosp. of Fla., Inc. v. Bowen, 927 So.2d 139 (Fla. 1st DCA 2006)

United States v. Hall, 346 F.2d 875 (2d Cir. 1965)
Winter v. State, 781 So.2d 1111 (Fla. 1st DCA 2001)
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS
Art. IV, § 4, Fla. Const
Art. VI, § 4, Fla. Const
Laws & Statutes
§ 16.56, Fla. Stat
§ 97.071, Fla. Stat. (2022)
§ 98.075, Fla. Stat. (2022)
§ 98.0751, Fla. Stat. (2022)
§ 98.093, Fla. Stat. (2022)
§ 104.15, Fla. Stat. (2022)
Ch. 85-179, § 1, Laws of Fla
Ch. 2005-277, § 73, Laws of Fla
Ch. 2019-162, § 25, Laws of Fla
Ch. 2023-2, § 1, Laws of Fla
Ch. 2023-120, § 4, Laws of Fla
Fla. HJR 386 (1985)
REGULATIONS
Fla. Admin. Code R. 1S-2.039
Fla Admin Code R 1S-2 041

OTHER **A**UTHORITIES

Amendments, Orlando Sentinel, Nov. 3, 1986	16
Antonin Scalia & Bryan A. Garner, <i>Reading Law: The</i> Interpretation of Legal Texts (2012)	15
En Banc Opening Brief of Defendants-Appellants, <i>Jones v. Governor of Fla.</i> , 975 F.3d 1016 (No. 20-12003)	24
En Banc Reply Brief of Defendants-Appellants, <i>Jones v. Governor of Fla.</i> , 975 F.3d 1016 (No. 20-12003)	24
First Coast News, Watch Live: Governor DeSantis Press Conference, YouTube (Aug. 18, 2022)	26
Fla. Dep't L. Enf't, <i>Investigative Report</i> (2021)	22
Fla. Div. Elections, Initiative Information, Authority of Attorney General to Appoint a Statewide Prosecutor	16
Fla. Exec. Order No. 84-150 (Aug. 8, 1984)	9
Fla. H.R. Comm. on Judiciary, HB 3B (2023) Post-Meeting Staff Analysis (Feb. 8, 2023)	19
Florida Bans Voting Rights of Over One Million Citizens, Sent'g Proj. (Jan. 2023)	27
Form DS-DE 39, Florida Voter Registration Application, Fla. Dep't St. (Oct. 2013)	23
Lori Rozsa, <i>The First Arrests from DeSantis's Election Police</i> Take Extensive Toll, Wash. Post (May 1, 2023)	
Matt Dixon, Defendants Targeted in DeSantis' Voter Fraud Crackdown Were Told They Could Vote, Politico (Aug. 26, 2022)	20
Matt Shuham, Some Eligible Ex-Felons Fear Voting Because of Ron DeSantis, HuffPost (Oct. 28, 2022)	25

Memorandum from Jonathan Olson, Div. Supervisor, State Att'y Off., Fifth Jud. Cir. (June 13, 2022)	. 24
Memorandum from Office of the Attorney General to All Department Employees (Mar. 9, 2012)	27
Memorandum from Office of the Attorney General to All Department Employees (Apr. 11, 2016)	. 27
Michael Wines, In Voter Fraud, Penalties Often Depend on Who's Voting, N.Y. Times (Sept. 7, 2022)	. 27
News Service of Florida, Florida Elections Officials Grapple with Misinformation, Myths, Tampa Bay Times (Oct. 26, 2022)	26
Office of the Attorney General, Office of Statewide Prosecution	. 12
Office of the Attorney General, Office of Statewide Prosecution Annual Reports	. 12
Opposition to Application to Vacate the En Banc 11th Circuit's Stay, <i>Raysor v. DeSantis</i> , 140 S.Ct. 2600 (2020) (No. 19A1071)	. 24
Order Granting Def.'s Mot. to Dismiss, <i>State v. Hubbard</i> , No. 22008077CF10A (Fla. 17th Cir. Ct. Dec. 23, 2022)	. 19
Order on Mot. to Dismiss, <i>State v. Miller</i> , No. 13-2022- CF-015012-0001-XX (11th Fla. Cir. Ct. Dec. 12, 2022)	. 19
Order on Mot. to Dismiss, <i>State v. Wood</i> , No. 13-2022- CF-015009-0001-XX (Fla. 11th Cir. Ct. Oct. 21, 2022)	. 19
Paul Blest & Trone Dowd, 'Complete Setup': Florida Crackdown Has Ex-Felons Afraid to Vote, Vice (Nov. 3, 2022)	. 25
R.S. Palmer & Barbara M. Linthicum, <i>The Statewide</i> Prosecutor: A New Weapon Against Organized Crime, 13 Fla. St. U. L. Rev. 653 (1985)pas	ssim

Were Eligible, Documents Show, Guardian (Aug. 25, 2022)
State Referendums, St. Petersburg Times, Oct. 30, 1986
The Florida Bar Special Committee on the Statewide Prosecution Function, Report to the Board of Governors (1977)
Trial Transcript, <i>Jones v. DeSantis</i> , No. 4:19cv300 RH/MJF (N.D. Fla. May 4, 2020)
Wayne Washington, Voter Intimidation? Black Voters Over-Represented Among Those Arrested So Far for Election Crimes, Palm Beach Post (Oct. 10, 2022)

STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE

The American Civil Liberties Union ("ACLU") is a nationwide, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization dedicated to defending the civil liberties guaranteed by the Constitution and our nation's civil rights laws. The ACLU Foundation of Florida, Inc. ("ACLU-FL") is its Florida affiliate and has more than 50,000 members statewide. The protection and expansion of voting rights, as well as the rights of the accused in criminal proceedings, are of great concern to both organizations. Specifically, the ACLU and ACLU-FL combat voter suppression, including that faced by Black people, who are disproportionately incarcerated and subject to felony disenfranchisement.

The Brennan Center for Justice at NYU Law School¹ (the "Brennan Center") is a nonprofit, nonpartisan law and policy institute that seeks to strengthen, revitalize, and defend our systems of democracy and justice. Through its Voting Rights Program, the Brennan Center works nationwide to re-enfranchise Americans with

¹ This brief does not purport to convey the position of New York University School of Law.

past convictions. The Brennan Center also regularly participates as counsel or *amicus* in litigation related to felony disenfranchisement.

The NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. ("LDF") is a nonprofit, nonpartisan, legal organization founded in 1940 under the leadership of Thurgood Marshall to secure equal justice under the law for all Americans and to break down barriers that prevent Black people from realizing their basic civil and human rights. To this end, LDF has spearheaded litigation, legislation, education, and other advocacy to end felony disenfranchisement and challenge baseless prosecutions of Black voters for alleged voter fraud.

For over two decades, *amici* have worked inside and outside of the courtroom to expand and defend the right to vote of returning citizens² in Florida and other states. *Amici* also advocated for the passage of Amendment 4, the historic amendment to Florida's constitution that was meant to end the State's system of lifetime disenfranchisement.

Amici have a significant interest in this case: The State ("Appellant") seeks this Court's support for an unprecedented

² A "returning citizen" is an individual with a felony conviction.

expansion of the authority of the Office of Statewide Prosecution ("OSP"). Such an expansion would be contrary to OSP's constitutional authority, purpose, and longstanding practice, and would permit further prosecutions of returning citizens—such as Appellee Peter Washington, Jr.—who have been confused or misled about their eligibility to vote by Florida's byzantine voting-rights restoration system. Such an expansion would also intimidate and disenfranchise returning citizens who *are* eligible to vote. Bias in the criminal justice system has meant that a disproportionate number of such citizens in Florida are Black.

Accordingly, *amici* respectfully submit this brief to underscore the threat presented by Appellant's position to the rule of law and democratic norms in Florida.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

In 2018, Florida voters overwhelmingly approved Amendment 4, automatically restoring voting rights for returning citizens who have completed the terms of their sentences, except those convicted of murder or felony sexual offenses.³ Approximately 1.4 million

³ Art. VI, § 4(a)-(b), Fla. Const. (2018).

people were expected to benefit from the Amendment, but in 2019 the State enacted Senate Bill 7066 ("SB7066"), requiring returning citizens to satisfy certain court-imposed debts before they can vote.⁴ SB7066 also defined the terms "murder" and "felony sexual offense," for which voting rights are not automatically restored by Amendment 4, to include an amorphous and partial list of crimes.⁵ Under SB7066, it is "sometimes hard, sometimes impossible" for returning citizens to determine whether they are eligible to vote.⁶

Since SB7066 was enacted, Florida's voting-rights restoration system has been an "administrative train wreck." The State does not provide timely verification of eligibility, instead keeping potentially-ineligible voters on the rolls for years after it has approved their registrations and sent them voter-information cards, leading them to believe they are eligible to vote. Appellant is now prosecuting returning citizens like Mr. Washington for good-faith mistakes about

⁴ Ch. 2019-162, § 25, Laws of Fla.

⁵ *Id*.

⁶ Jones v. Governor of Fla. (Jones II), 975 F.3d 1016, 1062 (11th Cir. 2020) (en banc) (Martin, J., dissenting) (citation omitted), rev'g Jones v. DeSantis (Jones I), 462 F.Supp.3d 1196 (N.D. Fla. 2020).

⁷ Jones II, 975 F.3d at 1059 (Martin, J., dissenting) (quoting "District Court's unchallenged findings of fact" that Florida's implementation has been an "administrative train wreck").

their eligibility, despite representations to federal courts that it would not do so.8

Last August, five days before Florida's primary election, Governor DeSantis held a press conference to announce the arrests of Mr. Washington and 19 other returning citizens for allegedly voting while ineligible in 2020.9 Flanked by over a dozen uniformed officers, the Governor called the arrests the "opening salvo" of Florida's new Office of Election Crimes and Security. ¹⁰ He also announced OSP was going to prosecute Mr. Washington and the other individuals arrested because there are "some prosecutors that have been loath to bring these cases." ¹¹

Mr. Washington's brief sets forth ample grounds for this Court to uphold the circuit court's order of dismissal. *Amici* write to present three additional grounds for affirmance.

First, Appellant's position that OSP has authority to prosecute Mr. Washington for an alleged single-circuit voting crime that does

⁸ See infra notes 78-79 and accompanying text.

⁹ First Coast News, *Watch Live: Governor DeSantis Press Conference*, YouTube (Aug. 18, 2022), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IBkT4A1RET8.

¹⁰ *Id.* at 1:10:48-1:12:20.

¹¹ *Id.* at 1:05:48-1:06:40.

not involve organized criminal activity is radically at odds with the constitutional provision that created OSP. Appellant's argument contradicts the plain language of that constitutional provision, along with its history and intent, and OSP's longstanding practice. Notably, the limitation of OSP's authority to multi-circuit crimes was deliberately placed in the constitution, and not statute, so that the Legislature could not expand OSP to usurp the role of State Attorneys. In tasking OSP to prosecute Mr. Washington, Appellant has done just that.

Second, OSP's prosecution of Mr. Washington is improper because he is a victim of the confusion caused by Florida's failure to administer its complex voting-rights restoration system, not an "election fraudster."

Third, to allow OSP to bring this prosecution will chill voting among eligible returning citizens in Florida, who are disproportionately Black.

This Court should affirm the ruling below.

ARGUMENT

I. OSP LACKS AUTHORITY TO PROSECUTE MR. WASHINGTON.

OSP was created for a specific purpose: to enhance Florida's ability to combat complex, multi-circuit organized crimes, which geographically-bound State Attorneys were unable to prosecute effectively. OSP's prosecution of Mr. Washington, for an alleged single-circuit voting crime that is not connected with organized criminal activity, does not fall within that purpose. In addition, Appellant cannot accord more powers to OSP than are provided by the Florida Constitution, which limits OSP's authority to crimes that have "occurred[] in two or more judicial circuits as part of a related transaction" or "affected two or more judicial circuits as provided by general law." Appellant's attempts to expand OSP's statutory authority beyond the limits of the constitution must be denied.

A. OSP's Prosecution of Mr. Washington Stands in Contrast to the History and Intent Behind OSP's Creation, and to OSP's Longstanding Practice.

The Legislature and voters created OSP in 1986 because Florida's geographically-bound State Attorney system could not

7

¹² Art. IV, § 4(b), Fla. Const.

confront the challenge of organized crime.¹³ A 1977 report by a special committee of The Florida Bar concluded that Florida was ineffective at prosecuting cross-jurisdictional crimes because each of the 20 State Attorneys focused on a specific judicial circuit: They were not "responsible for nor aware of crime problems in other parts of the State" and there was "no unified or central direction … on existing or imminent criminal activity of statewide importance which should have a unified, state-wide response."¹⁴ Newspapers reiterated this concern, observing that a centralized prosecutor was needed to address "Florida's high rate of organized crime" and other statewide criminal conspiracies.¹⁵

Throughout the 1970s and early 1980s, efforts were made to create a statewide prosecutor. ¹⁶ Alternatives such as the Statewide Grand Jury, Office of Prosecution Coordination, and Council for the

¹³ R.S. Palmer & Barbara M. Linthicum, *The Statewide Prosecutor: A New Weapon Against Organized Crime*, 13 Fla. St. U. L. Rev. 653, 654 (1985).

¹⁴ The Florida Bar Special Committee on the Statewide Prosecution Function, Report to the Board of Governors 1, 12 (1977), https://tinyurl.com/4csp9r67.

¹⁵ *Id.* at 48-59.

¹⁶ Palmer & Linthicum, supra note 13, at 654-63.

Prosecution of Organized Crime all proved inadequate. ¹⁷ So, in 1984, Governor Graham formed the Governor's Commission on the Statewide Prosecution Function (the "Commission") to develop recommendations for a statewide agency to address "the threat that organized criminal activity poses to the quality of life of the citizens of Florida." ¹⁸ The Commission was directed to:

(1) draft a constitutional amendment to permit the establishment of an agency with statewide responsibility for prosecuting organized criminal activity, and (2) draft legislation to establish and define the jurisdiction of an agency with statewide responsibility for prosecuting organized criminal activity.¹⁹

Given the potential for conflict with State Attorneys, the Commission recommended that the statewide prosecutor have authority only if two conditions were met:

[F]irst, the subject matter of the offense prosecuted must be one of the offenses enumerated in the enabling legislation, and second, such offense must be occurring, or must have occurred, in two or more circuits as part of a related transaction.²⁰

¹⁷ *Id*.

¹⁸ Fla. Exec. Order No. 84-150 (Aug. 8, 1984), https://tinyurl.com/9wvxe7bu.

¹⁹ *Id.* § 5.

²⁰ Palmer & Linthicum, supra note 13, at 666-67.

The Commission also considered, but ultimately declined to recommend, authorizing the statewide prosecutor to prosecute single-circuit public corruption cases without a request from a State Attorney. Such a grant of authority, the Commission concluded, would detract from the office's focus on "large criminal organizations." 22

In 1985, adopting the Commission's recommended constitutional amendment and enabling legislation, Governor Graham called for creation of a statewide prosecutor to focus on "long-term, complex organized crime cases" and "long-term investigations to assure the recovery of ill-gotten gains and convict major criminals."²³ Such an office, he said, would "accumulate expertise in organized crime investigations," "assume responsibility for cases with a statewide impact," and serve as "front line troops in the war on drugs and organized crime."²⁴

The Commission recommended that the enabling legislation explicitly set forth the multi-circuit limitation on the statewide

²¹ *Id.* at 667-68.

²² *Id*.

²³ *Id.* at 668-69.

²⁴ *Id.* at 669.

prosecutor's authority.²⁵ The Florida Prosecuting Attorneys Association—historically the chief opponent of the creation of a statewide prosecutor—urged that this limitation be enshrined in the constitution to make it more difficult for the Legislature to expand the statewide prosecutor's powers to usurp theirs.²⁶

To ensure the support of State Attorneys, the Legislature placed the multi-jurisdictional limitation in the proposed constitutional amendment.²⁷ The Legislature then referred to voters the proposed constitutional amendment that created OSP.²⁸ The Legislature also passed enabling legislation authorizing OSP to pursue specific crimes, including criminal fraud, extortion, gambling, dangerous drugs crimes, and violations of the Florida RICO Act.²⁹ Consistent with having OSP combat multi-circuit crimes, the enabling legislation limited its authority to "when any such offense is occurring, or has occurred, in two or more judicial circuits as part of a related transaction" or "when any such offense is connected with an

²⁵ *Id.* at 671, 677-78.

²⁶ *Id.* at 671.

²⁷ *Id.* at 671.

²⁸ Fla. HJR 386 (1985) at 1 (proposed amendment to art. IV, § 4(c), Fla. Const. (now renumbered § 4(b)).

²⁹ Ch. 85-179, § 1, Laws of Fla.

organized criminal conspiracy affecting two or more judicial circuits."30

For nearly four decades—from its creation until Appellant's "opening salvo"—OSP has prosecuted multi-circuit crimes that would be difficult for a State Attorney to pursue, such as organized fraud, human trafficking, drug trafficking, and white-collar crime.³¹ OSP's website states that it focuses on "complex, often large scale, organized criminal activity."³² OSP's annual reports also show that, before 2022, it never prosecuted anyone for alleged voting crimes.³³ Only after the Governor remarked last August that some State Attorneys are "loath" to prosecute voter fraud did OSP shift course. Indeed, an attorney who was involved with OSP's creation explained:

At that time, it was about organized crime. I can guarantee you that it never came to anybody's mind that [OSP] would be prosecuting election laws.³⁴

³⁰ *Id*.

³¹ See generally Office of the Attorney General, Office of Statewide Prosecution Annual Reports, http://tinyurl.com/mr2ueccc (last visited Oct. 26, 2023) (annual overviews of OSP for 2011-2021).

³² Office of the Attorney General, *Office of Statewide Prosecution*, http://tinyurl.com/j2hn9b4c (last visited Oct. 26, 2023).

³³ Office of Statewide Prosecution Annual Reports, supra note 31.

³⁴ Lori Rozsa, *The First Arrests from DeSantis's Election Police Take Extensive Toll*, Wash. Post (May 1, 2023), http://tinyurl.com/8u7ynvj4 (quoting Barbara Linthicum).

B. Appellant's Position Would Expand OSP's Authority Beyond the Limits Set by the Florida Constitution.

1. The Constitution Limits OSP's Authority to Multi-Circuit, Organized Crimes That Cannot Be Efficiently Prosecuted by State Attorneys.

Applying Florida's ordinary principles of constitutional interpretation, this Court must reject Appellant's arguments. Florida courts "adhere to the 'supremacy-of-text principle': 'The words of a governing text are of paramount concern, and what they convey, in their context, is what the text means." And what the text means is what "voters would have understood" them to mean. In addition, the Florida Supreme Court has long recognized:

The fundamental object to be sought in construing a constitutional provision is to ascertain the intent of the framers and the provision must be construed or interpreted in such manner as to fulfill the intent of the people, never to defeat it. Such a provision must never be construed in such manner as to make it possible for the will of the people to be frustrated or denied.³⁷

³⁵ Advisory Op. to Governor re: Implementation of Amendment 4, the Voting Restoration Amendment (Amendment 4), 288 So.3d 1070, 1078 (Fla. 2020) (quoting Antonin Scalia & Bryan A. Garner, Reading Law: The Interpretation of Legal Texts 56 (2012)).

³⁶ *Id.* at 1084.

³⁷ *Id.* at 1085 (Labarga, J., concurring in result and dissenting in part) (citing *Gray v. Bryant*, 125 So.2d 846, 852 (Fla. 1960)).

The intent of a constitutional provision can be "discerned from historical precedent, from the present facts, from common sense, and from an examination of the purpose the provision was intended to accomplish and the evils sought to be prevented." Courts may also "look to the explanatory materials available to the people as a predicate for their decision as persuasive of their intent." Finally, "[w]here possible, [courts should be] guided by [the] circumstances leading to the adoption of a provision."

Turning first to the text of the constitution, Article IV, Section 4(b) provides that OSP has "concurrent jurisdiction with the state attorneys to prosecute violations of criminal laws occurring or having occurred, in *two or more judicial circuits* as part of a related transaction, or when any such offense is affecting or has affected *two or more judicial circuits* as provided by general law."⁴¹ It explicitly limits OSP's authority to crimes that occur in or affect "two or more judicial circuits[.]"⁴² Viewed in the context in which OSP was created,

³⁸ Dep't of Env'tal Prot. v. Millender, 666 So.2d 882, 885-86 (Fla. 1996).

³⁹ *Id.*

⁴⁰ Gallant v. Stephens, 358 So.2d 536, 539 (Fla. 1978).

⁴¹ Art. IV, § 4(b), Fla. Const. (emphases added).

⁴² *Id*.

there is only one "unambiguous 'ordinary meaning' that the voters 'would most likely understand": OSP only has authority to prosecute multi-circuit crimes.⁴³

This reading of OSP's constitutional authority is consistent with the framers' intent. The constitutional amendment that created OSP was deliberately written to limit OSP's encroachment on the authority of State Attorneys. 44 Governor Graham's Commission and the Legislature also declined to empower OSP to address single-circuit public corruption cases. 45 Moreover, at no point was OSP intended to replace State Attorneys, or to prosecute the cases they declined to pursue. Appellant does not contest that a State Attorney could have prosecuted Mr. Washington for his alleged crime. In fact, State Attorneys in other circuits have brought similar prosecutions, while others have declined to do so for lack of sufficient evidence of intent, not lack of authority. 46

_

⁴³ Amendment 4, 288 So.3d at 1078 (citing Advisory Op. to Governor—1996 Amendment 5, 706 So.2d 278, 283 (Fla. 1997)); see also Lab'y Corp. of Am. v. Davis, 339 So.3d 318, 324 (Fla. 2022) ("Context is a primary determinant of meaning." (quoting Scalia & Garner, supra note 35, at 167)).

⁴⁴ Palmer & Linthicum, supra note 13, at 667.

⁴⁵ *Id.* at 666-67, 671.

⁴⁶ See infra note 77 and accompanying text.

This reading of OSP's constitutional authority is also consistent with the intent of voters. Governor Graham and major publications communicated to voters the limitation of OSP's focus to "major criminals" and complex, multi-circuit organized crimes that could not be effectively prosecuted by State Attorneys.⁴⁷ In addition, the ballot summary for the proposed constitutional amendment that created OSP provided it would create "a statewide prosecutor having concurrent jurisdiction with the state attorneys to prosecute *multi-circuit* violations of the criminal laws of the state." Thus, voters approved of the creation OSP with the understanding that it would be focused on "major criminals" and complex, multi-circuit crimes that cannot be effectively prosecuted by State Attorneys.

Appellant does not allege that Mr. Washington did anything other than vote in a single circuit while ineligible.⁴⁹ Appellant never alleges that he organized with anyone, or cast or helped to cast any

⁴⁷ Palmer & Linthicum, *supra* note 13, at 668-69; *see also*, *e.g.*, *Amendments*, Orlando Sentinel, Nov. 3, 1986, at A-8, https://tinyurl.com/2csv55vr; *State Referendums*, St. Petersburg Times, Oct. 30, 1986, at 8, https://tinyurl.com/5n7z96jr.

⁴⁸ Fla. Div. Elections, Initiative Information, *Authority of Attorney General to Appoint a Statewide Prosecutor*, http://tinyurl.com/3n2x3wsb (last visited Oct. 26, 2023) (emphasis added).

⁴⁹ R. 8-12, 100-102.

vote other than his own.⁵⁰ To hold that OSP has authority here because (i) "voter fraud undermines public confidence in the integrity of statewide elections," (ii) Mr. Washington's conduct "triggered state election-administration processes that involve state action in both the Ninth and Second Circuits," and (iii) his alleged "scheme to vote illegally in the 2020 election" is a crime "occur[ing] in at least two judicial circuits as part of a related transaction"—as Appellant alleges—would render meaningless the words of the Florida Constitution and accord boundless authority to OSP.⁵¹

2. The Legislature Cannot Expand OSP's Statutory Authority Beyond the Constitution.

OSP "is a creature of the Florida Constitution and of specific Florida Statutes." 52 "State constitutions are limitations upon the power of state legislatures." 53 Accordingly, a statute is invalid if it

⁵⁰ *Id*.

⁵¹ Appellant Br. 10, 17, 19-20.

⁵² Winter v. State, 781 So.2d 1111, 1113 (Fla. 1st DCA 2001).

⁵³ Notami Hosp. of Fla., Inc. v. Bowen, 927 So.2d 139, 142 (Fla. 1st DCA 2006), aff'd sub nom., Fla. Hosp. Waterman, Inc. v. Buster, 984 So.2d 478 (Fla. 2008).

"conflicts with the express or clearly implied mandate[s] of the Constitution."54

Since OSP's creation, the Legislature has twice amended its enabling statute, Florida Statute § 16.56, to empower OSP to prosecute voting-related crimes. In 2005, the Legislature authorized OSP to pursue "[a]ny crime involving voter registration, voting, or candidate or issue petition activities."55 Importantly, this addition to Section 16.56 did not purport to authorize OSP to prosecute singlecircuit voting crimes that are not part of a larger statewide conspiracy; indeed, such power could not have been accorded legislatively. Consistent with the Constitution, OSP's authority remained restricted to crimes in which "such offense is occurring, or has occurred, in two or more judicial circuits as part of a related transaction, or when any such offense is connected with an organized criminal conspiracy affecting two or more judicial circuits."56

The Legislature amended Section 16.56 again this year to purportedly give OSP authority to prosecute Mr. Washington, after

⁵⁴ Fla. Dep't of Agric. & Consumer Servs. v. Dolliver, 283 So.3d 953, 959 (Fla. 2d DCA 2019) (quotation omitted).

⁵⁵ Ch. 2005-277, § 73, Laws of Fla.

⁵⁶ *Id*.

circuit courts dismissed Appellant's cases against three other returning citizens on the ground that OSP lacks authority to prosecute alleged single-circuit voting crimes.⁵⁷ The amendment authorizes OSP to prosecute voter-registration and voting-related crimes that are "occurring, or [have] occurred, in two or more judicial circuits as part of a related transaction," or when "any such offense is affecting, or has affected, two or more judicial circuits," without the requirement of an "organized criminal conspiracy."⁵⁸

That the Legislature perceived a need to amend Section 16.56 confirms that, in its prior form, the section did not authorize OSP to prosecute Mr. Washington. Indeed, the staff analysis for the House companion to the bill that amended Section 16.56 cited the other three dismissed prosecutions.⁵⁹ But even if these modifications—passed *after* Mr. Washington's case was dismissed—applied here, OSP still would not have authority to prosecute him because the

⁵⁷ Order on Mot. to Dismiss, *State v. Wood*, No. 13 2022 CF 015009 0001 XX (Fla. 11th Cir. Ct. Oct. 21, 2022); Order on Mot. to Dismiss, *State v. Miller*, No. 13-2022-CF-015012-0001-XX (11th Fla. Cir. Ct. Dec. 12, 2022); Order Granting Def.'s Mot. to Dismiss, *State v. Hubbard*, No. 22008077CF10A (Fla. 17th Cir. Ct. Dec. 23, 2022). ⁵⁸ Ch. 2023-2, § 1, Laws of Fla.

⁵⁹ Fla. H.R. Comm. on Judiciary, HB 3B (2023) Post-Meeting Staff Analysis 3 (Feb. 8, 2023), https://tinyurl.com/vxjhz8wp.

Legislature cannot expand OSP's authority beyond the explicit limits in Florida's constitution.⁶⁰

II. MR. WASHINGTON'S CASE INVOLVES, AT WORST, AN ISOLATED INSTANCE OF VOTER CONFUSION CAUSED BY FLORIDA'S FAILURE TO ADMINISTER ITS VOTING-RIGHTS RESTORATION SYSTEM.

Florida's incoherent voting-rights restoration system, put into place by SB7066 to undermine Amendment 4, has kept returning citizens like Mr. Washington uninformed about their eligibility, and in many cases has affirmatively misled them.⁶¹

Since SB7066 was enacted, Florida has struggled to timely verify the eligibility of returning citizens and apparently lacked the resources to do so. Under Florida law and the Department of State's ("DOS") regulations, DOS is charged with verifying voter eligibility and identifying potentially-ineligible voters whose voting rights have not been restored so they can be removed from the rolls.⁶² DOS

⁶⁰ Notami, 927 So.2d at 142.

⁶¹ Matt Dixon, Defendants Targeted in DeSantis' Voter Fraud Crackdown Were Told They Could Vote, Politico (Aug. 26, 2022), https://tinyurl.com/rx4pamr3; Sam Levine, Floridians Charged Over Voting Believed They Were Eligible, Documents Show, Guardian (Aug. 25, 2022), https://tinyurl.com/mwen363f.

⁶² §§ 98.075(5), 98.0751(3)(a), Fla. Stat. (2022); Fla. Admin. Code R. 1S-2.041(4)(c), R. 1S-2.039(11)(f)(3).

checks new registrations within 24 hours of receipt against the Florida Department of Law Enforcement's ("FDLE") database and then conducts a manual review to confirm potential matches are actually ineligible.⁶³ Florida's voter registration database is also "cross-checked daily against FDLE records" to identify potentially-ineligible voters.⁶⁴ In addition, the Bureau of Voter Registration Services conducts monthly checks to identify potentially-ineligible voters.⁶⁵

Over the last four years, DOS has failed to meet these responsibilities. Between January 8, 2019 (Amendment 4's effective date) and May 2020, DOS flagged for vetting some 85,000 pending registrations by returning citizens.⁶⁶ In those 16 months, however, DOS had "yet to complete its screening of any of the [85,000] registrations."⁶⁷ DOS advised a federal court that its review of those

⁶³ Trial Transcript at vol. 5, 1181:17-1186:10, *Jones I*, No. 4:19cv300-RH/MJF (N.D. Fla. May 4, 2020), https://tinyurl.com/2p9rk7wv.

⁶⁴ *Id.* at 1181:25-1182:3.

⁶⁵ Fla. Admin. Code R. 1S-2.039(11)(f)(3).

⁶⁶ Jones II, 975 F.3d at 1026.

⁶⁷ *Id*.

registrations could take until 2026 because its caseworkers could only process, on average, 57 registrations per day.⁶⁸

DOS is not the only Florida agency that has failed to perform its responsibilities. Through as many as three statewide elections, FDLE failed to identify potentially-ineligible voters "in a time and manner that enables [DOS] to meet its obligations under state and federal law."⁶⁹ Between 2019 and at least January 2022, FDLE did not send monthly reports to DOS about potential matches of voters with individuals in the Florida Offender Registration and Tracking Services database.⁷⁰

In addition to keeping potentially-ineligible voters on its rolls for years, Florida sends voter-information cards to every newly-registered voter regardless of their eligibility,⁷¹ including Mr. Washington.⁷² Until this year, that card gave no indication that the

⁶⁸ *Id*.

⁶⁹ § 98.093(2)(d), Fla. Stat. (2022).

⁷⁰ Fla. Dep't L. Enf't, *Investigative Report* (2021), https://tinyurl.com/3n5uwkdd.

⁷¹ § 97.071, Fla. Stat. (2022).

⁷² R. 11.

recipient might not be eligible.⁷³ Making matters worse, Florida has refused to provide meaningful public guidance about its complicated voter eligibility requirements for returning citizens, perpetuating widespread confusion among prospective voters and government officials alike.⁷⁴ Florida's voter registration application also does not alert applicants convicted of murder or felony sexual offenses that they cannot register to vote unless they receive clemency.⁷⁵

Florida's abdication of its responsibility to provide timely verification of voter eligibility, coupled with widespread voter confusion, has caused some State Attorneys to decline to prosecute cases similar to this one on the ground that criminal intent could not be established.⁷⁶ For example, the State Attorney for the Fifth

⁷³ Ch. 2023-120, § 4, Laws of Fla. (voter-information cards now must disclaim: "This card is proof of registration but is not legal verification of eligibility to vote.")

⁷⁴ Levine, *supra* note 61.

⁷⁵ See Form DS-DE 39, Florida Voter Registration Application, Fla. Dep't St. (Oct. 2013), https://tinyurl.com/2parkdcy.

⁷⁶ It is a crime to vote while ineligible only if the accused knew they were ineligible but did so anyway. § 104.15, Fla. Stat. (2022); *Corrales v. State*, 84 So.3d 406, 408 (Fla. 1st DCA 2012) ("The willfulness requirement assures that 'no one will be convicted of a crime because of a mistake or because he does something innocently, not realizing what he was doing." (citing *United States v. Hall*, 346 F.2d 875, 879 (2d Cir. 1965))).

Judicial Circuit declined to prosecute six returning citizens who allegedly voted while ineligible in 2020 because they were given voter information cards, were never notified that they were ineligible, and were "encouraged to vote by various mailings and misinformation."⁷⁷

OSP's prosecution of Mr. Washington for an isolated instance of voter confusion is especially inappropriate because Appellant—in litigation brought by *amici* challenging certain provisions of SB7066—repeatedly downplayed the risk of prosecution for returning citizens who made "good faith, but mistaken" decisions about their eligibility, citing the scienter requirement in the same statute that OSP now alleges Mr. Washington to have violated.⁷⁸ The Eleventh Circuit, relying in part on these representations, confirmed that no

⁷⁷ Memorandum from Jonathan Olson, Div. Supervisor, State Att'y Off., Fifth Jud. Cir. (June 13, 2022), https://tinyurl.com/mr39xa5p. ⁷⁸ See, e.g., Opposition to Application to Vacate the En Banc 11th Circuit's Stay at 52, Raysor v. DeSantis, 140 S.Ct. 2600 (2020) (No. 19A1071), http://tinyurl.com/2p8d27u8; En Banc Opening Brief at 74, 75, Jones II. 975 F.3d 1016 (No. 20-12003), http://tinyurl.com/cbxhsctw; En Banc Reply Brief at 68, Jones II, 975 F.3d 1016 (No. 20-12003), http://tinyurl.com/9jaj99kj.

returning citizen who "honestly believes he has completed the terms of his sentence commits a crime by registering and voting[.]"⁷⁹

Appellant knows that Florida's voting-rights restoration system is an "administrative nightmare," that there is widespread confusion about voter eligibility, and that the State Attorneys who have declined to prosecute have done so for good reason. Yet Appellant, despite its previous representations to multiple federal courts that it would not prosecute good-faith mistakes, is doing just that. This Court should not countenance Appellant's about-face.

III. ALLOWING OSP TO PROSECUTE ISOLATED INCIDENTS OF VOTER CONFUSION WILL CHILL VOTING BY ELIGIBLE RETURNING CITIZENS.

OSP's "opening salvo" has caused, and will continue to cause, eligible voters to fear participating in elections. ⁸⁰ Before the November 2022 elections, one Supervisor of Elections observed:

⁷⁹ *Jones II*, 975 F.3d at 1047-48; *see also id.* at 1093 (Martin, J., dissenting) ("Florida downplays this risk [of prosecution], proclaiming that felons should rest assured that they will not be convicted if they registered in good faith because willfulness must be shown....").

⁸⁰ *See*, *e.g.*, Paul Blest & Trone Dowd, '*Complete Setup*': *Florida Crackdown Has Ex-Felons Afraid to Vote*, Vice (Nov. 3, 2022),

https://tinyurl.com/4me7sty9; Matt Shuham, Some Eligible Ex-Felons Fear Voting Because of Ron DeSantis, HuffPost (Oct. 28, 2022), https://tinyurl.com/2waxpdcy.

I have not encountered in the past this many voters calling, concerned that they may be prosecuted or what have you for voter fraud. *And these are all eligible voters that have contacted me.*⁸¹

OSP's prosecutions are particularly chilling for Black Floridians, including those who *do not* have felony convictions. Since the Office of Election Crimes and Security commenced operations last July, it has largely focused its resources on pursuing Black returning citizens like Mr. Washington who were confused or misled about their eligibility. ⁸² Of the 20 returning citizens OSP charged last year, 15 are Black people. Family members of Black returning citizens prosecuted by OSP have also indicated that they no longer intend to vote. ⁸³ This was an entirely foreseeable outcome, particularly given the Governor's vow that there are "many more [arrests] in the pipeline." ⁸⁴ Recognizing this chilling effect, the U.S. Department of

_

⁸¹ News Service of Florida, *Florida Elections Officials Grapple with Misinformation*, *Myths*, Tampa Bay Times (Oct. 26, 2022), https://tinyurl.com/9kh4xfja (emphasis added).

Wayne Washington, *Voter Intimidation? Black Voters Over-Represented Among Those Arrested So Far for Election Crimes*, Palm Beach Post (Oct. 10, 2022), https://tinyurl.com/36bp627e.

⁸³ Rozsa, supra note 34.

⁸⁴ First Coast News, *supra* note 9, at 1:05:48-1:05:55.

Justice recommends against conducting election-related arrests right before an election to avoid election interference.⁸⁵

OSP's prosecutions will continue to harm Black voters disproportionately. Because of persistent discrimination in the criminal legal system, approximately one in eight Black Floridians is disenfranchised, a rate roughly twice that of non-Black Floridians. Roughly that also found that Black and poor individuals are more likely than white individuals to be subject to "high-profile prosecutions" resulting in "draconian charges," and that in that sense, "Florida is an exaggerated version of America as a whole."

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should affirm the circuit court's dismissal.

⁸⁵ See, e.g., Memorandum from Office of the Attorney General to All Department Employees (Mar. 9, 2012), https://tinyurl.com/ycv674k9; Memorandum from Office of the Attorney General to All Department Employees (Apr. 11, 2016), https://tinyurl.com/jsb3fdjc.

⁸⁶ Florida Bans Voting Rights of Over One Million Citizens, Sent'g Proj. (Jan. 2023), https://tinyurl.com/5n6fnkfw.

⁸⁷ See Michael Wines, In Voter Fraud, Penalties Often Depend on Who's Voting, N.Y. Times (Sept. 7, 2022), https://tinyurl.com/pe84x8xf.

Dated: October 26, 2023 at Miami, Florida.

Respectfully submitted,

jebenstein@aclu.org

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

/s/ Julie A. Ebenstein Julie A. Ebenstein (FBN 91033) 125 Broad St. New York, NY 10004 (212) 549-2500

ACLU FOUNDATION OF FLORIDA, INC.

/s/ Caroline A. McNamara
Caroline A. McNamara (FBN 1038312)
Daniel B. Tilley (FBN 102882)
4343 W Flagler St, Suite 400
Miami, FL 33138
(786) 363-2714
cmcnamara@aclufl.org
dtilley@aclufl.org

Nicholas L.V. Warren (FBN 1019018) 1809 Art Museum Drive, Suite 203 Jacksonville, FL 32207 (786) 363-1769 nwarren@aclufl.org

Counsel for Amici Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I HEREBY CERTIFY, under Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure

9.045(e), that this Brief complies with the applicable font and word-

count requirements. It was prepared in Bookman Old Style 14-point

font, and it contains 4,984 words.

/s/ Caroline A. McNamara

Caroline A. McNamara

Dated: October 26, 2023

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on October 26, 2023, a true and correct copy of the foregoing will be furnished via the Florida Court's E-Filing Portal:

Office of the Attorney General

Henry C. Whitaker
Jeffrey Paul DeSousa
Alison E. Preston
The Capitol, PL-01
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
(850) 414-3300
alison.preston@myfloridalegal.com
jenna.hodges@myfloridalegal.com

Robert C. Finkbeiner, Jr. Chief Assistant Statewide Prosecutor 135 W. Central Blvd. Ste. 1000 Orlando, FL 32801 (407) 245-0893 robert.finkbeiner@myfloridalegal.com

Counsel for the State of Florida

Roger L. Weeden

ROGER L. WEEDEN, ESQ. 605 East Robinson Street Ste. 25 Orlando, FL 32801 Telephone: (407) 894-9004 rlweeden@aol.com

Counsel for Peter Washington, Jr.

<u>/s/ Caroline A. McNamara</u> Caroline A. McNamara