Case 69CI1:21-cv-55

Document 5

Filed 08/27/2021

Page 1 of 9

Pages: 50

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TATE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

SOWETO RONNELL LOVE

PETITIONER

VS.

Cause No. CV2021-0055-GCT

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

RESPONDANT

ORDER

This cause is before this Court on Love's Motion for Post-Conviction Collateral Relief, sworn to under oath and submitted to the Clerk pro se. Therein, Love seeks relief pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. § 99-39-1 et seq. Upon review of the record herein and of the criminal record in CR2018-0020GCT, the Court finds:

- 1. A Tate County grand jury returned a six-count indictment against Love on January 24, 2018, for the following offenses: one count of conspiracy in violation of Miss. Code Section 97-1-1(a), one count of attempting to obtain a controlled substance with a forged prescription and two counts of obtaining a controlled substance with a forged prescription in violation of Section 41-29-144(1), and two counts of possession of a forged prescription in violation of Section 41-29-144(2). On September 4, 2018, the State successfully moved to amend Love's indictment to charge him as a habitual offender under Section 99-19-81 and as a recidivist under Section 41-29-147.
- 2. On September 16, 2019, Love submitted to this Court his petition to enter a plea of guilty to Count Two (2) and Count Three (3) of his indictment as a Section 99-19-81 habitual offender. That same day, this Court accepted Love's guilty plea after finding, inter alia, that his plea had been "freely and voluntarily given." At Love's request, this Court continued sentencing until October 22, 2019. Again, at Love's request, this Court continued sentencing from October 22, 2019 until January 9, 2020. Love failed to appear for his sentencing on January 9, 2020 and this Court entered a bench



AUG 2 7 2021

Page 1 of 9

warrant for his arrest. That bench warrant was executed upon Love on February 23, 2020. On April 21, 2020, Love's sentencing was continued until September 1, 2020 due to Covid-19. Love again failed to appear for his sentencing on September 1, 2020 and this Court entered another bench warrant for his arrest. That bench warrant was executed upon Love on September 22, 2020. Finally, on October 26, 2020, this Court sentenced Love to five (5) years' incarceration in the Mississippi Department of Corrections in Count Two (2) as a Section 99-19-81 habitual offender and five (5) years' incarceration in the Mississippi Department of Corrections in Count Three (3) as a Section 99-19-81 habitual offender. The sentence in Court Three (3) was ordered to run consecutive to Count Two (2). Love was given credit for the time that he had served in custody while awaiting final disposition of his case.

3. On March 11, 2021, Love filed his *Motion for Post-Conviction Collateral Relief*. In his motion, Love argues only that his plea of guilty was involuntary given.

A. Whether Love's Guilty Plea was Voluntarily, Intelligently, and Knowingly Given?

- 1. "A guilty plea is valid as long as it is entered voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, with sufficient awareness of the relevant circumstances and likely consequences." Haney v. State, 281 So. 3d 84, 89 (Miss. Ct. App. 2019) (internal quotation marks omitted) (quoting Worth v. State, 223 So.3d 844, 850 (Miss. Ct. App. 2017)). "For a plea to be voluntary, knowing, and intelligent, the judge must advise the defendant of his rights, the nature of the charge against him, and the consequences of his plea, including applicable minimum and maximum sentences." Id. (internal quotation marks omitted) (quoting Worth, 223 So. 3d at 850).
- 2. Love asserts that his guilty plea was involuntary because this Court allegedly accepted Love's plea and found that it had been voluntarily entered all while Love was using the restroom. Because Love was allegedly in the restroom, he asserts that he could not have sufficiently waived any of his fundamental rights, that he could not have been informed of the applicable minimum and

maximum sentences, and that he was not informed that this Court was not bound by any agreement that may have been negotiated between the State and the Defense. Love also argues that no factual basis could have been established to support the charges and his guilty plea.

3. Love's claims, however, stand in stark contrast to what is reflected in the transcript from Love's plea hearing. In fact, the transcript reflects that Love was present before this Court throughout his plea colloquy, that the State established a factual basis for the charges against Love, that this Court ascertained that Love understood the charges against him, what the consequences of his guilty plea were, including the constitutional rights that Love would be waiving by entering his plea, the minimum and maximum sentence that Love could receive for each charge that he was pleading guilty to, and that Love was informed that this Court would not be bound by any agreement that had been reached between the State and the Defense:

By the Court: The Court would call Cause Number 2018-20, State verses Soweto Ronnell Love.

By the Court: Are you Soweto Ronnell Love?

By Defendant Love: Yes, sir.

. . .

By the Court: My first question to you is, as you stand here today, are you under the influence of drugs or alcohol or are you taking any medication that might affect your ability to understand the nature of these proceedings or do you have a history of mental illness or emotional problems?

By Defendant Love: No, sir, I do not.

. . .

By the Court: Will the State please give me a factual basis for the charges against Mr. Love.

By the Prosecutor: Yes, sir. Your Honor, in CR2018-20GCT, in Count Two, if this matter were to go to trial, the State would be prepared to prove beyond a reasonable doubt and with credible and admissible evidence that between the 26th and the 27th day of October in the year 2017 Soweto Ronnell Love, along with his codefendant Porcha Latoya Knox, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously,

knowing, and intentionally attempt to acquire, obtain possession of a controlled substance, that substance being approximately 150 dosage units of Oxycodone, 30 milligrams, by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception, or subterfuge by presenting to Lori Chandler, a registered pharmacist at Fred's Pharmacy in Senatobia, Mississippi, a forged prescription.

In Count Three, the State would further be prepared to prove that on or about the 23rd day of September in the year 2017 Soweto Ronnell Love and Porcha Latoya Knox did again willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, knowing, and intentionally acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance, that substance being approximately 150 dosage units of Oxycodone, 30 milligrams, by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception, or subterfuge by presenting to Lori Chandler, a registered pharmacist at Fred's Pharmacy in Senatobia, Mississippi, a forged prescription.

The facts would show specifically, Your Honor, that the pharmacist at Fred's Pharmacy in Senatobia, Mississippi, Ms. Lori Chandler, contacted Senatobia Police Department to let them know that she had been tendered what she believed to be a forged or fraudulent prescription for four Oxycodone. Prior to calling the police department she had already contacted the medical personnel to determine whether or not it had, in fact, been a legitimate prescription written that had been turned over to her for filling. She gave law enforcement officers information that it was, in fact, determined that it was not a legitimate prescription and that the individual who had called it in was coming back in to pick it up. On the date that Soweto Ronnell Love and Porcha Latoya Knox returned to Fred's Pharmacy in Senatobia, Mississippi, to pick up the prescription that had been left there to be filled they were, in fact, taken into custody by Senatobia Police Department narcotics officers. During the course of the investigation they found flash drives that contained other means of printing out forged prescriptions. They found prescription pad papers. They found other prescriptions with medical information for – to be presented for other fraudulent prescriptions to be filled. During the course of their investigation they were also able to interview Mr. Soweto Ronnell Love and he gave a full and voluntary statement regarding what he knew as to the presentation of these bogus prescriptions and the substance that was going to be collected based on those prescriptions.

These events all occurred in Senatobia, Mississippi, which is in Tate County and therefore within the jurisdiction of this court.

By the Court: Thank you.

. . .

By the Court: Mr. Love, do you understand and recall these events that have brought you here today?

By Defendant Love: Yes, sir.

By the Court: Do you have any disagreements with the factual basis as set out by the prosecutor?

By Defendant Love:

No, sir.

By the Court: Before going further there are certain rights that you have that are guaranteed by the constitution. I'm going to go over those rights with you in just a moment so that you'll know exactly what you're giving up and you'll understand that.

First and foremost among those rights is your right to a trial by jury. You have the unfettered right to have a jury decide the ultimate issue in your case; that is, are you guilty of these charges or are you innocent.

You're entitled to the services of an attorney at every stage of that trial. If you cannot afford one, one will be appointed to represent you free of charge. You're presumed innocent. The burden of proof is always on the State to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt and you have the presumption of innocence that abides with you throughout that trial. Not only do I tell the jury that several times preliminarily in a trial, I also give it to them in the form of a jury instruction in writing that they can take back to the jury room so they will understand the burden of proof that is required in a criminal case.

You have the right to confront each and every witness that appears against you. Mr. Spriggs is a seasoned attorney. He understands how to do that. Further, he understands how to use the subpoena powers of this court to require or command the attendance of witnesses that might help you in your case, and he understands how to use the rules of court to your advantage if need be.

You have the right to remain silent. No one can make you take the witness stand in your own defense. If you wish to give up that right and testify, you're going to have to convince me first that you're doing so freely and voluntarily. Once that's done, then you would be permitted to testify. But no one can make you testify if you don't want to testify.

At the conclusion of the State's case your lawyer would move the Court for a directed verdict; that is, your lawyer would challenge the legal sufficiency of the evidence offered against you. If I agreed with you lawyer, I would sustain the motion and throw the case out of court and set you free. If I disagreed with your lawyer, I would overrule the motion and the case would then proceed on to conclusion.

You're entitled to a unanimous verdict. In other words, all 12 jurors would have to agree that you're guilty before they could return a legal guilty verdict, the first thing I would ask them, "Was it unanimous? Did all 12 agree?" The second thing I would want to know, I would look at the verdict to be certain it was in the proper form. Those questions being answered in the affirmative, it would then become my duty to sentence you in accordance with the applicable

Do you understand these rights that I've just gone over with you and that you're giving those rights up by pleading guilty here today?

By Defendant Love:

Yes, sir.

By the Court: Further, by pleading guilty you're giving up your right to appeal anything that I may do here today. And if you were tried by a jury and convicted by a jury you'd have the right to appeal through the appellate court system of this State. The proper appeals court would review your case. You're entitled to the services of an attorney on that appeal. If you cannot afford one, one would be appointed to represent you free of charge. The proper appeals court would have a copy of your transcript that was made of your trial by a court reporter. They would have a copy of the briefs that were submitted on your behalf and on behalf of the State, and they may even require the attorneys to come down and argue the case in front of them. Any rate, the proper appeals court would render a decision. They would either affirm or uphold what we do here or they may reverse it. They could set you free. They may send it back to us for a new trial with instructions. Any number of options they have. The primary emphasis here is that you understand you're giving up or waiving those appeal rights by pleading guilty here today.

Do you understand that?

By Defendant Love: Yes, sir.

By the Court: It looks like the maximum penalty that you could suffer for the charges that you're pleading to of forged prescription in Counts Two and Three is five years on each count and \$1,000 on each count. The minimum punishment is one year on each count and zero fine on each count.

Is that what you and Mr. Spriggs have talked about?

By Defendant Love: Yes, sir.

By the Court: All right.... You need to understand that if you are sentenced to the Mississippi Department of Corrections for any term of years or days or months, whenever, you have no assurances of parole or early release. In other words, you may have to serve every day of whatever sentence I impose on you.

Now, my question to you is, Did anyone promise you that if you'd come up here today and plead guilty that you'd be able to go down there to the penitentiary and you'd get out early or you'd make parole, and you have relied on that in entering your plea here today?

By Defendant Love: No, sir.

. . .

By the Court: Okay. All right. Further, sentencing is my sole prerogative. . . . And if you and your lawyer work out an agreement with the State as to what your sentence ought to be - - in other words, that's called plea bargaining and I understand that. What I want you to understand though is you and your lawyer work out something with the State I want you to understand I do not have to accept that. I can completely reject that and sentence you to the maximum as provided by law.

So now my question to you is, Did anyone promise you that if you-all reached an agreement that I would automatically follow that agreement and you have relied on that in entering your guilty plea here today?

By Defendant Love: No, sir.

. . .

By the Court: Do you admit to me then that you are guilty of the charges as charged in the complaint in Counts Two and Three of the indictment?

By Defendant Love: Yes, sir.

. . .

By the Court: All right. Is it your decision to enter a plea of guilty here or Mr.

Spriggs' decision?

By Defendant Love: Mine.

. . .

By the Court: All right. Thank you. And you want me to accept your guilty plea?

By Defendant Love: Yes, sir.

By the Court: All right.

Very well. The Court finds that a factual basis exists for the charges against Mr. Love. I find his plea is freely and voluntarily given. He's alert. He's responsive. He's appropriately dressed and appropriately groomed here today in front of me. I do not detect any mental or emotional illness that would prevent him from entering a free and voluntary plea. He's well advised of his rights. He understands the consequences of offering a guilty plea in this Court. Plus, he has petitioned the court under oath to accept his guilty plea. And therefore the Court will now continue Mr. Love's sentencing until the 22nd day of October 2019 at 9:00 a.m. in Hernando.

Plea hearing transcript, pp. 2-13. Because the record and Love's prior sworn testimony clearly reflect that Love was present throughout his plea colloquy and that Love entered his plea "voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, with sufficient awareness of the relevant circumstances and likely consequences," Haney, 281 So. 3d at 89, this Court finds that Love's only argument in support of his Motion for Post-Conviction Collateral Relief is without merit.

In this case, because "it plainly appears from the face of the motion[s], any annexed exhibits [or the lack thereof] and the prior proceedings in the case that the movant is not entitled to any relief," the Court finds that the petition should be dismissed. Miss. Code § 99-39-11. In reaching this decision, the Court has reviewed and specifically relied upon the entirety of the record herein, in addition to the following documents from the original criminal action – CR2018-0020GCT:

- i. Indictment;
- ii. Motion to Amend Indictment (filed 8/29/2018);
- iii. Order Granting Motion to Amend Indictment (filed 9/5/2018);
- iv. Petition to Enter Plea of Guilty (filed 9/17/2019);
- v. Transcript of Plea Hearing (filed 10/2/2019);
- vi. Continuance Order (filed 10/30/2019);
- vii. Affidavit of Non Appearance (filed 1/15/2020);
- viii. Bench Warrant, as Executed (filed 2/24/2020);
- ix. Continuance Order (filed 4/30/2020)
- x. Bench Warrant, as Executed (filed 9/23/2020); and
- xi. Sentence of the Court (filed 11/17/2020).

IT IS ORDERED that the *Motion for Post-Conviction Collateral Relief* filed herein be and the same is, hereby, **DISMISSED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in accordance with Mississippi Rule of Appellate Procedure 6(a)(2), the Petitioner is entitled to proceed on appeal from this order, if any shall be taken, in forma pauperis, without prepayment of fees and costs associated therewith. However, any record on appeal shall include only the documents specifically listed hereinabove as being relied upon by this Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk mail a true copy of this *Order* to the Petitioner at his last known address and to the Office of the District Attorney for the Seventeenth Circuit Court District.

so ORDERED this the 26th day of Cluyer 2021.

HONORABLE GERALD W. CHATHAM, SR.

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI SEVENTEENTH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT

TATE COUNTY

JANUARY 2018 GRAND JURY SESSION

CR 2018 - 20 - GCT

THE GRAND JURORS of the State of Mississippi, taken from the body of the good and lawful citizens of Tate County thereof, duly elected, empaneled, sworn and charged to inquire in and for the County and State aforesaid, at the Grand Jury Session aforesaid, in the name and by the authority of the State of Mississippi, upon their oaths present:

COUNT 1

That **SOWETO RONNELL LOVE and PORCHA LATOYA KNOX**, Late of the County and State aforesaid, between the **24th** day of **AUGUST** and the **27th** day of **OCTOBER** in the year of our Lord **2017**, in the County and State aforesaid, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously, corruptly agree, conspire and confederate, each with the other and with divers others to the Grand Jury unknown, to commit a crime, to-wit: Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud, in direct violation of Section 97-1-1(a), Mississippi Code 1972 Annotated, as amended, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi.

COUNT 2

That **SOWETO RONNELL LOVE and PORCHA LATOYA KNOX,** Late of the County and State aforesaid, between the **26th** and **27th** day of **OCTOBER,** in the year of our Lord **2017**, in the County and State aforesaid, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously, knowingly and intentionally attempt to acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance, to-wit: approximately 150 dosage units of Oxycodone 30Mg, by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception or subterfuge, by presenting to Lori Chandler, a Registered Pharmacist at Fred's Pharmacy in Senatobia, Mississippi, a forged prescription, in direct violation of Section 41-29-144, Mississippi Code 1972 Annotated, as amended, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi.

COUNT 3

That **SOWETO RONNELL LOVE and PORCHA LATOYA KNOX**, Late of the County and State aforesaid, on or about the **23rd** day of **SEPTEMBER**, in the year of our Lord **2017**, in the County and State aforesaid, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously, knowingly and intentionally acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance, to-wit: approximately 150 dosage units of Oxycodone 30Mg, by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception or subterfuge, by presenting to Lori Chandler, a Registered Pharmacist at Fred's Pharmacy in Senatobia, Mississippi, a forged prescription, in direct violation of Section 41-29-144, Mississippi Code 1972 Annotated, as amended, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi.

COUNT 4

That **SOWETO RONNELL LOVE and PORCHA LATOYA KNOX**, Late of the County and State aforesaid, on or about the **24th** day of **AUGUST**, in the year of our Lord **2017**, in the County and State aforesaid, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously, knowingly and intentionally acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance, to-wit: approximately 120 dosage units of Oxycodone 30Mg, by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception or subterfuge, by presenting to Lori Chandler, a Registered Pharmacist at Fred's Pharmacy in Senatobia, Mississippi, a forged prescription, in direct violation of Section 41-29-144, Mississippi Code 1972 Annotated, as amended, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi.

COUNT 5

That **SOWETO RONNELL LOVE and PORCHA LATOYA KNOX**, Late of the County and State aforesaid, on or about the **27th** day of **OCTOBER**, in the year of our Lord **2017**, in the County and State aforesaid, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously, knowingly and intentionally possess a false, fraudulent or forged prescription of a practitioner, who is Valerie Kershaw-Berry, FNP, Delta Medical Services Pain Management, in direct violation of Section 41-29-144(2), Mississippi Code 1972 Annotated, as amended, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi.

COUNT 6

That **SOWETO RONNELL LOVE and PORCHA LATOYA KNOX**, Late of the County and State aforesaid, on or about the **27th** day of **OCTOBER**, in the year of our Lord **2017**, in the County and State aforesaid, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously, knowingly and intentionally possess a false, fraudulent or forged prescription of a practitioner, who is Johnathan Ellichman, MD, UMC Medical Care/Methodist LeBonheur, in direct violation of Section 41-29-144(2), Mississippi Code 1972 Annotated, as amended, contrary to the form of the statute in such cases provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi.

A TRUE BILL

District Attorney Foreman of Grand Jury

Filed Al day of January 2018; Eddie Halley Cler.

Recorded Al day of January 2018.

Eddie Halley Clerk, BY: Kenzlach D.C.

SOWETO RONNELL LOVE

PORCHA LATOYA KNOX

Black/Female

Race/Gender: Black/Male DOB: 10/20/1987 SSN: 408-57-0587

10/20/1987 8/6/1990 408-57-0587 411-69-7596 Case 69Cl1:21-cv-55 Document 5-2 Filed 08/27/2021 Page 1 of 4
Case: 69Cl1:18-cr-00020-GC Document #: 17 Filed: 08/29/2018 Page 1 of 4

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TATE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

VS.

CAUSE NO. CR2018-20-GC(T)

SOWETO LOVE

MOTION TO AMEND INDICTMENT

Pursuant to Rule 7.09 the State moves the Court for leave to amend the Indictment to charge the Defendant, **SOWETO LOVE** as an **HABITUAL OFFENDER** and as a **RECIDIVIST** within the meaning of Section 99-19-81 and Section 41-29-147 of the Code. In support thereof, the State would show as follows:

- 1.) On or about January 24, 2018, the Grand Jury charged **SOWETO LOVE** with Conspiracy in Count 1, §97-1-1, Attempted to Obtain a Controlled Substance by Forged Prescription in Count 2, §41-29-144, Obtain a Controlled Substance by Forged Prescription in Counts 3 and 4, §41-29-144, Possession of a Forged Prescription in Counts 5 and 6, §41-29-144(2). At this time the State believed the Defendant had a criminal history due to information received on NCIC print out. The office of the District Attorney contacted Clerks of Court to determine if, in fact, the Defendant had prior felony convictions.
- 2.) The State has learned that the Defendant has prior felony convictions in the following:
- A.) In the Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, case number 06-05715 for Theft of Property in Count 2 over \$10,000.00 and sentenced to three (3) years on September 27, 2006;



Case 69CI1:21-cv-55 Document 5-2 Filed 08/27/2021 Page 2 of 4 Case: 69CI1:18-cr-00020-GC Document #: 17 Filed: 08/29/2018 Page 2 of 4

B.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, case number W06-00458 for possession with intent to sell cocaine and sentenced to three (3) years on November 7, 2006;

- C.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, cause number 13-00427 for Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud in Count 1 and sentenced to two (2) years on November 18, 2013;
- D.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, cause number 13-00427 for Identity Theft in Count 2 and sentenced to two (2) years on November 18, 2013;
- E.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, cause number 16-01996 for Criminal Attempt-UPCS-Sell Oxycodone and sentenced to two (2) years on January 10, 2017;
- F.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, cause number 16-01996 for Criminal Attempt-UPCS-Sell Alprazolam and sentenced to two (2) years on January 10, 2017; and,
- G.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, cause number 17-00669/17-00884 for Possession of Marihuana with Inent and sentenced to thirty (30) days on February 28, 2017.
- 3.) These prior convictions satisfy the requirements of Section 99-19-81 and Section 41-29-147 of the Code. Rule 7.09 allows the Indictment to be amended to charge Defendant as an **HABITUAL OFFENDER** and as a **RECIDIVIST**.

Wherefore, premises considered, the State moves this Court for leave to charge SOWETO LOVE as a §99-19-81 and §41-29-147offender.

Case 69Cl1:21-cv-55 Document 5-2 Filed 08/27/2021 Page 3 of 4 Case: 69Cl1:18-cr-00020-GC Document #: 17 Filed: 08/29/2018 Page 3 of 4

Respectfully submitted this the $\frac{2015}{2}$ day of August, 2018.

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY SEVENTEENTH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT 101 EUREKA STREET BATESVILLE, MISSISSIPPI 38606 (662) 563-6636

RHONDA M. AMIS

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY

MSB NO. 100127

Case 69Cl1:21-cv-55 Document 5-2 Filed 08/27/2021 Page 4 of 4 Case: 69Cl1:18-cr-00020-GC Document #: 17 Filed: 08/29/2018 Page 4 of 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Rhonda M. Amis, hereby certify that I have this day mailed, U.S. postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Motion to Amend Indictment to Suzanne Lowrie, Court Administrator, Post Office Box 527, Hernando, Mississippi 38632 and to Stacy Sprigg, Attorney for Defendant, 107 Stateline Road, Ste. 3, Southaven, Mississippi 38671.

This the 29^{+h} day of August, 2018.

Certifying Attorney

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TATE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

VS.

CAUSE NO. CR2018-20-GC(T)

SOWETO LOVE

ORDER

The State has filed a Motion to Amend Indictment pursuant to Rule 7.09 of the Uniform Circuit Rules. The State wishes to charge **SOWETO LOVE** as an **HABITUAL OFFENDER** and a **RECIDIVIST** within the meaning of § 99-19-81 and §41-29-147 of the Court. The Court finds this Motion well taken and should be sustained.

It is **ORDERED** that **SOWETO LOVE** be and hereby is charged as an **HABITUAL OFFENDER** and as a **RECIDIVIST** according to Section 99-19-81 and Section 41-29-147

of the Code, wherein the State alleges the prior convictions to be:

- A.) In the Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, case number 06-05715 for Theft of Property in Count 2 over \$10,000.00 and sentenced to three (3) years on September 27, 2006;
- B.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, case number W06-00458 for possession with intent to sell cocaine and sentenced to three (3) years on November 7, 2006;
- C.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, cause number 13-00427 for Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud in Count 1 and sentenced to two (2) years on November 18, 2013;

SEP 05 2018

Edward I. (Eddje) Hadskey · Tate Co. Circuit Clerk By

- D.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, cause number 13-00427 for Identity Theft in Count 2 and sentenced to two (2) years on November 18, 2013;
- E.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, cause number 16-01996 for Criminal Attempt-UPCS-Sell Oxycodone and sentenced to two (2) years on January 10, 2017;
- F.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, cause number 16-01996 for Criminal Attempt-UPCS-Sell Alprazolam and sentenced to two (2) years on January 10. 2017; and,
- G.) The Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, cause number 17-00669/17-00884 for Possession of Marihuana with Inent and sentenced to thirty (30) days on February 28, 2017.

SO ORDERED this the 44 day of Apple

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY

MSB. NO. 100127

SEP 17 2019

Edward L (Eddie) Hadskey - Tate Co. Circuit Clerk

- 5. I understand that I may plead "not guilty" and may persist in that plea and that (a) the Constitution guarantees me the right to a speedy and public trial by jury, (b) the right to see, hear and cross examine all witnesses called to testify, (c) the right to use the power and processes of the Court to compel the production of evidence including the attendance of any witnesses in my favor, (d) the right to have the presence and assistance of a lawyer at all stages of the trial and any appeal, (e) the right to testify in my own defense, (f) the right to a jury verdict of all twelve jurors before I could be found guilty, (g) I understand that if I plea not guilty and demand a jury trial I would be by law presumed innocent of the charge(s) at the outset of a jury trial and that presumption would remain with me until removed by competent and credible evidence, and am proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt and to the satisfaction of twelve jurors.
- 6. I do not have to testify against myself and I have the right against self incrimination and the right to remain silent at all times; that if I should be convicted after a jury trial, I would have an absolute right to appeal to the Mississippi Supreme Court with assistance of counsel, and at no cost to me should I be determined to be financially unable to pay for same. I understand that by pleading guilty I am admitting that I did commit the crime charged in the indictment and that I am waiving all the rights set forth in this paragraph and in paragraph number five (5) of this Petition.
- 7. At this time I am not under the influence of drugs or alcohol nor suffering from any mental disease or emotional problem.
- 8. I declare that no officer or agent of any branch of government nor any other person has made me any promises or inducements of any kind to me or within my knowledge to anyone else that I will receive a lighter sentence, probation, early release or any other form of leniency if I plead "guilty." I have not been beaten, threatened, mentally or physically forced, intimidated or coerced in

Dent Low

any manner to plead guilty to the crime charged against me. I offer my plea of "guilty" freely and voluntarily and of my own accord and with full understanding of all matters set forth in the indictment herein and in this Petition, and this plea is with the advice and consent of my lawyer.

9. My lawyer has informed me as to the maximum and minimum punishment which the law
provides for the offense charged in the indictment. The maximum punishment which the Court may
impose for this crime that I am charged with is 5 eyears imprisonment and \$ 1,000,000 fine
impose for this crime that I am charged with is 5 eyears imprisonment and \$ 1,000.00 fine The minimum punishment is 1/2 ach count years imprisonment and/or \$ - 6 - fine. (If multi-count)
indictment, addendum may be attached specifying count number, charge with maximum and
minimum punishment)
10.(a) No agreements have been reached with regard to a recommended sentence as a
result of so-called "plea-bargaining." Neither my attorney nor any other person has represented to me
that I will receive any particular sentence if I plead guilty. The final decision as to the sentence rests
with the Court.
10.(b) As a result of plea bargaining, my attorney and I have reached an agreement with the
District Attorney's Office concerning my offer to plead guilty to the charge(s) listed in paragraph three.
t is my understanding that the District Attorney will recommend to the Court that I receive a sentence
as follows or see attached plea agreement:

I understand that there are no side agreements or other promises. I understand that this agreement is not binding on the Court and that if my guilty plea is accepted by the Court, the Court may impose the same sentence as if I had pleaded "not guilty" and had been found guilty by a jury.

- 11. I do understand that no one can assure me of parole or early release. Certain crimes make a Defendant ineligible for parole. These include, but are not limited to, sex crimes, armed robbery and defendants who are sentenced as habitual offenders. I have discussed with my attorney whether the crime for which I am charged fits into that category. I understand that this process is governed by the Legislature and the Mississippi Department of Corrections and not by this Court.
- 12. I also understand that this Court has no control over the giving of earned time or good time. I understand that this process is governed by the Legislature and the Mississippi Department of Corrections and not by this Court.
- 13. I believe that my lawyer is competent and has done all that anyone could do to counsel and assist me, and I am fully satisfied with the advice and help he has given me.
- 14. My lawyer has advised me of the elements of the charge to which I am pleading. I submit that all the elements are proven by the true facts. Therefore, I am guilty and ask the Court to accept my plea of guilty.
- 15. I understand that I am presenting this petition under oath and under penalty of perjury for any false statements contained herein. I have not been encouraged by any person to answer falsely any question in this petition in order to have this plea accepted.
- 16. I understand that my plea of guilty may be withdrawn at any time during a hearing on this petition prior to the acceptance of the plea by the Court.

18. I understand that If I have been convicted of a sex offense or attempted sex offense or if I have been twice adjudicated delinquent for a sex offense or attempted sex offense or if I have been acquitted by reason of insanity for a sex offense or an attempted sex offense under and pursuant to

Section 45-33-25 et seq. I am required to register as a sex offender with the responsible agency and with the MS Department of Public Safety Driver's License Station as required by law. I understand I am required to re-register by personally appearing at a Department of Public Safety Driver's License Station to re-register and verify my residence, employment, and status every ninety (90) days pursuant to MCA Section 45-33-31.

- 19. I understand that if have now been convicted of two (2) or more felonies upon charges separately brought and arising out of separate incidents at different times and have been sentenced to separate terms of one (1) year or more in any state and/or Federal prison institution, that if I am convicted of another felony, then I may be sentenced to the maximum term of imprisonment prescribed for such felony and such sentence shall not be reduced or suspended nor will I be eligible for parole or probation or other earned or good time.
- 20. I understand that if have now been convicted of two (2) or more felonies upon charges separately brought and arising out of separate incidents at different times and have been sentenced and served separate terms of one (1) year or more in any state and/or Federal prison institution and one of such felonies was a crime of violence, that if I am convicted of another felony, then I may be sentenced to life imprisonment and such sentence shall not be reduced or suspended nor will I be eligible for parole or probation or other earned or good time.
- 21. I understand that if the offense for which I have entered my plea of guilty is a violation of the Uniform Controlled Substance Law and that, if I am convicted of another offense involving a violation of the Uniform Controlled Substance Law, I will be subject to a sentence which may be double the sentence which applies to my violation.

Ih-

Case 69Cl1:21-cv-55 Document 5-4 Filed 08/27/2021 Page 6 of 7

22. I understand that this plea may have an adverse affect on my immigration status, which may include deportation, and have fully discussed this fact with my attorney and, if necessary, competent counsel who specializes in immigration law.

408-57-0587 SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER	
10-20-87 DATE OF BIRTH	Memohis TN PLACE OF BIRTH
Black	Male SEX
DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS2	Ob Shadow Creek Drive
<u> </u>	Ford, MS 38655
Signed by me in the presence of my lawy	er, this the 29^{th} day of $August$, 2019.

As attorney for this defendant, I certify that I have on the above date discussed all the contents of the foregoing petition with said defendant, and I am satisfied that the defendant fully understands same and that the defendant executes said petition knowingly and voluntarily.

ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT

STATE BAR NUMBER

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF TATE

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this the 29 day of Avgust, 2019.

My Commission Expires on: My Commission Expires January 6, 2020

STATE OF MI	CCTCCTDDT	
VS.		AUSE NO. CR2018-20G
SOWETO RONN		Defendar
	PLEA	
PRESIDING:		W. CHATHAM
	Circuit Court Jud Seventeenth Judic State of Mississi	cial District
APPEARANCES	:	
FOR THI	E STATE OF MISSISSIF	PPI:
	RHONDA MASON AMIS Assistant Distric	t Attornev
	365 Losher Street Hernando, Mississ	z, Suite 210 Sippi 38632
FOR THE	E DEFENDANT:	
	STACEY A. SPRIGGS	, ESQUIRE
	Attorney at Law 165 W. South Stre	et, Suite 208
	Hernando, Mississ	ippi 38632-2266
MINENT REMITS STREET STATES STATES STATES STATES		
DATE:	Monday, September	16, 2019
PLACE:	Tate County Court Senatobia, Missis	house
	conditionia, missis	FILE
		OCT 02 2
		Edward L (Eddje) Hadskey • Ja

PROCEEDINGS

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS TOOK
PLACE IN OPEN COURT. THE DEFENDANT WAS
PRESENT WITH HIS ATTORNEY.)

SOWETO RONNELL LOVE,

after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: The Court would call Cause Number 2018-20, State versus Soweto Ronnell Love.

BY THE COURT:

- Q. Are you Soweto Ronnell Love?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Mr. Spriggs there is your lawyer?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. I'm going to ask you a few questions here and I want you to answer them with a good loud "yes" or "no." Okay?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. My court reporter is going to take down everything that you say and that's -- of course, this is for your protection. We're making a record of this proceeding, and so answer a good loud "yes" or "no."

My first question to you is, As you stand here today, are you under the influence of drugs or alcohol or are you taking any medication that might affect your ability to understand the nature of these proceedings or do you have a history

of mental illness or emotional problems?

- A. No, sir, I do not.
- Q. All right. This petition tells me that you're 31 years old, that you've got 12 years in school, Mr. Spriggs is your lawyer, you can read and write, and you're offering to plead guilty to forged prescription, two counts, which is a felony; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Q. All right.

THE COURT: Will the State please give me a factual basis for the charges against Mr. Love.

MS. AMIS: Yes, sir. Your Honor, in CR2018-20GCT, in Count Two, if this matter were to go to trial, the State would be prepared to prove beyond a reasonable doubt and with credible and admissible evidence that between the 26th and the 27th day of October in the year 2017 Soweto Ronnell Love, along with his codefendant Porcha Latoya Knox, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, knowingly, and intentionally attempt to acquire, obtain possession of a controlled substance, that substance being approximately 150 dosage units of Oxycodone, 30 milligrams, by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception, or subterfuge by presenting to Lori Chandler, a registered pharmacist at

Fred's Pharmacy in Senatobia, Mississippi, a forged prescription.

In Count Three, Your Honor, the State would further be prepared to prove that on or about the 23rd day of September in the year 2017 Soweto Ronnell Love and Porcha Latoya Knox did again willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, knowingly, and intentionally acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance, that substance being approximately 150 dosage units of Oxycodone, 30 milligrams, by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception, or subterfuge by presenting to Lori Chandler, a registered pharmacist at Fred's Pharmacy in Senatobia, Mississippi, a forged prescription.

The facts would show specifically,
Your Honor, that the pharmacist at Fred's
Pharmacy in Senatobia, Mississippi, Ms. Lori
Chandler, contacted Senatobia Police
Department to let them know that she had been
tendered what she believed to be a forged or
fraudulent prescription for four Oxycodone.
Prior to calling the police department she
had already contacted the medical personnel
to determine whether or not it had, in fact,
been a legitimate prescription written that
had been turned over to her for filling. She
gave law enforcement officers information

29

1 that it was, in fact, determined that it was 2 not a legitimate prescription and that the 3 individual who had called it in was coming 4 back in to pick it up. On the date that 5 Soweto Ronnell Love and Porcha Latoya Knox 6 returned to Fred's Pharmacy in Senatobia, 7 Mississippi, to pick up the prescription that 8 had been left there to be filled they were, 9 in fact, taken into custody by Senatobia 10 Police Department narcotics officers. During 11 the course of the investigation they found 12 flash drives that contained other means of 13 printing out forged prescriptions. 14 found prescription pad papers. They found 15 other prescriptions with medical information 16 for -- to be presented for other fraudulent 17 prescriptions to be filled. During the 18 course of their investigation they were also 19 able to interview Mr. Soweto Ronnell Love and 20 he gave a full and voluntary statement 21 regarding what he knew as to the presentation 22 of these bogus prescriptions and the 23 substance that was going to be collected 24 based on those prescriptions. 25 These events all occurred in 26 Senatobia, Mississippi, which is in Tate 27

County and therefore within the jurisdiction of this court.

> THE COURT: Thank you.

1 MS. AMIS: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Spriggs, are you 3 satisfied the State could present admissible 4 and credible evidence to meet their burden of 5 proof and get this case to a jury? 6 MR. SPRIGGS: I am, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Are you satisfied venue 8 and jurisdiction are proper? 9 MR. SPRIGGS: I am, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Have you had ample time 11 to investigate, prepare, and discuss this 12 case with your client as well as go over all 13 possible defenses? 14 MR. SPRIGGS: I have, Your Honor. 15 BY THE COURT: (Continuing) 16 Q. Mr. Love, do you understand and recall 17 these events that have brought you here today? 18 Yes, sir. Α. 19 Do you have any disagreements with the 20 factual bases as set out by the prosecutor? 21 Α. No. sir. 22 Before going further there are certain 23 rights that you have that are guaranteed by the 24 constitution. I'm going to go over those rights 25 with you in just a moment so that you'll know 26 exactly what you're giving up and you'll understand 27 that. 28 First and foremost among those rights is

your right to a trial by jury. You have the

29

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

unfettered right to have a jury decide the ultimate issue in your case; that is, are you guilty of these charges or are you innocent.

The way a jury trial works is we take 12 people and put them right over there in that jury box right over there. Twelve people that you help select. I don't select the jury. You and your lawyer and the prosecutors actually select the jury. The way a jury trial works is the State has to go first. They have the burden of proof. They put on their witnesses. Mr. Spriggs would be allowed to cross-examine each one of those witnesses thoroughly. After the State rests, then you'd be allowed to put on your witnesses. The State would be allowed to cross-examine your witnesses, and then I'll give the jury the law that they're to use in conducting -- during their deliberations. And then the lawyers will argue the case and then I'll send the jury back to the jury room to deliberate on your case. And most of the time after they deliberate awhile they're going to come back with a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. That's just the way a jury trial works.

You're entitled to the services of an attorney at ever stage of that trial. If you cannot afford one, one will be appointed to represent you free of charge. You're presumed innocent. The burden of proof is always on the State to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt and you have the

presumption of innocence that abides with you throughout that trial. Not only do I tell the jury that several times preliminarily in a trial, I also give it to them in the form of a jury instruction in writing that they can take back to the jury room so they will understand the burden of proof that is required in a criminal case.

You have the right to confront each and every witness that appears against you. Mr. Spriggs is a seasoned attorney. He understands how to do that. Further, he understands how to use the subpoena powers of this court to require or command the attendance of witnesses that might help you in your case, and he understands how to use the rules of court to your advantage if need be.

You have the right to remain silent. No one can make you take the witness stand in your own defense. If you wish to give up that right and testify, you're going to have to convince me first that you're doing so freely and voluntarily. Once that's done, then you would be permitted to testify. But no one can make you testify if you don't want to testify.

At the conclusion of the State's case your lawyer would move the Court for a directed verdict; that is, your lawyer would challenge the legal sufficiency of the evidence offered against you. If I agreed with your lawyer, I would sustain the motion and throw the case out of court and set

you free. If I disagreed with your lawyer, I would overrule the motion and the case would then proceed on to conclusion.

You're entitled to a unanimous verdict. In other words, all 12 jurors would have to agree that you're guilty before they could return a legal guilty verdict in court. If the jury reported in a guilty verdict, the first thing I would ask them, "Was it unanimous? Did all 12 agree?" The second thing I would want to know, I would look at the verdict to be certain it was in the proper form. Those questions being answered in the affirmative, it would then become my duty to sentence you in accordance with the applicable law.

Do you understand these rights that I've just gone over with you and that you're giving those rights up by pleading guilty here today?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Further, by pleading guilty you're giving up your right to appeal anything that I may do here today. And if you were tried by a jury and convicted by a jury you'd have the right to appeal through the appellate court system of this state. The proper appeals court would review your case. You're entitled to the services of an attorney on that appeal. If you cannot afford one, one would be appointed to represent you free of charge. The proper appeals court would have a copy of your transcript that was made of your trial by a court

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

reporter. They would have a copy of the briefs that were submitted on your behalf and on behalf of the State, and they may even require the attorneys to come down and argue the case in front of them. rate, the proper appeals court would render a decision. They would either affirm or uphold what we do here or they may reverse it. They could set you free. They may send it back to us for a new trial with instructions. Any number of options they The primary emphasis here is that you have. understand you're giving up or waiving those appeal rights by pleading guilty here today.

Do you understand that?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It looks like the maximum penalty that you could suffer for the charges that you're pleading to of forged prescription in Counts Two and Three is five years on each count and \$1,000 on each count. The minimum punishment is one year on each count and zero fine on each count.

Is that what you and Mr. Spriggs have talked about?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And it looks like your case it looks like to me is going to be continued for sentencing until a later date. But let me tell you what I'm -- what you need to know. This may apply to you later on. You need to understand that if you are sentenced to the Mississippi Department of

Corrections for any term of years or days or months, whenever, you have no assurances of parole or early release. In other words, you may have to serve every day of whatever sentence I impose on you.

Now, my question to you is, Did anyone promise you that if you'd come up here today and plead guilty that you'd be able to go down there to the penitentiary and you'd get out early or you'd make parole, and you have relied on that in entering your plea here today?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. I'm sorry?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. All right. Further, sentencing is my sole prerogative. In other words, it looks like on the 22nd day of October at nine o'clock I'm going to sentence you. And if you and your lawyer work out an agreement with the State as to what your sentence ought to be -- in other words, that's called plea bargaining and I understand that. What I want you to understand though is you and your lawyer work out something with the State I want you to understand I do not have to accept that. I can completely reject that and sentence you to the maximum as provided by law.

So now my question to you is, Did anyone promise you that if you-all reached an agreement that I would automatically follow that agreement and you have relied on that in entering your guilty plea

day?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. Are you satisfied with the services -- well, has anybody tried to threaten you or force you, intimidate you, or place you under duress or offer you anything of value for that matter to get you to plead guilty here today?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. Has Mr. Spriggs been available to you at all reasonable times and places?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you have any complaints against Mr. Spriggs or against this Court?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you admit to me then that you are guilty of the charges as charged in the complaint in Counts Two and Three of the indictment?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And do you have any complaints against Mr. Spriggs or against this Court?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you admit to me then that on the date that's charged in that indictment that you committed those crimes in Counts Two and Three?
 - A. (Nonverbal response.)
- Q. All right. Is it your decision to enter a plea of guilty here or Mr. Spriggs' decision?
 - A. Mine.

1 Q. All right. 2 MR. SPRIGGS: You've got to speak 3 up. 4 DEFENDANT LOVE: Okay. 5 Mine. Α. 6 BY THE COURT: (Continuina) 7 All right. Thank you. And you want me 0. 8 to accept your quilty plea? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. All right. 11 THE COURT: Very well. The Court 12 finds that a factual basis exists for the 13 charges against Mr. Love. I find his plea is 14 freely and voluntarily given. He's alert. 15 He's responsive. He's appropriately dressed 16 and appropriately groomed here today in front 17 I do not detect any mental or 18 emotional illness that would prevent him from 19 entering a free and voluntary plea. He's 20 well advised of his rights. He understands 21 the consequences of offering a guilty plea in 22 this court. Plus, he has petitioned the 23 court under oath to accept his guilty plea. 24 And therefore the Court will now continue 25 Mr. Love's sentencing until the 22nd day of 26 October 2019 at 9:00 a.m. in Hernando. 27 BY THE COURT: (Continuing) 28 I see here that your bail bonding 29 company, Alright Bail and Bonding Company, has given

a letter for us to show that he will remain on your bond until you're sentenced.

Do you understand that?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Now, what I'm going to tell you now is, I'm not threatening you but I want you to understand what's going to happen if you don't show up on the 22nd day of October. Now, we've already got a little problem with you before when you didn't show up. Now, I'm going to tell you if you don't show up on the 22nd day of October I will issue an immediate warrant for your arrest. And once you're apprehended, in jail you will sit until your case is finally disposed of. There won't be any more bail bonds.

Do you understand that?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. So stay in touch with Mr. Spriggs. That's over a month from now until your sentencing. Sometimes those court dates change. We don't want you to miss your court date because it changed and you didn't know anything about it. So you stay in touch with him however often he tells you to.

Do you understand?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right.

THE COURT: Anything further from the State?

1 MS. AMIS: No, sir, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Spriggs? 3 MR. SPRIGGS: No, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 BY THE COURT: (Continuing) 6 Mr. Love, we'll see you on the 22nd. Q. 7 Α. (Pause.) Your Honor, I just want, you 8 know, I accept full responsibility for this morning. 9 I apologize to the Court for being, you know, late 10 or whatnot and --11 All right. There will --Q. 12 Α. -- thank you for --13 -- be another time and place so you can 14 tell me about all that, but I appreciate you saying 15 that. 16 Α. Okay. All right. 17 18 COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 19 I, SHEILA D. MCKINNEY, Official Court Reporter 20 for the Seventeenth Circuit Court District of the 21 State of Mississippi, do hereby certify that the 22 foregoing pages, and including this page, contain a 23 true and correct transcript of the proceedings as 24 taken by me at the time and place heretofore stated 25 in the aforementioned matter, by machine shorthand 26 with electronic verification, with the assistance of 27 computer-aided transcription, to the best of my 28 skill and ability. 29 I do further certify that my certificate

annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction.

Witness my signature, on this the 30th day of September, 2019.

SHEILA D. MCKINNEY, BOR, CCR #1773 Official Court Reporter

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TATE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI	
vs	CAUSE NO.: CR2018-20GCT
SOWETO LOVE	
AFFIDAVIT OF NON APPEARANCE	
District Circuit Court, do hereby	rt Administrator for Judge Gerald W. Chatham, Sr. of the 17 th y affirm that the above-named defendant was set to appear this e, as well as below, and this defendant was called three times
Plea Date	
First Appearance Date	
Trial Date	
X Report Back Date (Re	eview Payment, Rid Transport, etc) 1-9-20 SENTENCING
Suzanne Lowrie	VWL_
SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBE	ED before me this the 44 day of JANUARY, 2020.
*	mmission Expires nuary 2, 2024 D. C.

FILED
JAN 15 2020

Edward L (Eddie) Hadskey · Tate Co. Circuit Clerk

By / Co. oc



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TATE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

VS. **CAUSE NUMBER: CR2018-20GCT**

SOWETO LOVE

BENCH WARRANT

TO THE SHERIFF OF TATE COUNTY

GREETINGS:

YOU ARE COMMANDED TO take SOWETO LOVE and bring him/her before the Circuit Court Judge of said County to answer the charge of Contempt of Court, for failure to appear as directed contrary to the Statutes of the State, in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi, and have with you the original of this warrant your return thereon.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this order shall serve as a HOLD ORDER/ ACKNOWLEDGMENT should the above-named defendant be incarcerated in another jurisdiction than TATE County, Mississippi.

WITNESS, MY HAND this the 14TH day of JANUARY, 2020.

GERALD W. CHATHAM, SR.,

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

B/M

DOB: 10/20/87

SSN: 408-57-0587



STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF TATE

I have this day executed this warrant by arresting the within named

Source Love and now have him in custody.

This the 23 day of February 2020 BRAD LANCE, SHERIFF

122-202



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TATE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI 17^{TH} JUDICIAL DISTRICT

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

VS.

CAUSE NUMBER: CR2018-20GCT

SOWETO LOVE

BENCH WARRANT

TO THE SHERIFF OF TATE COUNTY

GREETINGS:

YOU ARE COMMANDED TO take SOWETO LOVE and bring him/her before the Circuit Court Judge of said County to answer the charge of Contempt of Court, for failure to appear as directed contrary to the Statutes of the State, in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi, and have with you the original of this warrant your return thereon.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this order shall serve as a HOLD ORDER/ ACKNOWLEDGMENT should the above-named defendant be incarcerated in another jurisdiction than TATE County, Mississippi.

WITNESS, MY HAND this the 1ST day of SEPTEMBER, 2020.

GERALD W. CHATHAM, SR.,

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

B/M

DOB: 10-20-87 SSN: 408-57-0587



FILED SEP 23 2020

Edward L (Eddie) Hadskey - Tate Co. Circuit Clerk

· ·

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF TATE

i have this day executed the within will personally by delivering the within

named Stuets
a true copy of this writ.

this the 22day of SEPTER BRAD LANCE, SHERIFF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TATE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

VS.

CAUSE NO. CR 2018-20GC(T) as a 99-19-81 Habitual

SOWETO RONNELL LOVE

SENTENCE OF THE COURT

This day into open Court came the Assistant District Attorney, Rhonda M. Amis, who prosecutes for the State of Mississippi and came the Defendant, **SOWETO RONNELL LOVE**, in his own proper person and represented by counsel, Stacey Spriggs, said Defendant having previously pled guilty on September 16, 2019, to the following crimes: Count 2: Attempting to Obtain a Controlled Substance by Fraud (150 dosage units of Oxycodone), Count 3: Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud (150 dosage units of Oxycodone), both as a 99-19-81 Habitual Offender as charged in the Indictment, with sentencing deferred to this date.

And the Court, after hearing all testimony offered by the Defendant and the State and argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, does hereby pronounce sentence upon the Defendant, **SOWETO RONNELL LOVE**.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that in Count 2, SOWETO RONNELL LOVE be and is hereby sentenced to serve a term of Five (5) years in the Mississippi Department of Corrections as a 99-19-81 Habitual Offender.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in Count 3, SOWETO RONNELL LOVE be and is hereby sentenced to serve a term of Five (5) years in the Mississippi Department of Corrections as a 99-19-81 Habitual Offender consecutive to Count 2.

NOV 17 2020

Edward L (Eddie) Hedskey · Tate Co. Circuit Clerk

AND FURTHER, in each Count, the Defendant shall pay a \$1,000.00 fine, \$200.00 to the District Attorney's Office, \$100.00 to the Mississippi Crime Victims Compensation Fund and all costs of Court. These fines and assessments shall be paid at a rate of \$100 a month beginning 60 days from the Defendant's date of release.

The Defendant is hereby remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of Tate County,

Mississippi, to await transportation to the Mississippi Department of Corrections.

Defendant is hereby given credit for time served while awaiting trial as required by law.

SO ORDERED this the 26th day of October, 2020, and signed *nunc pro tunc*, this the 13th day of NOVEMBER, 2020.

GERALD CHATHAM CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE