IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE DISTRICT

NO. 68 MAP 2024

BLACK POLITICAL EMPOWERMENT PROJECT, et al.,

Petitioners-Appellees,

v.

AL SCHMIDT, et al.,

Respondents,

v.

REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE, et al.,

Intervenor-Appellants

Appeal of: Republican Party of Pennsylvania and Republican National Committee

BRIEF OF APPELLEES

On Appeal from the Order of the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, 283 MD 2024, entered August 30, 2024.

John A. Freedman* James F. Speyer* David B. Bergman* ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP 601 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20001 (202) 942-5000 john.freedman@arnoldporter.com james.speyer.arnoldporter.com david.bergman@arnoldporter.com

Mary M. McKenzie (No. 47434) Benjamin Geffen (No. 310134) PUBLIC INTEREST LAW CENTER 1500 JFK Blvd., Suite 802 Philadelphia, PA 19102 (267) 546-1313 <u>mmckenzie@pubintlaw.org</u> <u>bgeffen@pubintlaw.org</u>

* Pro hac vice applications to be filed

Stephen Loney (No. 202535) Witold J. Walczak (No. 62976) Marian K. Schneider (No. 50337) Kate I. Steiker-Ginzberg (No. 332236) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF PENNSYLVANIA P.O. Box 60173 Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 592-1513 vwalczak@aclupa.org sloney@aclupa.org mschneider@aclupa.org ksteiker-ginzberg@aclupa.org

Ari J. Savitzky* Sophia Lin Lakin* AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor New York, NY 10004 Tel.: (212) 549-2500 <u>slakin@aclu.org</u> asavitzky@aclu.org

September 4, 2024

Counsel for Petitioners-Appellees

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION
II.	COUNTER-STATEMENT OF THE QUESTIONS INVOLVED2
III.	COUNTER-STATEMENT OF THE CASE
A.	Origins of the Date Provision
В.	The Mail Ballot Process4
C.	Litigation over the Date Provision
D.	Election Officials Confirm the Date Provision Serves No Purpose8
E.	Enforcement of the Date Requirement Disenfranchises Thousands of Pennsylvania Voters in Each Election11
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT13
V.	ARGUMENT17
А.	Disenfranchising Voters Due to Noncompliance with the Date Provision Violates the Free and Equal Elections Clause
1.	Appellants' Proposed Limitations on the Right to Vote Are Irreconcilable with the Free and Equal Elections Clause
2.	. This Court Has Not Addressed Constitutionality of Disenfranchising Voters Due to Envelope-Dating Errors
3.	. Strict Scrutiny Applies to the Date Requirement's Restriction on the Fundamental Right to Vote
4.	. Enforcement of the Irrelevant Date Provision Cannot Survive Even Lesser Constitutional Scrutiny
5.	Appellants' Reliance on Law Extrinsic to the Pennsylvania Constitution Is Misplaced
B.	The Date Provision Should Be Reinterpreted Under the Canon of Constitutional Avoidance
C.	Appellants' Procedural Arguments Fail40
1.	. The Commonwealth Court and This Court Have Subject-Matter Jurisdiction
2.	. Non-party County Boards of Elections Are Not Indispensable46
3.	. The Relief Granted Below Does Not Implicate the Federal Elections Clause

4.	The Relief Appellees Seek Does Not Require Invalidation of any	
	Part of Act 77, Much Less Its Entirety.	50
CONC	CLUSION	55

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

<u>Cases</u>

Albert v. 2001 Legislative Reapportionment Comm'n, 790 A.2d 989 (Pa. 2002)
Allegheny Reprod. Health Ctr. v. Pennsylvania Dep't of Hum. Servs., 309 A.3d 808 (Pa. 2024)26, 44
Allegheny Sportsmen's League v. Ridge, 790 A.2d 350 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2002)44
<i>Appeal of Gallagher</i> , 41 A.2d at 632-3327
<i>Appeal of James</i> , 105 A.2d 6437
Appeal of Norwood, 116 A.2d 552 (Pa. 1955)
<i>Applewhite v. Commonwealth</i> , 617 Pa. 563 (2012)45
<i>Applewhite v. Commonwealth</i> , No. 330 M.D. 2012, 2014 WL 184988 (Pa. Cmwlth. Jan. 17, 2014)21, 27, 49
<i>Applewhite v. Commonwealth</i> , No. 330 MD 2012, 2012 WL 4497211 (Pa. Cmwlth. Oct. 2, 2012)20
Ball v. Chapman, 289 A.3d 1 (Pa. 2023)passim
Banfield v. Cortés, 110 A.3d 155 (Pa. 2015)25
Banfield v. Cortes, 922 A.2d 36 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2007), aff'd, 631 Pa. 229 (2015)45
Bergdoll v. Kane, 731 A.2d 1261 (Pa. 1999)25

<i>Bonner v. Chapman</i> , 298 A.3d 153 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2023)	45, 51
<i>Bush v. Gore</i> , 531 U.S. 98 (2000)	47
Chapman v. Berks Cnty. Bd. of Elections, et al., No. 355 MD 2022, 2022 WL 4100998 (Pa. Cmwlth. Aug. 19, 2022)	7, 23
City of Philadelphia v. Commonwealth, 838 A.2d 566 (Pa. 2003)	16, 48
Foreman v. Chester-Upland School District, 941 A.2d 108 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2008)	44
Hartford Accident & Indem. Co. v. Ins. Comm'r of Commonwealth, 482 A.2d 542 (Pa. 1984)	40
In re Canvass of Absentee & Mail-in Ballots of Nov. 3, 2020 Gen. Election, 241 A.3d 1058 (Pa. 2020)	passim
<i>In re Martin's Estate</i> , 74 A.2d 120 (Pa. 1950)	54
<i>In re Nader</i> , 858 A.2d 1167 (Pa. 2004)	26
James v. SEPTA, 477 A.2d 1302 (Pa. 1984)	26
League of Women Voters v. Commonwealth, 178 A.3d 737 (Pa. 2018)	passim
<i>McCafferty v. Guyer</i> , 59 Pa. 109 (1868)	21
McCormick v. Columbus Conveyer Co., 564 A.2d 907 (Pa. 1989)	54
<i>McCormick, et al. v. Chapman, et al.</i> , No. 286 MD 2022, 2022 WL 2900112 (Pa. Cmwlth. June 2. 2022).	7

<i>McIntosh v. Helton</i> , 828 S.W.2d 364 (Ky. 1992)	36
McLinko v. Commonwealth, 270 A.3d 1243 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2022), rev'd on other grounds McLinko v. Dept. of State, 279 A.3d 539 (Pa. 2022)	45
McLinko v. Commonwealth, 279 A.3d 539 (Pa. 2022)	55
<i>Migliori v. Cohen</i> , 36 F.4th 153 (3d Cir. 2022), <i>vacated as moot</i> , 143 S. Ct. 297 (2022)	7, 23
Minnesota Voters All. v. Manseky, 581 U.S. 1 (2018)	32
<i>Mixon v. Commonwealth</i> , 759 A.2d 442 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2000) (<i>en banc</i>), <i>aff'd without opinion</i> , 783 A.2d 763 (Pa. 2001)	.20, 49
<i>Moore v. Harper</i> , 600 U.S. 1 (2023)	.48, 49
Morrison Informatics, Inc. v. Members 1st Fed. Credit Union, 139 A.3d 1241 (Pa. 2016) (Wecht, J., concurring)	34
Pennsylvania Democratic Party v. Boockvar ("PDP"), 238 A.3d 345, 378 (Pa. 2020)	passim
Pa. State Conf. of NAACP Branches v. Schmidt ("NAACP II"), 97 F.4th 120 (3d Cir. 2024)	
Pa. State Conf. of NAACP v. Schmidt ("NAACP I"), No. 1:22-CV-339, 2023 WL 8091601 (W.D. Pa. Nov. 21, 2023), rev'd on other grounds, 97 F.4th 120 (3d Cir. 2024)	passim
Page v. Allen, 58 Pa. 338 (1868)	.21, 49
Pennhurst State Sch. v. Halderman, 465 U.S. 89 (1983)	

Pennsylvania Federation of Teachers v. School District of Philadelphia, 484 A.2d 751 (Pa. 1984)	
Pennsylvania State Education Association v. Department of Community and Economic Development, 50 A.3d 1263 (Pa. 2012)	44
Perles v. Cnty. Return Bd. of Northumberland Cnty., 202 A.2d 538 (Pa. 1964)	27
<i>Peters v. Lincoln Elec. Co.</i> , 285 F.3d 456 (6th Cir. 2002)	32
Phantom Fireworks Showrooms, LLC v. Wolf, 198 A.3d 1205 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2018)	44
Polydyne, Inc. v. City of Phila., 795 A.2d 495 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2002)	46
<i>Republican National Committee v. Schmidt</i> , No. 447 MD 2022 (Pa. Cmwlth. Mar. 23, 2023)	16, 42, 43
Scherbick v. Community College of Allegheny County, 387 A.2d 1301 (Pa. 1978)	44
<i>Stilp v. Commonwealth,</i> 905 A.2d 918 (Pa. 2006)	
United States v. Munsingwear, 340 U.S. 36 (1950). 143 S. Ct. 297	7
Weinschenk v. State, 203 S.W.3d 201 (Mo. 2006)	36
William Penn Sch. Dist. v. Pennsylvania Dep't of Educ., 294 A.3d 537 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2023)	26
Winston v. Moore, 91 A. 520 (Pa. 1914)	passim
Young v Red Clay Consolidated School, 159 A.3d 713 (Del. Ch. 2017)	

Statutes

25 P.S. §§ 2621, 3146.4, 3150.14	41
25 P.S. § 2621(f)	6, 42
25 P.S. §§ 3146.1–3146.9	2
25 P.S. §§ 3146.2, 3150.12	4
25 P.S. §§ 3146.2b, 3150.12b	4
25 P.S. § 3146.3(b)	19
25 P.S. §§ 3146.4, 3146.6, 3150.14, 3150.16	
25 P.S. §§ 3146.6, 3150.16	41
25 P.S. §§ 3146.6(a), 3150.16(a)	2, 4, 5
25 P.S. §§ 3146.6(b)(1), 3150.16(b)(1)	5
25 P.S. § 3146.8	
25 P.S. § 3146.8(g)	5, 51
25 P.S. § 3146.8(g)(3)	
25 P.S. §§ 3146.9 (b)(5)	5
1 Pa.C.S. § 1922(1)	55
25 Pa.C.S. § 1301(a)	4
42 Pa.C.S. § 726	45
28 U.S.C. 1746	
52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B)	
Abortion Control Act	44
Act of Aug. 13, 1963	2
Act of Dec. 11, 1968	3

Election Code Sections 201, 1304, and 1304-D	41
Election Code sections 1306 and 1306-D	41
Declaratory Judgments Act	48
Other Authorities	
Ken Gormley, "Overview of Pennsylvania Constitutional Law,"	
Pa. Const. art. I, § 5	passim

I. INTRODUCTION

Since 2020, thousands of Pennsylvania voters who timely submitted mail ballots have been disenfranchised because they omitted a handwritten date, or wrote an "incorrect" date, on the outer mail ballot envelope. Two federal courts have confirmed, based on a complete record including discovery from the Commonwealth and all 67 counties, that this voter-written date serves no purpose. It plays no role in establishing a ballot's timeliness or voter eligibility and is not used to detect fraud. No one disputes any of that.

Appellants ignore the uncontested facts. On the law, they propose a radically neutered construction of the Free and Equal Elections Clause—one that contravenes two centuries of jurisprudence and this Commonwealth's traditions, eliminating protections against subverting the right to vote, as well as the right to have ballots "honestly counted," *Winston v. Moore*, 91 A. 520, 523 (Pa. 1914).

Whether the Free and Equal Elections Clause—one of the pillars of our constitutional edifice—protects mail ballot voters from the arbitrary disenfranchisement at issue here is a question of first impression. The Court should now affirm the relief granted by the Commonwealth Court, preventing another round of unconstitutional mass disenfranchisement that was set to ensue in this November's election, and upholding the Clause's fundamental guarantee.

1

II. COUNTER-STATEMENT OF THE QUESTIONS INVOLVED

1. Did the Commonwealth Court correctly hold that enforcement of a purposeless envelope-dating provision to disenfranchise thousands of voters in every election violates the fundamental right to vote guaranteed by the Pennsylvania Constitution's Free and Equal Elections Clause?

Suggested answer: Yes.

2. Should this Court re-interpret the Election Code's envelope-dating provisions set forth at 25 P.S. §§ 3146.6(a), 3150.16(a) as merely directory, to avoid the constitutional violation triggered by interpreting the provisions as requiring disenfranchisement for non-compliance?

Suggested answer: Yes.

III. COUNTER-STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A. Origins of the Date Provision

The Election Code has long provided an absentee ballot option for certain Pennsylvania voters. *See* 25 P.S. §§ 3146.1–3146.9. In 1963, the General Assembly added to the absentee ballot provisions a requirement that the "elector shall...fill out, date and sign [a] declaration printed on" the outer envelope used to return absentee ballots. Act of Aug. 13, 1963, P.L. 707, No. 379, sec. 22, § 1306. At the same time, the Code's canvassing provision was amended to instruct county boards to set aside ballots returned in envelopes bearing a date after the election, *id.*, sec. 24 § 1308(c).

Thus, for a time in the 1960s, the Election Code directed use of the handwritten envelope date as part of the determination whether absentee ballots were timely.

In 1968, the Legislature updated the Code to make *date of receipt* the sole factor in determining timeliness of absentee ballots, eliminating the requirement to set aside ballots based on the envelope date. Act of Dec. 11, 1968, P.L. 1183, No. 375, sec. 8, §§ 1308(a) & (c). Thus, while the instruction to "fill out, date, and sign" the envelope declaration remained after 1969, the only date used to determine an absentee ballot's timeliness was date of receipt.

In 2019, the Legislature enacted Act 77, which provides all eligible voters the option of no-excuse mail-in voting. The Legislature largely repurposed the Code's absentee-ballot provisions in the new mail ballot provisions, including the instruction from § 3146.6(a) to "fill out, date, and sign" a declaration printed on the return envelope. The Legislature's Republican Party leadership stated below that absentee-ballot language was adopted wholesale "to minimize the complexities of legislative drafting," (6/24/24 Br. of *Amici Curiae* Bryan Cutler, et al., 24), *not* because the legislature made any determination that the voter-written date served some purpose in administering the mail ballot process.

B. The Mail Ballot Process¹

A voter seeking to vote by mail must complete an application to their county elections board that includes their name, address, and proof of identification. 25 P.S. §§ 3146.2, 3150.12. The requisite information allows boards to verify the voter's qualifications to vote in Pennsylvania—namely, they are over 18-years old, have been a citizen and resided in the election district for at least one month, and are not currently incarcerated on a felony conviction. *See* 25 Pa.C.S. § 1301(a).

The board then confirms the applicant's qualifications by verifying proof of identification and comparing the application information with the voter's record. 25 P.S. §§ 3146.2b, 3150.12b.² The board's eligibility determinations are conclusive unless challenged. *Id.* §§ 3146.2c, 3150.12b(3).

After verifying voter identity and eligibility, the board sends a mail-ballot package that contains a ballot, a secrecy envelope marked with the words "Official Election Ballot," and a pre-addressed return envelope containing a pre-printed voter declaration form. *Id.* §§ 3146.6(a), 3150.16(a). Both the mail ballot itself and the

¹ For ease of reference, "mail ballots" includes both absentee and mail ballots unless otherwise noted. The rules governing treatment of absentee and mail ballots are identical.

² See also Pa. DOS, Guidance Concerning Civilian Absentee and Mail-In Ballot Procedures, at 2, <u>https://www.pa.gov/content/dam/copapwp-pagov/en/dos/resources/voting-and-</u> <u>elections/directives-and-guidance/2023-04-03-DOS-Guidance-Civilian-Absentee-Mail-In-Ballot-</u> <u>Procedures-v3.pdf</u> (last updated Apr. 3, 2023).

"form of declaration and envelope shall be as prescribed by the Secretary of the Commonwealth." *Id.* §§ 3146.3(b), 3146.4, 3150.13(b).

At "any time" after receiving their mail-ballot package, the voter marks their ballot, places it in the secrecy envelope and the return envelope, completes the declaration, and delivers the ballot, by mail or in person, to their county board. *Id.* §§ 3146.6(a), 3150.16(a). The date written on the return envelope is not used to determine or confirm voter identity, eligibility, or timeliness of the ballot. Rather, a mail ballot is timely if the board receives it by 8 p.m. on Election Day. *Id.*, §§ 3146.6(c), 3150.16(c).

Upon receipt, the board must stamp the return envelope with the date of receipt to confirm its timeliness and log the receipt in the Statewide Uniform Registry of Electors ("SURE") system, the voter database used to generate poll books. *See* 25 P.S. §§ 3146.9 (b)(5); 3150.17(b)(5) (requiring boards to "maintain a record of...the date on which the elector's completed mail-in ballot is received by the county board"); *see also* Op. 77; Appellants Br. ("Br."), 45. The poll books each county generates from the SURE system show which voters requested and returned mail ballots. 25 P.S. §§ 3146.6(b)(1), 3150.16(b)(1).

Mail ballots are verified pursuant to 25 P.S. § 3146.8(g). Any verified ballot submission that is not challenged is counted and included with the election results. *Id.*, § 3146.8(g)(4). After the counties count the ballots, the Secretary has the duty

"[t]o receive from [them] the returns of primaries and elections, to canvass and compute the votes cast...; to proclaim the results of such primaries and elections, and to issue certificates of election to the successful candidates at such elections...." *Id.* § 2621(f).

C. Litigation over the Date Provision

Millions of Pennsylvania voters have voted mail ballot since Act 77 passed in 2019. Litigation over the validity of mail ballots received in un- and mis-dated envelopes began almost immediately. A series of state and federal cases have interpreted the Election Code's envelope-dating provisions and considered the application of the federal Materiality Provision, 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B). None of those cases presented a claim under the Free and Equal Elections Clause.

In 2020, this Court conducted a statutory analysis of the date provision and issued a split decision with four Justices ruling in favor of counting timely ballots received in the 2020 election. *In re Canvass of Absentee & Mail-in Ballots of Nov. 3, 2020 Gen. Election ("In re 2020")*, 241 A.3d 1058, 1076-79 (Pa. 2020), *cert. denied*, 141 S. Ct. 1451 (2021), (opinion announcing judgment ["OAJC"]); *id.*, 1088 (Wecht, J., concurring and dissenting). In these fast-moving, consolidated post-election appeals, appellants (political campaigns seeking to disqualify ballots) postulated governmental interests that supposedly supported strict enforcement of the date provision. Without any record testing these theories, six Justices split over

whether the purported interests appeared sufficiently "weighty" to justify interpreting the Code's date instruction as "mandatory."³ *See id.*, 1076-79 (OAJC) (date provision was "a directory, rather than a mandatory, instruction" because purported interests were not "weighty"); *id.*, 1090-91 (Dougherty, J., concurring and dissenting) (crediting purported "weighty interests" to interpret the provision as mandatory).

In early 2022, Lehigh County voters disenfranchised by the date requirement in the 2021 municipal election filed a federal Materiality Provision challenge. A unanimous Third Circuit panel held that the Materiality Provision prohibited disenfranchising voters for inconsequential envelope-dating errors. *Migliori v. Cohen*, 36 F.4th 153, 164 (3d Cir.), *vacated as moot*, 143 S. Ct. 297 (2022). Following *Migliori*, state courts directed county boards to count ballots despite envelope-dating errors in the 2022 primary. *See Chapman v. Berks Cnty. Bd. of Elections, et al.*, No. 355 MD 2022, 2022 WL 4100998 (Pa. Cmwlth. Aug. 19, 2022); *McCormick, et al. v. Chapman, et al.*, No. 286 MD 2022, 2022 WL 2900112 (Pa. Cmwlth. June 2. 2022).

In October 2022, after Lehigh County counted the ballots at issue in *Migliori* and certified all 2021 election results, the U.S. Supreme Court vacated the Third

³ The seventh Justice opined that a plain-text reading should be applied to interpret "shall ... date" as mandatory regardless of any "weighty interests," but voted with the plurality in the OAJC to require the counting of such ballots for the 2020 election only. *Id.*, 1079-80 (Wecht, J.).

Circuit's opinion for mootness pursuant to *United States v. Munsingwear*, 340 U.S. 36 (1950). 143 S. Ct. 297. Within days of that non-merits *vacatur*, the Republican Party (Appellants here) filed a King's Bench petition in this Court seeking to enjoin officials from counting mail ballots received in envelopes with a missing or "incorrect" voter-written date. Appellants filed their King's Bench petition mere weeks before Election Day.

In the context of another fast-moving case without a factual record, this Court granted the petition, applying the bottom-line conclusion from *In re 2020*—that the date provisions are mandatory under the Election Code. *Ball v. Chapman*, 289 A.3d 289 A.3d 1, 21-22 (Pa. 2023) (citing *In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1086-87 (Wecht, J.) & 1090-91 (Dougherty, J.)). The Court did not revisit the *In re 2020* debate regarding whether "weighty interests" supported mandatory application of the date requirement. And it was not presented with any constitutional claim under the Free and Equal Elections Clause. One week before Election Day, the *Ball* Court ordered that ballots arriving in un- or incorrectly-dated return envelopes be set aside in the 2022 general election. *Id.* Consequently, county boards across the Commonwealth adjusted quickly on the eve of Election Day to set aside ballots with missing or incorrect envelope dates.

D. Election Officials Confirm the Date Provision Serves No Purpose.

Following *Ball*, voters facing disenfranchisement and non-partisan votingrights organizations filed a federal Materiality Provision case in 2022 against the Secretary and all 67 county boards.⁴ *See Pa. State Conf. of NAACP v. Schmidt* ("*NAACP I*"), 703 F. Supp. 3d 632 (W.D. Pa. 2023), *rev'd on other grounds*, 97 F.4th 120 (3d Cir. 2024).

NAACP was the first time all parties—including Appellants here, who intervened and participated fully—conducted full discovery, including interrogatories, depositions and admissions, to develop a record regarding the voter-written date's role (if any) in election administration and its impact on voters.⁵ Interrogatory responses from the Secretary and all 67 county boards, supplemented by deposition testimony, confirmed *no* party or entity responsible for election administration uses the date for any reason—including to determine timely receipt or voter qualifications—other than to disenfranchise voters who did not write a "correct" date. *See NAACP I*, 703 F.Supp.3d at 668 ("County boards of elections acknowledge that they did not use the handwritten date on the voter declaration on

⁴ The plaintiffs in *NAACP* raised only federal claims in that federal litigation. They did not raise the Free and Equal Elections Clause, which is not referenced in the federal court opinions. *Cf. Pennhurst State Sch. v. Halderman*, 465 U.S. 89 (1984) (limiting federal courts from enforcing state constitutional rights against state actors).

⁵ In *NAACP*, Appellants were able to serve requests, notice depositions, and cross-examine all testifying witnesses. Accordingly, Appellants agreed in the proceedings below that elements of the record developed in *NAACP I* may be relied upon in this case and are subject to judicial notice. *See* Op. 24, n.28.

the Return Envelope for any purpose related to determining a voter's age..., citizenship..., county or duration of residence..., felony status..., or timeliness of receipt) (internal record citations omitted). Based on this fulsome record, the district court granted summary judgment, finding that the date provision is "wholly irrelevant" to election administration. *NAACP I*, 703 F. Supp. 3d at 678.

While a divided Third Circuit panel subsequently reversed based on a novel legal interpretation of the federal Materiality Provision, that court endorsed the district court's findings about the date provision. Indeed, the majority agreed that the date provision "serves little apparent purpose." *Pa. State Conf. of NAACP Branches v. Schmidt ("NAACP II")*, 97 F.4th 120, 125 (3d Cir. 2024). It agreed that the date plays no role in determining a ballot's timeliness. *Id.*, 125 & 127.⁶ It also agreed that the date is not used to determine voter qualifications. *Id.*, 129 ("No party disputed that election officials 'did not use the handwritten date...for any purpose related to determining' a voter's qualification under Pennsylvania law."). And the court did not disturb the district court's conclusion that the date requirement is not used to detect fraud. *See NAACP I*, 703 F. Supp. at 679, n.39 (single instance of purported

⁶ See also NAACP I, 703 F. Supp. at 679 ("Irrespective of any date written on the outer Return Envelope's voter declaration, if a county board received and date-stamped a...mail ballot before 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, the ballot was deemed timely received.... [I]f the county board received a mail ballot after 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, the ballot was not timely and was not counted, despite the date placed on the Return Envelope").

fraud in Lancaster County was "detected by way of the SURE system and Department of Health records, rather than by using the date on the return envelope"); *see also NAACP II*, 97 F.4th at 139-40 (Shwartz, J., dissenting) (handwritten date "not used to...detect fraud.").⁷

This lawsuit followed *NAACP II* and is the first to raise a claim under the Free and Equal Elections Clause.

E. Enforcement of the Date Requirement Disenfranchises Thousands of Pennsylvania Voters in Each Election.

Though the date serves no discernible purpose, the Secretary has issued guidance and directives to county boards following *Ball* that timely mail-ballot submissions with a missing or incorrect date must be segregated and excluded from tabulation. Pa. DOS, "Guidance Concerning Civilian Absentee and Mail-In Ballot Procedures," v.3.0 (April 3, 2023), https://www.pa.gov/content/dam/copapwp-pagov/en/dos/resources/voting-and-elections/directives-and-guidance/2023-04-03-DOS-Guidance-Civilian-Absentee-Mail-In-Ballot-Procedures-v3.pdf; Pa. DOS, "Directive Concerning the Form of Absentee and Mail-in Ballot Materials, v.2.0 (July 1, 2024) ("Mail Ballot Directive"), https://www.pa.gov/content/dam/copapwp-pagov/en/dos/resources/voting-and-elections/directives-and-guidance/2024-

⁷ *Cf. In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1076-77 (because ballots received after 8:00p.m. on Election Day cannot be counted, there is no "danger that any of these ballots was...fraudulently back-dated").

Directive-Absentee-Mail-in-Ballot-Materials-v2.0.pdf; see also A442⁸ (4/19/24 DOS Email).

Meanwhile, thousands of mail ballots have been set aside in every election. In the 2022 general election, enforcement of the date provision disenfranchised over 10,000 voters. *E.g.*, *NAACP II*, 97 F.4th at 127. Thousands more were disenfranchised for this reason in the 2023 municipal elections, and again in the 2024 Presidential primary. *See* A403 (Shapell Decl.), ¶ 12.

Enforcement of the date provision has disenfranchised eligible voters throughout Pennsylvania, from all walks of life, and across the political spectrum. *See* Op. 80-81, n.56-59 (citing voter-witness declarations).⁹ It has also led to arbitrary results among counties, further underscoring its lack of value to election administration. For example, in the 2022 general election:

- Many counties set aside ballots where the envelope date was correct but missing the year; others counted such ballots. *NAACP I*, 703 F. Supp. At 681, n.43.
- More than 1,000 timely-received ballots were set aside because of "an obvious error by the voter in relation to the date," such as writing a month prior to September or a month after November 8. *Id.*, 681. The

⁸ References herein to page numbers A400-A516 refer to selections from the record below that Appellees attach as an Appendix to this Brief for the Court's convenience. Appellees anticipate that the separate record on appeal will not be compiled before Briefing is closed and therefore attach true and correct copies of any document from the record below referenced in Appellees' Brief.

⁹ For ease of reference, true and correct copies of the voter-witness declarations are provided in the attached Appendix, A405-A441.

district court found that this "shows the irrelevance of any date written by the voter on the outer envelope." *Id*.

- Counties took varying approaches to dates written in the international format (*i.e.*, day/month/year). *Id.*, 681-82.
- Counties set aside hundreds of timely-received ballots with obviously unintentional slips of the pen. *Id.*

And many counties *counted* ballots with necessarily "incorrect" envelope dates. For example:

- "[S]ome counties precisely followed [the prescribed] date range even where the date on the return envelope was an impossibility because it predated the county's mailing of ballot packages to voters." *Id.*, 680.
- One county counted a ballot marked September 31—a date that does not exist. *Id.*, 681, n. 45.
- Counties took inconsistent approaches to voters who mistakenly wrote their birthdates. *Id*.

While Appellants attempt to relitigate the determination that the date provision is meaningless, they have not controverted the factual record underlying the *NAACP* courts' determinations. These facts, and election officials' admissions that the date serves no purpose, remain undisputed. *Cf.* Op. 24, n.28 ("the parties agreed that there are no factual issues in this case, that no stipulations of fact were required, and that this matter involves only legal issues").

IV. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

Enforcement of the date provision to reject thousands of timely votes does severe damage to Pennsylvanians' right to vote. Pennsylvania's Free and Equal Elections Clause firmly establishes the right to vote as a fundamental that may not be diminished by the government. The Clause "strike[s]...at all regulations...which shall impair the right of suffrage...." *League of Women Voters v. Commonwealth* ("*LWV*"), 178 A.3d 737, 740-41 (Pa. 2018) (citation omitted). And under this Clause, this mass disenfranchisement cannot continue.

Appellants' argument hinges on a radical position that the Free and Equal Elections Clause is powerless against so-called "ballot-casting rules." This concept appears nowhere in the Election Code, and nor does the phrase "ballot-casting rule" appear in any Pennsylvania judicial opinion prior to the dissent below in this case. This proposed new constitutional carveout is irreconcilable with this Court's unequivocal mandate that the Free and Equal Elections Clause be "given the broadest interpretation, one which governs *all aspects* of the electoral process[.]" *LWV*, 178 A.3d at 814 (emphasis added).

The majority below faithfully applied controlling precedent requiring that a restriction on fundamental rights satisfy strict scrutiny. And the majority correctly recognized that no party has identified any compelling government interest to justify repeated mass disenfranchisement based on whether voters handwrite a purposeless date on return envelopes.

Appellants ignore the uncontroverted facts in continuing to advance disproven theories about how the voter-written date might have some use to someone. But Appellants were unable to support those theories when provided a full opportunity to develop a record in *NAACP*. The federal courts have already confirmed, based on that record, that Appellants' justifications are unfounded, and that the voter-written date is "wholly irrelevant" to election administration. *NAACP I*, 703 F. Supp. at 678; *see also NAACP II*, 97 F.4th at 125. As the majority did below, this Court should reject Appellants' invitation to relitigate these theories without any new reasoning or factual basis. And given the abject lack of reason for disenfranchising voters who trip over the date requirement, the finding of a Free and Equal Elections Clause violation may be upheld under any standard.

Alternatively, the Court may uphold the result below based on statutory interpretation grounds. *See* Op. 82-83 n.61. Under the doctrine of constitutional avoidance, and given the subsequent evidence extinguishing the "weighty interests" presented to this Court in 2020, Appellees respectfully suggest this Court can revisit its interpretation of the date provision and hold instead that "dating the declaration is a directory, rather than a mandatory, instruction." *In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1076. Adopting this interpretation would avoid the constitutional violation inevitably triggered by mandatory disenfranchisement of thousands of Pennsylvanians for noncompliance with a rule that serves no purpose.

In all events, the Free and Equal Elections Clause cannot tolerate the mass disenfranchisement at issue here, and the Commonwealth Court correctly rejected Appellants' efforts to avoid that conclusion with specious procedural arguments:

- This Court has already rejected challenges to the constitutionality of the date requirement. Br. 1-2, 26-29. This is false. No Pennsylvania court previously decided the constitutional question raised in this case.
- The Commonwealth Court lacked original jurisdiction. Id. 4, 10-17. Appellants rely on a misreading of the unreported Commonwealth Court decision in *Republican National Committee v. Schmidt* ("*RNC II*"), No. 447 MD 2022 (Pa. Cmwlth. Mar. 23, 2023). Unlike the petitioners there, Appellees challenge a state-wide rule that the Secretary is statutorily responsible for enforcing.
- *Petitioners failed to name indispensable parties.* Br. 4,17-22. Appellants' position is based on a flawed premise, rejected by this Court in *City of Philadelphia v. Commonwealth*, 838 A.2d 566, 582–83 (Pa. 2003), that plaintiffs must join every party who may be impacted by declaratory judgment actions challenging statewide legislation.
- *The relief granted requires invalidation of Act* 77. Br. 55-59. The court below correctly recognized that Appellees seek to halt the unconstitutional *enforcement* of the envelope dating provision in a way that disenfranchises

voters for non-compliance; they do not seek to excise "shall ... date," or any other language, from Act 77. Including a date line on mail ballot return envelopes and asking voters to fill it out is not the problem; disenfranchising voters when they make a meaningless error in filling it out is. *See In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1079 (citing *PDP*, 238 A.3d at 378). The nonseverability provision is not implicated.

None of Appellants' arguments support reversal. This Court should affirm.

V. ARGUMENT

A. Disenfranchising Voters Due to Noncompliance with the Date Provision Violates the Free and Equal Elections Clause.

1. Appellants' Proposed Limitations on the Right to Vote Are Irreconcilable with the Free and Equal Elections Clause.

In Pennsylvania, the right to vote is enshrined in and protected by the Free and Equal Elections Clause, which states: "Elections shall be free and equal; and no power, civil or military, shall at any time interfere to prevent the free exercise of the right of suffrage." Pa. Const. art. I, § 5. The Clause means not only that voters must have "the same free and equal opportunity to select his or her representatives," Br. 29, but also that: "each voter under the law has the right to cast [their] ballot and have it honestly counted," *Winston v. Moore*, 91 A. 520, 523 (Pa. 1914); that "the regulation of the right to exercise the franchise does not deny the franchise itself, or make it so difficult as to amount to a denial," *id.*; that "no constitutional right of the qualified elector is subverted....," *LWV*, 178 A.3d at 810; and that elections must "be kept open and unrestricted to the voters of our Commonwealth," *id.*, 804.

Any rule that requires disqualification of votes for noncompliance is, on its face, a restriction on voting. Yet Appellants' principal merits argument is that the Free and Equal Elections Clause—perhaps the signal achievement of our Commonwealth's Constitution—is toothless in the face of a pointless rule driving mass disenfranchisement in every election. Such a radical diminishment of the Clause's ambit cannot be squared with this Court's longstanding jurisprudence, and if accepted, would invite sinister applications. This Court should reject Appellants' parched and parsimonious view of this noble provision.

The Free and Equal Elections Clause is uniquely broad in scope and powerful in its protective force. As this Court detailed in *LWV*, the right to vote in this Commonwealth emanates from a proud tradition that predates the country's founding and guarantees broader protections than the federal Constitution:

Pennsylvania's Constitution, when adopted in 1776, was widely viewed as "the most radically democratic of all the early state constitutions." Ken Gormley, "Overview of Pennsylvania Constitutional Law," as appearing in Ken Gormley, ed., *The Pennsylvania Constitution A Treatise on Rights and Liberties*, 3 (2004). Indeed, our Constitution, which was adopted over a full decade before the United States Constitution, served as the foundation—the template—for the federal charter. *Id.* Our autonomous state Constitution, rather than a "reaction" to federal constitutional jurisprudence, stands as a self-contained and self-governing body of constitutional law, and acts as a wholly independent protector of the rights of the citizens of our Commonwealth. *LWV*, 178 A.3d at 802. Our framers envisioned the right to vote as "that most central of democratic rights[.]" *Id.*, 741; *see also PDP*, 238 A.3d at 386-87 (Wecht, J. concurring) ("No right is more precious....Other rights, even the most basic, are illusory if the right to vote is undermined.").

Accordingly, the "plain and expansive sweep of the words 'free and equal'" is "indicative of the framers' intent that *all aspects* of the electoral process, to *the greatest degree possible*, be kept open and *unrestricted* to the voters of our Commonwealth...." *Id.*, 804 (emphases added). It "strike[s]...at *all* regulations of law which shall impair the right of suffrage rather than facilitate or reasonably direct the manner of its exercise." *Id.*, 809 (citation omitted) (emphasis added).

Ignoring this text, history, and precedent, Appellants posit massive new carveouts from the Clause's protections. Their arguments represent an extreme departure from first principles.

First, Appellants suggest—and the dissent endorsed—a novel exemption from the Clause's protection for the invented category of "ballot-casting" rules. Such an exception does not exist. Indeed, the idea of some separate category of "ballotcasting" rules is not grounded in the Election Code or found anywhere in 250 years of precedent.¹⁰ Adopting this exemption now would require the Court to overturn

¹⁰ The Election Code undercuts the concept of a "ballot-casting" stage that includes dating the return envelope. Based on a plain reading of the Code's mail ballot procedures, completion of the

longstanding jurisprudence applying the Free and Equal Elections Clause to "all aspects of the electoral process," *Id.*, 804, and would render the Clause impotent even against Jim Crow-era requirements like literacy tests, or a requirement to write the voter's paternal grandfather's name on the return envelope. Appellants' theories would immunize such blatant infringements on the right to vote from any constitutional scrutiny so long as they involve "ballot-casting."

Appellants' radical carveout is irreconcilable with this Court's recognition that the Clause must apply in a "broad and robust" manner." *Id.*, 814. And their misrepresentation that Pennsylvania courts have never applied the Clause to a "ballot-casting rule" ignores a history of cases protecting the right to vote against unwarranted restrictions. For example, this Court applied the Clause to the mailballot-receipt deadline—clearly a "ballot-casting" rule—during the November 2020 election. *PDP*, 238 A.3d at 371–72. The Commonwealth Court, following remand instructions from this Court, also previously applied the Clause to invalidate a statute requiring people casting ballots in person to show photo identification. *Applewhite v. Commonwealth*, No. 330 MD 2012, 2012 WL 4497211, at *6 (Pa. Cmwlth. Oct.

envelope declaration is not itself "ballot casting." The Code provides separate sets of rules that apply to the ballot on one hand and the return envelope declaration on the other. *Compare* 25 P.S. § 3146.3(b) (concerning the form of ballots), *with id.* § 3164.14 (concerning the form of return envelope with voter declaration). Lumping the envelope dating requirement together with "ballot-casting" is a novel concept coined earlier this year by two federal judges in *NAACP II*, which finds no support in the Code or any Pennsylvania case.

2, 2012). This Court also affirmed a ruling that a registration ban on people released from prison within the previous five years violates the Clause. *Mixon v. Commonwealth*, 759 A.2d 442, 452 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2000) (*en banc*), *aff'd without opinion*, 783 A.2d 763 (Pa. 2001). These decisions build on older cases applying the Clause to invalidate statutes that barred certain categories of people *from casting ballots*. *See, e.g., McCafferty v. Guyer*, 59 Pa. 109, 112 (1868) (there is no "power of the legislature to disfranchise one to whom the Constitution has given the rights of an elector"); *Page v. Allen*, 58 Pa. 338, 353 (1868) (enjoining enforcement of statute that added ten days to constitutional residency requirement for voting).

All of this is consistent with this Court's recent emphasis that "the words 'free and equal' as used in Article I, Section 5, have a broad and wide sweep." *LWV*, 178 A.3d at 809.¹¹

Second, Appellants deploy partial quotes from this Court to claim that voting rules are only subject to any constitutional scrutiny when they "make it so difficult [to vote] as to amount to a denial" of the franchise. Br. 3, 30, 32. But this Court's decisions, in cases like *Berg* and *Applewhite II*, make clear that voting rules or practices that "affect" or "infringe upon" the right to vote must all be consistent with

¹¹ Meanwhile, *PDP* is the only authority Appellants cite for their incorrect assertion that Pennsylvania courts "routinely upheld ballot-casting rules—such as the declaration mandate and the secrecy-envelope rule—against challenges under the Clause." Br.31. As explained below, however, *PDP* did not address the constitutionality of the declaration mandate or secrecy envelope requirement. *See infra*, 23-24.

the Free and Equal Elections Clause's basic requirements. *See infra*, 26-27. Notably, Appellants' (and the dissent's, at 24-25) argument repeats a partial quote from *Winston* (Br. 3, 4, 30), but omits critical language that the Clause extends to restrictions that "effectively" deny the right to vote *or* "deny the franchise itself" *or* "subvert" that right. *LWV*, 178 A.3d at 810 (quoting *Winston*, 91 A. at 523). Here, enforcement of the date provision actually *and* effectively denies voters the right to have their ballots included—or at minimum subverts the right. Op. 77.

Third, Appellants wrongly suggest (Br. 31) that the Clause protects "only" the "opportunity to cast a vote in the election, not that every voter will successfully avail himself or herself of that opportunity."¹² But the Clause applies broadly, to "*all* aspects of the electoral process." *LWV*, 178 A.3d at 804 (emphasis added). The fundamental right to vote under the Pennsylvania Constitution extends beyond just the right to register or fill out a ballot; it encompasses "the right to cast [a] ballot *and*

¹² The dissent's supposition that "[n]o reasonable person would find the obligation to sign and date a declaration to be difficult or hard or challenging," Dissent 34, ignores the undisputed facts that the date line trips thousands of people in every election, including over 10,000 eligible voters in the 2022 general election. While the dissent downplays the constitutional significance of excluding 0.85% of all ballots cast, Dissent 40, discarding 10,000 votes is constitutionally problematic. *LWV*, 178 A.3d at 813 n.71 (an election is not "free and equal" when "**any substantial number** of legal voters are, from any cause, denied the right to vote.") (emphasis added). This is more than the entire population of Sullivan and Cameron Counties combined; surely disenfranchising enough people to fill two counties constitutes "a constitutionally intolerable ratio of rejected ballots." *PDP*, 238 A.3d at 389 (Wecht, J., concurring).

have it honestly counted." *Winston*, 91 A. at 523 (emphasis added). The date requirement obviously impairs the right to have a ballot "counted."¹³

The majority thus correctly rejected Appellants' invitation to neuter the Free and Equal Elections Clause and thereby abandon this Commonwealth's traditions and a century of jurisprudence. A voting rule that serves no purpose other than to disenfranchise thousands every election cannot be immune from all scrutiny under the Free and Equal Elections Clause.

2. This Court Has Not Addressed Constitutionality of Disenfranchising Voters Due to Envelope-Dating Errors.

Appellants' arguments also hinge on the fiction that this Court rejected Free and Equal Elections Clause challenges to the date provision in *Ball* and *PDP*. Br. 27-28. *Ball* involved no Free and Equal Elections Clause challenge—this Court reaffirmed statutory interpretation from *In re 2020*. Indeed, half of the Justices in *Ball* acknowledged that "failure to comply with the date requirement would not compel discarding votes in light of the Free and Equal Elections Clause...." 289 A.2d at 27 n.156. That footnote was the only mention of the Free and Equal Elections

¹³ While Appellants dismiss as "nonsense" the idea that enforcing the dating requirement to reject votes denies the right to vote, Br. 32, it is an idea that has been endorsed by at least three of the six Justices who presided in *Ball*, who expressly found that rejecting a ballot based on non-compliance with the envelope-dating rule "denies the right of an individual to vote…." *Ball*, 289 A.3d at 25 (quoting 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B)). The Commonwealth Court also agreed in *Chapman*, 2022 WL 4100998, *27. Additionally, four out of the six federal circuit judges considering the question under federal law in the *Migliori* and *NAACP* cases concluded likewise. That is a lot of judicial firepower supporting what Appellants dismiss as "nonsense."

Clause in the *Ball* Court's analysis.¹⁴ Even the dissent below did not entertain Appellants' strained reading of *Ball*. And the majority correctly found Appellees "raise[] an issue of first impression[.]" Op. 59.

Nor does *PDP* foreclose Appellees' constitutional claim. *Id.*, 67-68. The petitioners in *PDP* raised no constitutional challenge to enforcement of the date provision. Petitioners there claimed only that the Free and Equal Elections Clause affirmatively requires that voters be given *notice and an opportunity to cure*" minor errors before mail ballots were rejected. 238 A.3d at 372 (emphasis added). They did not seek a ruling on the antecedent question, namely, whether enforcing the date provision to reject timely ballots is unconstitutional. This Court decided only that "the Boards are not required to implement a 'notice and opportunity to cure' procedure" because the petitioners had "cited no constitutional or statutory basis" for imposing such a requirement on all counties. *Id.*, 374. This case raises an entirely different issue. Op. 68 ("notice and opportunity to cure procedures are **not** at issue in this case" (emphasis in original).¹⁵

¹⁴ Appellants rely on a fleeting reference in the portion of the *Ball* opinion describing the parties' respective positions, which noted an assertion in the Secretary's brief that the RNC's interpretation of the statute "*could implicate* the Free and Equal Elections Clause." *Ball*, 289 A.3d at 16 (emphasis added). The Court was not describing any claim or defense under the Free and Equal Elections Clause, nor did it conduct any constitutional analysis.

¹⁵*PDP* petitioners separately raised a Free and Equal Elections argument against enforcement of the secrecy envelope requirement. *See* 238 A.3d at 376. As in *Ball*, however, this Court analyzed only a statutory construction of this separate Election Code requirement, *id.*, 378-80.

In sum, there has been substantial litigation regarding *statutory interpretation* of the date provision in the Election Code, and *different* constitutional challenges involving *other* Election Code provisions, but before this case no court has addressed whether disenfranchising voters for noncompliance with the date provision is unconstitutional.¹⁶

3. Strict Scrutiny Applies to the Date Requirement's Restriction on the Fundamental Right to Vote.

Appellants do not dispute, and this Court has repeatedly reaffirmed, that the right to vote guaranteed by the Free and Equal Elections Clause is fundamental. *See*, *e.g.*, *PDP*, 238 A.3d at 361 (employing a construction of the Election Code that "favors the fundamental right to vote and enfranchises, rather than disenfranchises, the electorate"); *Banfield v. Cortés*, 110 A.3d 155, 176 (Pa. 2015) (observing that "the right to vote is fundamental and 'pervasive of other basic civil and political rights") (citing *Bergdoll v. Kane*, 731 A.2d 1261, 1269 (Pa. 1999)).

Accordingly, the majority applied strict scrutiny "because the date provisions impose a significant burden on one's constitutional right to vote, in that they restrict the right to have one's vote counted to only those voters who *correctly* handwrite

¹⁶ The Third Circuit, in *NAACP II*, did not and could not opine on the enforceability of the date requirement under the Free and Equal Elections Clause. The court held only that enforcing the date requirement does not violate a *federal statute*, relying on a novel theory that the statute categorically does not apply to mail ballot-related paperwork. There was no state constitutional claim in *NAACP* and there is no reference to the Free and Equal Elections Clause anywhere in the federal court's opinions. *Cf. Pennhurst*, 465 U.S. 89.

the date on their mail ballots and effectively deny the right to all other qualified electors who seek to exercise the franchise by mail...but make minor mistakes regarding the handwritten date on their mail ballots' declarations." Op. 75 (emphasis in original). That is correct—as was the conclusion that, by "effectively deny[ing]" the right to vote, enforcement of the date provision to exclude a person's ballot from being counted imposes a "severe" and "significant" burden on that right. Op. 75.

But this Court need not conclude that the burden on a fundamental right is "severe" to apply strict scrutiny. *In re Nader*, 858 A.2d 1167, 1181 (Pa. 2004) ("[W]here the fundamental right to vote is at issue, a strong state interest must be demonstrated"). Laws that "infringe upon," "affect," or "burden" the fundamental right to vote may trigger such review, even absent a "severe" burden. *See, e.g.*, *Petition of Berg*, 712 A.2d 340, 342 (Pa. Cmwlth.), *aff'd*, 552 Pa. 126 (1998) ("It is well settled that laws which affect a fundamental right, such as the right to vote...are subject to strict scrutiny.")¹⁷; *James v. SEPTA*, 477 A.2d 1302, 1306 (Pa. 1984) (where a "fundamental right has been burdened, another standard of review is applied: that of strict scrutiny")¹⁸; *see also LWV*, 178 A.3d at 810 (quoting *Winston*,

¹⁷ While Appellants note that *Berg* "declined to apply strict scrutiny" (Br., 40), it expressly did so upon finding that the case did not involve denial of fundamental right to vote, and not because strict scrutiny does not apply when the right to vote is at issue. 712 A. 2d at 342-44.

¹⁸ Neither Appellants nor the dissent explain why infringements on the fundamental right to vote should be subject a lower level of scrutiny, while infringements on any other fundamental right triggers strict scrutiny. *See, e.g., Allegheny Reprod. Health Ctr. v. Pennsylvania Dep't of Hum.*

91 A. at 523) (elections are "free and equal" when "the regulation of the right to exercise the franchise does not deny the franchise itself, or make it so difficult as to amount to a denial; *and when no constitutional right of the qualified elector is subverted or denied him*." (emphasis added)); *Applewhite v. Commonwealth* ("*Applewhite II*"), No. 330 M.D. 2012, 2014 WL 184988, at *20 (Pa. Cmwlth. Jan. 17, 2014) (laws that "infringe[] upon qualified electors' right to vote" are analyzed "under strict scrutiny.") Regardless what terminology one uses to describe the harsh result here, losing the right to have one's vote included due to a meaningless mistake is an "extremely serious matter" that triggers strict scrutiny under Pennsylvania law. *Perles v. Cnty. Return Bd. of Northumberland Cnty.*, 202 A.2d 538, 540 (Pa. 1964) ("The disfranchisement of even one person validly exercising his right to vote is an extremely serious matter."); *see supra*, 25-26.

As the majority held, under strict scrutiny, Appellants "bear the heavy burden of proving that the law in question, i.e., the dating provision, is 'narrowly drawn to advance a state interest of compelling importance." Op. 75 (quoting *PDP*, 238 A. 3d at 385); *see also, e.g., Appeal of Gallagher*, 41 A.2d at 632-33 (the power to

Servs., 309 A.3d 808, 945 (Pa. 2024)("the right to reproductive autonomy, like other privacy rights, is fundamental Accordingly, we would remand to the Commonwealth Court to apply strict scrutiny based on the framework of the Section 26 analysis); *William Penn Sch. Dist. v. Pennsylvania Dep't of Educ.*, 294 A.3d 537, 957 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2023) ("Petitioners' equal protection claim is based on a fundamental right to education, the alleged impingement of which should be reviewed under strict scrutiny").

throw out ballots based on minor irregularities "must be exercised *very sparingly* and with the idea in mind that either an individual voter or a group of voters are not to be disfranchised at an election *except for compelling reasons*" (emphasis added)).¹⁹

Appellants cannot show that enforcing the envelope-dating rule on pain of disenfranchisement clears that bar. The majority thus correctly held, "the date on the outer absentee and mail-in ballot envelopes is not used to determine the timeliness of a ballot, a voter's qualifications/eligibility to vote, or fraud. It is therefore apparent that the dating provisions are virtually meaningless and, thus, serve no compelling government interest." Op.76. Indeed, Appellants *nowhere* dispute that the dating provision would fail strict scrutiny.

Appellants' rhetoric about the majority's view—that it "would imperil every 'reasonable, non-discriminatory restriction[]' the General Assembly has enacted 'to ensure honest and fair elections' in Pennsylvania," Br. 41—is alarmist and overblown. The dating provision has nothing to do with "ensur[ing] honest and fair

¹⁹ The dissent's refrain that legislative enactments enjoy a presumption of constitutionality misses the point. The presumption of constitutionality gives way to a strict scrutiny analysis where, as here, a fundamental right is at stake. *See Berg* 712 A.2d at 342; *see also LWV*, 178 A.3d at 803 ("Although plenary, ...legislative power is subject to restrictions enumerated in the Constitution"; "the people have delegated general power to the General Assembly, with the express exception of certain fundamental rights reserved to the people in Article I").

elections," and the majority's opinion is concerned only with one meaningless restriction that serves no purpose other than mass disenfranchisement.

4. Enforcement of the Irrelevant Date Provision Cannot Survive Even Lesser Constitutional Scrutiny.

A mandatory date requirement cannot survive even a lower level of scrutiny because it serves no purpose. Even under federal law, burdens on the right to vote "*[h]owever slight* that burden may appear...must be justified by relevant and legitimate state interests 'sufficiently weighty to justify the limitation.'" *Crawford*, 553 U.S. at 191 (emphasis added). Indeed, Appellants conceded below that the date provision is in fact a "burden" on the right to vote that must serve the "State's important regulatory interests" to survive. GOP Br. 52. It does not.

The majority correctly held: "as has been determined in prior litigation involving the dating provisions, the date on the outer absentee and mail-in ballot envelopes is not used to determine the timeliness of a ballot, a voter's qualifications/eligibility to vote, or fraud. It is therefore apparent that the dating provisions are virtually meaningless and, thus, serve no compelling government interest." Op. 76.

Appellants wrongly claim that a majority of this Court has "recognized" that the dating provision serves an "unquestionable purpose." Br. 45 (citing *In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1090 (Dougherty, J.)). But the OAJC in *In re 2020* stated the opposite: "a signed but undated declaration is sufficient and *does not implicate any weighty* *interest*." 241 A.3d at 1078 (emphasis added). A *minority* of the Court viewed the dating provision as serving such interests.

Moreover, In re 2020 had no record. The case was filed and quickly decided immediately after Election Day in 2020-the first election with expanded mail voting. Consequently, the Court decided the issues in a vacuum, based only on the political campaigns' *theories* about how the date *might* be used. Since then, however, we have history in the form of multiple elections and subsequent decisions, including a comprehensive discovery process-involving the Secretary, all 67 counties and Appellants in this case. That discovery produced a record disproving all the hypothetical "weighty interests." See also NAACP II, 97 F.4th at 125 (agreeing that the date provision "serves little apparent purpose"); NAACP I, 703 F. Supp. 3d at 678 (agreeing after a review of the full record that the voter-written date on the outer return envelope is "wholly irrelevant"); Op. 76 ("[C]ounsel for the Secretary confirmed that none of the county boards of elections use the handwritten date for any purpose, and he further relayed that the only reason the date is included on absentee and mail-in ballot envelope declarations is because such requirement is in the Election Code.").

While failing to address, much less refute, the record and admissions generated since this Court decided *PDP* and *Ball*, Appellants again repackage three theoretical purposes served by the date provision. None survive any level of scrutiny.

First, Appellants fail to cite a single example to support their claim that the date provision serves as a "useful backstop" for determining whether a ballot is timely. Br. 45. Nor do they refute the majority or the Third Circuit's conclusion that the handwritten date is not "used to determine the ballot's timeliness because a ballot is timely if received before 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, and counties' timestamping and scanning procedures serve to verify that." *NAACP II*, 97 F.4th at 129. Appellants' pure conjecture—that the handwritten date *might* be used to determine timeliness, *if* there were *both* a failure to timestamp *and* a failure of the SURE scanning procedure, is far too speculative to qualify as an "important regulatory interest." *See* Op. 76-78.²⁰

Second, Appellants cite no authority, from Pennsylvania or anywhere else, for the claim that the date provision serves some supposed interest in "solemnity." Br. 45-46. This is not even a legitimate government interest that might theoretically justify a voting restriction. *See In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1089, n.54 (Wecht, J.) ("It is inconsistent with protecting the right to vote to insert more impediments to its exercise than considerations of fraud, election security, and voter qualifications require."). None of Appellants' cited cases involves requirements to date or sign

²⁰ *Cf. In re 2020 Canvass*, 241 A.3d at 1086 n.40 ("The date stamp and the SURE system provide a clear and objective indicator of timeliness, making any handwritten date unnecessary and, indeed, superfluous.").

documents.²¹ And whatever purported interest might exist in "solemnity" is accounted for by the other requirements for successfully submitting a mail-in ballot—including that the voter submit an application, have their identification verified, and that they sign a declaration stating, "I am qualified to vote the enclosed ballot and I have not already voted in this election."²² See 25 P.S. §§ 3146.4, 3146.6, 3150.14, 3150.16. The voters who were disenfranchised here did all of that. Appellants offer no reason to think that, after completing all these steps, a voter's failing to handwrite a date on the envelope (or for that matter, making a minor mistake in handwriting the date, as thousands have done) shows that those voters did

²¹ The cases Appellants cite for their "solemnity" point are strikingly off-topic. *Minnesota Voters All. v. Manseky* is a case about a Minnesota law banning voters from wearing political buttons inside polling places and does not mention signatures, dates, or even any variation of the root word "solemn." 585 U.S. 1 (2018). *Davis v. G N Mortg. Corp.*, is a parol evidence rule decision in a case involving mortgage prepayment penalties, which addresses the value of "legal formalities" generally and again does not mention signature and date requirements. 244 F. Supp. 2d 950 (N.D. Ill. 2003). *Thomas A. Armburuster, Inc. v. Barron* is a statute of frauds case involving a corporate shareholder's alleged oral guarantee of the corporation's debt, which addressed the requirement that a guarantee be in writing, not the purpose of any sign-and-date requirements. 491 A.2d 882 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1985). *Thatcher's Drug Store v. Consol. Supermarkets* is another case about the validity of an oral agreement, which did not mention sign-and-date requirements. 636 A.2d 156 (Pa. 1994). *Vote.org v. Callanen*, the *only* case cited by Appellants to mention the concept of "solemnity," is a federal Materiality Provision case that ruled on the materiality of a wet signature requirement but did not mention a handwritten date requirement. 89 F.4th 459 (5th Cir. 2023).

²² Indeed, a missing or incorrect date commonly does *not* deprive a document of its legal effect. For example, with respect to declarations signed under penalty of perjury in accordance with federal law (28 U.S.C. 1746), "the absence of a date does not render the declaration invalid if extrinsic evidence could demonstrate the period when the document was signed." *Peters v. Lincoln Elec. Co.*, 285 F.3d 456, 475–76 (6th Cir. 2002). Here, the "period when the [envelope] was signed" is known and undisputed, because mail-in ballots were sent to voters on a date certain and are not accepted by county boards after 8:00 p.m. on Election Day.

not "contemplate their choices" and "reach considered decisions about their government and laws." Br. 45-46.

Third, Appellants invoke the repeatedly debunked talking point that the date provision helps detect voter fraud because, in a single instance in the 2022 primary, a ballot was submitted with a date twelve days after the voter had died, and the fraudster was convicted. Br. 46-47. But as the undisputed record in *NAACP* shows, the Lancaster County Board of Elections had learned of the death of the voter and had *already removed* her from the rolls long before it received the ballot, and accordingly would not have counted the ballot regardless of the handwritten date on it. *See NAACP I*, 703 F. Supp. at 679 n.39 ("[T]he county board's own Rule 30(b)(6) designee testified that the fraudulent ballot was first detected by way of the SURE system and Department of Health records, rather than by using the date on the return envelope.").²³ This is consistent with this Court's determination that the date

²³ Appellants have repeatedly misrepresented the Lancaster County example by characterizing the date written on the envelope as the "only evidence" of fraud "on the face of the fraudulent ballot." Br. 47. *See also* Op. 36, n.33. They should not be permitted to relitigate this point, which has been squarely rejected based on the Lancaster Board's admissions. *NAACP I*, 703 F. Supp. at 679, n.39. It is undisputed that the Lancaster Board learned of the voter's death weeks earlier and removed her from the voter rolls even before receiving a ballot in her name. A.507-509 (Miller Tr.), 87:18-94:15. The receipt of a ballot so long after the voter's death was itself evidence of fraud, as was her daughter's admission to law enforcement that she completed the ballot and signed her mother's name after her death. Police Criminal Complaint, A395. In any event, to the extent the government has an interest in preventing election fraud, the fraud is prevented in the case of deceased voters by reliance on SURE data and Department of Health records, without the need to reference a handwritten envelope date. A510-A511, 100:25-102:18.

provision is not used to determine whether a ballot was "fraudulently back-dated." *In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1077 (no danger of fraudulent backdating because ballots received after 8:00 p.m. on Election Day are not counted).²⁴

In sum, the lack of any *bona fide* government interest served by the date provision, Op. 77, means enforcement of the date provision to disenfranchise cannot satisfy intermediate, or even rational basis, scrutiny. *Cf. Morrison Informatics, Inc. v. Members 1st Fed. Credit Union*, 139 A.3d 1241, 1252 n.6 (Pa. 2016) (Wecht, J., concurring) ("Where stops the reason, there stops the rule.").

5. Appellants' Reliance on Law Extrinsic to the Pennsylvania Constitution Is Misplaced.

In searching for authority to support their arguments, Appellants ultimately leave Pennsylvania behind. They urge the Court to adopt their proposed new limits on the Free and Equal Elections Clause based on inapposite federal cases, or cases from other states. Br. 48-54. That cannot be right.

The federal cases Appellants cite (Br .50-54) are entirely irrelevant to this Court's analysis under the Pennsylvania Constitution. This Court has expressly held that the Free and Equal Elections Clause, with its special purpose and unique history,

 $^{^{24}}$ Appellants also assert that "States do not need to point to evidence of election fraud within their borders in order to adopt rules designed to deter and detect it." Br.47. The problem with this argument is there is zero evidence the date requirement was "designed to deter and detect" fraud in the first place – and a wealth of evidence in the record showing that the date requirement does not serve this purpose in any event.

requires "a separate analysis" from any federal constitutional claims. *See LWV*, 178 A.3d at 812. And in any event, even federal case law would not support the constitutionality of completely meaningless restrictions on voting. As the U.S. Supreme Court held in *Crawford v. Marion County Election Board* (a case cited nine times in Appellants' brief): "*However slight* that burden may appear...*it must be justified* by relevant and legitimate state interests 'sufficiently weighty to justify the limitation.'" 553 U.S. 181, 191 (2008) (emphasis added).²⁵

And Appellants' reliance on constitutional decisions from other states, (Br. 48-50), are irrelevant to the protections afforded by Pennsylvania's Constitution. They cite no case that has rejected a claim that a similarly pointless restriction on mail-in ballots violates the other states' respective Free and Equal Elections Clause. Instead, they announce that they "are aware of *zero* cases applying any other State's 'free and equal election' clause to invalidate a neutral ballot-casting rule." Br. 50 (emphasis in original).

²⁵ The other federal cases cited by Appellants do not bolster the suggestion that "minor" voting regulations escape any level of review. In *McDonald v. Board of Election Commissioners* for example, the Court reviewed the bases for a state's decision to deny the ability to vote by absentee ballot to "judicially incapacitated" individuals awaiting trial and concluded the policy was "reasonable." 394 U.S. 802, 809 (1969). The Court did not stop at the determination that this restriction did not "absolutely prohibit[]" voters "from exercising the franchise." *Id.*, 809. Similarly, in *Timmons v. Twin Cities Area New Party*, the Court applied a "less exacting review" (not no review) of the reasons underlying a restriction on voting that it deemed to be less "severe," but still required the state in that case to demonstrate an "important regulatory interest" to support the "lesser burdens." 520 U.S. 351, 358 (1997).

But such cases certainly exist. For instance, the Kentucky Supreme Court held that, although a statute required each write-in voter to write the "name of his choice" on the ballot, the Kentucky Constitution required counting votes from 148 voters who wrote the candidate's initials instead. *McIntosh v. Helton*, 828 S.W.2d 364, 365–67 (Ky. 1992).²⁶ Similar examples can be found in rulings from Missouri and Delaware.²⁷

In any event, though they may have pale imitations of our Clause, other states do not share "[o]ur Commonwealth's centuries-old and unique history [that] has influenced the evolution of the text of the Free and Equal Elections Clause, as well as [this] Court's interpretation of that provision." *LWV*, 178 A.3d at 804. What matters here is the right to vote as guaranteed by this Commonwealth's singular charter and this Court's cases safeguarding that right from any and all unjustified burdens.

²⁶ Appellants discount *McIntosh* as "applying" rather than "invalidating" a rule. Br. 50 n.3. That distinction is nonsensical. *McIntosh* held that the Clause required counting voter submissions that did not strictly comply with a statute, just as Appellees here seek.

²⁷ See, e.g., Weinschenk v. State, 203 S.W.3d 201, 211 (Mo. 2006) (invalidating a voter ID law under a state constitutional provision guaranteeing "[t]hat all elections shall be free and open"); *Young v. Red Clay Consolidated School*, 159 A.3d 713, 799 (Del. Ch. 2017) (holding that family-focused events at polling places violated the Free and Equal Elections Clause because the events created congested parking lots and impeded elderly voters from reaching the polls). Appellants urge that *Weinschenk* is distinguishable because it applied to in-person voting and impacted "hundreds of thousands of people," Br. 50, n.3, but the Clause's application is not limited to inperson voting, nor does it require six-figure disenfranchisement to spring into action. Appellants say *Young* is not "apt," *id.*, but like the instant case it involved "inhibit[ing] voting," including by "the elderly." 159 A.3d at 766.

B. The Date Provision Should Be Reinterpreted Under the Canon of Constitutional Avoidance.

Alternatively, this Court may affirm the relief granted below on statutoryinterpretation grounds. In particular, this case presents compelling reasons for the Court to re-interpret the date provision as "directory," which would avoid the constitutional violation of disenfranchising thousands of Pennsylvanians for a trivial mistake. In *Ball*, the Court applied a bottom-line conclusion pieced together from the multiple *In re 2020* opinions—that, as a matter of statutory interpretation, the date provision should be construed as mandatory. 289 A.3d at 21-22 (citing *In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1086-87 (Wecht, J.) & 1090-91 (Dougherty, J.)). The Court should now revisit that conclusion for three reasons.

First, as shown herein and confirmed by the majority, mandatory application of the date provision to disqualify timely ballots unavoidably leads to mass disenfranchisement in violation of the Free and Equal Elections Clause. The constitutional claim was not raised or addressed in *Ball* or *In re 2020*. Revisiting the statutory interpretation in light of the constitutional violation established here aligns with the Court's overarching mandate to interpret provisions of the Election Code "in order to favor the right to vote," so as "to enfranchise and not to disenfranchise." *Wieskerger*, 290 A.2d at 109 (citing *Appeal of James*, 105 A.2d 64 (Pa. 1954)); *see also Ball*, 289 A.3d at 27 n.156 (citing Pa. Const. art. I, § 5 and *PDP*, 238 A.3d at 361) ("[F]ailure to comply with the date requirement would not compel the

discarding of votes in light of the Free and Equal Elections Clause, and our attendant jurisprudence that ambiguities are resolved in a way that will enfranchise, rather than disenfranchise").

Second, the theoretical "weighty interests" credited by several Justices in *In re 2020* have not been borne out by the facts. *See In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1090-91 (Dougherty, J.)); *see also Ball*, 289 A.3d at 21-22. Three Justices concluded in *In re 2020* that the "shall...date" language in the Election Code is mandatory rather than merely directory based on the existence of supposed "weighty interests" like the need to prevent the "backdating" of envelopes. 241 A.3d at 1090-91. These posited weighty interests were necessary to those Justices' conclusion under the applicable legal framework, which then became the basis of the *Ball* ruling. *Ball*, 289 A.3d at 21-22 (citing *In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1086-87 (Wecht, J.) & 1090-91 (Dougherty, J.)).

Justice Dougherty (joined by then-Chief Justice Saylor and Justice Mundy) reasoned that the Code's "shall...date" language is mandatory *because it is supported by so-called "weighty interests*." *In re 2020*, 241 A.3d at 1090-91 (emphasis added). In so doing, these three Justices engaged with the same analysis as the OAJC and every previous case considering rejection of ballots for "minor irregularities." *Compare Weiskerger*, 290 A.2d at 109 ("shall" language in the Code requiring use of "blue, black or blue-black ink" to mark ballots was "merely

directory" absent sufficiently weighty interests), and Appeal of Norwood, 116 A.2d 552, 555 (Pa. 1955) (ballot with a stray check mark must be counted despite Code provision that ballots "marked by any other mark than an (X)...shall be void"; voters "are not to be disenfranchised" based on such "minor irregularities...except for compelling reasons"), with Pierce, 843 A.3d at 1231-32 (Code provision requiring in-person ballot delivery was mandatory because it was "supported by a weighty interest"). But as discussed above, *supra*, 6-8, subsequent litigation has shown that concerns about "backdating" envelopes and other interests cited in *In re 2020* are inconsistent with the counties' purposes and practices. *Supra*, 8-11. Simply put: The premise for the statutory interpretation from *In re 2020* (which was subsequently accepted as settled in *Ball*) no longer holds.

Third, Appellees' proposed interpretation is consistent with the overall structure of the Election Code. The Code's canvassing provision, 25 P.S. § 3146.8, requires county boards to review voter declarations on mail and absentee ballot return envelopes for sufficiency. 25 P.S. § 3146.8(g)(3). Such ballots must be canvassed and counted once the board confirms that the declaration is signed by the appropriate person who is entitled to vote and "is satisfied that the declaration is sufficient." *Id.* This provision contains no reference to the voter-written date in connection with the sufficiency determination.

Consistent with the canon of constitutional avoidance,²⁸ and in order to avoid the persistent violation of the Free and Equal Elections Clause, the Court should hold as a matter of statutory construction that the date provision is merely directory, and not mandatory, such that an undated or misdated declaration duly signed by the relevant eligible voter may still be deemed "sufficient" at the canvassing stage pursuant to § 3146.8(g)(3).

C. Appellants' Procedural Arguments Fail.

Appellants raised a plethora of procedural arguments below, and the majority below properly rejected each of them. None of those arguments provides a basis to undo the majority's reasoned decision on the merits.

1. The Commonwealth Court and This Court Have Subject-Matter Jurisdiction.

The majority correctly concluded that it had subject matter jurisdiction and that the Secretary is an indispensable party to this litigation. Op. 48. And it correctly rejected Appellants' assertions that the Secretary's issuance of guidance is the sole basis for jurisdiction in this case. It is not.

Appellees did not simply challenge the substance of the Secretary's guidance, but rather the enforcement of a statutory requirement that the Secretary is

²⁸ See, e.g., Hartford Accident & Indem. Co. v. Ins. Comm'r of Commonwealth, 482 A.2d 542, 549 (Pa. 1984).

legislatively charged with implementing.²⁹ See Op. 47 (noting Appellees named the Secretary "based on his duties under the Election Code with respect to…**the form of absentee and mail-in ballots <u>and</u> the form of the ballots' declarations") (emphasis in original).**

The Secretary is an indispensable party because the Secretary implements the mandatory statutory provision whose enforcement is challenged as unconstitutional—sections 1306 and 1306-D of the Election Code—by prescribing the form of the declaration and uniform instructions. 25 P.S. §§ 3146.6, 3150.16. Under those, it is incumbent on the Secretary to prescribe forms that contain a date field just as much as it is incumbent upon the counties to ensure, under current law, that the voter fills out the date field.

Consistent with these statutory duties, the Secretary's recent July 1, 2024 Mail Ballot Directive relies on Sections 201, 1304, and 1304-D of the Election Code, 25 P.S. §§ 2621, 3146.4, 3150.14 as authority for its issuance. Because the Mail Ballot Directive was issued pursuant to the Secretary's statutory authority, it is not mere "guidance" and counties *must* follow it. Among other things, this Directive includes a new requirement that counties are not free to ignore: The form of the outer

²⁹ The majority referenced the Secretary's guidance to provide context regarding the enforcement of the date provision. Op. 47. The importance of the majority's discussion of the guidance is 1) the Secretary continues to instruct counties not to count ballots with missing or incorrect dates; and 2) counties are applying the Secretary's instruction and enforcing the date provision inconsistently.

declaration envelope that contains the disputed date field must be edited by the counties to include the current year pre-filled. A154-A155. None other than the Secretary may decide the text, content, shape, size or form of the declaration envelope. The counties' implementation role is on the "back end." They make sure that the voters comply by inserting the date.

Further, the outcome of this case impacts the Secretary's duties to receive from county boards the returns of primaries and elections, to canvass and compute the votes cast for candidates, to proclaim the results of such primaries and elections, and to issue certificates of election to successful candidates. Op. 47. (citing 25 P.S. § 2621(f)). Enforcement of the date provision directly bears on whether the Secretary's performance of such certification duties complies with law. Both the Secretary and the boards named in this suit are responsible for carrying out—in different ways—the unconstitutional enforcement of the envelope-dating requirement, and therefore are proper parties in this constitutional challenge.

Appellants' contrary arguments fail. *First*, they argue that jurisdiction is foreclosed by the holding in *RNC II*, No. 447 MD 2022 (Pa. Cmwlth. Mar. 23, 2023), which involved county boards' adoption of "notice and cure" programs. Br. 12-13. The Commonwealth Court's unpublished opinion in *RNC II* is obviously distinguishable because the Election Code is *completely silent* on whether and to what extent county boards must adopt "notice and cure" program and equally silent about the Secretary's role around such a program. Interpreting its own prior case, the majority correctly held that, unlike this lawsuit, the Secretary had no legislatively mandated duty with respect to the "notice and cure" issues before it in *RNC II. Id.* Instead, petitioners in *RNC II* (represented by the same lawyers as Appellants) attempted to conjure jurisdiction based exclusively on the Secretary's general responsibilities. Fundamentally unlike this case, petitioners in *RNC II* did not "make any clams implicating the limited duties and responsibilities of the Acting Secretary under the Election Code," Op. 46.

Second, Appellants focus on the remedy that the majority ordered prohibiting enforcement of the date provision and contend that it has no effect on the Secretary's statutory duties. Br. 16. Appellants' focus on the relief ordered is misplaced because subject matter jurisdiction depends on the grant of statutory authority to the Secretary regarding the challenged provision and his duties to certify the election results.³⁰ The Secretary must ensure that he does not certify election results where

³⁰ Appellants abandoned their organizational standing arguments below after they and the Democratic Party intervenors were permitted to participate in the case as parties. *Cf. Albert v. 2001 Legislative Reapportionment Comm'n*, 790 A.2d 989, 995 n.6 (Pa. 2002) (where some petitioners established standing, "the claims raised in the[ir] petitions are properly before the Court," despite other co-petitioners' standing issues). Appellants now hint that the organizational plaintiffs here are not sufficiently "aggrieved" by the Secretary's challenged conduct because "his actions bear no 'causal connection' to their alleged harm from enforcement of the requirement." Br. 15. If it mattered, the Commonwealth Court correctly held that the organizational plaintiffs all have standing, because the continued implementation of the envelope-date requirement by both the Secretary and the county boards is causing them concrete harms. Op. 59-62. The majority examined the extensive, unchallenged declarations from each organization establishing its

counties unconstitutionally exclude certain mail ballots. And the outcome of this lawsuit will also inform the Secretary's future performance of his statutory authority.

None of Appellants' cases require a different result.³¹ Pennsylvania courts have consistently held that the heads of administrative agencies responsible for implementing a statute and defending it against constitutional challenges are necessary parties in a suit challenging the constitutionality of a statute. *See, e.g., Phantom Fireworks Showrooms, LLC v. Wolf*, 198 A.3d 1205, 1217 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2018) (Secretaries of Revenue and Agriculture were proper parties in constitutional challenge to statute); *cf. Allegheny Reprod. Health*, 309 A.3d at 848 (DHS was appropriate necessary party because constitutional challenge to Abortion Control Act implicated its "administrative functions"); *Allegheny Sportsmen's League v. Ridge,* 790 A.2d 350, 355 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2002) (State Police Commissioner, as government official charged with ultimate responsibility of enforcing and

[&]quot;substantial, direct, and immediate interest in the outcome of the litigation," *Allegheny Reproductive Health*, 309 A.3d at 832, including the diversion of resources as a result of the envelope-date requirement's continued enforcement. A443-A484 (Petitioner Declarations).

³¹ For example, in *Foreman v. Chester-Upland School District*, 941 A.2d 108, 113 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2008), the Department of Education was not an indispensable party because the suit was brought against a local school district and the department's statutory authority was not at issue. And in *Pennsylvania State Education Association v. Department of Community and Economic Development*, 50 A.3d 1263, 1277 (Pa. 2012), the Office of Open Records *was* an indispensable party in a suit challenging the constitutionality of OOR's statutory adjudicatory process. *Scherbick v. Community College of Allegheny County*, 387 A.2d 1301, 1303 (Pa. 1978) is inapposite because it did not involve constitutional challenges to enforcement of a statute at all.

administering provisions of the Firearms Act, was proper party). Consistent with this authority, the Secretary, as the chief election official in Pennsylvania, has been a proper party in every other action presenting issues of statewide practice under the Election Code—including in *Ball*, where *Appellants named the Secretary* in their suit regarding the envelope-date provision.³²

In sum, the Secretary has specific "duties and responsibilities" to enforce the date provision in an unconstitutional manner. The majority's determinations that the Secretary is a proper and indispensable party, and accordingly that the court had subject matter jurisdiction, must be affirmed. Alternatively, if this Court has any remaining doubts as to the original subject matter jurisdiction of the Commonwealth Court in this case, this Court can, and should, reach the merits of this dispute by exercising its own extraordinary jurisdiction pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S. § 726, because this case presents an issue of immediate public importance.

³² See e.g. Banfield v. Cortes, 922 A.2d 36, 44 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2007) (Secretary was indispensable party due to duties outlined in Election Code), *aff'd*, 631 Pa. 229 (2015); *Applewhite v. Commonwealth*, 617 Pa. 563, 567 (2012) (Secretary was indispensable party when statutory provision was challenged under the Free and Equal Election Clause); *McLinko v. Commonwealth*, 270 A.3d 1243, 1266 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2022) (Secretary was indispensable party due to duties of certifying and administering elections in constitutional challenges regarding legality of mail-in ballots), *rev'd on other grounds McLinko v. Dept. of State*, 279 A.3d 539 (Pa. 2022); *Bonner v. Chapman*, 298 A.3d 153, 159 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2023) (Secretary was indispensable party due to Secretary's duty to implement provisions of Election Code).

2. Non-party County Boards of Elections Are Not Indispensable.

Appellants do not dispute that the County Respondents are proper defendants. They claim, however, that the case should be dismissed for failure to name all 67 county boards as indispensable parties. Br. 21-24. That is wrong.

As an initial matter, Appellants overstate the extent to which non-party county boards may be interested in the outcome of this litigation. In this case, none of the other 65 boards have sought to intervene, which "militates against finding that any of those county boards are indispensable to this case." Op. 53. And with all 67 boards named in the *NAACP* litigation, the vast majority either signed stipulations agreeing not to contest the requested relief or did not substantively respond to the litigation. *NAACP I*, W.D. Pa. No. 1:22-cv-00339, ECF Nos. 157 (Order approving stipulation with 33 boards), 192 (Order approving stipulation with 8 additional boards), 243 (stipulation with 22 additional county boards); 445 (stipulation with Westmoreland County Board).

In any event, none of the 65 non-party boards is so indispensable that their non-joinder might support reversal. In determining whether an absent party is indispensable, Pennsylvania courts focus on the relief sought and whether the absent party's "rights are so connected with the claims of the litigants" that the relief requested could not be granted "without impairing those rights." *Polydyne, Inc. v. City of Phila.*, 795 A.2d 495, 496 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2002) (quotation marks and citation

omitted). Here, the 65 unnamed Pennsylvania counties are not indispensable to either of the forms of relief sought. *See* Op. 52.

As to Appellees' request for injunctive relief, Appellees obtained an order enjoining the boards in the Pennsylvania counties with the most impacted voters from continuing to enforce the date provision to disenfranchise voters. No other board is indispensable to adjudicating this request for relief.

Appellees also obtained a declaration on the generally applicable question of what the Free and Equal Elections Clause requires. This Court's decision reviewing *that* determination will be statewide precedent. And this practical reality resolves the argument about supposedly "varying standards" from county to county, Dissent 7; Br. 5, 20 (citing *Bush v. Gore*, 531 U.S. 98, 106-07 (2000)).³³ Should this Court affirm the declaration as a matter of law, its holding would have precedential force for all county boards (and would provide clarity for all counties and all voters as to

³³ Bush v. Gore is inapposite. There, the Court held that "the absence of specific standards" in the "recount mechanisms implemented in response to the decisions of the Florida Supreme Court" failed to "satisfy the minimum requirement for nonarbitrary treatment of voters" under the Equal Protection Clause. 531 U.S. 98, 105-106 (2000). Here, the majority's decision does lack for specific standards that would lead to arbitrary treatment; it declares that disenfranchising voters for a meaningless paperwork error violates the Pennsylvania Constitution. Implementing that decision is straightforward: count timely mail ballots notwithstanding the trivial mistake. Indeed, the invocation of *Bush v. Gore* is especially misguided because thousands of voters are *currently* being subjected to arbitrary treatment due to inconsistent enforcement of the envelope-dating rule announced in *Ball. See infra*, 12-13. To advance the "nonarbitrary treatment of voters," 531 U.S. at 105-106, this Court should affirm.

what the law requires. That would not impair any non-party's "rights," because they have no right to deviate from what the Constitution requires.

The basic fact that boards are generally required to follow this Court's decisions on questions of constitutional law does not make them indispensable parties to any particular suit. If the Declaratory Judgments Act required joinder of all persons affected by a challenge to legislation, "the valuable remedy of declaratory judgment would be rendered impractical and indeed often worthless for determining the validity" of state actions that commonly affect the interests of large numbers of people. *City of Phila. v. Commonwealth*, 838 A.2d 566, 582–83 (Pa. 2003). And future voting litigation would unnecessarily be choked with burdensomely large numbers of parties. That is not the law.

3. The Relief Granted Below Does Not Implicate the Federal Elections Clause.

Appellants suggest (Br.54-55) that the U.S. Constitution prohibits Pennsylvania courts from exercising their basic judicial functions, including reviewing state action or the application of state law for compliance with the provisions of the state constitution. The U.S. Supreme Court reached *exactly the opposite conclusion* in *Moore v. Harper*, 600 U.S. 1 (2023).

There, the Court firmly "rejected the contention that the Elections Clause vests state legislatures with exclusive and independent authority when setting the rules governing federal elections." *Id.*, 26. This Court rejected the same Elections Clause argument in *LWV*,178 A.3d at 811.

Moore expressly held that "state legislatures remain bound by state constitutional restraints" when they make the rules that apply in federal elections, 600 U.S. at 32, reaffirming that "[s]tate courts retain the authority to apply state constitutional restraints" via the power of judicial review accorded to them by their state constitutions, *id.*, 37; *see also id.*, 38 (Kavanaugh, J., concurring) ("[S]tate laws governing federal elections are subject to ordinary state court review, including for compliance with the relevant state constitution.").

This is not the highly exceptional case where a state court has acted so far outside its normal ambit as to "transgress the ordinary bounds of judicial review" in a manner that implicates the federal Elections Clause. *Moore*, 600 U.S. at 36. Here, the majority applied the Pennsylvania Constitution consistent with decades of prior cases reviewing state election rules and practices, including ones that affect federal elections, for compliance with the Free and Equal Elections Clause. *Supra*, 17-23; *see also, e.g.*, *PDP*, 238 A.3d at 371–72; *Page*, 58 Pa. at 364–65; *Mixon*, 759 A.2d at 452; *Applewhite II*, 2014 WL 184988, at *62-64.

Indeed, this is an *easier* case than *Moore*, which involved the North Carolina Supreme Court's rejection of a congressional districting plan on the grounds that partisan gerrymandering was inconsistent with principles of state constitutional law, including North Carolina's version of a Free and Equal Elections Clause. 600 U.S. at 7–14. Even in that context—where the state court essentially fashioned a new right of action against partisan gerrymandering based on broad principles of state constitutional law—the Supreme Court had no trouble confirming that state courts may exercise judicial review to ensure that the enactments of the state legislature comport with the state constitution.

Here, unlike in *Moore*, no legislative body is even a party in this case, and the RNC has no standing to assert whatever rights might be granted to the General Assembly by the U.S. Constitution. And even if the issue were properly presented, this case fits easily within the capacious "ordinary bounds of judicial review" standard. Enforcement of the Free and Equal Clause is part of the Pennsylvania courts' ancient and inalienable role in safeguarding the fundamental rights independently guaranteed by the Pennsylvania Constitution through judicial review. *See LWV*, 178 A.3d at 812. Appellees seek no more and no less in this case.

4. The Relief Appellees Seek Does Not Require Invalidation of any Part of Act 77, Much Less Its Entirety.

The Commonwealth Court correctly held that the relief sought here does not implicate Act 77's nonseverability provision and, contrary to the ominous claims by the dissent and Appellants, would not require striking "no-excuse" mail voting in Pennsylvania "on the eve of the 2024 general election." Br. 57-58; Dissent 51. Appellees sought an order directing the Secretary and boards to cease treating the date provision as a reason to disenfranchise thousands of voters and a declaration that it is unconstitutional to reject timely mail ballots based on that basis. Such rulings do not invalidate "any provision of [the] act or its application" triggering the Act's nonseverability provisions, as Appellants argue, Br. 56, 58.

For starters, Appellees do not ask this Court to re-write, amend, or strike any provision of Act 77. In other words, the Court need not invalidate or excise "shall...date" from section 3146.6 to grant the relief sought. Nor do Appellees seek an order barring voters from being directed to date mail ballot declaration forms, or continuing to include a date field next to the signature line. Appellees seek a ruling that enforcement of the date provision cannot, consistent with the Free and Equal Elections Clause, result in rejecting timely mail ballots. That does not invalidate any provision or application of Act 77, let alone all of it, particularly given that the provision addressing the sufficiency of the voter declaration on the return envelope—section 3146.8(g)—predates Act 77. *Cf. Bonner v. Chapman*, 298 A.3d 153, 168-69 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2023) (*en banc*) (finding that Act 77 nonseverability clause was not implicated by prior successful challenges to the dating requirement).

Moreover, even a holding that the date provision or its application is invalid would not require the Court to invalidate all of Act 77. Pennsylvania courts regularly deem it appropriate to sever provisions in statutes containing similar nonseverability clauses, because "it is not for the legislature to 'dictate the effect of a judicial finding that a provision in an act is 'invalid," *PDP*, 238 A.3d at 397 n.4 (Donohue, J., concurring and dissenting) (citations and quotations marks omitted). It is the province of the courts to determine constitutionality, and to fashion legal and equitable relief. *See generally Stilp v. Commonwealth*, 905 A.2d 918, 970-981 (Pa. 2006) (declining to enforce identical nonseverability provision and noting significant "separation of powers concerns").

In Stilp, the Court confronted a "boilerplate" nonseverability provision identical to the one in Act 77. 905 A.2d at 973. The Court ultimately severed the provision of the legislation at issue that "plainly and palpably violated...the Pennsylvania Constitution" from "the otherwise-constitutionally valid remainder of [the legislation]." Id., 980-81. As Stilp observed, the Court "has never deemed nonseverability clauses to be controlling in all circumstances." Id., 980. Indeed, the Court had previously severed a statutory provision that contained a nonseverability clause in Pennsylvania Federation of Teachers v. School District of Philadelphia, 484 A.2d 751, 754 (Pa. 1984), which was significantly more specific than the one in Stilp and in Act 77; it "render[ed] sections 2, 3 and 4 of the [challenged] Act void '[i]n the event a court of competent jurisdiction rules finally that the salary deductions mandated in these sections are legally or constitutionally impermissible." Id. In holding that those deductions were indeed constitutionally impermissible, see id., 753, the Court nonetheless severed them from the broader

act, finding that a strict application of the nonseverability provision would not be sensible in light of the nature of the Court's specific constitutional holding. *Id.*, 754; *cf. Stilp*, 905 A.2d at 979-80 (a nonseverability clause that "'serve[s] an in terrorem function' or operates to 'guard against judicial review altogether by making the price of invalidation too great'...'intrude[s] upon the independence of the Judiciary and impair[s] the judicial function.").

Likewise, the application of Act 77's nonseverability provision is neither required nor sensible here. The undisputed facts are that the date provision serves no purpose, benefits nobody, and disenfranchises thousands. It is easily severed from the rest of Act 77. Accordingly, even an order striking the date provision from the text of Act 77–relief that, to be clear, Appellees *do not seek*–would not require the rest of Act 77 to be disturbed.

Nor is there any evidence to support Appellants' claim, repeated by the dissent, that the date provision "was a crucial compromise that led to Act 77's passage. Br. 56; Dissent 53-54. Their reliance on a two-person colloquy on the House floor discussing the severability clause of Act 77 (Br.57) does not prove that the dating provisions "were non-negotiable sections of Act 77 that were essential to those compromises and, accordingly, are not severable." Dissent 54.

The colloquy itself focuses on discrimination relating to the availability of braille ballots, not on enforcement of the date requirement to reject timely-returned

mail ballots. And cherry-picking an exchange between two members of the House is no way to interpret a statute because "remarks and understanding of individual legislators are not relevant in ascertaining the meaning of a statute." *McCormick v. Columbus Conveyer Co.*, 564 A.2d 907, 910 n.1 (Pa. 1989); *see also, e.g., In re Martin's Estate*, 74 A.2d 120, 122 (Pa. 1950) ("[I]n ascertaining the legislative meaning...what is said in debate is not relevant....").

To the contrary, invalidating the entire Act, as called for by the dissent and Appellants, would effectively override the General Assembly's intent to open noexcuse mail voting to all eligible Pennsylvania voters, simply because a single pointless provision in a single section of the Act has been enforced in an unconstitutional manner. Millions of Pennsylvania voters have come to rely on the mail-in voting option created by Act 77, and millions of dollars in public funds have been spent to facilitate this option in the years since its passage. Moreover, the dissent and Appellants would have this Court invalidate all of the other provisions of Act 77, including those that have nothing to do with voting by mail, such as provisions eliminating straight party ticket voting or providing 90 million dollars of financing for the purchase of new voting equipment (which has already been spent). Invalidating the entire Act would needlessly nullify "years of careful [legislative] consideration and debate...on the reform and modernization of elections in Pennsylvania." McLinko v. Commonwealth, 279 A.3d 539, 543 (Pa. 2022). Such an

outcome would be unreasonable if not absurd, and it should be presumed that "the General Assembly does not intend a result that is absurd[]...or unreasonable." 1 Pa.C.S. § 1922(1).

The relief ordered by the Commonwealth Court vindicates Act 77's larger aims to expand mail ballot voting to all and harmonizes that aim with the requirements of the Free and Equal Elections Clause. This Court should affirm.

CONCLUSION

The decision below should be affirmed.

Dated: September 4, 2024

John A. Freedman* James F. Speyer* David B. Bergman* ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP 601 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20001 (202) 942-5000 john.freedman@arnoldporter.com james.speyer@arnoldporter.com david.bergman@anroldporter.com

Ari J. Savitzky* Sophia Lin Lakin* AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor New York, NY 10004 Tel.: (212) 549-2500 asavitzky@aclu.org slakin@aclu.org

* Pro hac vice applications to be filed

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Stephen A. Loney

Stephen Loney (No. 202535) Witold J. Walczak (No. 62976) Marian K. Schneider (No. 50337) Kate I. Steiker-Ginzberg (No. 332236) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF PENNSYLVANIA P.O. Box 60173 Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 592-1513 sloney@aclupa.org vwalczak@aclupa.org mschneider@aclupa.org ksteiker-ginzberg@aclupa.org

Mary M. McKenzie (No. 47434) Benjamin Geffen (No. 310134) PUBLIC INTEREST LAW CENTER 1500 JFK Blvd., Suite 802 Philadelphia, PA 19102 (267) 546-1313 <u>mmckenzie@pubintlaw.org</u> bgeffen@pubintlaw.org

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Case Records Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

/s/ Stephen Loney

CERTIFICATION OF WORD COUNT

I hereby certify, pursuant to Pa.R.A.P. 2135 that this Memorandum does not exceed 14,000 words. I certify that this Memorandum contains 13,960 words, exclusive of the supplementary matter as defined by Pa.R.A.P. 2135(b).

/s/ Stephen Loney

APPENDIX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration of Ariel ShapellA400 – A404
Declaration of Otis KeasleyA405 – A406
Declaration of Joanne Sowell
Declaration of Eugene IvoryA412 – A413
Declaration of Bruce WileyA414 – A415
Declaration of Stephen Arbour
Declaration of Kenneth Hickman
Declaration of Janet Novick
Declaration of Joseph Sommar
Declaration of Phyllis SpragueA431 – A433
Declaration of Mary StoutA434 – A436
Declaration of Lorine Walker
DOS Email, April 19, 2024
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope Dates
-
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope DatesA442
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope DatesA442 Declaration of Tim Stevens, B-PEPA445
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope Dates
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope Dates
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope Dates
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope Dates
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope Dates
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope DatesA442Declaration of Tim Stevens, B-PEPA443 – A445Declaration of Dwayne Royster, POWER InterfaithA446 – A448Declaration of Diana Robinson, Make the Road PAA449 – A451Declaration of Steve Paul, One PA For AllA452 – A456Declaration of Kadida Kenner, NPPEFA457 – A462Declaration of Monica Ruiz, MSW, Casa San JoséA463 – A463 – A467Declaration of Alex Wallach Hanson, Pittsburgh UnitedA468 – A473
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope DatesA442Declaration of Tim Stevens, B-PEPA443 – A445Declaration of Dwayne Royster, POWER InterfaithA446 – A448Declaration of Diana Robinson, Make the Road PAA449 – A451Declaration of Steve Paul, One PA For AllA452 – A456Declaration of Kadida Kenner, NPPEFA457 – A462Declaration of Monica Ruiz, MSW, Casa San JoséA463 – A463 – A467Declaration of Alex Wallach Hanson, Pittsburgh UnitedA474 – A480
Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope Dates

DECLARATION OF ARIEL SHAPELL IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS' PETITION FOR REVIEW

1. I, Ariel Shapell, am an attorney at the American Civil Liberties Union of Pennsylvania ("ACLU-PA") and have a background in data analytics.

2. I received a B.S.B.A. with majors in mathematics and finance from Washington University in St. Louis in 2011 and a J.D. from the University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School in 2021.

3. In 2014 and 2015, I served as the Director of Business Intelligence at Beatport LLC, a digital music and entertainment company, where I was responsible for organizing, analyzing, and reporting the company's business data. In my role, I performed data analyses and visualizations and developed systems to extract, transform, and load data. I also supervised a team of three data scientists and analysts.

4. From 2015 until 2018, I served as the lead product manager at Postlight LLC, a technology consultancy. At Postlight LLC, I oversaw data analytics and digital product development projects for large entertainment, finance, and cultural institutions.

5. From 2019 through the present, I have worked as a volunteer, intern, and now legal fellow at the ACLU-PA. During my time with the ACLU-PA, I have conducted numerous analyses of large data sets for both litigation and advocacy.

A400

6. During my time with the ACLU-PA, I have conducted numerous analyses of large data sets for both litigation and advocacy.

7. I have been asked by the ACLU-PA, Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP, and the Public Interest Law Center (collectively, "Petitioners' Counsel" or "Counsel") to apply my training and expertise to assess the number of mail-ballots that were coded as canceled or pending because the voter neglected to write the date on the outer envelope or because the voter wrote a date that was deemed "incorrect."

8. I have been informed and understand that on August 21, 2023, ACLU-PA attorney Kate Steiker-Ginzberg received access from the Pennsylvania Department of State to the "Pennsylvania Statewide Mail-Ballot File," which contains point-in-time public information about each mail-ballot application and mail-ballot recorded in Pennsylvania's Statewide Uniform Registry of Electors ("SURE") system.

9. Attorney Steiker-Ginzberg made two versions of the Pennsylvania Statewide Mail-Ballot File available to me: (1) a version of the file generated on November 17, 2023 based on Department of State data from the SURE system corresponding to mail-ballots submitted in the November 2023 municipal election, under the file name VR_SWMailBallot_External 20231117.TXT; and (2) a version of the file generated on May 14, 2024 based on Department of State data from the SURE system corresponding to mail-ballots received in the April 2024 Pennsylvania

A401

presidential primary election, under the file name VR_SWMailBallot_External 20240514.TXT.

10. For the May 14, 2024 SURE file, I identified mail ballots that were coded as canceled or pending because the voter neglected to write the date on the outer envelope by selecting the rows in the files where the "Ballot status reason" field was set to "CANC - NO DATE" or "PEND – NO DATE." For the November 17, 2023 SURE file, I identified mail ballots that were coded as canceled because the voter neglected to write the date on the outer envelope by selecting the rows in the files where the "Ballot status reason" field was set to "CANC - NO DATE" field was set to "CANC - NO DATE" field was set to "CANC - NO DATE." For the November 17, 2023 SURE file, I identified mail ballots that were coded as canceled because the voter neglected to write the date on the outer envelope by selecting the rows in the files where the "Ballot status reason" field was set to "CANC - NO DATE." No "PEND – NO DATE" "Ballot status reason" values were present in the November 17, 2023 SURE file.

11. Similarly, for the May 14, 2024 SURE file, I identified mail ballots that were coded as canceled or pending because the voter wrote a date that was deemed "incorrect" by selecting the rows in the files where the "Ballot status reason" field was set to "CANC - INCORRECT DATE" or "PEND – INCORRECT DATE". For the November 17, 2023 SURE file, I identified mail ballots that were coded as canceled because the voter wrote a date that was deemed "incorrect" by selecting the rows in the files where the "Ballot status reason" field mail ballots that were coded as canceled because the voter wrote a date that was deemed "incorrect" by selecting the rows in the files where the "Ballot status reason" field was set to "CANC - INCORRECT DATE." No "PEND – INCORRECT DATE" "Ballot status reason" values were present in the November 17, 2023 SURE file.

- 12. Based on the methodology described above, I determined that:
 - a. As of November 17, 2023, **6,804 mail-ballots** submitted in the November 2023 municipal election had been coded in the SURE file as canceled because the voter neglected to write the date on the outer envelope or because the voter wrote a date that was deemed "incorrect." Of that total, 4,849 ballots were coded as canceled because the voter neglected to write the date on the outer envelope, and 1,955 were coded as canceled because the voter wrote a date that was deemed "incorrect."
 - b. As of May 14, 2024, 4,421 mail-ballots submitted in the April 2024 Pennsylvania presidential primary election had been coded in the SURE file as canceled or pending because the voter neglected to write the date on the outer envelope or because the voter wrote a date that was deemed "incorrect." Of that total, 1,216 ballots were coded as canceled or pending because the voter neglected to write the date on the outer envelope, and 3,205 were coded as canceled or pending because the voter wrote a date that was deemed the voter wrote a date that was deemed the voter envelope.

13. My conclusions, and the bases for my conclusion, are presented in this declaration. My work on these matters is ongoing, and I may make necessary

revisions or additions to the conclusions in this declaration should new information become available or to respond to any opinions and analyses proffered by Respondents. I am prepared to testify on the conclusions in this declaration, as well as to provide any additional relevant background. I reserve the right to prepare additional exhibits to support any testimony.

The statements made in this Declaration are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

And Might

Ariel Shapell

5/27/2024

DECLARATION OF OTIS KEASLEY

I, Otis Keasley, hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 73 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, located in Allegheny County. I have lived in Pittsburgh for nearly my entire adult life.

4. I am a veteran of the United States Marine Corps. It was my honor to serve in Vietnam 1969-1970.

5. I am a registered voter in Allegheny County. I have been a registered voter since I got out of the service.

6. I vote regularly. It is rare for me to miss a primary or general election. I try to vote in every single one.

7. Voting is important to because I truly believe in democracy. I believe in fair play and in the majority having its way.

8. As I have become older, I have been glad to have the opportunity to vote by mail. I usually vote by mail instead of voting at my polling place.

9. Ahead of the April 23, 2024 primary election, I applied for and received a mail ballot from Allegheny County.

10. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy envelope and the outer return envelope. I also signed the envelope. I thought I had done everything correctly.

A405

11. I returned my ballot by putting it in the mailbox, well in advance of April 23. I usually take it to the county office in person, but I was dealing with a family member's health situation and it was important for me to be around the house. I decided just to mail it instead of taking it personally to the county.

12. I did not learn until after the election that my ballot was rejected because I had written the incorrect date.

13. I am very upset that my ballot will not count. I mailed my ballot in on time, so I do not understand why a date on the envelope was a reason to reject it.

14. It bothers me when people say they are not planning to vote because "my vote doesn't count." Every qualified voter should participate in our democracy, and everyone's vote should be tabulated. If you do not vote, you are wasting your privilege of living in a free democracy.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this 23 of May, 2024 in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

His R. Keaslag **Itis** Keaslev

DECLARATION OF JOANNE SOWELL

I, Joanne Sowell, hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 76 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, located in Allegheny County. I have lived in Allegheny County for nearly my entire life.

4. I am presently retired. During my career, I worked as a seamstress, first for a company that made slip covers and upholstery, and later for a company that made bumper pads for the trucking industry.

5. I am a registered voter in Allegheny County, and have been for more than 30 years.

6. I vote regularly. It is rare for me to miss an election.

7. Voting is important to because I wanted to have my view and perspective included. If you don't make an effort to vote, you can't say anything about the politicians in office.

8. I started voting by mail in 2020, when Pennsylvania first began allowing people to vote by mail even if they would not qualify for an absentee ballot.

9. Ahead of the April 23, 2024 primary election, I applied for and received a mail ballot from Allegheny County.

10. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy

A407

envelope and the outer return envelope. I also signed the envelope. I thought I had done everything correctly.

11. I returned my ballot early because we were preparing to travel on a cruise, and I wanted to get my ballot in before we left.

12. On April 13, 2024, I received an email informing me that my mail ballot would not count because I wrote an incorrect date. The email said that they were sending the ballot back to me if I wanted to fix the mistake. A true and correct copy of the email dated April 13 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

13. I did not see this email until the next day, April 14, at which time I was already boarding a flight to New York. I was on my way to travel on a cruise. I boarded the cruise on April 15, and did not return to Allegheny County until April 26, three days after the primary election.

14. Because of my travel, it was not possible for me to cure the date problem on my mail ballot, nor could I go to my polling place on April 23, nor could I timely receive and send voting materials by mail while traveling on a cruise ship. When I returned from my trip, the returned ballot was waiting at my house, but it was too late to fix it.

15. I am very upset that my ballot will not count because nobody's ballot should get rejected for a trivial paperwork mistake. When I received the email, it really bothered me for a few days because the date shouldn't matter; it's what's inside the ballot that counts.

16. I was so disappointed to learn that my ballot wouldn't be counted in

this election. I believe that it's important to vote and have a voice in selecting the politicians.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this 23 of May, 2024 in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Joanne Sowelf Joanne Sowell

EXHIBIT A

Your Ballot Status Has Changed – Check for Updates

RA-voterregstatcert@state.pa.us <RA-voterregstatcert@state.pa.us> Sat 4/13/2024 12:46 PM To:HOTGRANNYJ@MSN.COM <HOTGRANNYJ@MSN.COM>

Dear JOANNE M SOWELL,

After your ballot was received by ALLEGHENY County, it received a new status.

The county has noticed that the date written on your ballot return envelope is incorrect. This means your ballot may not be counted. Your county offers you the opportunity to fix your ballot return envelope, and you should go to <u>https://www.vote.pa.gov/Voting-in-PA/Pages/Return-Ballot.aspx</u> to get more information.

If you cannot fix your ballot return envelope in time, you can go to your polling place on election day and cast a provisional ballot.

You can get more information on your ballot's new status by going to https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/Pages/BallotTracking.aspx.

If you have questions or need more information after checking your ballot's status, please contact ALLEGHENY County at (412) 350-4500.

Para leer esta información en español, vaya a https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/Pages/BallotTracking.aspx . 要閱讀此資訊的中文版,請造訪 <u>https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/Pages/BallotTracking.aspx</u>。

Thank you.

****Please do not reply to this email.****

DECLARATION OF EUGENE IVORY

I, Eugene Ivory, hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 74 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. I have lived in Philadelphia for 57 years. I am now retired, but was an educator for many years.

4. I am a registered voter in Philadelphia. I have been a registered voter in Pennsylvania since the early 1970s.

5. I vote regularly and have voted in every election, from local to presidential, for as long as I can remember.

6. Voting is important because it ensures that we are working to make a better country. Voting protects my rights and the rights of others and serves as an accountability measure for our elected officials.

7. I started voting by mail out of convenience four years ago. Ahead of the 2024 primary election, I applied for and received a mail ballot from the Philadelphia elections office.

8. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy envelope and the outer return envelope. I also signed the envelope. On April 22, I returned my ballot by mail via the Official Mail-In Dropbox located at the Eastwick Library on Island Ave. 9. On Election Day, I received notice that my ballot had been received, but may not be counted due to an incorrect date on my envelope. The notice informed me that I could vote via a provisional ballot at my in-person polling location. My wife, who also voted by mail, received the same notice. At the time of notice, we were experiencing a family emergency and did not have the time nor capacity to vote via a provisional ballot. so neither of us were able to go to our polling place on primary day..

10. I am disappointed that my ballot did not count because I fully intended to participate in the primary. I had many personal matters occurring around that time and still set aside time to cast my mail ballot, only for it not to count due to a meaningless error.

11. I believe that these ballots should count because every eligible voter who completes a mail ballot and returns it on time should have their vote counted. Whether or not a ballot should be counted should not come down to a small detail like dating the envelope.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this 24 of May, 2024 in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Eugene Lory

DECLARATION OF BRUCE WILEY

I, Bruce Wiley, hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 71 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. I have lived in Philadelphia for eight years.

4. I am now retired, but was a trailer truck driver for many years.

5. I am a registered voter in Philadelphia. I have been a registered voter in Pennsylvania since 2016. I vote regularly and have voted in every presidential election.

6. Due to my health, I started voting by mail in the 2024 Primary Election. I previously only voted in-person to ensure there were no errors with counting my ballot. However, my health now limits me to the constraints of my home. I rarely leave the house, except for doctors' visits. Thus, it was necessary to vote by mail.

7. I voted by mail this year. Ahead of the 2024 primary election, I applied for and received a mail ballot from the Philadelphia elections office.

8. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy envelope and the outer return envelope. I also signed the envelope. I posted the ballot from my personal mailbox. I thought I had done everything correctly.

A414

9. I was informed on May 21, 2024 that my ballot was not counted in the primary election because I forgot to write the date on the outer envelope. A person from the ACLU of Pennsylvania contacted me and informed me about this date issue. I do not regularly check my email and was unaware that I should monitor my email for updates regarding my mail ballot. Regardless, due to my health, it would not have been possible for me to correct the error on my ballot in person.

10. I am very upset that my ballot will not count because I am very passionate about Presidential elections.

11. This process lowered my confidence in voting by mail procedures because these ballots should be counted and not thrown out due to a meaningless error. I believe the state should be targeting fraudulent election practices, instead of invalidating ballots from eligible voters.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this ____ of May, 2024 in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Den We

Bruce Wiley

DECLARATION OF STEPHEN ARBOUR

I, Stephen Arbour, hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 51 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of Elkins Park, Pennsylvania, located in Montgomery County. I have lived in Montgomery County since 2006.

4. I am the Chief Technology Officer for a company that creates software for the wealth management industry. Our software helps keep markets honest by ensuring that our clients are in compliance with regulations.

5. I am naturalized United States citizen. I was born in Ecuador to a Canadian father and Salvadoran mother, and moved to the United States at eight years old.

6. When I received my citizenship in 2010, I immediately registered to vote in Montgomery County. I have voted in every primary and general election since becoming a citizen.

7. Voting is very important to me. For most of my adult life, I did not have the rights of citizenship. I have children in the United States, and I need to be able to participate in developing the best community possible for them.

8. I started voting by mail during the COVID pandemic in 2020 to avoid being around large groups of people. I continued voting by mail in the years since because I found this to be a very convenient system for our busy family and complicated schedules.

9. I voted by mail this year. Ahead of the 2024 primary election, I applied for and received a mail ballot from Montgomery County.

10. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy envelope and the outer return envelope. I signed the outer envelope. I thought I had done everything correctly.

11. I returned my mail ballot to Montgomery County before Election Day. On Monday, April 22, 2024, I received an email saying that I had made a mistake when completing the date on the declaration form. A true and correct copy of the email dated April 22 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

12. When I received the email right before Election Day, I had meetings scheduled all day and did not have time to get to Norristown by 4:00pm to fix the mistake. On Election Day, I was unable to cast a provisional ballot due to my busy work and family schedule.

13. I am very frustrated that my ballot will not be counted over this date issue. I do not know the point of the date other than to catch people making minor mistakes and to disqualify ballots. The post office and the county put a date on it, so whether the voter has dated it seems superfluous.

14. I am very upset that my ballot will not count. Voting gives me a voice that I did not otherwise have in this country for most of my adult life. I believe that voting is a responsibility of every American citizen. I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this <u>22</u> of May, 2024 in Elkins Park, Pennsylvania.

long

Stephen Arbour

EXHIBIT A



FW: Important Message Regarding Your Ballot - Incomplete Declaration

1 message

Montco Votes < Montco Votes@montgomerycountypa.gov>

Mon, Apr 22, 2024 at 10:51 AM

Dear Montgomery County Voter,

We have received your ballot for the 2024 Primary election. However, our sorting machine has indicated that the Voter Declaration field included an invalid date (not between the date range of 4/5/2024 to 4/23/2024) on your return envelope has not been fully completed. The Montgomery County Voter Services office is open on the dates and times below for voters that wish to cancel the pending ballot, and receive a new ballot. The outer return envelope must be signed and dated. Without the completed Voter Declaration ballots may not be counted.

To correct this issue, you can:

1. Physically come into One Montgomery Plaza, located at 425 Swede Street in Norristown, to cancel your current ballot and request a replacement.

Our office is open Monday through Friday from 8AM until 4:30PM. We are located at **425 Swede St (Suite 602)**, Norristown PA 19401. Ballot replacement can either be done in-person at One Montgomery Plaza or by mail.

The last day to cure your ballot in-person is Monday, April 22nd, 2024.

You may still vote at your polling location by casting a provisional ballot. To find your polling location, please visit: https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/Pages/PollingPlaceInfo.aspx

Respectfully, Voter Services Team Montgomery County Voter Services 425 Swede St Ste 602 Norristown, PA 19401-3447 Phone: 610-278-3280 Opt. #2 www.montcopa.org/VoteByMail

Sarah Piening

Senior Mail-In Balloting Clerk Voters Services

P: (610) 278-3433 X: 3433

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, forwarding, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

DECLARATION OF KENNETH HICKMAN

I, KENNETH HICKMAN, hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration, and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 89 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of York, located in York County, Pennsylvania. I have lived in York County since 1973.

4. I am a retired mechanical engineer, but I continue to work part-time as a mechanical engineer for a building technologies company.

5. I am a registered voter in York County. I have been a registered voter in Pennsylvania since 1973.

6. I vote regularly in primary and national elections.

7. Voting is important to me because I believe it is a person's civic duty, and it is the only opportunity you have to change government representatives.

8. I started voting by mail as soon as it was allowed in 2020.

9. I voted by mail this year. Ahead of the 2024 primary election, I applied for and received a mail ballot from York.

10. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy envelope and the outer return envelope. I also signed the envelope. I thought I had done everything correctly.

11. I returned my ballot to the post office within a week or two of receiving it, well in advance of election day.

A421

12. I did not receive any notice or a confirmation of receiving my ballot.

13. I found out that my vote was not counted when a person from the ACLU of Pennsylvania called me and told me my ballot was not counted because of an incorrect date.

14. I am surprised and upset that my ballot will not count. My vote should be counted if at all possible. If the counties do not use the date for any purpose, I believe it is unreasonable to not count my vote because of this issue.

15. I believe that voting is important because it is my chance to change who is in government.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this $\frac{2\pi}{2}$ of May, 2024 in York, Pennsylvania.

Kermett Heckena

Kenneth Hickman

DECLARATION OF JANET NOVICK

I, Janet Novick, hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 80 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of Washington Crossing, located in Bucks County, Pennsylvania. My family moved from New Jersey to Pennsylvania in 1979, and we have lived in Bucks County ever since.

4. I am presently retired. During my career, I was a schoolteacher and mostly taught high school English. My husband was a professor at The College of New Jersey. For many decades, my husband and I owned a small antiquarian bookshop in Lambertville, New Jersey. We decided to close the shop in 2013 due to health issues.

5. I have been a registered voter in Pennsylvania since moving to Bucks County in 1979.

6. I vote regularly. We take voting very seriously and always put lots of time and care into deciding who we are going to select. We vote in nearly every primary and general election, including in local elections.

7. I started voting by mail during the pandemic. I never had an issue regarding my mail-in ballot until this primary election.

8. My husband and I vote by mail because of the convenience and security it provides, given our health and mobility issues. I have spinal pain

and severe arthritis. I can still drive locally, but we typically stay close to home. My husband does not drive anymore. He has been diagnosed with neuropathy and typically gets around with a cane or walker.

9. I voted by mail this year. Ahead of the 2024 primary election, I applied for and received a mail-in ballot from Bucks County.

10. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy envelope, and the outer return envelope. I also signed the envelope. I thought I had done everything correctly.

11. A short time later, I received a voicemail and an email from Bucks County letting me know that I had made an error when completing my ballot and that my ballot would not be counted if I did not correct it. My husband, Barry, was also informed that he had made a mistake and his ballot would not be counted. It turns out that both of us had written an "incorrect" date on the outer return envelope.

12. I was very surprised when I received this email because we are always very careful when completing our mail-in ballot. I called the election office and asked what my mistake had been. I was told that I wrote my birthday next to "2024." I was dumbfounded when I heard this, and thought it must be have been a momentary lapse when I was completing the outer envelope. I asked the election worker if it was possible to fix it over the phone, and she said the only way to correct the ballot was to come in person to Doylestown and complete another ballot, or to cast a provisional ballot on Election Day. I explained that I was the only driver in our household, and that I would be physically unable to drive 45 minutes each way to Doylestown due to my health constraints.

13. When we learned that our ballots would not be counted, we felt terrible. I never imagined I would have made a mistake that could result in my ballot not being counted. Everyone has a momentary lapse and makes a mistake, and this should not disqualify my right to vote.

14. I consider voting to be a right and a privilege. It is my civic duty to vote and make my voice heard, and it is very unfair that my vote and my husband's vote will not be counted in this election.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this 26 of May, 2024 in Washington Crossing, Pennsylvania.

Jand Jouriek Janet Novick

DECLARATION OF JOSEPH M. SOMMAR

I, Joseph Sommar, hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 71 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of Glenmoore, Pennsylvania, located in Chester County.

4. I grew up in Philadelphia. After attending university and working in Arizona, I decided to move back to Pennsylvania because my parents were getting older and have been living in Chester County since the 1980s. I am the proud father of two children – one is a public school teacher and the other is an army officer.

5. I am presently retired. Early in my career, I worked as a computer service technician. Later, I became an electrician and was a member of the IBEW local. At one time I was the union representative for the Chester County branch of the AFL-CIO.

6. I have been a registered voter in Chester County since moving back to Pennsylvania. I vote in nearly every primary and general election. I may have missed one or two, but can't remember the last time I missed an election.

7. When I was a young person, I was a conservative Republican voter.
I am now a registered Democrat, after being exposed to many different perspectives while working in the union.

8. Voting is very important to me and I believe that it's everyone's duty to vote. In my opinion, if you don't vote, you have no right to complain about our politicians. I also believe that the more people vote, the better government we will have and the more active role that people will take in our society.

9. I started voting by mail during the COVID pandemic. I prefer to vote by mail because of the convenience and privacy. I don't like people trying to tell me who to vote for outside of the polling place.

10. I voted by mail this year. A few weeks before the April 2024 primary election, I received a mail-in ballot from Chester County.

11. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy envelope and the outer return envelope. I signed the declaration on the outer envelope. I thought I had done everything correctly.

12. In prior elections when I voted by mail, I never made a mistake that disqualified my ballot. I was just going through the motions quickly and didn't take as much care as I should have when completing the mail-in ballot.

13. After I returned my ballot, I received an email on April 19, informing me that there was an error with my mail-in ballot and that it might not be counted if I didn't take additional steps to rectify it. I learned later that I had forgotten to include a date on the outer envelope. A true and correct copy of the email dated April 19 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

14. When I learned that my ballot would not be counted because I forgot the date, I was very annoyed. I felt stupid for making this mistake, but also angry that it would disqualify my vote.

15. I am ashamed to say that I didn't follow up on the County's instructions to fix my ballot. At the time I was frustrated and didn't think the situation was fair.

16. I believe that my vote should count. I am a citizen of this country who wants to participate in electing our government representatives.

17. I believe that everyone should vote because the more people who participate, then better candidates are more likely to get elected.

18. I don't believe that the date serves any purpose. The county knows that my ballot was received on time, and I don't know why the date is necessary. It seems like an arbitrary thing, just another step to allow people to mess up and have their votes not counted. I believe our election turnout is so low because people don't think that their vote will make a difference. If everyone's vote were counted and people thought their voices would be heard, more people would participate in the process and we would have a stronger democracy.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Executed this 2 7 of May, 2024 in Glenmoore, Pennsylvania.

Joseph M. Sommar

EXHIBIT A

Your Ballot Status Has Changed – Check for Updates

From: RA-voterregstatcert@state.pa.us (ra-voterregstatcert@state.pa.us)

- To: joe.sommar@yahoo.com
- Date: Friday, April 19, 2024 at 01:00 PM EDT

Dear JOSEPH M SOMMAR,

After your ballot was received by CHESTER County, it received a new status.

The county has noticed an error with your ballot envelopes, which means your ballot may not be counted. If you cannot fix the errors in time, you can go to your polling place on election day and cast a provisional ballot.

You can get more information on your ballot's new status by going to https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/Pages/BallotTracking.aspx.

If you have questions or need more information after checking your ballot's status, please contact CHESTER County at (610) 344-6410.

Para leer esta información en español, vaya a <u>https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/Pages/BallotTracking.aspx</u>. 要閱讀此資訊的中文版, 請造訪 <u>https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/Pages/BallotTracking.aspx</u>。

Thank you.

****Please do not reply to this email.****

DECLARATION OF PHYLLIS SPRAGUE

I, Phyllis Sprague hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 80 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of Chalfont, located in Bucks County, Pennsylvania.I have lived in Bucks County for 48 years.

4. I am currently retired. Previously, I worked as an administrative assistant in the aerospace industry at GE Aerospace and Lockheed Martin.

5. I am a registered voter in Bucks County. I have been a registered voter in Pennsylvania since becoming eligible to vote.

6. I vote regularly. I have never missed a presidential election since I moved to Pennsylvania.

7. Voting is important to me because it is my right as a citizen to participate in democracy. My mother was a lifelong voter advocate. During my childhood in Virginia, I witnessed how she paid poll taxes in order to vote. She helped others to register to vote and instilled in her children the importance of voting.

8. I started voting by mail in the last few years. A few months before the election, I decided to vote by mail-in ballot.

9. I voted by mail this year. Before the 2024 primary election, I applied for and received a mail ballot from Bucks County.

A431

10. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy envelope and the outer return envelope. I also signed the envelope. I thought I had done everything correctly.

11. I returned my ballot at the post office a week before my surgery scheduled for April 18, 2024.

12. A few days before Election Day, I received an e-mail and letter notification that my ballot would not be counted due to an incorrect date. I was instructed to go to the county office or local polling place on Election Day and vote with a provisional ballot. I chose to go to the polling place because no one was available to drive me to the county office.

13. I was unable to vote with a provisional ballot at a polling place on Election Day because I experienced an accident while recovering from my cervical spine surgery. On April 19, 2024, I was discharged from the hospital following surgery. On April 23, 2024, while I was preparing to walk to the polling place, I fell down, injuring myself. My husband and granddaughter cautioned me from going to the polling station due to my pain from the recent surgery. Had I been given a safer option to vote with a provisional ballot, I would have voted.

14. I am very upset that my ballot will not count because I was unable to go to the polling place due to my injury and illness.

15. I believe that voting is important because it is an opportunity to allow your voice to be heard. I hope my vote is counted in the next election.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this 26th of May, 2024 in Chalfont, Pennsylvania.

- Phyllis Sprague

DECLARATION OF MARY STOUT

I, Mary Stout hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 77 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I currently live in Douglassville, PA, located in Berks County, Pennsylvania. I have lived in Berks County for 10 years.

4. I am a retired nurse.

5. I am a registered voter in Berks County. I have lived in Pennsylvania for my entire life, and have been a registered voter in Pennsylvania since I first became eligible to vote.

6. I vote regularly. I have voted in nearly every primary and general election since I was 21 years old.

7. Voting is important to me because my parents raised me with the belief that we have an obligation to vote in every election. Both my father and my husband were veterans who were on 100% disability. I believe that our right to vote is important and that we therefore have an obligation to exercise the right to vote.

8. I started voting by mail two or three years ago. I got back surgery around that time and as a result I have a hard time moving around and need to use a walker. Because of this, I can't wait in line to vote. Voting by mail-in ballot makes things much easier for me, and I've never had a problem with voting by mail in past elections. 9. I voted by mail this year. Before the 2024 primary election, I applied for and received a mail ballot from Berks County.

10. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy envelope and the outer return envelope. I also signed the envelope. I thought I had done everything correctly.

I returned my ballot about two weeks before the April 2024 election.
 I posted my ballot from the mailbox at my residence.

12. Approximately a week before the election, Berks County sent me a notice by mail that my ballot would not be counted because it was missing a date on the envelope. The notice informed me I would have to go in-person to Reading in order to have my vote counted.

13. I was unable to go to Reading because of my mobility issues. As a result, my vote was not counted in the recent primary election.

14. I am very upset that my ballot will not count because I take my obligation to vote very seriously. I don't think that my vote should be discounted simply because I didn't include the date on the envelope when everything else about my ballot was correct.

15. I have been voting in Pennsylvania for almost my entire life and believe that voting is important because voting is both a right and an obligation.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Executed this 2 of May, 2024 in Douglassville, Pennsylvania.

Mary Start Mary Stout

DECLARATION OF LORINE WALKER

I, Lorine Walker hereby declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am 74 years old and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of Harrisburg, located in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. I have lived in Dauphin County for 58 years.

4. I am currently retired. I was a school librarian and media specialist before retirement.

5. I am a registered voter in Dauphin County. I have been a registered voter in Pennsylvania since I first became eligible to vote.

6. I vote regularly. I vote in most primary and general elections ever since I became eligible to vote.

7. Voting is important to me because people died so I could have the right to vote. I am doing what everyone should be able to do, and I am exercising my rights when I vote. I believe voting is important for the democratic process and I want to cast my vote for who I want to be in office.

8. I started voting by mail in 2020 during the pandemic because it was more convenient. I used to drive myself to vote, but I cannot drive anymore because of pain in my leg. After I stopped driving, my neighbor used to take me to vote, but now they vote by mail as well. Voting by mail is my best option to make my voice heard. 9. I voted by mail this year. Before the 2024 primary election, I applied for and received a mail ballot from Dauphin County.

10. After I received my ballot, I marked it, inserted it into the secrecy envelope and the outer return envelope. I also signed the envelope. I tried to be careful because of concerns on the news about voting by mail during the last election. I thought I had done everything correctly.

11. I returned my ballot by mail a few weeks ahead of the 2024 primary election date.

12. On April 18, 2024, I received an email notice that my mail ballot had been "received by Dauphin County." I did not receive any other notice, calls, or emails from Dauphin County that there was an issue with my mail ballot or that my ballot would not be counted. A true and correct copy of the email dated April 18 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

13. If I had received notice that there was an issue with my mail ballot, I would have fixed it prior to Election. I had enough time to correct any issue or mistake with my mail ballot because it was received by the county almost a week before Election Day.

14. I am very upset that my ballot will not count because voting is important to me, and I have voted since I was able to cast a ballot. I am an eligible voter and took the time to apply for and complete a mail ballot, so to learn that my ballot was not counted is very frustrating.

15. I believe that voting is important because voting matters in a

A438

democratic process. I believe it is important for people to choose who they think should be in office even if there are disagreements. It matters and affects our democratic process if votes are not counted.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this 24th of May, 2024 in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Soune Malker

Lorine Walker

EXHIBIT A

ra-voterregstatcert@state.pa.us

4/18/2024 1:13 PM

Your Ballot Has Been Received

To Iwalkerje2300@comcast.net

Dear LORINE C WALKER,

Your ballot has been received by DAUPHIN County as of April 18, 2024. If your county election office identifies an issue with your ballot envelopes that prevents the ballot from being counted, you may receive another notification. Otherwise, you will not receive any further updates on the status of your ballot from this email address and you are no longer permitted to vote at your polling place location.

Please note, if DAUPHIN County observes an issue with your ballot envelopes, you may receive another email from this account with additional information. To get more information on your ballot's status, you can look it up at https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/Pages/BallotTracking.aspx.

If you have questions about your ballot, please contact DAUPHIN County at (717) 780-6360.

Para leer esta información en español, vaya a https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/Pages/BallotTracking.aspx

要閱讀此資訊的中文版,請造訪 https://www.pavoterservices.pa.gov/Pages/BallotTracking.aspx。

Thank you.

****Please do not reply to this email.****

Marybeth Kuznik

From:	ST, Elections <ra-elections@pa.gov></ra-elections@pa.gov>
Sent:	Friday, April 19, 2024 12:23 PM
Cc:	Marks, Jonathan
Subject:	DOS Email: Department Response to Inquiries on Ballot Envelope Dates

CAUTION

This message originated from an external source. Verify the legitimacy before clicking links or opening attachments.

Sent on behalf of Deputy Secretary Marks

Dear County Election Official:

The Department has received questions from several county boards of elections about the handwritten date on the redesigned mail ballot return. Specifically, counties have asked whether they should consider certain handwritten dates to be "incorrect" under the Supreme Court's decision in *Ball v. Chapman*.^[1]

It is the Department's view that, if the date written on the ballot can reasonably be interpreted to be "the day upon which [the voter] completed the declaration,"^[2] the ballot should not be rejected as having an "incorrect" date or being "undated."

Therefore, the following would <u>not</u> justify rejecting a ballot as having an "incorrect" date or being "undated":

- If the voter entered the month and day but did not write "24" in the year field.
- Use of the European dating convention (D/M/Y)
- Use of slashes in empty boxes (for example, "/4-17-2024" or "4/-17-2024")

This list is not intended to be exhaustive, but is based on examples provided by county boards of elections.

As always, you should consult your solicitor on these matters.

^[1] 289 A.3d 1 (Pa. 2023).
^[2] Ball, 289 A.3d at 23.

Rachel R. Hadrick (she/her/hers) Chief of Elections Administration PA Department of State 401 North Street | 210 North Office Building Harrisburg, PA 17120 Phone (desk): 717.409.3242 | Fax: 717.787.1734 www.dos.pa.gov PA Department of State | @ @PAStateDept

Declaration of Tim Stevens on behalf of The Black Political Empowerment Project (B-PEP)

I, Tim Stevens, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am over the age of eighteen and otherwise competent to testify.

2. I am the Chairman & CEO of The Black Political Empowerment Project ("B-PEP").

3. B-PEP is a non-profit, non-partisan organization that has worked since 1986 to ensure that the Pittsburgh African-American community votes in every election. B-PEP and its supporters throughout the Pittsburgh Region work with community organizations to empower Black and brown communities, including by promoting voting rights and get-out-the vote efforts.

4. During every election cycle, B-PEP's work includes voter registration drives, get-out-the-vote activities, education and outreach about the voting process, and election-protection work. B-PEP focuses these activities in predominantly Black neighborhoods in Allegheny County, with some efforts in Westmoreland and Washington Counties.

5. Respondent Schmidt's direction to set aside and not count timelysubmitted mail ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope directly affects B-PEP and its members and interferes with the organization's ability to carry out its mission of increasing voter turnout and participation. 6. The failure to count mail ballots without dates or with "incorrect" dates will force B-PEP to divert resources in the upcoming November 2024 election from its other voter education and mobilization efforts, as well as other critical work unrelated to elections. Instead, B-PEP will be required to educate voters about any available cure processes, advocate to develop new processes to ensure that voters who are eligible and registered and who submitted their ballots on time are not disenfranchised by a trivial paperwork mistake, and assist voters with curing of submitted mail ballots determined to be defective.

7. For the November 2022 election, B-PEP was forced to engage in activities similar to what we expect will be required for the November 2024 election.

8. For the November 2022 election, B-PEP conducted outreach to members and constituent communities about the importance of voting in person or by mail. When it was announced that county boards of elections would not count timely-submitted mail ballots based solely on missing or supposedly incorrect dates on return envelopes, B-PEP redirected its limited resources, including staff and volunteer time, to efforts to inform voters of this change and educate them as to how to avoid disenfranchisement.

9. In the days leading up to the election in November 2022, B-PEP's staff and volunteers also expended time and money developing, printing and

A444

distributing hundreds of flyers and other educational materials to dozens of churches for the purpose of informing prospective voters of the envelope dating issues generated by prior court decisions.

10. Time and resources dedicated by B-PEP staff and volunteers would otherwise have been available for the organization's other "get out the vote" efforts and other initiatives serving B-PEP's mission, including its Greater Pittsburgh Coalition Against Violence and Corporate Equity & Inclusion Roundtable.

11. B-PEP anticipates that, leading up to the November 2024 General Election and other future elections, it will similarly need to divert its staff and volunteer resources from voter engagement and community initiatives toward preventing the disenfranchisement of voters who have already submitted their ballots.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this <u>4</u> of May, 2024, in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Tim Stevens, Chairman & CEO, The Black Political Empowerment Project (B-PEP)

Declaration of Dwayne Royster on behalf of POWER Interfaith

I, Dwayne Royster, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am over the age of eighteen and otherwise competent to testify.
- 2. I am the Executive Director of POWER Interfaith ("POWER").

3. POWER is a non-profit, non-partisan organization of more than 100 congregations of various faith traditions, cultures and neighborhoods in and around Philadelphia committed to civic engagement and organizing communities so that the voices of all faiths, races and income levels are counted and have a say in government.

4. During every election cycle, POWER's civic engagement efforts include voter education programs and voter registration drives within Philadelphia County. These efforts include "Souls to the Polls" initiatives during which Black church leaders encourage their congregants to vote. *See, e.g.* Daniels, III, D. "The Black Church has been getting "souls to the polls" for more than 60 years, "*The Conversation*, Oct. 30, 2020, available at <u>https://theconversation.com/the-blackchurch-has-been-getting-souls-to-the-polls-for-more-than-60-years-145996</u>. In connection with the November 2022 election, for example, POWER launched a bus tour focused on engaging Philadelphia County voters who were not already participating in the political process. 5. Respondent Schmidt's direction to set aside and not count timelysubmitted mail ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope directly affects POWER and its members and interferes with the organization's ability to carry out its mission of increasing voter turnout and participation.

6. The failure to count mail ballots received in envelopes without dates, or with "incorrect" dates, will force POWER to divert resources in the upcoming November 2024 election from its other voter education and mobilization efforts, as it did in past elections. When the Philadelphia County Board of Elections published a list of over 3,000 voters who were at risk of having their November 2022 general election ballots thrown out over such technical errors, including a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope, POWER's members and volunteers made more than 1,200 manual calls and sent more than 2,900 texts to the voters whose names appeared on Philadelphia's at-risk list to provide them with information to help them cure their ballot or vote provisionally. POWER also stationed volunteers at City Hall to ensure voters returning their mail ballots to that location had correctly dated their return envelopes. POWER will again reassigned volunteers and staff from its other voter education and mobilization efforts towards contacting and educating voters in connection with the 2024 General Election if

the Philadelphia County Board of Elections is again unable or unwilling to open and count ballots received in undated and/or "incorrectly" dated return envelopes.

7. The time and attention that POWER devoted to ensuring voters who had already submitted their mail ballots would have their votes counted would otherwise have been used to engage and educate people who had not already attempted to vote.

8. POWER anticipates that, leading up to the November 2024 General Election and other future elections, it will similarly need to divert its staff and volunteer resources from voter engagement and community initiatives toward preventing the disenfranchisement of voters who have already submitted their ballots.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this $\frac{28}{200}$ of May, 2024, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Bishop Dwayne Royster, Executive Director POWER Interfaith

DECLARATION OF DIANA ROBINSON

I, Diana Robinson, hereby declare as follows:

- I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.
- 2. I am over eighteen years of age and am otherwise competent to testify.
- 3. I am a resident of and registered voter in Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania.
- 4. I am the Co-Deputy Director of Make the Road Pennsylvania. I have held this position since January 1, 2024.
- 5. Make the Road Pennsylvania ("Make the Road PA") is a not-for-profit, member-led organization formed in 2014 that builds the power of the working-class in Latino and other communities to achieve dignity and justice through organizing, policy innovation, and education services. Make the Road PA's approximately 13,000 members are primarily working-class residents of Pennsylvania, many in underserved communities.
- 6. Many members of Make the Road PA are registered voters in Pennsylvania and are at risk of disenfranchisement if Respondents fail to count timelysubmitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope.
- 7. Make the Road PA's work includes voter protection, voter advocacy and voter education on, for example, how to register to vote, how to apply for mail-in/ absentee ballots, how to return mail-in/absentee ballots, and where to vote. Make the Road PA has run active programs to register voters in historically

underserved communities of color, especially in Berks, Bucks, Lehigh, Luzerne, Northampton, and Philadelphia Counties.

- 8. Respondents' failure to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope will disenfranchise potentially thousands of voters, thus directly affecting Make the Road PA's members and interfering with Make the Road PA's ability to carry out its mission of increasing voter turnout and participation.
- 9. Because Make the Road PA's efforts are focused on communities where some voters are not native English speakers, the risk that some voters may make a minor paperwork mistake in filling out various forms related to mail or absentee ballot voting is heightened.
- 10. For example, if a voter followed the date sequencing convention used by many other countries, they may have transposed the day before the month in dating their outer return envelope—and, on information and belief, that would constitute an "incorrect" date under Respondents' standards.
- 11. Respondents' failure to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope in recent and future elections also has forced and will force Make the Road PA to divert resources from its existing efforts toward focusing voters on trivial, technical mail ballot rules and toward investigating and educating voters about any available cure processes that might be available for the thousands who will invariably be disenfranchised by a paperwork mistake under Respondents'

current policy. For example, Make the Road's staff and volunteers had to direct time and resources in the critical time before Election Day in 2022 to contacting voters about the date provision and contacting county election officials to address the need to inform non-English speakers of any problems with the dating of their mail ballot envelopes. If the envelope dating rule remains in place, Make the Road PA anticipates needing to engage in similar efforts during the 2024 general election.

12. If Make the Road PA did not have to devote the time, staff, and financial resources to educating voters about this issue, it could instead focus on other important forms of voter engagement and participation, including its Immigrant Rights, Education Justice, Housing Justice, Climate Justice and Worker Rights initiative.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this 25th day of May, 2024 in Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania.

Diana Robinson

DECLARATION OF STEVE PAUL

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration, and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am over eighteen years of age and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of and registered voter in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

4. I am the Executive Director of One PA Activists United (d/b/a One PA For All). One PA For All is a nonpartisan, nonprofit 501 (c)(3) organization based in Pennsylvania.

5. One PA For All is a community organizing and voter engagement group that fights for racial, economic and environmental justice. We build multiracial, workingclass progressive power in Pennsylvania with a deep focus on Black liberation. At One PA For All, we are on a mission to empower Black and working class communities through voter education, and leadership development.

6. One PA For All has offices in Pittsburgh and Philadelphia, and does voter engagement work in Philadelphia, Allegheny, Delaware, and Dauphin Counties.

7. One PA For All's mission and program include a variety of activities, such as:

- Boosting voter registration and turnout within Black communities in Pennsylvania;
- b. Educating and mobilizing community members for active participation in democratic processes, including city council, school board, zoning hearings, and PA General Assembly meetings;
- c. Uniting the community against exploitative corporate landlords,
 labor law violators, and health-threatening industrial polluters;

 d. Transforming the media narrative around community needs, enabling residents to share their stories for non-partisan direct action and civic engagement.

8. One PA For All runs an ambitious and comprehensive strategy to engage marginalized communities through door-to-door canvassing, phone calls, relational organizing, text messaging, digital ads, and earned media, with a goal to increase civic participation in 2024.

9. In 2024, One PA plans to register more than 35,000 voters and make more than 2.14 million contacts with voters:

10. In just the last two years, One PA has registered 28,000 voters in working class Black communities in Philadelphia, Delaware, and Allegheny Counties. One PA has also had tens of thousands of conversations with voters about switching to Vote by Mail (VBM) and helped 1000+ voters correct mistakes on their mail ballot envelopes.

11. One of our most empowering moments of 2022 concerned Ms. Phyllis, a woman in her 70s who has voted every year since she was 18. Our canvassers knocked on Ms. Phyllis' door after we learned that her mail-in ballot was in danger of not being counted because she had forgotten to write the date on the return envelope. Our rapid response team jumped into action: our canvasser explained the situation to Ms. Phyllis, took her to her polling place, and helped her obtain a provisional ballot, ensuring that her vote would count. While these are the moments that count most—helping a respected elder exercise her right to choose her elected representatives—such a monumental effort would not have been necessary if not for the decision to set aside mail ballots submitted without a voter written date on the return envelope.

2

A453

12. One PA For All has, in past election cycles, expended scarce resources to help voters, like Ms. Phyllis, correct an error on a mail ballot envelope. This work is labor intensive and prevents our staff and volunteers from carrying out other aspects of our civic engagement work.

13. One PA For All plans to continue its work instructing voters on how to correctly fill out a mail ballot return envelope. This work includes:

- Digital video that we will distribute via social media channels walking voters through how to properly vote by mail;
- b. Organizing staff and volunteers to perform a "ballot chase" program that involves calling voters who have not turned in their mail ballots;
- c. Deploying staff and volunteers to mount a "ballot envelope curing" program that includes getting a copy of the list of voters in Allegheny and Philadelphia counties, contacting those voters and helping them correct the error on the envelope or helping them cast a provisional ballot in person.

14. The effort to contact voters who have made a mistake on their mail ballot envelopes, include date errors, is labor intensive. In addition to contacting voters through the telephone or text message, One PA For All also sends staff and volunteers to the voters' homes and provides rides to the polling location for those voters who need a ride.

15. For 2024, One PA For All plans to deploy a five-person staff for the purpose of contacting voters who have made a mistake on their mail ballot envelope.

16. Counties' rejection of mail ballots with a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope harms One PA For All because the staff engaged in reaching out to

voters with mistakes on their envelopes could be doing other work to advance our mission, such as knocking on additional doors, covering more territory in canvassing voters, calling or texting newly-registered voters.

17. Instead of expending resources on voters who thought they already voted, our staff could be engaged in calling people who have not yet returned their mail ballot or encouraging those voters to vote in person.

18. One PA For All's broader civic engagement and voter education program includes:

- a. Canvassing in neighborhoods;
- b. Text messaging and calls;
- c. Producing and distributing content in-house for publication on social media channels;
- d. Coordinating messaging with micro influencers who have followings on Instagram and TikTok. Target micro-influencers have between 5,000 and 10,000 people and One PA For All helps them craft messages aligned with our mission.

19. For the general election in 2024, we plan to create various media pieces on mistakes on mail ballot envelopes and distribute them via social media.

20. If we did not have to expend so many resources on creating content for mail ballot envelope mistakes, we could focus our educational materials on voter registration, we could reach out to more first-time voters to encourage them to vote in the first place, and we could focus our communications more on participation in the election in general.

21. Our staff who is engaged in reaching out to voters with mistakes on the date field of the mail ballot envelopes could instead be doing more volunteer recruitment and development and training of volunteers.

22. Spending scarce resources on the date requirement education harms our efforts to focus on racial equity in voting and to increase participation in the election by chronically excluded populations.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this <u>27</u> of May, 2024 in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Feis facel

Steve Paul, Executive Director One PA For All

DECLARATION OF KADIDA KENNER

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am over eighteen years of age and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of and registered voter in Chester County,

Pennsylvania.

4. I am the founding Chief Executive Officer of New PA Project Education Fund ("NPPEF"). NPPEF is a nonpartisan, nonprofit 501 (c)(3) organization based in Pennsylvania. NPPEF and its affiliated organization have offices in West Chester, Norristown, Harrisburg, Pittsburgh and will be opening an office in the city of Chester, this year.

5. NPPEF is led by community leaders across the Commonwealth dedicated to centering underrepresented and underserved communities to embrace their power. NPPEF ensures full participation in the democratic process through civic education and year-round engagement by centering Black, Indigenous, and other people of color, immigrant communities and the youth - with intention - as they are the least represented and most impacted by decisions our government is making.

NPPEF conducts civic engagement and voter education in
 Philadelphia, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery, Bucks, Monroe, Lehigh,
 Northampton, Dauphin, Cumberland, Lebanon, York, Allegheny and Berks County.

A457

In 2024, NPPEF will expand its operations into Erie, Beaver, Lawrence, Centre and Lackawanna counties.

7. NPPEF has registered our fellow Pennsylvanians in urban, suburban, exurban and rural PA. In the past two years, NPPEF and its affiliated organization registered nearly 40,000 Pennsylvanians to vote in Pennsylvania. More than 70% of those we've registered to vote in 2024 are under the age of 36 and 68% of the newly registered, who self-identified, belong to a community of color. Our efforts are nonpartisan and 28% of the voters we have registered have chosen not to affiliate with any major political party.

8. NPPEF's voter registration, voter education and mobilization programs combine traditional and digital methods to reach voters. Core components of our program include:

- a. Engaging voters multiple times in their communities to share information and to register unregistered voters
- b. Canvassing or door knocking in our centered neighborhoods
- c. Sending "social pressure" mailings to targeted voters
- d. Preparing and distributing voter information guides in the form of tri-fold pamphlets that include detailed information about how to cast a ballot.
- e. creating digital media, radio ads and emailed newsletters for voters to register, become more civically engaged and vote.

f. reaching out to voters on social media platforms such as
 Facebook, X, and Instagram to provide civic education and voter information.

9. Another integral part of our program is called "Community Conversations." As the name suggests, our staff travels the Commonwealth attending events and setting up informational tables. During these events, we are able to engage voters in conversations about what issues are most important to them and what change they would like to see. At all of these kinds of events, we provide nonpartisan information on how to register to vote, how to vote by mail and instructions for properly completing the vote by mail return envelope.

10. Since the Pennsylvania Department of State added a check box on the voter registration form, we have routinely helped voters request a mail ballot at the time of registration by checking the box on the voter registration form - especially on college campuses, and within the counties where these newer forms are available for use

11. Although we have our own materials that instruct voters on how to fill out mail ballot envelopes, we also share with voters instructions from the Pennsylvania Department of State about mail voting procedures.

12. Once we have registered a voter, our program requires our team to contact that voter multiple times by telephone. On the second of at least three phone calls, our team asks whether the voter received a registration card and also provides information on voting by mail or in person.

13. On the third call, our callers help voters understand how to vote, how to request vote by mail ballot, how to properly fill it out and return it or direct voters to their proper polling location

14. Our voter registration and outreach programs are labor intensive. We we spend portions of our time debunking false narratives around mail voting, and persuading voters that their mail ballot will be counted.

15. Because we are a partner organization with the Pennsylvania Voice 501(c)(3) civic engagement table, we share the database of voters we have collected with other table partners, including information on voters we have registered and those who have requested a mail ballot. Using the fruits of our labor, other table partners are also able to work towards ensuring that registered voters are notified of any mistakes on the mail ballot envelope, such as missing and incorrect dates, and provide information on how to make sure their vote counts.

16. We will continue and expand our program for the general election in 2024. Using all of the methods of voter outreach described above, our goal is to register approximately 60,000 voters in Pennsylvania and provide them with trusted and accurate information about the mechanics of voting.

17. Because of the confusion around proper dates on ballots, in 2024, we will be adding information on the consequences of failing to handwrite the date or writing the wrong date on the mail ballot envelope into our revised voter information guide tri-fold pamphlet.

18. Given the number of voters we aim to contact in 2024, any time we have to spend discussing with voters the consequences of failing to date their mail ballot envelopes means we have that much less time to discuss other issues, and register additional Pennsylvanians to vote. If we did not need to educate voters about the date requirement on mail ballot envelopes, we would have more opportunities to discuss other issues with our centered communities instead of spending precious resources instructing them on how to properly fill out the mail ballot envelope.

19. In our experience with engaging Pennsylvania's electorate, the confusion around mail voting has increased voter apathy and fatigue, and casts doubts about the accuracy of our free and fair elections. The ever-changing and inconsistent communication around correctly completing and returning vote by mail ballots tends to result in an electorate that is often misinformed and susceptible to misinformation. As a statewide organization that centers particular counties in our work, it is still paramount that we are able to share accurate information with Pennsylvanians in all 67 counties, so clarity and finality in the law will ensure more uniformity among counties.

20. The inconsistencies and confusion require our organization to spend additional resources to more thoroughly train our staff, produce additional content and literature, more often than planned or budgeted, and requires more staff capacity away from our primary focus of registering Pennsylvanians to vote. The more time and resources our organization is forced to spend providing civic

A461

education around mail voting, the less time and resources our organization has to meet our organizational goals, and the expectations of our funders and donors.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this ____ of May 2024 in Chester County, Pennsylvania.

adedate

Kadida Kenner, Chief Executive Officer New PA Project Education Fund

DECLARATION OF MONICA RUIZ, MSW

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am over eighteen years of age and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of and registered voter in Allegheny County,

Pennsylvania.

4. I am the Executive Director of Casa San José, a nonpartisan, nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization based in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Casa San José employs a staff of 24, is supported by three members of the order of the Sisters of St. Joseph and more than 100 volunteers.

5. Casa San José, connects, supports, and advocates with and for the Latino community. We envision a Pittsburgh region that celebrates Latino culture, welcomes immigrants, and embraces inclusion, dignity, and respect.

6. Casa San José, serves as a base of support for the Latino community where we provide a variety of resources including weekly clinics, food pantries, summer camps, community meetings, Know Your Rights sessions, among other services.

7. Casa San José's mission and programs include a variety of activities such as:

a. Social services and resource mapping

b. Mental health and medical service coordination

A463

c. Youth programming in schools and community centers

d. Voter engagement for the Latino community

e. Community meetings

8. Casa San José is located in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and connects with voters in Allegheny, Beaver, Butler, Erie, Indiana, Lawrence, Washington, and Westmoreland counties. Casa San Jose plans to engage the rapidly growing Latino community through phone calls, relational organizing, text messaging, and digital ads with a goal to increase the civic participation of the Latino communities in 2024.

9. In 2022, Casa San José, conducted three phone call campaigns and three text campaigns. The phone calls and texts were completed by our Community Policy Organizer and six volunteers from either Casa San Jose or the Hispanic Federation. Casa San José's staff and volunteers are bilingual so that they can carry out their mission for voters who speak either English or Spanish.

10. The first campaign of the 22,841 phone call attempts was conducted to explain voting by mail. In our vote by mail campaign, we would ensure that voters knew they had the option to vote by mail and if they did not, we would help them over the phone to fill out the application to vote by mail.

11. The second of the 22,841 phone call attempts consisted of calls in regard to ballot chasing. In our ballot chase campaign, we would call voters who had applied for their mail in ballot. We would inquire whether they had received their ballot or not. If they had not received their ballot, we provided them with resources

A464

to help ascertain its location. If they had received their mail in ballot at the time of the phone call, we would help guide them through the steps to fill it out correctly and seal it in the secrecy envelope before returning it.

12. Also in 2022, Casa San José sent nearly 15,000 texts regarding voting by mail. In our vote by mail text campaign, we would ensure that voters knew of the option to vote by mail and if they did not, we would help them by text to fill out the application to vote by mail.

13. Similar to our phone campaign, we also sent nearly 15,000 texts to voters to check to see if they had applied for a vote by mail ballot, if they had received the ballot, and if so, we would guide them through the steps to properly return the voted ballot by inserting it in the secrecy envelope, and then inserting it into the outer return envelope, and instructing them on how to correctly fill out the declaration on the mail ballot return envelope, especially inserting the date in the proper area.

14. In 2024, Casa San José, plans to engage 9,500 registered voters in Allegheny and surrounding counties to assist them in finding their appropriate polling places, send voter ballot guides, and educate them on how to vote by mail, and help Latino voters navigate voting at the polls.

15. Our plans for 2024 mirror our efforts during the 2020 presidential election cycle. In September and October 2020, Casa San José printed and mailed 13,772 postcards to households throughout southwestern PA providing information on: registering to vote, voting registration deadlines, and voting by mail. Casa San

A465

José targeted areas with known Latinx populations to encourage participation in the 2020 Election.

16. Casa San José provided voter education information through our social media sites, including Spanish videos with information on the importance of voting and the impact on local communities, published photos of events, and pushed information reminding people to register to vote and to vote by mail. Casa San Jose also published voter information banner ads in *Presente* Magazine, a Spanish language Latinx magazine with distribution in Pittsburgh and surrounding areas. Casa's Communications Specialist spent 150 hours working on developing and managing voter engagement content.

17. Contacting voters and spending time and effort on the correct way to fill out the mail ballot envelope is time consuming and requires us to carefully train our callers to make sure they emphasize the need for the date and the consequences for omitting it.

18. Instead of spending labor and resources on voters who thought they already voted properly, our staff could be using their capacity for a multitude of activities including but not limited to:

- a. Create educational material to help voters understand the importance of elections;
- b. Engage with more voters through phone calls and text messages;
- c. Canvass in predominantly Latino neighborhoods;

A466

d. Register voters, especially first time voters;

19. If the mail ballot dating rule continues to be enforced in a way that disenfranchises voters in future elections, Casa San José will have to continue diverting its time and resources away from these activities and toward addressing mail ballot envelope dating issues with voters who thought they already voted properly, as we did in 2022, in connection with the November 2024 general election.

The statements made in this Declaration are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this 27th of May 2024 in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.

Menca Rung, MSW

Monica Ruiz, Executive Director Casa San José

DECLARATION OF ALEX WALLACH HANSON

1. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.

2. I am over eighteen years of age and am otherwise competent to testify.

3. I am a resident of and registered voter in Allegheny County,

Pennsylvania.

4. I am the Executive Director of Pittsburgh United, a nonpartisan, nonprofit 501 (c)(3) organization based in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

5. Pittsburgh United strives to advance social and economic justice in the Pittsburgh region by working to ensure that working families and low and moderate-income communities share in the prosperity that is generated by economic growth and development. We promote strategies that will build healthy and sustainable communities, raise standards for low wage workers, and forge a fair economy for all. We use innovative community organizing, research, advocacy and communications methods to win policy and organizing campaigns.

6. Pittsburgh United is a membership and coalition organization with 31 staff members. We have six offices, one each in Pittsburgh, Ambridge, Meadville, Erie, Greensburg and State College. Over the last 15 years, Pittsburgh United - a coalition of community, faith, environment, and labor - has become one of the most effective forces for poor and working people in the region, winning over \$1.2 billion in economic and community benefits. Together, coalition members are working to create a more just and equitable Western Pennsylvania.

A468

7. Pittsburgh United's work has always been defined by the intersection of economic development and community benefit. Our public policy advocacy has strived to create a community where all workers are able to care for themselves and raise their families, sharing in the prosperity generated by economic growth and development. Our campaigns include:

- a. "Clean Rivers Campaign" to establish an environmentally sustainable plan to remedy the region's sewer problem;
- b. "Our Water Campaign" focusing on access to safe, affordable,
 public water in Pittsburgh;
- c. Worker campaigns advocating for paid sick days, higher wages, union representation, better unemployment benefits and safer working conditions;
- d. Affordable housing campaigns that advocate for residents to benefit from increased investment in communities. Recent wins include, among others, better protections for renters, passage of the Housing Opportunity Fund, and increases in funding for Whole Home Repairs;

8. Pittsburgh United staff and volunteers work to increase civic engagement in the communities we serve. We seek to increase voter turnout and expand access to mail voting in Black, low-income, and white working class communities across our six chapters in Allegheny, Beaver, Erie, Crawford, Centre,

 $\mathbf{2}$

and Westmoreland Counties, while strengthening our relationships in our communities.

9. We engage with voters in a variety of ways, including door to door canvassing, phone, text, and digital outreach, and other community outreach methods. We provide nonpartisan information on the election process, and how elections directly impact the issues that matter to us most, such as jobs, housing, racial justice, and climate equity. We use a variety of methods to reach voters and distribute information via social media platforms many times using content created by our coalition partners.

10. Our team has made hundreds of thousands of calls to voters over the past four years to help voters apply to vote by mail and encourage them to return their mail ballots. We have also knocked on hundreds of thousands of doors, talking to voters about the issues that matter most to people in their communities. Across both of these forms of voter contact, we have had to spend time with voters explaining the numerous steps required to accurately complete a mail ballot, including the date field, and talked to voters who have had their ballot fail to be counted.

11. Our staff has devoted significant resources to calling voters whose mail ballots were rejected because of an error on the outer envelope and advising them to contact their county or go to their local polling place and cast a provisional ballot on election day.

A470

12. In 2024, we expect to expand our programs as described above and launch a Rural Civic Engagement Voting Program. In this effort, we will build on our deep relationships in small cities and towns across Western Pennsylvania to ensure that all communities of color and working class communities are engaged in the process of voting.

13. There are over a dozen small cities and towns with concentrations of people of color, making up tens of thousands of people, that live about a half an hour outside of Pittsburgh. These communities are often left out of public policy conversations because they live in majority white counties.

14. Pittsburgh United will run a comprehensive program with our members to do relational outreach, community events, and canvassing to ensure people of color in these communities have access to mail voting, including "over the counter" mail voting at the county election office, and election day voting to give them every chance to participate in the election.

15. Our voter education and outreach in these rural communities will include specific information on the proper way to fill out a mail ballot envelope including the date, and the consequences for not following the instructions.

16. Pittsburgh United has extremely limited resources to reach people who are typically left out of the process of voting. The time necessary to explain the steps of correctly filling out a mail ballot, including the dating requirement, slows our staff down because they have to take more time in each conversation with a voter.

A471

17. The reality of the time involved in our contacts requires that we choose between either 1) engaging fewer people in the process of voting;or 2) spending more organizational resources explaining the process. Pittsburgh United does not have the resources available to reach as many voters as we could if we did not have to spend the time explaining the dating process to voters.

The statements made in this Declaration are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this 27th of May 2024 in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.

Alex Wallach Hanson, Executive Director Pittsburgh United

DECLARATION OF AMY WIDESTROM

I, Amy Widestrom, hereby declare as follows:

- I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.
- 2. I am over eighteen years of age and am otherwise competent to testify.
- 3. I am a resident of and registered voter in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.
- 4. I am the Executive Director of the League of Women Voters of Pennsylvania ("the League" or "LWVPA"). I have held this position since January 2024.
- 5. The League is a nonpartisan statewide non-profit organization that was formed in 1920 (incorporated in 1923). The League and its members are dedicated to helping the people of Pennsylvania exercise their right to vote, as protected by the law. The League encourages informed and active participation in government, works to increase understanding of major public policy issues, and seeks to influence public policy through education and advocacy. The League is a predominantly volunteer organization and has 30 member chapters and one Inter-League Organization operating in 28 counties around the Commonwealth. LWVPA has nearly 2,500 individual members who are registered voters and regularly vote in state and federal elections using, among other methods, absentee and mail ballots.
- 6. The League's mission is to empower voters and defend democracy, which includes voter registration, education, and get-out-the-vote drives. During

A474

every election cycle, the League conducts voter-registration drives, staffs nonpartisan voter-registration tables, educates incarcerated and formerly incarcerated individuals about their voting rights, and works with local high schools and universities to register young voters. It also maintains an online database called VOTE411, a nonpartisan and free digital voter resource with information available in both English and Spanish, including registration information, voter guides, mail-in ballot information, candidate information, polling rules and locations.

- 7. Following the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's November 1, 2022 decision in Ball v. Chapman, et al., No. 102 MM 2022, county boards of elections segregated and did not count mail ballots that arrived in envelopes missing the voter-written date or showing a date that was deemed "incorrect." This abrupt change in voting rules just before Election Day, after many LWVPA members and others served by LWVPA's mission had already submitted mail ballots, caused LWVPA to redirect its limited resources, including staff and volunteer time, to efforts to inform voters of this change and educate them about how to avoid disenfranchisement. For instance:
 - a. League staff members and volunteers spent time contacting voters directly through any means possible, including via email, in person, and through social media, to alert them that their ballot would not be counted because of the missing or incorrect date and provided steps that voters could take to rectify the error.

- b. The League also enlisted staff members and volunteers from its local chapters and coordinated the chapters' efforts to broadcast the potential to cure ballots on social media channels, sharing available information including, when possible, direct links to undated ballot lists. The League developed and issued a statement about the Pennsylvania court's ruling.
- c. The League's members spent time creating content for its websites, posting information on social media, and attending Board of Elections meetings urging counties to provide notice and cure opportunities for mail-ballot voters.
- 8. The date requirement continues to frustrate LWVPA's ability to fulfill its mission of increasing voter participation and engagement in the electoral process. League staff and volunteers have devoted significant time and resources to educating voters about the intricacies of the mail-ballot process, with particular emphasis on the date requirement. This work has been underway since 2022 and has continued with the 2024 primary election season and in preparation for the 2024 general election season. For example:
 - a. As a direct result of the uncertainty around mail ballots, LWVPA developed and hosts a webinar—"Ballot Box Basics"—to educate voters about the steps to successfully vote by mail. LWVPA has had to spend resources developing this series to inform voters of the required steps—especially the date requirement—to ensure a ballot does not get

rejected for a dating error. Most recently, LWVPA presented this webinar on March 28 and April 16, 2024.

- b. League staff publish written materials to educate voters on how to avoid a ballot being rejected, including through social media posts, emails, and postcards and flyers about the intricacies of voting by mail and the importance of the date requirement to have one's ballot counted.
- c. League staff do media appearances to educate voters about the date requirement and the potential for disenfranchisement if a voter makes minor mistakes when completing a mail-in ballot. For instance, in late February 2024, ahead of the most recent primary election, I was invited to do a radio interview about the redesigned ballot envelope, in which I spent significant airtime reminding voters how to correctly date the outer envelope declaration.
- d. The local League chapters host dozens of voter registration and education events across the Commonwealth in every election cycle, during which energy is devoted to informing voters about administrative details of completing a mail ballot, especially the date requirement.
- 9. If the LWVPA didn't have to devote the time, staff, and financial resources to educating voters about the *logistics* of completing a mail ballot, the importance of properly filling in the date, and checking to ensure that ballots

are ultimately counted, it could instead focus on other important forms of voter engagement and participation, including:

- a. Helping individuals make a personalized plan to vote and developing creative solutions to eliminate voters' personal obstacles to voting;
- b. Outreach and voter registration efforts with new voters, younger voters, and voters from marginalized communities;
- c. Educating voters about substantive issues that affect their lives and communities, and generally directing resources toward making Pennsylvanians more efficacious and informed voters; and
- 10. The date requirement further hinders LWVPA's mission by generating confusion around mail-in ballots and mistrust around the electoral process, which in turn decreases voter participation. Any aspect of the voting process that makes it harder for voters to successfully cast a ballot and have it counted—such as not counting ballots over a dating error—harms the League's efforts to increase voter participation and confidence in the electoral process.
- 11. The League has already diverted numerous resources toward responding to the date requirement. Absent the relief requested in this case, LWVPA will again need to divert resources for the November 2024 election toward similar voter education and outreach efforts so that voters will not be disenfranchised due to mail ballot envelope dating problems. For instance:

- a. The newly hired Voter Services Coordinator will need to focus on issues such as mail-ballot "curing" and providing detailed educational materials on the mechanics of voting, rather than exploring innovative voter engagement strategies and developing new partnerships to increase voter participation.
- b. League staff will continue to expend financial resources and personnel to create educational publicity materials, participate at local meetings to advocate for "cure" procedures, and do media appearances to educate voters about the date requirement.
- c. LWVPA has issued and plans to continue issuing statements, social media posts and other communications about the correct way to submit a mail-in ballot.
- 12. In the April 2024 primary election, the League identified at least one member in Lancaster County whose ballot was rejected because of the date requirement.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S.

§ 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Executed this 24th day of May, 2024 in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.

1L

Amy Widestrom

DECLARATION OF PHILIP HENSLEY-ROBIN

I, Philip Hensley-Robin, hereby declare as follows:

- I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and this is what I would testify to if called as a witness in Court.
- 2. I am over eighteen years of age and am otherwise competent to testify.
- 3. I am a resident of and registered voter in Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania.
- 4. I am the Executive Director of Common Cause Pennsylvania. I have held this position since October, 2023.
- 5. Common Cause Pennsylvania is a non-profit political advocacy organization and a chapter of the national Common Cause organization. Common Cause Pennsylvania (hereinafter "Common Cause") has approximately 36,000 members and supporters in Pennsylvania. These members live in all 67 counties of Pennsylvania, and many members are registered voters in Pennsylvania who are at risk of disenfranchisement if Respondents fail to count timelysubmitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope.
- 6. Common Cause seeks to increase the level of voter registration and voter participation in Pennsylvania elections, especially in communities that are historically underserved and whose populations have a low propensity for voting. Many of these communities are communities of color.

A481

- 7. In preparation for each major statewide election, Common Cause mobilizes hundreds of volunteers to help fellow Pennsylvanians navigate the voting process and cast their votes without obstruction, confusion, or intimidation.
- 8. As part of these efforts, Common Cause is a leader of the nonpartisan Election Protection volunteer program in Pennsylvania, which works to ensure voters have access to the ballot box, to provide voters with necessary voting information and answer their questions, to quickly identify and correct any problems at polling places, and to gather information to identify potential barriers to voting.
- 9. Because of Respondents' refusal to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope, in recent and future elections Common Cause was required and will be required to divert resources from its existing efforts toward educating voters about the drastic consequences of failing to comply with a trivial paperwork requirement that was previously understood (including by numerous federal judges) to be superfluous, and about any available processes in each county for curing mail-in ballots or casting provisional ballots to prevent the disenfranchisement of its members and other Pennsylvania voters.
- 10. For example, during the 2022 election, Common Cause had to reassign its volunteers' time and efforts from Common Cause's other efforts toward contacting and educating voters who had already submitted their mail ballots about how to fix problems with the mail ballot envelope date and avoid

having their vote set aside. And when the Department of State announced that ballot envelopes with an incorrect or missing date would be segregated and not counted, Common Cause redirected resources to ensuring that accurate information was available for voters, including those in Allegheny and Philadelphia Counties. Additionally, Common Cause issued press advisories, held press briefings, and issued press statements with the goal of alerting as many voters as possible to the Commonwealth's requirements. If the envelope dating rule remains in place, Common Cause anticipates engaging in similar efforts during the 2024 general election.

11. If Common Cause did not have to devote the time, staff, and financial resources to educating voters about the *logistics* of completing a mail ballot, the importance of properly filling in the date, and checking to ensure that ballots are ultimately counted, it could instead focus on other important forms of voter engagement and participation, including informing eligible citizens about how to register to vote, debunking election-related misinformation, and conducting additional voter education efforts targeted towards communities that face particular challenges in exercising their right to vote, including voters with limited English language proficiency, voters with disabilities, and voters in pretrial detention or on probation.

I understand that false statements herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Executed this **24** of May, 2024 in Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania.

Milip Hensley-Robin

1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
4	
5	PENNSYLVANIA STATE CONFERENCE
6	OF THE NAACP, et al.,
7	Plaintiffs,
8	v.
9	LEIGH M. CHAPMAN, in her official capacity as
10	Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth, et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	Case No. 1:22-cv-00339-SPB
13	and
14	BETTY EAKIN, et al.
15	Plaintiffs,
16	v.
17	ADAMS COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS, et al.
18	Defendants.
19	Case No. 1:22-cv-340
20	
21	Remote Deposition of Crista Miller
22	Monday, February 13, 2023
23	11:00 a.m.
24	Recorded Stenographically by:
25	Jennifer Miller, RMR, CRR, CCR Job No.:222617

L

	Page 2			Page 3
1	-	1		
2	A P P E A R A N C E S	2	Appearances Cont'd	
3		3	Counsel for Plaintiff in the 1:22-cv-339 matter:	
4	Counsel for Plaintiffs: ACLU of Pennsylvania	4	Megan Keenan, Esq.	
Ť	Hogan Lovells US LLP		Luis Manuel Rico Román, Esq.	
5	Stephen Loney, Jr., Esq.	5	American Civil Liberties Union	
	1735 Market Street		125 Broad Street	
6	Philadelphia, PA 19103	6	New York, NY 10004	
7		8		
8	Counsel for Plaintiff Eakin:		Counsel on behalf of Acting Secretary of the	
9	Daniel Cohen, Esq. Litigation Associate	9	Commonwealth Leigh Chapman:	
9	Elias Law Group		Elizabeth Lester-Abdalla, Esq.	
10	10 G Street NE	10	Honors Deputy Attorney General	
_	Washington DC 20002	11	Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General 1600 Arch Street	
11	-		Philadelphia, PA 19103	
12		12	- ·	
13	Counsel for Plaintiffs:	13		
	Ari Savitzky, Esq.	14	Counsel for behalf of the Lancaster County	
14	American Civil Liberties Union	15	Board of Elections and the Deponent: Walter Zimolong, Esg.	
15	125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004	11	James Fitzpatrick, Esq.	
16	New TOLK, NI 10004	16	Zimolong Law, LLC	
17			353 West Lancaster Avenue	
18	Counsel for Plaintiff:	17	Wayne, PA 19087	
	Witold Walczak, Esq.	18 19	Councel for Alleghony County POP:	
19	American Civil Liberties	19	Counsel for Allegheny County BOE: Lisa Michel, Esq.	
	Union of Pennsylvania	20	Allegheny County Law Department	
20	P.O. Box 23058		Fort Pitt Commons	
21	Pittsburgh, PA 15222	21	445 Fort Pitt Boulevard	
22			Pittsburgh, PA 15219	
23		22 23		
24		24		
25		25		
	Page 4			Page 5
1		1		Page 5
2	Appearances Cont'd	2	Appearances Cont'd	Page 5
	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of		Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections	Page 5
2 3	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections:	2		Page 5
2	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections:	2 3 4	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC	Page 5
2 3	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire	2 3	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street	Page 5
2 3 4 5	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street	2 3 4 5	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County	2 3 4	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street	2 3 4 5 6	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections:	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq.	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street	2 3 4 5 6 7	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq.	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Lebanon County, Monroe County, Montour	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Lebanon County, Montour County, Northumberland County, Snyder County,	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Lebanon County, Monroe County, Montour	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Lebanon County, Monroe County, Montour County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of Elections: Jessica Barnes, Esq. Two Gateway Center	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of Elections: Jessica Barnes, Esq.	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Counsel for Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth Al Schmidt: Robert Wiygul, Esq.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of Elections: Jessica Barnes, Esq. Two Gateway Center	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Counsel for Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth Al Schmidt: Robert Wiygul, Esq. Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of Elections: Jessica Barnes, Esq. Two Gateway Center	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Counsel for Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth Al Schmidt: Robert Wiygul, Esq. Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller One Logan Square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Lebanon County, Monroe County, Montour County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of Elections: Jessica Barnes, Esq. Two Gateway Center Pittsburgh, PA 15222	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Counsel for Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth Al Schmidt: Robert Wiygul, Esq. Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of Elections: Jessica Barnes, Esq. Two Gateway Center Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Counsel on behalf of Defendant Philadelphia Board of Elections: Aimee Thomson, Esq.	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Counsel for Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth Al Schmidt: Robert Wiygul, Esq. Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller One Logan Square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Lebanon County, Monroe County, Montour County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of Elections: Jessica Barnes, Esq. Two Gateway Center Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Counsel on behalf of Defendant Philadelphia Board of Elections: Aimee Thomson, Esq. City of Philadelphia Law Department	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Counsel for Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth Al Schmidt: Robert Wiygul, Esq. Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller One Logan Square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Lebanon County, Monroe County, Montour County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of Elections: Jessica Barnes, Esq. Two Gateway Center Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Counsel on behalf of Defendant Philadelphia Board of Elections: Aimee Thomson, Esq. City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Counsel for Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth Al Schmidt: Robert Wiygul, Esq. Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller One Logan Square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Lebanon County, Monroe County, Montour County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of Elections: Jessica Barnes, Esq. Two Gateway Center Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Counsel on behalf of Defendant Philadelphia Board of Elections: Aimee Thomson, Esq. City of Philadelphia Law Department	Page 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Appearances Cont'd Counsel for Westmoreland County Board of Elections: Melissa Guiddy, Esquire Office of County Solicitor Westmoreland County 2 North Main Street Greensburg, PA 15601 Counsel on behalf of Chester County Board of Elections: Faith Mattox-Baldini, Esq. County of Chester Solicitor's Office 313 W. Market Street, Suite 6702 West Chester, PA 19380 Counsel for Defendant, Bucks County: Amy Fitzpatrick, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Law Department - County of Bucks 55 E. Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Counsel for Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth Al Schmidt: Robert Wiygul, Esq. Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller One Logan Square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Counsel for Luzerne County Board of Elections and Registration: Joseph Cosgrove, Esq. Selingo Guagliardo LLC 345 Market Street Kingston, PA 18704 Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections: Cody Kauffman, Esq. First Assistant County Solicitor Berks County Solicitor's Office 633 Court Street Reading, PA 19601 Counsel on behalf of the Defendants Bedford County, Carbon County Centre County, Columbia County, Dauphin County, Huntingdon County, Indiana County, Jefferson County, Lawrence County, Lebanon County, Monroe County, Montour County, Northumberland County, Snyder County, Venango County, and York County Board of Elections: Jessica Barnes, Esq. Two Gateway Center Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Counsel on behalf of Defendant Philadelphia Board of Elections: Aimee Thomson, Esq. City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street	Page 5

	Page	5		Page 7
1	rage	1		rage /
2	Appearances Cont'd	2	I N D E X	
3	Counsel on behalf of defendant, the Delaware County Board of Elections:	3	WITNESS PAGE BY MR. LONEY 10	
4	J. Manly Parks, Esq.	5	BY MR. LONEY 10 BY MR. OSHER 98	
	Duane Morris LLP	6	EXHIBITS	
5	30 South 17th Street	7		
6	Philadelphia, PA 19103	8	Exhibit 1 Notice of Deposition 17	
7		9	Exhibit 2 Answers of Defendant 20 Lancaster County Board of	
8	Counsel on behalf of the Defendant Butler	10	Elections to Plaintiffs'	
	County Board of Elections:		First Set of Requests for	
9	H. William White, III, Esq. Solicitor for the County of Butler	11	Admission	
10	Butler County Commissioners' Office	12	Exhibit 3 Answers and Objections of 21	
	124 West Diamond Street	13	Defendant Lancaster County Board of Elections to	
11	P.O. Box 1208		Plaintiffs' First Set of	
12	Butler, PA 16003	14	Interrogatories	
13		15	Exhibit 4 Answers and Objections of 23	
	Counsel for Intervenor-Defendants, the	16	Defendant Lancaster County Board of Elections to	
14	Republican National Committee, National		Plaintiffs' First Set of	
15	Republican Congressional Committee, and Republican Party of Pennsylvania:	17	Requests for Production of	
	John Gore, Esq.	18	Documents	
16	Jones Day	1 ₁₈	Exhibit 5 Ball order granting in part 48	
17	51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001	19	and denying in part	
18	Rabiningcon, D.C. 20001		injunction	
	Counsel for Berks County Board of Elections:	20	Exhibit 6 Chapman supplemental order 49	
19	Jeffrey Bukowski, Esq. Smith Bukowski	21	Exhibit 6 Chapman supplemental order 49	
20	14133 Kutztown Road		Exhibit 7 Document Bates-stamped 66	
	Fleetwood, PA 19522	22	DAUPHIN000001	
21		23	Exhibit 8 Document Bates-stamped 73	
22 23		24	DAUPHIN000001_2	
24			Exhibit 9 Document Bates-stamped 75	
25		25	DAUPHIN000001_3	
	Page	в		Page 9
1		1	C. Miller	
2	Exhibits Cont'd	2	PROCEEDINGS	
3	Exhibit 10 Document Bates-stamped 78	3	CHRISTA MILLER, after	
	DAUPHIN00001_5			
4	Exhibit 11 Document Bates-stamped 80	4	having been first duly sworn, was	
	DAUPHIN000001_7	5	examined and testified as follows:	
5		6	MR. OSHER: Before Mr. Loney	
6	Exhibit 12 Mihaliak police report 90	7	begins his questioning, I'd like to put on	
0	Exhibit 13 Answers and Objections of 109	8	the record an agreement that was reached	
7	Exhibit 13 Answers and Objections of 109 Defendant Lancaster County	-		
'	Board of Elections to	9	before we went on the record here, which	
8	Plaintiffs' First Set of	10	is that we are here appearing in two	
Ĩ	Requests for Productions of	11	different cases, the NAACP case, which is	
9	Documents	12	the 22-cv-339 case; and the Eakin case,	
10		13	which is 22-cv-340.	
11		-		
12		14	The parties have agreed that the	
13		15	questioning that is elicited by the	
14		16	plaintiffs in the 339 case will be usable	
15		17	in the 340 case and vice versa. And the	
16		18	Eakin plaintiffs have agreed that the time	
17				
18		19	used by the 339 plaintiffs will count	
19		20	against their seven hours under the rules.	
20		21	Mr. Zimolong, is that sufficient	
21		22	for you?	
22		23	MR. ZIMOLONG: That's accurate.	
23				
24		24	Thank you.	
25		25	MR. OSHER: And, Mr. Loney, is	

Page 10 Page 11 1 C. Miller C. Miller 1 2 2 that good for you? Okay. Have you ever given testimony Ο. 3 MR. LONEY: Yeah. That works 3 at a trial, you know, in a witness box in a for plaintiffs in 339. 4 courtroom? 4 5 5 Thanks for putting that on the Α. I have. б record. б 0. How many times have you done that? 7 MR. OSHER: Okay. And my 7 A few. I don't know the exact Α. 8 understanding is that there's no objection 8 number. 9 from any other party, but they should 9 And have any of those been in ο. 10 speak up now if that is the case. 10 connection with your role with the Lancaster Thanks, all. 11 County Board of Elections? 11 12 12 Α. Yes. 13 EXAMINATION 13 And when was the last time that you 0. 14 14 gave trial testimony in that capacity? 15 BY MR. LONEY: 15 A few weeks ago. Α. 16 Ο. Okay. Good morning, Ms. Miller. 16 0. Okay. Do you remember what the case 17 Thank you for taking the time today. 17 was? 18 Just for the record, my name is 18 Α. It was a hearing with the Department 19 Steve Loney. I'm an attorney with the ACLU of 19 of State. 20 Pennsylvania, and I represent the plaintiffs in 20 Q. Did that case involve mail-in the 339 case. That's the Pennsylvania State ballots? 21 21 22 Conference of the NAACP and all of the other 22 Α. No. 23 plaintiffs in that case. 23 Okay. Well, I'm just going to go 0. 24 24 through a couple of the basics of depositions, Have you been deposed before? 25 25 Α. I have not. some of which you may have heard from your Page 12 Page 13 C. Miller C. Miller 1 1 2 counsel. But it's always helpful to make sure 2 over Zoom, but we just ask that you do what you 3 can to give whoever chimes in a moment to get we're on the same page. 3 4 4 So we have a Court Reporter on their objection on the record before you give 5 the Zoom here. Everything we're saying is 5 your answer. б being transcribed. So it's important for us to б But once the objection is 7 speak as clearly as possible and to avoid 7 stated, you should generally answer the 8 speaking over each other. 8 question posed unless I withdraw the question. 9 And I should ask: Can you hear 9 Does that make sense? me clearly in the room where you're sitting? 10 Yes. 10 Α. 11 Α. Yes. 11 0. The one exception to answering the 12 0. Okay. And it's important to make 12 question posed is if your counsel objects on 13 the basis of privilege. So we don't want you sure your responses are verbal, like the one 13 14 you just gave, because the Court Reporter can't to disclose any information covered by the 14 15 take down gestures or nods and the like. 15 attorney-client privilege, so you should let us 16 Also there are a lot of lawyers hash out any privilege objections before 16 17 here attending for a lot of different parties, 17 answering my question if you hear one of those 18 including your counsel for the Lancaster board. objections. 18 19 They have the right to object to 19 If you don't understand a 20 my questions as we go. I've been known to ask 20 question I ask, feel free to let me know. And 21 some questions that trigger some objections 21 I'll do what I can to explain the question or 22 every once in a while. 22 rephrase. 23 So if your lawyer or anybody 23 If you need a break at any time, 24 else on the Zoom starts to speak when I am 24 just say the word. We'll try to take breaks 25 completing a question, it's a little difficult 25 around every hour, maybe a little bit more than

-	- 14		
1	Page 14 C. Miller	1	Page 15 C. Miller
2	that. And I'll do my best to accommodate a	2	A. No.
3	request for a break unless there's a question	3	Q. And, obviously, you all are on a
4	pending. We always ask that the question be	4	computer to link into this Zoom.
5	answered unless we're taking a break for one of	5	Can you tell me how many screens
6	those privilege objections.	6	you have in front of you?
7	So, with that, can you think of	7	A. Two.
8	any reason why you might not be able to	8	Q. And is there anything other than this
9	understand or respond accurately to any of my	9	Zoom program open on any of those computer
10	questions today?	10	screens?
11	A. No.	11	A. No.
12		12	Q. Do you have a smartphone with you in
13	(Whereupon, there was an	13	the room?
14	off-the-record discussion.)	14	A. Yes.
15		15	Q. Okay. So I'm just going to ask that,
16	BY MR. LONEY:	16	while we're on the record so other than
17	Q. Okay. Ms. Miller, so I should note	17	during breaks that you leave your phone to
18	for the record that this is a remote	18	the side and keep all of the windows, other
19	deposition. We are in separate rooms.	19	than this Zoom screen, closed on your computer
20	It looks like you have	20	while we're on the record.
21	Mr. Zimolong there in the same room with you;	21	Can we agree to that for the
22	is that right?	22	day?
23	A. That's correct.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Is there anybody else in that room	24	Q. Okay. So what is your current
25	with you?	25	position?
			-
1	Page 16 C. Miller	1	Page 17 C. Miller
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
1 2 3	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief		C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had
2	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of	2	C. Miller
2 3	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission.	2 3	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with
2 3 4	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long	2 3 4	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not.
2 3 4 5	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position?	2 3 4 5	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years.	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position also during the November 2022 general election,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.) MR. LONEY: I'm about to show
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position also during the November 2022 general election, right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.) MR. LONEY: I'm about to show
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position also during the November 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And also during the primary election	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.) MR. LONEY: I'm about to show what is in that folder as Tab Number 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position also during the November 2022 general election, right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.) MR. LONEY: I'm about to show what is in that folder as Tab Number 1. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position also during the November 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And also during the primary election in the spring of 2022? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.) MR. LONEY: I'm about to show what is in that folder as Tab Number 1. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position also during the November 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And also during the primary election in the spring of 2022? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.) MR. LONEY: I'm about to show what is in that folder as Tab Number 1. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position also during the November 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And also during the primary election in the spring of 2022? A. Correct. Q. Prior to your role with the strike that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.) MR. LONEY: I'm about to show what is in that folder as Tab Number 1. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.) MR. LONEY: Hopefully I can do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position also during the November 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And also during the primary election in the spring of 2022? A. Correct. Q. Prior to your role with the strike that. Drior to your current role, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.) MR. LONEY: I'm about to show what is in that folder as Tab Number 1. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.) MR. LONEY: Hopefully I can do this correctly. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position also during the November 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And also during the primary election in the spring of 2022? A. Correct. Q. Prior to your role with the strike that. Prior to your current role, did you ever have any other position with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.) MR. LONEY: I'm about to show what is in that folder as Tab Number 1. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.) MR. LONEY: Hopefully I can do this correctly. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Ms. Miller, do you see on your screen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller A. I am the chief clerk and chief registrar of the Lancaster County Board of Elections and Registration Commission. Q. How long have you held how long have you had that position? A. Two years. Q. What did you do before that? A. I worked for USA Field Hockey as the women's team manager. Q. And so you've been in your current position for two years. So you were in that position also during the November 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And also during the primary election in the spring of 2022? A. Correct. Q. Prior to your role with the strike that. Drior to your current role, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller Q. And prior to your current role, had you ever had any other roles dealing with elections? A. I did not. MR. LONEY: I'm going to share my screen and ask that the document I'm putting up be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion.) MR. LONEY: I'm about to show what is in that folder as Tab Number 1. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.) MR. LONEY: Hopefully I can do this correctly. BY MR. LONEY:

Page 18 Page 19 C. Miller 1 C. Miller 1 Yes, I do. 2 2 the board's behalf? Α. 3 Do you see anything else on that 3 0. Α. I just reviewed the questions with my shared screen? 4 4 lawyer. 5 5 Α. No. 0. Did you speak with any other -- any б 0. So I'm showing you on the screen б of the board members in preparation for this 7 what's being marked as Exhibit Lancaster 1. 7 deposition? 8 It's the notice of today's deposition. 8 Α. I did not. 9 Have you seen this deposition 9 And you said that you met with your ο. 10 notice before? 10 lawyer. And I don't want to get into what was Α. I have. 11 discussed between you and your lawyer, but how 11 12 And do you understand that you've 12 many times did you meet about this deposition? Ο. 13 13 been designated to testify on behalf of the Α. Once. Lancaster board --14 14 And for how long? Q. 15 Α. Yes. 15 Α. I believe an hour. Did you review any documents to get 16 0. -- concerning the topics in this 16 Ο. 17 deposition notice? ready for this deposition, other than the 17 notice that's up on the screen right now? 18 Α. Yes. 18 19 Ο. So I'm going to scroll to the second 19 Α. I did. 20 page, which is a list of topics. 20 Q. What other documents did you review? Did you review these topics We reviewed -- I reviewed the 21 21 Α. 22 before today's deposition? 22 documents that we had submitted previously with 23 Α. I did. 23 answers to questions. 24 And we'll go through those in a Ο. And what, if anything, did you do to 24 Q. 25 second, but did those also have a -- a court 25 prepare yourself to speak about these topics on Page 20 Page 21 1 C. Miller 1 C. Miller 2 caption on them like this notice of deposition 2 is being marked as Exhibit Lancaster 2. It's 3 does? 3 the Lancaster board's answers to plaintiffs' 4 4 Α. first set of requests for admissions. Yes. 5 0. Did you review any other documents 5 Is this one of the documents you б without a court caption on them in preparation reviewed in preparation for your deposition? б 7 7 for this deposition? Α. Yes. 8 Α. Just any documents that had been sent 8 Ο. Did you personally review the 9 over that we would be looking at today. 9 plaintiffs' request for admission before they 10 So anything that you reviewed has 10 were submitted in this case on January 20th? 0. been produced to -- in this case? 11 11 Α. Yes. 12 Α. From the best of my knowledge, yes. 12 0. And did you approve the responses MR. LONEY: Okay. Let's take 13 13 before they were served on the other side? 14 this down and put up -- for the Court 14 Δ I did. 15 15 MR. LONEY: I'll take that down Reporter's benefit, it's Tab 2. 16 for now and move on to the next one, 16 which, for the Court Reporter, is Tab 3. 17 (Whereupon, Exhibit 2 was marked 17 18 for identification.) 18 19 19 (Whereupon, Exhibit 3 was marked 20 MR. LONEY: And I'll ask that 20 for identification.) 21 this be marked as Exhibit Lancaster 2. 21 22 I'll share that now. 22 MR. LONEY: And I'll ask that 23 BY MR. LONEY: 23 this be marked as Lancaster 3. So, Ms. Miller, I'm showing on the 24 0. 24 BY MR. LONEY: 25 screen a document that's been marked as -- or 25 Ms. Miller, I'm showing on the screen ο.

	Dec. 00		Dama 22
1	Page 22 C. Miller	1	Page 23 C. Miller
2	a document that is being marked as Lancaster 3.	2	Lancaster 3 down.
3	It's the Lancaster board's answers and	3	I'm going to do one more before
4	objections to the plaintiffs' first set of	4	we get into some more questions.
5	interrogatories.	5	For the Court Reporter's
	Is this also one of the	6	_
6			benefit, this is Tab 4.
7	documents that you reviewed in preparation for	7	
8	your deposition today?	8	(Whereupon, Exhibit 4 was marked
9	A. I did.	9	for identification.)
10	Q. And I'm going to scroll please	10	
11	stop me if you feel the need to look at any	11	BY MR. LONEY:
12	part of this document that I'm sort of going	12	Q. Ms. Miller, I'm showing on the screen
13	past quickly, but I'm going to go to the last	13	a document that is being marked as Lancaster 4.
14	page for now, which is a declaration page.	14	It's the Lancaster board's
15	Is that your signature on the	15	answers and objections to plaintiffs' first set
16	declaration page?	16	of requests for production of documents.
17	A. It is.	17	Is this also a document you
18	Q. And did you review the answers to	18	reviewed in preparation for your testimony
19	these interrogatories before they were served	19	today?
20	on January 20th?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. Yes.	21	Q. And did you review the plaintiffs'
22	Q. And you approved the substance of the	22	requests for production of documents before the
23	answers?	23	Lancaster board responded on January 20th?
24	A. I did.	24	A. Yes.
25	MR. LONEY: I'm taking	25	Q. And did you approve the responses
23			2. Inta ara joa approve ene responses
1	Page 24	1	Page 25
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs'	2	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the
2 3	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production?	2 3	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the
2 3 4	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did.	2 3 4	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies
2 3 4 5	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know	2 3 4 5	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for
2 3 4	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full	2 3 4	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable
2 3 4 5	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know	2 3 4 5	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a handwritten date or showed a date that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a handwritten date or showed a date that the board deemed to be incorrect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a handwritten date or showed a date that the board deemed to be incorrect. Do you see the request that I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. That's not what it says. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a handwritten date or showed a date that the board deemed to be incorrect. Do you see the request that I'm referring to? A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. That's not what it says. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Did the board not agree to produce
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a handwritten date or showed a date that the board deemed to be incorrect. Do you see the request that I'm referring to? A. I do. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. That's not what it says. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Did the board not agree to produce copies of the envelopes pursuant to an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a handwritten date or showed a date that the board deemed to be incorrect. Do you see the request that I'm referring to? A. I do. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. That's not what it says. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Did the board not agree to produce copies of the envelopes pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a handwritten date or showed a date that the board deemed to be incorrect. Do you see the request that I'm referring to? A. I do. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. That's not what it says. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Did the board not agree to produce copies of the envelopes pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Goes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a handwritten date or showed a date that the board deemed to be incorrect. Do you see the request that I'm referring to? A. I do. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. That's not what it says. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Did the board not agree to produce copies of the envelopes pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Goes to attorney-client work product litigation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a handwritten date or showed a date that the board deemed to be incorrect. Do you see the request that I'm referring to? A. I do. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I do. BY MR. LONEY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. That's not what it says. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Did the board not agree to produce copies of the envelopes pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Goes to attorney-client work product litigation strategy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller that were served in response to the plaintiffs' request for production? A. I did. Q. So I'm going to again, let me know if you feel the need to review the full document, but I'm going to jump to Request Number 2. Hopefully, you can see me highlighting that on the screen. So this is a request for copies of the mail ballot return envelopes that were set aside because they either lacked a handwritten date or showed a date that the board deemed to be incorrect. Do you see the request that I'm referring to? A. I do. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller scrolling a bit down to page 3 now where the Lancaster board responded, notwithstanding the objections, that the board "will produce copies of the ballots, redacted where appropriate, for inspection and review pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order and other order of the Court." Am I reading that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So were you aware that the board had agreed to provide copies of the mail ballot envelopes at issue pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. That's not what it says. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Did the board not agree to produce copies of the envelopes pursuant to an acceptable confidentiality order? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Goes to attorney-client work product litigation

	Page 26		Page 27
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	BY MR. LONEY:	2	sure exactly what you're asking. I never
3	Q. Are you going to follow your	3	saw I've not seen anything or or I
4	counsel's instruction not to answer my last	4	should say our board has not shown me
5	question?	5	anything that they would have received.
6	A. I am.	6	BY MR. LONEY:
7	Q. Okay. Are you aware that the	7	Q. Okay. So you're not aware that the
8	Lancaster board consented to an acceptable	8	Court entered a confidentiality order in this
9	confidentiality order on February 3rd?	9	case on February 7th? That hasn't been shown
10	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection.	10	to you?
11	BY MR. LONEY:	11	A. Not to my knowledge.
12	Q. You can answer.	12	Q. Okay. Has the board or have you
13	MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for	13	prepared envelope copies for production and
14	speculation.	14	inspection in this case?
15	BY MR. LONEY:	15	A. I have not.
16	Q. Are you are you aware of that	16	Q. Do you know if anybody has?
17	fact?	17	A. I do not.
18	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls	18	Q. Have you or anybody else working for
19	for speculation. There's no acceptable	19	the Lancaster board provided counsel with
20	confidentiality order.	20	copies of the envelopes at issue?
21	BY MR. LONEY:	21	A. We did not.
22	Q. Okay. You can answer.	22	MR. LONEY: All right. So I'll
23	MR. ZIMOLONG: If you understand	23	note for the record that we also have not
24	it.	24	received any requests for envelope copies,
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not	25	despite our repeated requests and the
1	Page 28 C. Miller	1	Page 29 C. Miller
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked	2	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our
2 3	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4.	2 3	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2.
2 3 4	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold	2 3 4	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong.
2 3 4 5	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to	2 3 4 5	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production.	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's February 13th. You've had these for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back. MR. LONEY: All right. Go for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's February 13th. You've had these for 23 days.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back. MR. LONEY: All right. Go for it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's February 13th. You've had these for 23 days. On Friday night	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back. MR. LONEY: All right. Go for it. MR. ZIMOLONG: And what I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's February 13th. You've had these for 23 days. On Friday night MR. LONEY: We've had the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back. MR. LONEY: All right. Go for it. MR. ZIMOLONG: And what I'm saying is you've misrepresented what this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's February 13th. You've had these for 23 days. On Friday night MR. LONEY: We've had the enveloped for 23 days?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back. MR. LONEY: All right. Go for it. MR. ZIMOLONG: And what I'm saying is you've misrepresented what this says. You've made a statement on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's February 13th. You've had these for 23 days. On Friday night MR. LONEY: We've had the enveloped for 23 days? MR. ZIMOLONG: You've had the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back. MR. LONEY: All right. Go for it. MR. ZIMOLONG: And what I'm saying is you've misrepresented what this says. You've made a statement on the record as if it were a fait accompli.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's February 13th. You've had these for 23 days. On Friday night MR. LONEY: We've had the enveloped for 23 days? MR. ZIMOLONG: You've had the answers for 23 days.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back. MR. LONEY: All right. Go for it. MR. ZIMOLONG: And what I'm saying is you've misrepresented what this says. You've made a statement on the record as if it were a fait accompli. Ms. Miller is here as a designee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's February 13th. You've had these for 23 days. On Friday night MR. LONEY: We've had the enveloped for 23 days? MR. ZIMOLONG: You've had the answers for 23 days. On Friday night you sent an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back. MR. LONEY: All right. Go for it. MR. ZIMOLONG: And what I'm saying is you've misrepresented what this says. You've made a statement on the record as if it were a fait accompli. Ms. Miller is here as a designee pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6). She's here for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's February 13th. You've had these for 23 days. On Friday night MR. LONEY: We've had the enveloped for 23 days? MR. ZIMOLONG: You've had the answers for 23 days. On Friday night you sent an email to me stating produce the mail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back. MR. LONEY: All right. Go for it. MR. ZIMOLONG: And what I'm saying is you've misrepresented what this says. You've made a statement on the record as if it were a fait accompli. Ms. Miller is here as a designee pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6). She's here for seven hours. You agreed to it. She's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller representation in the exhibit marked Lancaster 4. So we're going to have to hold this deposition open and come back to complete it, if necessary, after the board completes its production. MR. ZIMOLONG: Well, no. And we're not you can state whatever you want for the record. We're not agreeing to produce another designee here. The answers were served on January 20th. It's January it's February 13th. You've had these for 23 days. On Friday night MR. LONEY: We've had the enveloped for 23 days? MR. ZIMOLONG: You've had the answers for 23 days. On Friday night you sent an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller agreed to produce them pursuant to our answer to Request for Production Number 2. You're wrong. MR. LONEY: So can I just instead of your objection MR. ZIMOLONG: No. You can just put something on the record. I'm not speaking objection anything. You just went on the record and stated what you're going to do. And I'm stating in response to that. That's not a question. That's a statement. So I can make a statement back. MR. LONEY: All right. Go for it. MR. ZIMOLONG: And what I'm saying is you've misrepresented what this says. You've made a statement on the record as if it were a fait accompli. Ms. Miller is here as a designee pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6). She's here for

	Page 30		Page 31
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	with this answer. You believe it's	2	in Request for Production Number 2.
3	incorrect. You believe that we made	3	MR. ZIMOLONG: I never refused
4	something we have produced not	4	that. I've objected to it.
5	produced something has been agreed to be	5	MR. LONEY: So can I can I
6	produced or there was an unreasonable	б	ask you
7	objection.	7	MR. ZIMOLONG: No.
8	Well, we can take that up. We	8	MR. LONEY: Can I ask you just
9	can meet and confer about it, and you can	9	for the record
10	advise the Court if you need it.	10	MR. ZIMOLONG: I'm not going to
11	But to say here today,	11	have a deposition where you state
12	February 13th, after having these answers	12	something on the record because you heard
13	for 23 days, that you're going to bring	13	they refused to produce
14	her back because you don't think the	14	MR. LONEY: Okay. So
15	production is full or complete, that	15	MR. ZIMOLONG: The answer is
16	doesn't work it doesn't work that way.	16	on the answer is on the screen before
17	So I'll let you keep continuing	17	you. Okay?
18	your questioning.	18	MR. LONEY: Correct. And it
19	MR. LONEY: Okay. So just so	19	says
20	that we're all clear, what I'm putting on	20	MR. ZIMOLONG: The proper way
21	the record now is a reservation of rights	21	and if you had a problem with that, up
22	to hold this deposition open and also to	22	until any of the previous 23 days, you
23	file a motion to compel now that I'm	23	could have met and conferred with me about
24	hearing Lancaster County is now refusing	24	that. Perhaps we could have broached that
25	to produce the envelope copies requested	25	impasse. Perhaps we couldn't.
	Page 32		Deve. 22
			Page 33
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition,	2	C. Miller it.
2 3	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're	2 3	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't.
2 3 4	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it.	2 3 4	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed.
2 3 4 5	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion.	2 3 4 5	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you?	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the request for production, we have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make misrepresentations on the record, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the request for production, we have an Lancaster County Board of Elections has a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make misrepresentations on the record, I'm going to make statements back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the request for production, we have an Lancaster County Board of Elections has a objection, as we've told you repeatedly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. JONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make misrepresentations on the record, I'm going to make statements back. MR. LONEY: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the request for production, we have an Lancaster County Board of Elections has a objection, as we've told you repeatedly. Not us, not just us, Mr. Loney.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make misrepresentations on the record, I'm going to make statements back. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: So if you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the request for production, we have an Lancaster County Board of Elections has a objection, as we've told you repeatedly. Not us, not just us, Mr. Loney. Multiple counties have an objection to it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. JONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. JONEY: Okay. MR. JONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make misrepresentations on the record, I'm going to make statements back. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: So if you want to continue with your questioning, you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the request for production, we have an Lancaster County Board of Elections has a objection, as we've told you repeatedly. Not us, not just us, Mr. Loney. Multiple counties have an objection to it. As we told you, when we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make misrepresentations on the record, I'm going to make statements back. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: So if you want to continue with your questioning, you're free. I haven't interrupted your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the request for production, we have an Lancaster County Board of Elections has a objection, as we've told you repeatedly. Not us, not just us, Mr. Loney. Multiple counties have an objection to it. As we told you, when we were negotiating the confidentiality order, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. JONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. JONEY: Okay. MR. JONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make misrepresentations on the record, I'm going to make statements back. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: So if you want to continue with your questioning, you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the request for production, we have an Lancaster County Board of Elections has a objection, as we've told you repeatedly. Not us, not just us, Mr. Loney. Multiple counties have an objection to it. As we told you, when we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. JONEY: Okay. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make misrepresentations on the record, I'm going to make statements back. MR. ZIMOLONG: So if you want to continue with your questioning, you're free. I haven't interrupted your questioning at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the request for production, we have an Lancaster County Board of Elections has a objection, as we've told you repeatedly. Not us, not just us, Mr. Loney. Multiple counties have an objection to it. As we told you, when we were negotiating the confidentiality order, we have an objection to it. As we told you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. JONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. JIMOLONG: You started it. MR. JIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make misrepresentations on the record, I'm going to make statements back. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: So if you want to continue with your questioning, you're free. I haven't interrupted your questioning at all. MR. LONEY: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller So now you're in a deposition, and you're making a statement that we're refusing to produce it. I have a difference of opinion. MR. LONEY: Are you? MR. ZIMOLONG: What's that? MR. LONEY: Are you refusing to produce them? MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah. We have an objection to producing them. MR. LONEY: Okay. So you're refusing MR. ZIMOLONG: As stated in the request for production, we have an Lancaster County Board of Elections has a objection, as we've told you repeatedly. Not us, not just us, Mr. Loney. Multiple counties have an objection to it. As we told you, when we were negotiating the confidentiality order, we have an objection to it. As we told you in negotiating the confidentiality order,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller it. MR. LONEY: I didn't. MR. ZIMOLONG: Yeah, you agreed. MR. LONEY: Can we stop making speeches on the record? Can we do that? MR. ZIMOLONG: You started it. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: I will be happy for you to continue with your questioning. MR. LONEY: So MR. ZIMOLONG: This colloquy is a statement. And if you're going to make statements on the record and make misrepresentations on the record, I'm going to make statements back. MR. LONEY: Okay. MR. ZIMOLONG: So if you want to continue with your questioning, you're free. I haven't interrupted your questioning at all. MR. ZIMOLONG: But you started

Page 34	Page 35
1 C. Miller 1	C. Miller
2 back. 2 my question	ing.
3 So would you like to question 3 M	R. ZIMOLONG: Well, you have
4 the witness, Mr. Loney? 4 misrepresen	ted it, but I'll let you
5 MR. LONEY: Okay. So I'm going 5 I'll let yo	u continue.
6 to question the witness subject to our 6 M	R. LONEY: Okay. So I'm going
7 ongoing objection to the refusal to 7 to take the	document production responses
8 produce these envelopes. 8 off the scr	een and go back to the
9 And just to make sure there are 9 interrogato	ry responses, which are Exhibit
10 no open misstatements on the record, we 10 Lancaster 3	
11 did meet and confer after receiving a 11 BY MR. LONEY:	
12 response on January 20th. We engaged in 12 Q. And I	have jumped here, Ms. Miller,
13 lengthy meet-and-confers about an 13 to Interrogatory	Number 14.
14 acceptable confidentiality order. One was 14 D	o you see that on your on
15 entered, six days ago, and we are awaiting 15 your screen?	
16 the production that we asked for. 16 A. I do.	
17 Now, given that you're not 17 Q. And, a	gain, if you feel the need to
18 providing it and you are standing on an 18 flip through thi	s and look at anything else to
	our answer, let me know. But,
20 confidentiality order, I'm noting for the 20 otherwise, I'm j	ust going to ask about Question
21 record that we may go to the Court. 21 Number 14 for a	moment.
22 There's no misrepresentation of 22 S	o plaintiffs' interrogatory
23 any of that. I'm telling you what we may 23 reads: "Do you	contend that the handwritten
24 do after this. 24 date is material	in determining whether a
25 Now, I'm going to continue with 25 ballot" "a ma	il ballot voter is qualified to
Page 36	Page 37
1 C. Miller 1	C. Miller
2 vote in the election in which they have cast a 2 Q. And th	e date written on the envelope
3 ballot?" If so, what is the basis for that 3 doesn't tell you	whether the voter is or has
4 contention?" 4 been a U.S. citi	zen for at least a month,
5 Did I read that correctly? 5 right?	
6 A. You did. 6 A. Correc	t.
7 Q. And can you take a moment to read 7 Q. And th	e date written on the envelope
8 over the Lancaster board's response? 8 doesn't tell you	whether the voter has resided
9 A. Yeah, I will. 9 in Lancaster Cou	nty for at least 30 days, does
10 Q. Let me know when you're finished 10 it?	
11 reading. 11 A. Correc	t.
12 A. Okay. 12 Q. And it	also doesn't tell you whether
13 Q. So the response that you just read, 13 the person votin	g is incarcerated on a felony
14 you reviewed that and approved it before it was 14 conviction, right	t?
	+
15 served in this case, right? 15 A. Correc	
	l of those other things I just
16A. Yes.16Q. For al17Q. And so you agree, in the first17 went through	l of those other things I just citizenship, age, residence in
16A. Yes.16Q. For al17Q. And so you agree, in the first17 went through	l of those other things I just
16A. Yes.16Q. For al17Q. And so you agree, in the first17went through18instance, looking at the first line of the18the county, whet19response, that the dates written on envelopes19incarcerated	l of those other things I just citizenship, age, residence in her the person is the Lancaster board has other
16A. Yes.16Q. For al17Q. And so you agree, in the first17went through18instance, looking at the first line of the18the county, whet19response, that the dates written on envelopes19incarcerated20are not material to the question of whether a20methods of confi	l of those other things I just citizenship, age, residence in her the person is
16A. Yes.16Q. For al17Q. And so you agree, in the first17went through18instance, looking at the first line of the18the county, whet19response, that the dates written on envelopes19incarcerated20are not material to the question of whether a20methods of confi21person is qualified to vote?21are relevant to	l of those other things I just citizenship, age, residence in her the person is the Lancaster board has other rming all of those things that qualification, right? You
16A. Yes.16Q. For al17Q. And so you agree, in the first17went through18instance, looking at the first line of the18the county, whet19response, that the dates written on envelopes19incarcerated20are not material to the question of whether a20methods of confi21person is qualified to vote?21are relevant to22The date written on the22don't need the -	l of those other things I just citizenship, age, residence in her the person is the Lancaster board has other rming all of those things that
16A. Yes.16Q. For al17Q. And so you agree, in the first17went through18instance, looking at the first line of the18the county, whet19response, that the dates written on envelopes19incarcerated20are not material to the question of whether a20methods of confi21person is qualified to vote?21are relevant to22The date written on the22don't need the -23envelope, for example, doesn't tell you whether23envelope?	l of those other things I just citizenship, age, residence in her the person is the Lancaster board has other rming all of those things that qualification, right? You
16A. Yes.16Q. For al17Q. And so you agree, in the first17went through18instance, looking at the first line of the18the county, whet19response, that the dates written on envelopes19incarcerated20are not material to the question of whether a20methods of confi21person is qualified to vote?21are relevant to22The date written on the22don't need the -23envelope, for example, doesn't tell you whether23envelope?	l of those other things I just citizenship, age, residence in her the person is the Lancaster board has other rming all of those things that qualification, right? You

	Page 38		Page 39
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	You can answer.	2	Do I have that right?
3	THE WITNESS: That is correct.	3	A. Correct.
4	BY MR. LONEY:	4	Q. And that's the only way a
5	Q. But it's the Lancaster board's	5	voter-written date is relevant to whether the
6	position that and looking again at	6	vote is counted, right, to determine if the
7	Interrogatory Number 14 that the date is,	7	voter complied with that requirement to date
8	nevertheless, material in determining whether	8	and sign?
9	the ballot was cast in compliance with the	9	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to
10	election code; is that right?	10	form.
11	A. That is correct.	11	You can answer.
12	Q. Okay. So can you help me understand	12	THE WITNESS: We use that date.
13	how that is?	13	We follow the court order, if there is
14	Is it because the voter who	14	one, for that election to give us the date
15	didn't write the correct date next to their	15	range and if there is a date there at all.
16	signature didn't comply with the election code	16	BY MR. LONEY:
17	and its requirement to sign and date the outer	17	Q. Right. If they don't include the
18	envelope?	18	date, it's a noncompliant vote, based on the
19	A. Correct. The election code says that	19	most recent court order. And if they did
20	it must be dated, and so we are looking to see	20	provide a date within a particular range, it's
21	if there is a date or not to determine whether	21	a compliant vote.
22	we can open to count the ballot or not.	22	Do I have that right?
23	Q. Okay. So you've used the date or the	23	A. That is correct.
24	absence of a date to determine whether the	24	0. And that's that's the end of the
25	voter complied with the dating requirements.	25	analysis of the date, from the Lancaster
			-
	- 10		
1	Page 40 C. Miller	1	Page 41 C. Miller
1 2	C. Miller	1 2	C. Miller
1	-		C. Miller Can you help me square that with
2	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct.	2	C. Miller
2 3 4	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to	2 3	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory
2 3	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct.	2 3 4	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a
2 3 4 5	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for	2 3 4 5	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission.	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote?
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for denying this request is this overlaps with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the statement that we've asked the counties to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the statement that we've asked the counties to admit is: "You have never used or referred to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for denying this request is this overlaps with Interrogatory 14.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the statement that we've asked the counties to admit is: "You have never used or referred to the date handwritten on the outer envelope	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for denying this request is this overlaps with Interrogatory 14. Am I right that Interrogatory 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the statement that we've asked the counties to admit is: "You have never used or referred to the date handwritten on the outer envelope containing a mail ballot for any purpose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for denying this request is this overlaps with Interrogatory 14. Am I right that Interrogatory 14 tells us how the Lancaster board uses the date
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the statement that we've asked the counties to admit is: "You have never used or referred to the date handwritten on the outer envelope containing a mail ballot for any purpose related to determining or confirming the mail ballot voter's eligibility (that is, their age,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for denying this request is this overlaps with Interrogatory 14. Am I right that Interrogatory 14 tells us how the Lancaster board uses the date on the envelopes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the statement that we've asked the counties to admit is: "You have never used or referred to the date handwritten on the outer envelope containing a mail ballot for any purpose related to determining or confirming the mail ballot voter's eligibility (that is, their age, citizenship, county, and duration of residence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for denying this request is this overlaps with Interrogatory 14. Am I right that Interrogatory 14 tells us how the Lancaster board uses the date on the envelopes? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the statement that we've asked the counties to admit is: "You have never used or referred to the date handwritten on the outer envelope containing a mail ballot for any purpose related to determining or confirming the mail ballot voter's eligibility (that is, their age, citizenship, county, and duration of residence and felony status)."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for denying this request is this overlaps with Interrogatory 14. Am I right that Interrogatory 14 tells us how the Lancaster board uses the date on the envelopes? A. Correct. MR. ZIMOLONG: Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the statement that we've asked the counties to admit is: "You have never used or referred to the date handwritten on the outer envelope containing a mail ballot for any purpose related to determining or confirming the mail ballot voter's eligibility (that is, their age, citizenship, county, and duration of residence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for denying this request is this overlaps with Interrogatory 14. Am I right that Interrogatory 14 tells us how the Lancaster board uses the date on the envelopes? A. Correct. MR. ZIMOLONG: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the statement that we've asked the counties to admit is: "You have never used or referred to the date handwritten on the outer envelope containing a mail ballot for any purpose related to determining or confirming the mail ballot voter's eligibility (that is, their age, citizenship, county, and duration of residence and felony status)." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for denying this request is this overlaps with Interrogatory 14. Am I right that Interrogatory 14 tells us how the Lancaster board uses the date on the envelopes? A. Correct. MR. ZIMOLONG: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is there anything else any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller board's perspective; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So I'm going to flip back to Exhibit Lancaster 2, which is the requests for admission. Do you have that on your screen now? A. I do. Q. And I'm going to focus on the first one, Request for Admission Number 1, on that first page. The request is or the statement that we've asked the counties to admit is: "You have never used or referred to the date handwritten on the outer envelope containing a mail ballot for any purpose related to determining or confirming the mail ballot voter's eligibility (that is, their age, citizenship, county, and duration of residence and felony status)."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller Can you help me square that with the first sentence from the interrogatory response we just saw that said the handwritten date is not material to determining whether a mail ballots voter is qualified to vote? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: We have not used it to determine someone's eligibility. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Okay. So the board's only basis for denying this request is this overlaps with Interrogatory 14. Am I right that Interrogatory 14 tells us how the Lancaster board uses the date on the envelopes? A. Correct. MR. ZIMOLONG: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MR. LONEY:

1 2	Page 42		Page 43
2	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
	mail ballot inside an envelope other than what	2	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to
3	we've already discussed and what's in these	3	form.
4	written responses?	4	You can answer.
5	A. There is not.	5	THE WITNESS: Yes. The scanners
6	MR. LONEY: I'm going to stop	6	will not scan it.
7	sharing this.	7	BY MR. LONEY:
8	BY MR. LONEY:	8	Q. But did the Lancaster board
9	Q. So if we're talking about what the	9	disqualify any ballots for using a color ink
10	election code requirement that the envelope be	10	other than black, blue, or blue-black?
11	signed and dated, does Lancaster County or the	11	A. Not that I can think of.
12	Lancaster County Board of Elections take the	12	Q. Let's say the legislature added a
13	same position with respect to any provision in	13	provision to the election code requiring every
14	the election code? If the code requires it,	14	voter to write the name of their first pet or
15	then you require it to count the vote?	15	their mother's maiden name on a return
16	A. Yes.	16	envelope.
17	Q. Would that include the requirement to	17	Would the mother's maiden name
18	use blue, black, or blue-black ink in fountain	18	be material to whether the ballot is eligible
19	pen or ballpoint pen or black lead pencil or	19	to be counted, in your view?
20	indelible pencil to mark a ballot?	20	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to
21	A. Yes.	21	form. Calls for speculation.
22	Q. So that requirement for the either	22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, in
23	using pencil or a particular color ink is on	23	my opinion, there's I don't know that
24	the same level, from your perspective, as the	24	that would ever happen. But if there was
25	date requirement?	25	a court order requiring it, we would be
	A		1 5 .
1	Page 44 C. Miller	1	Page 45 C. Miller
2	we would have to follow it.	2	outer envelope, then Lancaster County did not
		4	outer enverope, then handaster county are not
		2	
3	BY MR. LONEY:	3	count their ballot.
3 4	Q. And if there's a requirement in the	4	count their ballot. Is that do I understand that
3 4 5	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it,	4	count their ballot. Is that do I understand that correctly?
3 4 5 6	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a	4 5 6	count their ballot. Is that do I understand that correctly? A. That is correct.
3 4 5 6 7	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their	4 5 6 7	count their ballot. Is that do I understand that correctly? A. That is correct. Q. And if a voter returned a ballot with
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be	4 5 6 7 8	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their	4 5 6 7	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be	4 5 6 7 8	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls	4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted?	4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. BY MR. LONEY:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. BY MR. LONEY: Q. You can answer.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. BY MR. LONEY: Q. You can answer. A. If there was a court order that we	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. BY MR. LONEY: Q. You can answer. A. If there was a court order that we were to follow that said it, we would have to follow it.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. BY MR. LONEY: Q. You can answer. A. If there was a court order that we were to follow that said it, we would have to follow it.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. BY MR. LONEY: Q. You can answer. A. If there was a court order that we were to follow that said it, we would have to follow it. Q. So I'll get back to the facts in this case. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. BY MR. LONEY: Q. You can answer. A. If there was a court order that we were to follow that said it, we would have to follow it. Q. So I'll get back to the facts in this case. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. BY MR. LONEY: Q. You can answer. A. If there was a court order that we were to follow that said it, we would have to follow it. Q. So I'll get back to the facts in this case. So you agree that, if a voter returns a ballot or returned a ballot in 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And if there's a requirement in the election code, and the Court says follow it, that says every voter has to draw a self-portrait on their return envelope on their mail ballot, would drawing the self-portrait be material as to whether the ballot is eligible to be counted? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony. Also beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. BY MR. LONEY: Q. You can answer. A. If there was a court order that we were to follow that said it, we would have to follow it. Q. So I'll get back to the facts in this case. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>count their ballot.</pre>

Page 46 Page 47 1 C. Miller C. Miller 1 November 5th, 2022, and the guidance of the 2 And I'll ask the other way. 2 Q. 3 3 Do you know how many of those secretary of state." 4 Did I read that correctly? 4 were set aside because they had something 5 5 written in the date line that was deemed I think I might have missed the 6 word "respectively" in there. б incorrect? 7 Yes, that is correct. 7 Off the top of my head, I do not Α. Α. 8 Sorry. I was just trying to 8 know. 9 find it. 9 But if we had those -- copies of the Q. 10 Ο. Yeah. And to your knowledge, is that 10 ballot envelopes, we could see for ourselves, number correct, 232? Was that the total number 11 right? 11 12 of mail ballots envelopes set aside by the 12 Α. Correct. 13 Lancaster board in the November 2022 election? 13 Do you have a sense for what the --0. 14 That had to do with this Supreme 14 so I don't want you to guess. I'd like you to Α. 15 Court order, yes. 15 estimate, if you can, based on your knowledge 16 Okay. Thanks for that clarification. of the -- of the numbers you've seen. 0. 16 17 And that includes both the 17 Do you think it was -- more than envelopes received with no handwritten date and 18 18 200 of those 232 were completely undated? envelopes with -- received with something 19 19 Α. No. 20 written in the date line but it was deemed 20 ο. Was it the majority? incorrect; is that right? 21 21 Α. It was approximately 50 percent. 22 Α. Correct. 22 MR. LONEY: Okay. I'm going to 23 0. Of those 232, how many were set aside 23 ask the Court Reporter to mark as Exhibit 24 because they had no voter-written date at all? 24 Lancaster 5 the document I previously sent 25 25 Α. Off the top of my head, I don't know. as Tab 5. Page 48 Page 49 1 C. Miller C. Miller 1 2 2 3 3 (Whereupon, Exhibit 6 was marked (Whereupon, Exhibit 5 was marked 4 for identification.) for identification.) 4 5 5 MR. LONEY: I'll share that on MR. LONEY: I'll share that now. б б 7 7 my screen now. BY MR. LONEY: 8 BY MR. LONEY: 8 0. Ms. Miller, do you see on your screen 9 Ms. Miller, do you have on your 9 another Supreme Court of Pennsylvania document Q. screen a Supreme Court of Pennsylvania document with a caption starting "David Ball"? 10 10 11 with a caption starting "David Ball"? 11 Α. I do. 12 12 Α. I do. 0. So this document on your screen is 13 13 being marked as Exhibit Lancaster 6. It is a Okay. So this is being marked as 0. 14 Exhibit Lancaster 5. It's a November 1st, 14 November 5th, 2022, supplemental order of the 15 15 2022, per curiam order of the Pennsylvania Pennsylvania Supreme Court in Ball versus Supreme Court in Ball versus Chapman, Case 16 Chapman, same case number as Exhibit 5. 16 Number 102 MM 2022. 17 17 Is this the November 5th order 18 And my only question on this, 18 you referenced in response to Interrogatory 19 Ms. Miller: Is this the November 1st order you 19 Number 2? 20 20 referenced in response to Interrogatory Α. Yes. 21 Number 2? 21 0. Prior to these orders from the 22 22 Pennsylvania Supreme Court, the secretary of Α. Yes. 23 MR. LONEY: I'll ask the Court 23 state had instructed county boards to open and 24 Reporter to mark as Lancaster 6 Tab 24 canvass ballots received in envelopes without a 25 Number 6 in the folder I sent. 25 handwritten date on them, right?

	Page 50		Page 51
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	A. Correct.	2	anybody that their ballot was going to be set
3	Q. And the Lancaster board was going to	3	aside on this basis?
4	follow that guidance had the Supreme Court not	4	A. No.
5	weighed in in November; is that right?	5	Q. If anybody had separately learned,
6	A. That is correct.	6	you know, by reading the news or following the
7	Q. In other words, had these orders not	7	secretary of state's website, if they had
8	come in the week before the election, Lancaster	8	separately learned that this issue had come up,
9	board would have canvassed and opened the mail	9	could they have come in and cast a provisional
10	ballot envelopes received without a handwritten	10	ballot on Election Day if they chose to do so?
11	date on them?	11	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. Asks
12	A. Correct.	12	the witness to guess.
13	Q. And when these orders came out, did	13	BY MR. LONEY:
14	the Lancaster board give anyone the opportunity	14	Q. Do you know whether that was an
15	to strike that.	15	option?
16	When the orders came out, did	16	A. Any voter could vote a provisional
17	the Lancaster board notify Lancaster County	17	ballot on Election Day at their precinct.
18	voters of this change in approach?	18	
19	A. We did not.	19	(Stenographer clarification.)
20	Q. Did the Lancaster board give anybody	20	
21	the opportunity to correct any problems with	21	BY MR. LONEY:
22	the missing or incorrect dates on their mail	22	Q. Do you know if anybody, in fact, cast
23	ballot envelopes?	23	a provisional ballot who also had their prior
24	A. No.	24	attempt at voting by mail set aside based on
25	Q. Did the Lancaster board notify	25	the Supreme Court's orders in Ball?
1	Page 52 C. Miller	1	Page 53 C. Miller
1 2		1 2	
	C. Miller		C. Miller
2	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember.	2	C. Miller aside?
2 3	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the	2 3	C. Miller aside? A. Correct.
2 3 4	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen?	2 3 4	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th,
2 3 4 5	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure.	2 3 4 5	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order,
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order.	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall outside the date range of September 19th, 2022,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in particular?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall outside the date range of September 19th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in particular? A. I believe the order was from the 19th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall outside the date range of September 19th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. Did I read that correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in particular? A. I believe the order was from the 19th through the 8th. So the 20th would have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall outside the date range of September 19th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes, for mail ballots.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in particular? A. I believe the order was from the 19th through the 8th. So the 20th would have been included.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall outside the date range of September 19th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes, for mail ballots. Q. So if somebody strike that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in particular? A. I believe the order was from the 19th through the 8th. So the 20th would have been included. Q. So am I correct, though, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall outside the date range of September 19th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes, for mail ballots. Q. So if somebody strike that. And is this the instruction that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in particular? A. I believe the order was from the 19th through the 8th. So the 20th would have been included. Q. So am I correct, though, that Lancaster County did not even start issuing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall outside the date range of September 19th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes, for mail ballots. Q. So if somebody strike that. And is this the instruction that the Lancaster board followed in connection with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in particular? A. I believe the order was from the 19th through the 8th. So the 20th would have been included. Q. So am I correct, though, that Lancaster County did not even start issuing mail ballot packets until September 26th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall outside the date range of September 19th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes, for mail ballots. Q. So if somebody strike that. And is this the instruction that the Lancaster board followed in connection?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in particular? A. I believe the order was from the 19th through the 8th. So the 20th would have been included. Q. So am I correct, though, that Lancaster County did not even start issuing mail ballot packets until September 26th? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall outside the date range of September 19th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes, for mail ballots. Q. So if somebody strike that. And is this the instruction that the Lancaster board followed in connection with mail ballots submitted in the 2022 election? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in particular? A. I believe the order was from the 19th through the 8th. So the 20th would have been included. Q. So am I correct, though, that Lancaster County did not even start issuing mail ballot packets until September 26th? A. Correct. Q. So nobody could have actually been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller A. No, not that I can remember. Q. No, you don't know one way or the other? Or, no, that didn't happen? A. I am not sure. Q. Okay. So I'm going to turn back to Exhibit Lancaster 6, the November 5th order. Spanning the first and second page, the Supreme Court stated that for the purposes of the November 8th, 2022, general election, incorrectly dated ballots or strike that incorrectly dated outer envelopes are those with dates that fall outside the date range of September 19th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes, for mail ballots. Q. So if somebody strike that. And is this the instruction that the Lancaster board followed in connection with mail ballots submitted in the 2022 election? A. Yes. Q. So if somebody wrote a date on their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller aside? A. Correct. Q. And if somebody wrote September 20th, 2022, and everything else appeared in order, that would have been in compliance with the election code, as interpreted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so the Lancaster board would have opened that envelope and canvassed the ballot? A. If the date was withinside what the order gave us, yes, we would have counted it. Q. Including September 20th, in particular? A. I believe the order was from the 19th through the 8th. So the 20th would have been included. Q. So am I correct, though, that Lancaster County did not even start issuing mail ballot packets until September 26th? A. Correct. Q. So nobody could have actually been signing that envelope as early as

	Page 54		Page 55
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	Q. But if they wrote "September 20th,	2	A. I don't remember. I believe we would
3	2022," the envelope would not have been set	3	have set those aside as it was not a full date.
4	aside on the basis of the Ball order?	4	Q. Okay. But the entire month of
5	A. Correct.	5	October is within the range provided by the
6	Q. If somebody wrote a date after	6	Supreme Court, right?
7	November 8th, 2022, you also would have set	7	A. Correct.
8	that aside pursuant to the court order?	8	Q. But if they said "October 2022," you
9	A. Correct. Except for a military	9	still would have set that aside?
10	ballot was a different deadline.	10	A. I don't remember that we had anything
11	Q. And what was the military ballot	11	like that to actually have looked at. Those
12	deadline?	12	would have just been set aside to look at at
13	A. The military sorry.	13	the canvassing, and then a decision would have
14	Military ballots are due back to	14	been made.
15	county boards of elections one week	15	0. Okay. And if we could look at the
16	postelection. So this past election would have	16	copies of the mail ballot envelopes, we might
17	been November 15th.	17	find some in there that say "October 2022"?
18	Q. Okay. So if a military ballot voter	18	A. I don't know off the top of my head.
19	got their ballot back by November 15th but	19	Q. What about if somebody wrote the
20	wrote a date on the envelope that postdated	20	month and day that was between September 19th
21	November 15th, that would have been set aside?	21	and November 8th but didn't write a year?
22	A. Yes, that would have been set aside.	22	So if somebody just wrote
23	Q. What about somebody who wrote	23	"October 1st" with no year, would you have set
24	"October 2022" but didn't provide the exact	24	that aside?
25	day?	25	A. Yes.
25			
1	Page 56	1	Page 57
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the	2	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it,
2 3	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range?	2 3	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date?
2 3 4	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside	2 3 4	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to
2 3 4 5	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the	2 3 4 5	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation.
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election.	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And you know for sure that nobody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that. Q. Now, the November 5th supplemental
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And you know for sure that nobody submitting any of these ballots filled them out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that. Q. Now, the November 5th supplemental order of the Supreme Court said the envelopes
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And you know for sure that nobody submitting any of these ballots filled them out earlier in the year than September 26th because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that. Q. Now, the November 5th supplemental order of the Supreme Court said the envelopes could be dated through November 8th, 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And you know for sure that nobody submitting any of these ballots filled them out earlier in the year than September 26th because that's when you first started issuing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that. Q. Now, the November 5th supplemental order of the Supreme Court said the envelopes could be dated through November 8th, 2022. Did the Lancaster board apply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And you know for sure that nobody submitting any of these ballots filled them out earlier in the year than September 26th because that's when you first started issuing the ballot packages, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that. Q. Now, the November 5th supplemental order of the Supreme Court said the envelopes could be dated through November 8th, 2022. Did the Lancaster board apply that literally to mean, if somebody wrote
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And you know for sure that nobody submitting any of these ballots filled them out earlier in the year than September 26th because that's when you first started issuing the ballot packages, right? A. Military ballots are different from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that. Q. Now, the November 5th supplemental order of the Supreme Court said the envelopes could be dated through November 8th, 2022. Did the Lancaster board apply that literally to mean, if somebody wrote "November 8th, 2022," that was within the range
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And you know for sure that nobody submitting any of these ballots filled them out earlier in the year than September 26th because that's when you first started issuing the ballot packages, right? A. Military ballots are different from that. But all normal mail-in or absentee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that. Q. Now, the November 5th supplemental order of the Supreme Court said the envelopes could be dated through November 8th, 2022. Did the Lancaster board apply that literally to mean, if somebody wrote "November 8th, 2022," that was within the range because it's through November 8th and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And you know for sure that nobody submitting any of these ballots filled them out earlier in the year than September 26th because that's when you first started issuing the ballot packages, right? A. Military ballots are different from that. But all normal mail-in or absentee ballots, that is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that. Q. Now, the November 5th supplemental order of the Supreme Court said the envelopes could be dated through November 8th, 2022. Did the Lancaster board apply that literally to mean, if somebody wrote "November 8th, 2022," that was within the range
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And you know for sure that nobody submitting any of these ballots filled them out earlier in the year than September 26th because that's when you first started issuing the ballot packages, right? A. Military ballots are different from that. But all normal mail-in or absentee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that. Q. Now, the November 5th supplemental order of the Supreme Court said the envelopes could be dated through November 8th, 2022. Did the Lancaster board apply that literally to mean, if somebody wrote "November 8th, 2022," that was within the range because it's through November 8th and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller Q. Why? Didn't that person date the envelope, and isn't October 1st in the range? A. Again, that would have been set aside to be looked at at the canvass as part of the election. I do not believe that we had any like that, though. So I would be speculating what we would have done. Q. Okay. And all of the just so I'm clear, all of the ballots at issue in this case were submitted for the 2022 general election, right? A. Correct. Q. And you know for sure that nobody submitting any of these ballots filled them out earlier in the year than September 26th because that's when you first started issuing the ballot packages, right? A. Military ballots are different from that. But all normal mail-in or absentee ballots, that is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller voter has to write the year or, as you put it, the full date? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would need to see one in front of me to look at how we have it. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall whether it says full date, month, day, year? A. I believe that it does, but I would just need to see one to confirm that. Q. Now, the November 5th supplemental order of the Supreme Court said the envelopes could be dated through November 8th, 2022. Did the Lancaster board apply that literally to mean, if somebody wrote "November 8th, 2022," that was within the range because it's through November 8th and that ballot would be counted?

	Page 58		Page 59
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	Election Day, right, leaving aside what the	2	notice.
3	qualification you gave earlier about military	3	She's here as a fact witness.
4	votes?	4	You're getting into opinion testimony.
5	A. Correct.	5	You can answer to the extent you
6	Q. Now, if an envelope comes in with a	6	understand it.
7	postmark on it, you know that it was mailed and	7	BY MR. LONEY:
8	not placed in a drop box by the voter, right?	8	Q. Do you understand my question?
9	A. Correct.	9	A. I do.
10	Q. And we know would you agree with	10	We do work with our local postal
11	me that any ballot received through the U.S.	11	service, and they do deliver on Election Day.
12	mail by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day must have	12	Multiple carriers come in. So there is a
13	been placed in a mailbox sometime before	13	chance that, if someone put one in a mailbox on
14	Election Day?	14	the 8th, we would still receive it on the 8th.
	-		,
15	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to	15	Q. Fair enough.
16	form.	16	So the Lancaster board didn't do
17	You can answer.	17	anything to evaluate when somebody put
18	THE WITNESS: Correct.	18	"November 8th, 2022," on their mail-in envelope
19	BY MR. LONEY:	19	to see whether they could have actually signed
20	Q. Which must mean that anybody who	20	it on the same day?
21	mailed their ballot in time for it to be	21	A. I'm not sure I understand that
22	received on November 8th could not have been	22	question.
23	signing the envelope on November 8th?	23	Q. I'll ask a different question.
24	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection.	24	If the date showing on the outer
25	Beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6)	25	envelope was November 8th, 2022, and everything
	Page 60		Page 61
1	Page 60 C. Miller	1	Page 61 C. Miller
1 2	-	1 2	
	C. Miller		C. Miller
2	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster	2	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they
2 3	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop,	2 3	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right?
2 3 4	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to	2 3 4	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on	2 3 4 5	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th?	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the voter-written date to make a determination as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the voter-written date to determine when the voter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the voter-written date to make a determination as to when the voter actually signed their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the voter-written date to determine when the voter actually filled out the ballot, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the voter-written date to make a determination as to when the voter actually signed their envelope, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the voter-written date to determine when the voter actually filled out the ballot, right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the voter-written date to make a determination as to when the voter actually signed their envelope, right? A. Correct. We would not know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the voter-written date to determine when the voter actually filled out the ballot, right? A. Correct. Q. What about envelopes received after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the voter-written date to make a determination as to when the voter actually signed their envelope, right? A. Correct. We would not know that. Q. Let's talk a bit about dates falling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the voter-written date to determine when the voter actually filled out the ballot, right? A. Correct. Q. What about envelopes received after Election Day?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the voter-written date to make a determination as to when the voter actually signed their envelope, right? A. Correct. We would not know that. Q. Let's talk a bit about dates falling after November 8th, and I'm going to limit these questions to domestic mail-in ballots,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the voter-written date to determine when the voter actually filled out the ballot, right? A. Correct. Q. What about envelopes received after Election Day? Leaving aside for a second the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the voter-written date to make a determination as to when the voter actually signed their envelope, right? A. Correct. We would not know that. Q. Let's talk a bit about dates falling after November 8th, and I'm going to limit these questions to domestic mail-in ballots, right. So leaving aside the military ballots	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the voter-written date to determine when the voter actually filled out the ballot, right? A. Correct. Q. What about envelopes received after Election Day? Leaving aside for a second the date issue on what's written on the envelope, what does the Lancaster board do with mail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the voter-written date to make a determination as to when the voter actually signed their envelope, right? A. Correct. We would not know that. Q. Let's talk a bit about dates falling after November 8th, and I'm going to limit these questions to domestic mail-in ballots, right. So leaving aside the military ballots that might have come in by the 15th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the voter-written date to determine when the voter actually filled out the ballot, right? A. Correct. Q. What about envelopes received after Election Day? Leaving aside for a second the date issue on what's written on the envelope, what does the Lancaster board do with mail ballots received after Election Day?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the voter-written date to make a determination as to when the voter actually signed their envelope, right? A. Correct. We would not know that. Q. Let's talk a bit about dates falling after November 8th, and I'm going to limit these questions to domestic mail-in ballots, right. So leaving aside the military ballots that might have come in by the 15th. If you receive an envelope by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the voter-written date to determine when the voter actually filled out the ballot, right? A. Correct. Q. What about envelopes received after Election Day? Leaving aside for a second the date issue on what's written on the envelope, what does the Lancaster board do with mail ballots received after Election Day? A. They are time-stamped in to show when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller else appeared to be in order, the Lancaster board would have counted it, period, full stop, right? There's no further evaluation as to whether or not the person signed it on November 8th? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: As long as it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. BY MR. LONEY: Q. And because that's what the Supreme Court instructed, not because you're using the voter-written date to make a determination as to when the voter actually signed their envelope, right? A. Correct. We would not know that. Q. Let's talk a bit about dates falling after November 8th, and I'm going to limit these questions to domestic mail-in ballots, right. So leaving aside the military ballots that might have come in by the 15th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller after November 8th, regardless of what they wrote on the envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But pursuant to the court order, you still would have set aside any envelope where the voter wrote a date that falls after November 8th, 2022, even if it was received by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, right? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you're following strictly following the court order, not because you're using the voter-written date to determine when the voter actually filled out the ballot, right? A. Correct. Q. What about envelopes received after Election Day? Leaving aside for a second the date issue on what's written on the envelope, what does the Lancaster board do with mail ballots received after Election Day?

	Page 62		Page 63
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	Q. And they're set aside and not counted	2	September 19th, right?
3	regardless of the date the voter writes on	3	A. Correct.
4	them, right?	4	Q. So even if somebody wrote "9/1/2022"
5	A. Correct.	5	on their envelope, you knew for a fact they
6	Q. So if the voter doesn't get their	6	could not have actually tried to vote using
7	mail ballot to the board by 8:00p.m.	7	this paperwork on 9/1/2022?
8	on Election Day, they couldn't possibly get	8	A. Correct.
9	their late vote counted by backdating the	9	Q. But you would have set aside that
10	signature on the envelope, right?	10	envelope anyway because that's what the Supreme
11	A. Correct.	11	Court instructed, right?
12	Q. So whether or not you receive a	12	A. For mail ballots, yes. Absentees had
13	ballot before 8:00 p.m. on Election Day has	13	a different date range.
14	nothing to do with whether the voter wrote	14	Q. Do you know what the date range was
15	"November 8th, 2022," or some earlier date on	15	for absentee?
16	the envelope?	16	A. August 30th through November 8th.
17	A. Correct.	17	Q. And so I can put up the document
18	Q. Now, going to the other end of the	18	again, but I just read it. And good memory;
19	timeline, envelopes dated before	19	that's exactly what the document said in the
20	September 19th, 2022. Again, I'll focus on	20	next part. It wasn't intended to be a memory
21	domestic mail ballots, leaving aside the	21	test.
22	military ballots.	22	But it said August 30th, 2022,
23	There is no way anybody in	23	through November 8th, 2022, and did not set
24	Lancaster County could have actually filled out	24	forth a different deadline for military
25	the 2022 general election paperwork before	25	ballots, right?
	Page 64		Page 65
1	Page 64 C. Miller	1	Page 65 C. Miller
1 2		1 2	C. Miller So, for example, some people who
	C. Miller		C. Miller
2	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee	2	C. Miller So, for example, some people who
2 3	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots.	2 3 4 5	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write
2 3 4	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would	2 3 4	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4?
2 3 4 5	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly	2 3 4 5	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood. So if you receive a military	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did, we yes. I mean, I'm sure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood. So if you receive a military absentee ballot on November 14th, that met the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did, we yes. I mean, I'm sure that happened. But that would be seen as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood. So if you receive a military absentee ballot on November 14th, that met the submission deadline; but if the date the voter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did, we yes. I mean, I'm sure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood. So if you receive a military absentee ballot on November 14th, that met the submission deadline; but if the date the voter wrote on that envelope was November 9th, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did, we yes. I mean, I'm sure that happened. But that would be seen as month, date, year in our office. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood. So if you receive a military absentee ballot on November 14th, that met the submission deadline; but if the date the voter wrote on that envelope was November 9th, you would have set it aside pursuant to the court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did, we yes. I mean, I'm sure that happened. But that would be seen as month, date, year in our office. BY MR. LONEY: Q. So your office would not have done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood. So if you receive a military absentee ballot on November 14th, that met the submission deadline; but if the date the voter wrote on that envelope was November 9th, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did, we yes. I mean, I'm sure that happened. But that would be seen as month, date, year in our office. BY MR. LONEY: Q. So your office would not have done anything to evaluate whether flipping the day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood. So if you receive a military absentee ballot on November 14th, that met the submission deadline; but if the date the voter wrote on that envelope was November 9th, you would have set it aside pursuant to the court order? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did, we yes. I mean, I'm sure that happened. But that would be seen as month, date, year in our office. BY MR. LONEY: Q. So your office would not have done anything to evaluate whether flipping the day and the month in the order would have actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood. So if you receive a military absentee ballot on November 14th, that met the submission deadline; but if the date the voter wrote on that envelope was November 9th, you would have set it aside pursuant to the court order? A. Correct. Q. Got it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did, we yes. I mean, I'm sure that happened. But that would be seen as month, date, year in our office. BY MR. LONEY: Q. So your office would not have done anything to evaluate whether flipping the day and the month in the order would have actually cured a problem? You just did not count it if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood. So if you receive a military absentee ballot on November 14th, that met the submission deadline; but if the date the voter wrote on that envelope was November 9th, you would have set it aside pursuant to the court order? A. Correct. Q. Got it. Would the same thing be true of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did, we yes. I mean, I'm sure that happened. But that would be seen as month, date, year in our office. BY MR. LONEY: Q. So your office would not have done anything to evaluate whether flipping the day and the month in the order would have actually cured a problem? You just did not count it if it didn't hit the range, assuming everybody is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller A. Military ballots are absentee ballots. Q. So the Pennsylvania Supreme Court said on November 5th, 2022, that an incorrectly dated outer envelope for absentee ballots would be one with a date falling outside the range of August 30th, 2022, through November 8th, 2022. But you still would have counted a military absentee ballot received and dated up through November 15th? A. Received by the 15th. It still would have to be dated by the 8th. Q. Understood. So if you receive a military absentee ballot on November 14th, that met the submission deadline; but if the date the voter wrote on that envelope was November 9th, you would have set it aside pursuant to the court order? A. Correct. Q. Got it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller So, for example, some people who wish to indicate November 4th might write 4/11 instead of 11/4? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that something you're aware of people doing out in the world? MR. ZIMOLONG: Calls for speculation as to what people out in the world do. THE WITNESS: If somebody did, we yes. I mean, I'm sure that happened. But that would be seen as month, date, year in our office. BY MR. LONEY: Q. So your office would not have done anything to evaluate whether flipping the day and the month in the order would have actually cured a problem? You just did not count it if

1	Page 66		Page 67
	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	MR. LONEY: I think now would be	2	my next line of questioning, I'm using a
3	a good time to take five minutes before I	3	couple of examples from Dauphin County's
4	get into the next stretch.	4	production because we don't have any
5	Can we go off the record.	5	produced by Lancaster County. And Dauphin
6		6	is a neighboring county to Lancaster that
	(Whereupon, a short recess was	7	redacted all of the personal identifying
8	taken.)	8	information of any voter on any of these
9	careii.)	9	
			envelopes.
10	MR. LONEY: Ms. Miller, I'm	10	I understand that the plaintiffs
11	going to show the next exhibit and ask the	11	in the 340 case have also received the
12	Court Reporter to mark Tab 7 as Exhibit	12	same production pursuant to protective
13	Lancaster 7.	13	order.
14		14	BY MR. LONEY:
15	(Whereupon, Exhibit 7 was marked	15	Q. So this Exhibit Number 7,
16	for identification.)	16	Lancaster 7, does this show the same
17		17	declaration form that voters in Lancaster
18	MR. LONEY: I'm sharing my	18	County would have gotten for the 2022 general
19	screen now.	19	election?
20	BY MR. LONEY:	20	A. I would need to see one of ours with
21	Q. Ms. Miller, do you see on the screen	21	it to confirm, but it looks similar.
22	a mail-in ballot envelope sample?	22	Q. You don't see anything on here
23	A. I do, yes.	23	that other than the markings at the very
24	MR. LONEY: Okay. And for the	24	bottom for this case, you don't see anything on
25	record, I'll note that, in order to orient	25	here that would distinguish it, as you sit here
	Page 68		Page 69
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
		1	
2	right now, from what Lancaster County voters	2	Q. Okay. So we would need to see one of
3	right now, from what Lancaster County voters qot?	2	
3	got?		the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's
3 4	got? A. Again, I would have to see ours	3 4	the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement?
3	got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare.	3	the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct.
3 4 5 6	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a</pre>	3 4 5 6	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there</pre>
3 4 5 6 7	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in</pre>	3 4 5 6 7	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required."</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return envelope stamped by the Lancaster board would</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return envelope stamped by the Lancaster board would reflect the day the envelope was received by</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return envelope stamped by the Lancaster board would reflect the day the envelope was received by the board, right?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Not for Dauphin</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return envelope stamped by the Lancaster board would reflect the day the envelope was received by the board, right? A. Correct.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Not for Dauphin County. But, again, I would need to see </pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return envelope stamped by the Lancaster board would reflect the day the envelope was received by the board, right? A. Correct. Q. So if the date stamp applied by the</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Not for Dauphin County. But, again, I would need to see Lancaster's county next to it.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return envelope stamped by the Lancaster board would reflect the day the envelope was received by the board, right? A. Correct. Q. So if the date stamp applied by the Lancaster board was before 8:00 p.m. on 1/8/22,</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Not for Dauphin County. But, again, I would need to see Lancaster's county next to it. BY MR. LONEY:</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return envelope stamped by the Lancaster board would reflect the day the envelope was received by the board, right? A. Correct. Q. So if the date stamp applied by the Lancaster board was before 8:00 p.m. on 1/8/22, that envelope was received in time under the</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Not for Dauphin County. But, again, I would need to see Lancaster's county next to it. EY MR. LONEY: Q. Do the counties have different forms</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return envelope stamped by the Lancaster board would reflect the day the envelope was received by the board, right? A. Correct. Q. So if the date stamp applied by the Lancaster board was before 8:00 p.m. on 1/8/22, that envelope was received in time under the election code, right?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Not for Dauphin County. But, again, I would need to see Lancaster's county next to it. EY MR. LONEY: Q. Do the counties have different forms for these declarations and outer envelopes</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return envelope stamped by the Lancaster board would reflect the day the envelope was received by the board, right? A. Correct. Q. So if the date stamp applied by the Lancaster board was before 8:00 p.m. on 1/8/22, that envelope was received in time under the election code, right? A. Correct. </pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>got? A. Again, I would have to see ours directly next to it in order to compare. Q. Okay. Well, this exhibit shows a date line. It says "today's date" and, in parentheses, "required." Do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q. And there's nothing there that requires to our earlier conversation requires that month, day, and year be provided in that order, is there? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Not for Dauphin County. But, again, I would need to see Lancaster's county next to it. EY MR. LONEY: Q. Do the counties have different forms</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>the Lancaster envelopes to know whether there's a month, day, year requirement? A. Correct. Q. Also, in Exhibit Lancaster 7, there is a date stamp date and time stamp near the top. I've just highlighted it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did the Lancaster board also apply a date stamp to incoming mail ballot envelopes? A. Yes. Q. And the date stamp on the return envelope stamped by the Lancaster board would reflect the day the envelope was received by the board, right? A. Correct. Q. So if the date stamp applied by the Lancaster board was before 8:00 p.m. on 1/8/22, that envelope was received in time under the election code, right?</pre>

	Demo 70	1	Demo 71
1	Page 70 C. Miller	1	Page 71 C. Miller
2	handwritten date on this envelope that reads	2	you would look at this 2012 date to determine
3	"11/7/2012."	3	when the voter actually filled out their
4	Do you see that?	4	ballot, right?
5	A. Ido.	5	A. We would have been following the
6	Q. Now, if this were received in	6	order from the Court.
7	Lancaster County, it couldn't possibly be	7	0. But you wouldn't have viewed this
8	somebody who actually tried to vote in 2012,	8	2012 date as any indication that somebody was
9	right?	9	attempting to mark their ballot outside of the
10	A. Correct.	10	allowable date, right?
11	Q. And nobody none of us knew that	11	A. I'm not sure I completely understand
12	Dr. Oz was running for Senate in 2012.	12	that question.
13	So had you received an envelope	13	Q. I'll ask a different question.
14	in Lancaster County where somebody, similarly,	14	Does it matter to the Lancaster
15	wrote "2012" as the year instead of "2022,"	15	County board whether somebody was actually
16	would that have indicated to you that the voter	16	marking their ballot within the date range if
17	was engaging in any sort of fraud?	17	they got the wrong date on the envelope?
18	A. Not fraud.	18	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to
19	Q. But you would have set aside this	19	form.
20	vote because it's incorrectly dated because it	20	To the extent you understand the
21	falls outside the date range ordered by the	21	question.
22	Supreme Court, right?	22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can you
23	A. Correct.	23	rephrase that.
24	Q. And that's because you were following	24	BY MR. LONEY:
25	the Supreme Court's instructions, not because	25	Q. Sure. If somebody strike that.
	D		
1	Page 72	1	Page 73
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope	2	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the
2 3	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time,	2 3	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th,
2 3 4	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before	2 3 4	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right?
2 3 4 5	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right?	2 3 4 5	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to mark the next exhibit,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and the November 8th stamp voted actually filled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and the November 8th stamp voted actually filled out their envelope during that window, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to mark the next exhibit, which is Tab 8, as Lancaster 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and the November 8th stamp voted actually filled out their envelope during that window, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to mark the next exhibit, which is Tab 8, as Lancaster 8. (Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and the November 8th stamp voted actually filled out their envelope during that window, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to mark the next exhibit, which is Tab 8, as Lancaster 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and the November 8th stamp voted actually filled out their envelope during that window, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: One would have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to mark the next exhibit, which is Tab 8, as Lancaster 8. (Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and the November 8th stamp voted actually filled out their envelope during that window, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: One would have to assume that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to mark the next exhibit, which is Tab 8, as Lancaster 8. (Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) MR. LONEY: Share that on my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and the November 8th stamp voted actually filled out their envelope during that window, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: One would have to assume that. BY MR. LONEY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to mark the next exhibit, which is Tab 8, as Lancaster 8. (Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) MR. LONEY: Share that on my screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and the November 8th stamp voted actually filled out their envelope during that window, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: One would have to assume that. BY MR. LONEY: Q. I mean, there's no way they could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to mark the next exhibit, which is Tab 8, as Lancaster 8. (Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) MR. LONEY: Share that on my screen. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and the November 8th stamp voted actually filled out their envelope during that window, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: One would have to assume that. BY MR. LONEY: Q. I mean, there's no way they could have voted before September 26th, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to mark the next exhibit, which is Tab 8, as Lancaster 8. (Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) MR. LONEY: Share that on my screen. BY MR. LONEY: Q. This is another example from Dauphin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller If the stamp on the envelope indicates the mail ballot was received in time, right so the stamp is on or before November 8th, right? A. Yes. Q. And you know that nobody voted before September 26th, 2022, because nobody could have gotten the mail ballot forms before that, right, in Lancaster County? A. Correct. Q. And so you know everybody who submitted one of these envelopes between the time you issued the mail ballot packages and the November 8th stamp voted actually filled out their envelope during that window, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: One would have to assume that. BY MR. LONEY: Q. I mean, there's no way they could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller voted after November 8th if you stamped the envelope "received" on or before November 8th, right? A. Correct. Q. So in those situations, does any of that matter once you see that somebody mistakenly put "2012" instead of "2022" on their envelope? A. For this election, it did not because the Supreme Court order gave us date ranges to use. MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to mark the next exhibit, which is Tab 8, as Lancaster 8. (Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) MR. LONEY: Share that on my screen. BY MR. LONEY:

	Dama 74		
1	Page 74 C. Miller	1	Page 75 C. Miller
2	envelope sample up on your screen?	2	they would have set this aside based on what
3	A. I do.	3	appears on the face of the envelope, right?
4	Q. And there's also a stamp on this	4	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to
5	example near the top, similar to the date	5	form.
6	stamps that the Lancaster board applied when it	6	You can answer.
7	received incoming mail ballots, right?	7	THE WITNESS: Yes. We take the
8	A. Correct.	8	date that is written by the voter.
9	0. And there's also a handwritten date	9	BY MR. LONEY:
	~		
10	on this envelope that reads "1/1/22," right?	10	~
11	A. Correct.	11	what the Supreme Court instructed you to do,
12	Q. And just like the last example, we	12	not because you would look at a January date
13	know nobody filled out a mail-in ballot for the	13	and think that the person actually tried to
14	November '22 election as early as New Year's	14	vote in January, right?
15	Day 2022, right?	15	A. Correct.
16	A. Correct.	16	MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the
17	Q. But if the person had just put an	17	Court Reporter to mark the next one, which
18	extra 1 in front of the 1 that's currently	18	is Tab 9, as Exhibit Lancaster 9.
19	there for the month so that it would read	19	
20	11/1/22 instead of $1/1/22$, that would have been	20	(Whereupon, Exhibit 9 was marked
21	in compliance with the dating rule, right?	21	for identification.)
22	A. If it said 11/1, yes.	22	
23	Q. Right. So if the Lancaster board	23	MR. LONEY: I'll share that up
24	didn't inquire as to whether that was a simple	24	on my screen now.
25	mistake, that somebody wrote 1 instead of 11,	25	
	Page 76		Page 77
	C. Miller	1	
1	C. MILLEL	1	C. Miller
1 2	BY MR. LONEY:	2	c. Miller envelope to see if that is actually on our
2	BY MR. LONEY:	2	envelope to see if that is actually on our
2 3	BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot	2 3	envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope.
2 3 4	BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen?	2 3 4	envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a	2 3 4 5	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22,"</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not. Q. And this example up on the screen,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not. Q. And this example up on the screen, this is one that you would have set aside</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not. Q. And this example up on the screen, this is one that you would have set aside without further inquiry, right?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not. Q. And this example up on the screen, this is one that you would have set aside without further inquiry, right? A. Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not. Q. And this example up on the screen, this is one that you would have set aside without further inquiry, right? A. Correct. MR. LONEY: I'll ask the Court</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not. Q. And this example up on the screen, this is one that you would have set aside without further inquiry, right? A. Correct. MR. LONEY: I'll ask the Court Reporter to mark the next one, which is</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not. Q. And this example up on the screen, this is one that you would have set aside without further inquiry, right? A. Correct. MR. LONEY: I'll ask the Court Reporter to mark the next one, which is Tab 11, as Exhibit Lancaster 10, if that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: It's not up to our office to assume what someone is writing. We can only look at exactly what's in front of us and what is submitted. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But you did assume that everybody</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not. Q. And this example up on the screen, this is one that you would have set aside without further inquiry, right? A. Correct. MR. LONEY: I'll ask the Court Reporter to mark the next one, which is</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not. Q. And this example up on the screen, this is one that you would have set aside without further inquiry, right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you have another mail ballot envelope sample up on your screen? A. I do. Q. And, again, this envelope has a handwritten date on it that reads "8/11/22," right? A. Correct. Q. Now, this could be an example, could it not, of what we were talking about before? If somebody switched month and day, they wrote day/month, then they were actually writing Election Day on this envelope, right? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: It's not up to our office to assume what someone is writing. We can only look at exactly what's in front of us and what is submitted. BY MR. LONEY: Q. But you did assume that everybody</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>envelope to see if that is actually on our envelope. Q. And we would also have to look at your envelopes to see if they are actually on your envelope, right? A. Correct. Q. But in any event, if somebody wrote a date that assuming it's month/day/year and that didn't fall within the range ordered by the Supreme Court, the Lancaster board didn't inquire as to whether it could have been someone intending to write day/month/year? A. We did not. Q. And this example up on the screen, this is one that you would have set aside without further inquiry, right? A. Correct. MR. LONEY: I'll ask the Court Reporter to mark the next one, which is Tab 11, as Exhibit Lancaster 10, if that</pre>

Page 78 Page 79 1 C. Miller C. Miller 1 2 _ 2 Α. No. 3 3 MR. LONEY: And I'm sharing that Q. And if you look at the comparison 4 between the date written and the date stamped, on the screen now. 4 5 BY MR. LONEY: 5 if the person had put 10/25 instead of 11/25, б 0. Ms. Miller, do you see another sample б that would have been in compliance and signed 7 ballot envelope on your screen? 7 just two days before the board received it, 8 Α. I do. 8 right? 9 And on this one, again, there's a 9 ο. Α. Correct. 10 stamp near the top similar to the stamps that 10 Ο. But in Lancaster County's approach, the Lancaster board applied when it received if you had seen this, you would set it aside 11 11 12 incoming mail ballots, right? 12 without further inquiry as to whether or not the person intended to write "October" instead 13 13 Α. Yes. 14 14 of "November," right? And that stamp is, in this example, Q. 15 October 27th, 2022. 15 Α. Correct. 16 Do you see that? 16 Ο. And, again, that's because that's 17 Α. T do. what the Supreme Court ordered and not because 17 And there's also a handwritten date you had any inclination that somebody mailed in 18 ο. 18 19 on this envelope which reads "11/25/22," right? 19 a ballot in October but actually filled it out 20 Α. Correct. 20 in November? Now, if you had received or seen an 21 0. 21 Α. Correct. 22 envelope in Lancaster County dated 22 MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the 23 November 25th, 2022, would that have indicated 23 Court Reporter to mark as Exhibit 24 to you that somebody tried to vote after 24 Lancaster 11 what we previously sent over 25 25 Election Day? as Tab 12. Page 80 Page 81 1 C. Miller C. Miller 1 2 2 were voting? 3 3 We did not go back to look at that. (Whereupon, Exhibit 11 was Α. 4 marked for identification.) 4 We took just what the date was written. 5 5 0. So in this example, you would have б BY MR. LONEY: set it aside because the date that's not 6 7 Do you see another example mail 7 crossed out is from 1944, which is obviously 0. 8 ballot envelope on your screen? 8 outside of the date range ordered by the 9 I do. 9 Supreme Court, right? Α. 10 So this document that's being marked I would be speculating on that, 0. 10 Α. without seeing this unredacted, to see what 11 as Lancaster 11 actually has two dates written 11 12 on it. One reads "9/25/22." It looks like 12 else was on this envelope and why there were somebody put an X through at least part of 13 two dates. 13 14 that. And then there's another date written 14 Ο. Ah. So you're saying -- so there are below it that's "3/6/1944." 15 15 a couple of things redacted here, not just the 16 16 signature. Do you see that? 17 Α. I do. 17 Are you saying that you might --Now, did you -- do you remember, in if somebody wrote some sort of explanation 18 ο. 18 19 Lancaster County, receiving any mail ballot 19 underneath, that might have weighed into your 20 envelopes and setting them aside that had dates 20 thinking? 21 that were long in the past? 21 Again, I would just need to see it Α. 22 22 unredacted to know what we would have done. Α. Yes. 23 Did you look to see if any of those 23 Now, if the Lancaster board had seen 0. 0. 24 ballots came from people who wrote their birth 24 an envelope or if you had seen an envelope 25 dates on the envelopes instead of the day they 25 submitted with just "3/6/1944" in the date line

	Page 82		Page 83
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	and nothing else other than the signature, you	2	disturbed as I am by continuing to say
3	would have set that aside, right?	3	"the 1900s" like it's three centuries ago?
4	A. Correct.	4	You don't have to answer that.
5	Q. And not because you thought somebody	5	MR. ZIMOLONG: No. I think
6	had actually filled out a ballot in 1944 and	6	maybe people just aren't as disturbed as
7	saved it until 2022, right? It's just because	7	you by it.
8	you were following the Supreme Court's order	8	MR. LONEY: I'm going to go back
9	as as written, right?	9	for a moment to the requests for
10	A. Correct.	10	admission, which I believe are Exhibit
11	Q. Now, does this indicate to you, if	11	Lancaster 2.
12	somebody wrote a date long in the past, that	12	I'm putting that back up on the
13		13	
	the voter was engaging in any sort of voter		SCREEN.
14	fraud?	14	BY MR. LONEY:
15	A. No.	15	Q. So do you have the requests for
16	Q. And did the Lancaster board initiate	16	admissions back up on the screen?
17	any investigations of any voters who wrote	17	A. Yes.
18	dates from the 1900s on their outer envelopes	18	Q. I'm going to focus in on the second
19	to see if they were committing voter fraud?	19	request and denial here.
20	A. No.	20	Plaintiffs asked for an
21	Q. Did you refer anybody to the police	21	admission that the Lancaster board had never
22	from the November 2022 general election for	22	referred to the date handwritten on a mail
23	putting dates long in the past in the 1900s?	23	ballot return envelope to establish whether
24	A. No.	24	you, the Lancaster board, received the ballot
25	MR. LONEY: Is anybody else as	25	by the applicable deadline.
	Page 84		De une OF
			Page 85
1	C. Miller	1	Page 85 C. Miller
1 2	-	1 2	-
	C. Miller		C. Miller
2	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading	2	C. Miller So with that understanding, can
2 3	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from?	2 3	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is
2 3 4	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes.	2 3 4	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied?
2 3 4 5	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the	2 3 4 5	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be speculating on that. But I believe that it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase that. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be speculating on that. But I believe that it is. Q. Okay. So if we and I will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase that. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I'll just ask it separate from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be speculating on that. But I believe that it is. Q. Okay. So if we and I will represent, for the purpose of the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase that. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I'll just ask it separate from the request for admission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be speculating on that. But I believe that it is. Q. Okay. So if we and I will represent, for the purpose of the next question, that that was our intent in writing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase that. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I'll just ask it separate from the request for admission. The Lancaster board doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be speculating on that. But I believe that it is. Q. Okay. So if we and I will represent, for the purpose of the next question, that that was our intent in writing this request, right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase that. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I'll just ask it separate from the request for admission. The Lancaster board doesn't actually use the date written on the envelope
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be speculating on that. But I believe that it is. Q. Okay. So if we and I will represent, for the purpose of the next question, that that was our intent in writing this request, right. The question is asking the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase that. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I'll just ask it separate from the request for admission. The Lancaster board doesn't actually use the date written on the envelope to establish when the ballot is received by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be speculating on that. But I believe that it is. Q. Okay. So if we and I will represent, for the purpose of the next question, that that was our intent in writing this request, right. The question is asking the Lancaster board to admit that it has never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase that. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I'll just ask it separate from the request for admission. The Lancaster board doesn't actually use the date written on the envelope to establish when the ballot is received by the board, does it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be speculating on that. But I believe that it is. Q. Okay. So if we and I will represent, for the purpose of the next question, that that was our intent in writing this request, right. The question is asking the Lancaster board to admit that it has never referred to the date handwritten on the mail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase that. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I'll just ask it separate from the request for admission. The Lancaster board doesn't actually use the date written on the envelope to establish when the ballot is received by the board, does it? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be speculating on that. But I believe that it is. Q. Okay. So if we and I will represent, for the purpose of the next question, that that was our intent in writing this request, right. The question is asking the Lancaster board to admit that it has never referred to the date handwritten on the mail ballot envelope to establish whether the ballot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase that. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I'll just ask it separate from the request for admission. The Lancaster board doesn't actually use the date written on the envelope to establish when the ballot is received by the board, does it? A. No. Q. I mean, it stamps the date received
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller Do you see where I'm reading from? A. Yes. Q. And then the Lancaster board responded: "Denied to the extent that the request is referring to the deadline referenced in Section 3150.16(c)." Do I have that right? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Now, do you happen to know whether 3150.16(c) is the provision requiring mail ballots to be received at the county Board of Elections by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day? A. Without it in front of me, I would be speculating on that. But I believe that it is. Q. Okay. So if we and I will represent, for the purpose of the next question, that that was our intent in writing this request, right. The question is asking the Lancaster board to admit that it has never referred to the date handwritten on the mail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller So with that understanding, can you help me understand why this statement is denied? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection. BY MR. LONEY: Q. It doesn't sound like, from our prior conversation like the Lancaster board actually uses the date written to determine the date received. MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Is that right? A. We don't use can you rephrase that. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I'll just ask it separate from the request for admission. The Lancaster board doesn't actually use the date written on the envelope to establish when the ballot is received by the board, does it? A. No.

	Page 86		Page 87
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	It doesn't adjust the date on	2	election based on this envelope dating issue,
3	the stamp according to the date written by the	3	how many of those are being investigated for
4	voter, right?	4	voter fraud?
5	A. Correct.	5	A. None.
6	0. So if we had written this statement	6	Q. And are you aware of any other cases
7	more cleanly to say that to say exactly	7	involving alleged fraud in connection with this
8	that, that the Lancaster board does not use the	8	mail ballot envelope-dating issue?
9	date written by the voter to determine whether	9	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to
10	the envelope was received by Election Day, it	10	form.
11	shouldn't be a denial, right? That should be	11	What do you mean "this mail
12	admitted?	12	ballot dating envelope issue"?
13	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to	13	BY MR. LONEY:
14	form.	14	Q. Did you understand my question?
15	You can answer.	15	A. No.
16	THE WITNESS: I would be	16	
17	speculating what the board would agree to	17	Q. Okay. So I'll ask a different question.
			-
18	for that answer. But for my own self, I	18	Are you aware of a Lancaster
19	would say correct.	19	County voter being referred to the police in
20	BY MR. LONEY:	20	connection with the 2022 primary election
21	Q. So I asked a second ago about whether	21	A. Yes.
22	anybody was referred to the police or	22	Q for alleged voter fraud?
23	investigated for fraud.	23	A. Yes.
24	Of the 232 voters whose mail	24	Q. And you were the person who reported
25	ballots were set aside in the 2022 general	25	this voter to the police, right?
	Page 88	-	Page 89
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney.	2	C. Miller form.
2 3	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay.	2 3	C. Miller form. You can answer.
2 3 4	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred	2 3 4	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on
2 3 4 5	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It	2 3 4 5	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother 's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot envelopes, right or it was a mail ballot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot envelopes, right or it was a mail ballot envelope that purported to come from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th? A. Sorry. April 26th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot envelopes, right or it was a mail ballot envelope that purported to come from the deceased person, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th? A. Sorry. April 26th. Q. Have you seen the police report from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot envelopes, right or it was a mail ballot envelope that purported to come from the deceased person, right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th? A. Sorry. April 26th. Q. Have you seen the police report from that referral of well, strike that. I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot envelopes, right or it was a mail ballot envelope that purported to come from the deceased person, right? A. Correct. Q. Did that mail ballot envelope have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th? A. Sorry. April 26th. Q. Have you seen the police report from that referral of well, strike that. I'll ask this first.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot envelopes, right or it was a mail ballot envelope that purported to come from the deceased person, right? A. Correct. Q. Did that mail ballot envelope have a date on it under the signature?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th? A. Sorry. April 26th. Q. Have you seen the police report from that referral of well, strike that. I'll ask this first.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot envelopes, right or it was a mail ballot envelope that purported to come from the deceased person, right? A. Correct. Q. Did that mail ballot envelope have a date on it under the signature? A. It did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th? A. Sorry. April 26th. Q. Have you seen the police report from that referral of well, strike that. I'll ask this first. The voter who was referred to the DA's office is named Cheryl Mihaliak; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot envelopes, right or it was a mail ballot envelope that purported to come from the deceased person, right? A. Correct. Q. Did that mail ballot envelope have a date on it under the signature? A. It did. Q. Was the date within the range that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th? A. Sorry. April 26th. Q. Have you seen the police report from that referral of well, strike that. I'll ask this first. The voter who was referred to the DA's office is named Cheryl Mihaliak; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot envelopes, right or it was a mail ballot envelope that purported to come from the deceased person, right? A. Correct. Q. Did that mail ballot envelope have a date on it under the signature? A. It did. Q. Was the date within the range that strike that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th? A. Sorry. April 26th. Q. Have you seen the police report from that referral of well, strike that. I'll ask this first. The voter who was referred to the DA's office is named Cheryl Mihaliak; is that right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller A. Correct. To the district attorney. Q. To the district attorney. Okay. Now, that person was referred for voting another person's ballot, right? It was their mother's ballot? A. Correct. Q. And that person was caught because their mother was deceased by Election Day, right? A. Their mother was deceased when we received the ballot back. Q. And did that those are mail ballot envelopes, right or it was a mail ballot envelope that purported to come from the deceased person, right? A. Correct. Q. Did that mail ballot envelope have a date on it under the signature? A. It did. Q. Was the date within the range that strike that. Did it have a correct date on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It had a date on it. There was there was not a Supreme Court order for the primary with the date range. BY MR. LONEY: Q. Do you know whether it had a date that was many years in the past, into the 1900s? A. No. The date on it was August 26th, 2022. Q. August 26th or April 26th? A. Sorry. April 26th? A. Sorry. April 26th. Q. Have you seen the police report from that referral of well, strike that. I'll ask this first. The voter who was referred to the DA's office is named Cheryl Mihaliak; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And have you seen the police report

	Page 90		Page 91
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. And on the affidavit of probable
3	MR. LONEY: I'm going to ask the	3	cause do you see where I am?
4	Court Reporter to mark as Exhibit	4	A. Yep.
5	Lancaster 12 the document that we	5	Q. It appears to be written by Detective
6	previously emailed over as Tab 13.	6	Larry Martin.
7		7	Do you know who Larry Martin is?
8	(Whereupon, Exhibit 12 was	8	A. I do.
9	marked for identification.)	9	Q. And did you provide a report of what
10		10	you knew about Ms. Mihaliak and her alleged
11	MR. LONEY: I'll share my	11	voter fraud to Detective Martin?
12	screen.	12	A. I did.
13	BY MR. LONEY:	13	Q. Okay. In the second paragraph, it
14	Q. Do you have the police criminal	14	says the ballot for the Democrat primary was
15	complaint up on your screen?	15	received on April 28th, 2022, by your office,
		16	
16			right?
17	Q. And is this I'm going to scroll	17	A. Correct.
18	through it. Tell me to slow down if I need to.	18	Q. And the mother, Teresa Mihaliak, had
19	My first question, as I scroll	19	been deceased since April 14th, right?
20	through, is: Is the document on your screen,	20	A. Correct.
21	Exhibit Lancaster 12, the criminal complaint	21	Q. Now, the criminal complaint here does
22	against Cheryl Mihaliak	22	not indicate what date, if any, was written on
23	A. Yes.	23	Ms. Mihaliak's mail-in vote, right?
24	Q that we were just talking about?	24	A. It was dated April it says it. It
25	A. Yes, it is.	25	says it was dated April 26th, 2022.
	Page 92		Page 93
1	Page 92 C. Miller	1	Page 93 C. Miller
1 2		1 2	
	C. Miller		C. Miller
2	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much.	2	C. Miller A. Yes.
2 3	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa	2 3	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date
2 3 4	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on	2 3 4	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this
2 3 4 5	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right?	2 3 4 5	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote?
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did.
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter rolls, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once it was returned, she had already been deceased
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter rolls, right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once it was returned, she had already been deceased for, I believe, almost two weeks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter rolls, right? A. Correct. Q. And you would have done that in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once it was returned, she had already been deceased for, I believe, almost two weeks. Q. Right. And dying two weeks before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter rolls, right? A. Correct. Q. And you would have done that in this case for Teresa Mihaliak before any mail-in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once it was returned, she had already been deceased for, I believe, almost two weeks. Q. Right. And dying two weeks before the ballot comes in makes the vote invalid as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter rolls, right? A. Correct. Q. And you would have done that in this case for Teresa Mihaliak before any mail-in ballot had been submitted on her behalf, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once it was returned, she had already been deceased for, I believe, almost two weeks. Q. Right. And dying two weeks before the ballot comes in makes the vote invalid as a matter of course, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter rolls, right? A. Correct. Q. And you would have done that in this case for Teresa Mihaliak before any mail-in ballot had been submitted on her behalf, right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once it was returned, she had already been deceased for, I believe, almost two weeks. Q. Right. And dying two weeks before the ballot comes in makes the vote invalid as a matter of course, right? A. Oh, yes. It would have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter rolls, right? A. Correct. Q. And you would have done that in this case for Teresa Mihaliak before any mail-in ballot had been submitted on her behalf, right? A. Correct. Q. So as soon as you or the system saw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once it was returned, she had already been deceased for, I believe, almost two weeks. Q. Right. And dying two weeks before the ballot comes in makes the vote invalid as a matter of course, right? A. Oh, yes. It would have been invalidated it either way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter rolls, right? A. Correct. Q. And you would have done that in this case for Teresa Mihaliak before any mail-in ballot had been submitted on her behalf, right? A. Correct. Q. So as soon as you or the system saw that Teresa Mihaliak had submitted a mail-in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once it was returned, she had already been deceased for, I believe, almost two weeks. Q. Right. And dying two weeks before the ballot comes in makes the vote invalid as a matter of course, right? A. Oh, yes. It would have been invalidated it either way. Q. Right. So regardless of the date
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter rolls, right? A. Correct. Q. And you would have done that in this case for Teresa Mihaliak before any mail-in ballot had been submitted on her behalf, right? A. Correct. Q. So as soon as you or the system saw that Teresa Mihaliak had submitted a mail-in vote after she had been removed from the voters	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once it was returned, she had already been deceased for, I believe, almost two weeks. Q. Right. And dying two weeks before the ballot comes in makes the vote invalid as a matter of course, right? A. Oh, yes. It would have been invalidated it either way. Q. Right. So regardless of the date written on the envelope, that vote would not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller Q. Ah. Thank you very much. It also says that Teresa Mihaliak was removed from the voter roles on April 25th, 2022, right? A. Correct. Q. And that was before you received any mail-in ballot for her? A. Yes, the day before or three days before. Q. Got it. So Lancaster the Lancaster board has some mechanism for removing people who die before Election Day from the voter rolls, right? A. Correct. Q. And you would have done that in this case for Teresa Mihaliak before any mail-in ballot had been submitted on her behalf, right? A. Correct. Q. So as soon as you or the system saw that Teresa Mihaliak had submitted a mail-in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. You didn't need to look at the date written on the envelope to determine that this was an invalid vote? A. We did. Q. You did need to look at the envelope to determine if this was an invalid vote? A. Yes, because of when because of how the dates lined up for all of it to have happened. She could have received she did she would have received a ballot before she died as well as the request. However, once it was returned, she had already been deceased for, I believe, almost two weeks. Q. Right. And dying two weeks before the ballot comes in makes the vote invalid as a matter of course, right? A. Oh, yes. It would have been invalidated it either way. Q. Right. So regardless of the date

Page 941C. Miller1C. Miller1C. Miller2Q. Because you had already caught that2here sooner.3Teresa Mihaliak had died and removed her from3BY MR. LONEY:4the voter rolls before Election Day?4Q. Okay. So I'm sharing, a5A. Yes.5Lancaster 3. And I've jumped to p6Q. And I understand that the police are6response to Interrogatory Number I7interested in how the dates line up because7Do you see where I8they're, presumably, going for a fraud case8A. I do.9Q. Actually, I'm going to a	page 3, the 1.
3Teresa Mihaliak had died and removed her from 43BY MR. LONEY:4the voter rolls before Election Day?4Q. Okay. So I'm sharing, a 55A. Yes.5Lancaster 3. And I've jumped to p6Q. And I understand that the police are 76response to Interrogatory Number 1 	page 3, the 1.
4the voter rolls before Election Day?4Q. Okay. So I'm sharing, a5A. Yes.5Lancaster 3. And I've jumped to p6Q. And I understand that the police are6response to Interrogatory Number 17interested in how the dates line up because7Do you see where I8they're, presumably, going for a fraud case8A. I do.9gainst Cheryl Mihaliak.9Q. Actually, I'm going to a	page 3, the 1.
5A. Yes.5Lancaster 3. And I've jumped to p6Q. And I understand that the police are6response to Interrogatory Number 17interested in how the dates line up because7Do you see where I8they're, presumably, going for a fraud case8A. I do.9against Cheryl Mihaliak.9Q. Actually, I'm going to a	page 3, the 1.
6Q. And I understand that the police are6response to Interrogatory Number I7interested in how the dates line up because7Do you see where I8they're, presumably, going for a fraud case8A. I do.9against Cheryl Mihaliak.9Q. Actually, I'm going to a	1.
7interested in how the dates line up because7Do you see where I8they're, presumably, going for a fraud case8A. I do.9against Cheryl Mihaliak.9Q. Actually, I'm going to a	
8they're, presumably, going for a fraud case8A.I do.9against Cheryl Mihaliak.9Q.Actually, I'm going to a	a m2
9 against Cheryl Mihaliak. 9 Q. Actually, I'm going to a	alli?
	ask to go off
10 But just focusing on whether 10 the record for a few minutes.	
11 this was a valid vote, the date written on the 11	
12 envelope didn't matter one way or the other? 12 (Whereupon, a short	t recess was
13 A. Correct. When we received it back, 13 taken.)	
14 as we had already removed her, that ballot 14	
15 would have been set to the side. 15 BY MR. LONEY:	
16 MR. LONEY: We can put this 16 Q. Ms. Miller, we were talk	king right
17 aside for a second. I want to get back 17 before the break about Cheryl Miha	aliak, if I
18 for a moment to military and overseas 18 pronounced that correctly.	
19 ballots. 19 Are you aware of ar	ny other
20 And I'd like to go back to 20 Lancaster County voters being inve	estigated for
21 Exhibit Lancaster 3, the interrogatory 21 voter fraud since your time workir	-
22 responses. 22 Lancaster board?	5
23 If everybody would just bear 23 A. I am not.	
24 with me for a second while I'm chopping 24 Q. Let me go back to sharir	ng Exhibit
25 things out of my outline to get us out of 25 Lancaster 3. We were just about t	
Page 96 1 C. Miller 1 C. Miller	Page 97
2 Interrogatory Number 1. 2 that was incorrect.	
3 Is that back up on your screen? 3 Do you see where I	'm reading
4 A. Yes. 4 from?	1 6441115
5 Q. In interrogatory Number 1, we asked 5 A. Yes.	
6 for the numbers, how many ballots and how many 6 Q. And the answer here from	n the
7 military-overseas ballots voters returned to 7 Lancaster board is no.	
8 the Lancaster board in the 2022 general 8 So the first questi	ion I have is:
9 election. 9 Is that right that the of the 1	
10 And in response, the Lancaster 10 military-overseas ballots there we	
11 board stated that it had received 34,202 mail 11 aside because of a missing date or	
12 ballots and 188 military-overseas ballots. 12 date on the return envelope?	
12Darlots and 100 million y overseas barlots.12date on the recurs envelope.13Do I have that right?13A. Correct.	
13A. Yes.13A. correct.14A. Yes.14Q. Now, is that because all	1 188 of those
14A.1es.14Q.Now, 1s that because all15Q.Now, I'm going to scroll down to15voters wrote a correct date under	
15Q.Now, I in going to scioli down to15voters where a correct date dider16Interrogatory Number 15.16signature on the return envelope,	
117 Please again let me know if 17 because the Lancaster board counter	
17 Please, again, let me know if 17 because the Lancaster board counter 18 you feel like you need to read anything in 18 that strike that	
18 you feel like you need to read anything in 18 that strike that.	first part of
18you feel like you need to read anything in18that strike that.19between to contextualize.19I'll just ask the f	first part of
18you feel like you need to read anything in18that strike that.19between to contextualize.19I'll just ask the f20A. Okay.20that.	
18you feel like you need to read anything in18that strike that.19between to contextualize.19I'll just ask the f20A. Okay.20that.21Q. But in Number 15, we asked whether21Is that because all	l 188 I'm
18you feel like you need to read anything in18that strike that.19between to contextualize.19I'll just ask the f20A. Okay.20that.21Q. But in Number 15, we asked whether21Is that because all22the Lancaster board counted timely received22going to strike the last question	l 188 I'm or partial
18you feel like you need to read anything in18that strike that.19between to contextualize.19I'll just ask the f20A. Okay.20that.21Q. But in Number 15, we asked whether21Is that because all22the Lancaster board counted timely received22going to strike the last question23military-overseas ballots in the 2022 general23question and just ask a new one, we	l 188 I'm or partial which is: Is
18you feel like you need to read anything in18that strike that.19between to contextualize.19I'll just ask the f20A. Okay.20that.21Q. But in Number 15, we asked whether21Is that because all22the Lancaster board counted timely received22going to strike the last question	l 188 I'm or partial which is: Is er 15 because

Page 98 Page 99 1 C. Miller C. Miller 1 that the Lancaster board deemed to be correct 2 2 Board of Elections? 3 on their outer envelopes? 3 The Board of Elections directly Α. 4 Α. Correct. 4 oversees my position at our office. 5 5 Understood. Okay. MR. LONEY: Those are all of my 0. б questions for now. Thank you very much. б So in terms of when the board 7 7 actually determines when a person is eligible (Whereupon, there was an 8 8 to vote, when does that occur in the process of 9 off-the-record discussion.) 9 a person -- let's say a person moves to 10 10 Pennsylvania, wants to register to vote and 11 EXAMINATION 11 participate in Pennsylvania's elections. 12 12 When does the Board of Elections 13 BY MR. OSHER: 13 determine that that person is eligible to cast 14 14 a ballot in one of their elections? Good afternoon, Ms. Miller. My name 0. 15 is Dan Osher. I represent the plaintiffs in 15 Α. When we are registering them to vote. the second of these two cases, and I only have 16 16 Okay. After that point, let's say Ο. 17 a few questions for you to add on here. that the person successfully registers to vote, 17 18 Can you remind me? What is your does the board determine whether that voter is 18 19 position at the county? 19 eligible to cast the ballot at any point in the 20 Α. I am the -- sorry. 20 future? I am the chief clerk, chief 21 There are many voter roll maintenance 21 Α. 22 registrar of the Lancaster County Board of 22 programs that we do throughout every single 23 Elections and Registration Commission. 23 year, so yes. 24 So how -- can you describe what the 24 0. Q. When a person submits a mail ballot 25 25 relationship is between your position and the application -- and when I say "mail ballot," I Page 100 Page 101 1 C. Miller 1 C. Miller 2 mean both mail-in ballots and absentee 2 use the date that is written on the mail ballot 3 ballots -- does the board make a determination 3 return envelope to determine that person's 4 of whether that person is eligible to 4 eligibility to vote? 5 5 participate in the election? Α. In a way, yes. Because sometimes, б I'm not sure I understand. б when they come back, if it's a deceased voter, Α. 7 7 ο. Sure. So you said -- in response to then we have to remove it. 8 my question of after the person successfully 8 Ο. Okay. And when is that person's 9 registers to vote, I asked you does the board 9 eligibility to vote determined? 10 make any future determinations about that 10 Is it based on when they person's eligibility to participate in submitted the ballot? Is it based on Election 11 11 12 elections, and you said the board does roll 12 Day? 13 maintenance. 13 What is the date by which you 14 And so my question was: When a 14 determine that person's eligibility to vote in 15 person submits an application to vote by mail, 15 a particular election? 16 whether mail-in or absentee, does the board 16 Α. We pull deceased voter ballots up 17 make a determination again as to whether that through Election Day. 17 18 voter is eligible to vote? Ο. So if a person passes away before the 18 19 Α. Yes. The first thing we do is to 19 election, you say you pull the ballot. 20 20 make sure that that person is actually a What does that mean? 21 registered voter first before we process any 21 If we received their ballot -- their Α. 22 mail ballot applications. 22 voted ballot already, we would then pull that 23 0. Okay. And -- okay. That answered my 23 from those received ballots and set aside. 24 question. Thank you. 24 Ο. And how do you determine whether a 25 So does the Board of Elections 25 person has passed away?

1 C. Miller 1 C. Miller 2 A. Per receiver peyments of Health 3 C. Miller 2 4 local obtuaries or if sceenes has a death 3 Q. Okay. Prior to that, has the Board 4 local obtuaries or if sceenes has a death 3 Q. Okay. Prior to that, has the Board 6 Q. So if a person passes away before 6 did not contain a date written on the envelope 7 received for a particular election, that 8 A. Previous to that, yes. We did set. 11 O. And that is regardless of whether 1 O. And can you give as the time periods 12 there's a date on thei 1 O. And can you give as the time periods 13 whether the date is incorrect? 13 A. This fall would have counted ballots 13 a.th date, yes, we still would pull it at 14 election that we would have counted ballots 14 there is on a date on the 15 with no date. 14 15 election that we would have counted ballots 15 with no date. 16 repanylowania Supreme Court ander in Noweber 10 <		Page 102		Page 103
3 records, as all counties do. And we also use 3 0. Ckay. Prior to that, has the Board 4 local dbituaries or if semeon has a death 4 of Elections ever rejected a bullot solely 5 0. So if a person passes away before 6 did not contain a date written on the envelope 7 0. And that is regardless of whether 11 0. And that is regardless of whether 11 0. And that is regardless of whether 16 cither is not a date on the 15 a. This fall would have a date, and we 17 0. And that is regardless of whether 11 0. And that is regardless of whether 18 correct. 11 0. And that, yes, otherwise, looking 16 if there is not a date on the 11 17 at he date, yes, we still would pull it at 14 election that. 18 opersoins plot has before that, yes, otherwise, looking 14 and that point. 19 Q. So in response to Mt. Loney's 19 abould say, that had determinations and updated 19 questions, you said that thefore the 12 for to determine what we're doing going 2 A. Yes, Q. Has the baard reviewed than? 12 for	1		1	
4 local obituries or if someone has a death 4 of Elections ever rejected a ballot solely 5 evertificate that they have submitted to us. 6 7 Election Day and they and their hallot is 6 8 received for a particular election, that 7 or the date wai incorrect? 9 person's hallot will not be counted? 7 or the date wai incorrect? 10 A. Correct. 10 6 A. Correct. 11 0. And that is regardless of whether 10 6 0. And const is response to the addition incorrect? 13 whether the date is incorrect? 13 A. This fall would have been the first 14 evention that would have already pulled it for 15 is that point. 15 erwelope, we would have already pulled it for 16 orders that came out or court cases, I 16 0. So in response to Mr. Loney's 16 orders that came out or court cases, I 17 at the date was correct is that right? 16 soled say, that had determination and updated 20 gestions, you said that before the 20 of oil t, Thank you. 21 0. Maller 1	2	A. We receive Department of Health	2	A. Correct.
5 certificate that they have submitted to us. 5 because it was contained in an envelope that 6 0. So if a person passes awy before 6 did not contain a date written on the envelope 7 received for a particular election, that 6 did not contain a date written on the envelope 8 received for a particular election, that 8 A. Previous to that, yes. We did set 9 balact will not be counted? 9 balact will not be counted? 10 0. And that is regardless of whether 10 0. And can you give me the time periods 11 0. And that is regardless of whether 11 0. And can you give me the time periods 15 the date. was in extill would have been the first 11 0. And can you give me the time periods 16 it being no date. But, yee, otherwise, looking 11 1 N. This fall would have been thef. 17 a contained a date on the envelope or whether 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 18 that ze was correct; is that right? 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	3	records, as all counties do. And we also use	3	Q. Okay. Prior to that, has the Board
6 0. So if a person passes away before 6 did not contain a date written on the envelope 7 Election Day and they and their ballot is or the date was incorrect? 9 person's ballot will not be counted? 0 A. Previous to that, yee. We did set 9 person's ballot will not be counted? 0 A. Previous to that, yee. We did set 11 Q. And that is regardless of whether 11 Q. And can you give me the time periods 12 there's a date on their return envelope. 13 A. This fall would have been the first 13 A. If there is not a date on the 14 election that we would have counted ballots 14 A. If there is not a date on the 14 election that we would have counted ballots 15 envelope, we would have already pulled it for 15 with no date. 15 envelope we would have already pulled it for 16 A. This fall would have counted ballots 20 gestions, you said that before the 20 guidance by the Department of State, we were 21 C. Miller 20 O. Okay. Are you aware that the Supreme 22 C. Miller 21 C. Miller 22 24	4	local obituaries or if someone has a death	4	of Elections ever rejected a ballot solely
7 Election Pay and they and their ballot is 7 or the date was incorrect? 8 received for a particular election, that 8 A. Previous to that, yes. We did set 9 person's ballot will not be counted? 9 A. Correct. 10 1 Q. And that is regardless of whether 11 Q. And can you give me the time periods 15 there's a date on their return envelope. 12 for that? 14 A. If there is not a date on the 12 for that? 15 envelope, we would have already pulled it for 14 elections have would have counted ballots 16 it being no date. But, yes, otherwise, looking 14 election that we would have counted ballots 16 or So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 should say, that had determinations and updated 17 Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 should say, that had election only. 20 17 outhallots regardless of whether they 21 Goattic many vania issued its opinions in 12 18 that date on the envelope or whether 22 Q. Got it. Thank you. 23 24 24 contained a date on the envelope or whether <	5	certificate that they have submitted to us.	5	because it was contained in an envelope that
3 received for a particular election, that 8 A. Previous to that, yes. We did set 9 person's ballot will not be counted? 9 ballots aside that did not have a date, and we 11 0. And that is regardless of whether 11 0. And can you give me the time periods 12 there's a date on their return envelope. 12 6 A. This fall would have been the first 14 A. If there is not a date on the 14 election that we would have counted ballots 15 envelope, we would have a laready pulled it for 14 election that we outd have counted ballots 16 it being no date. But, yes, otherwise, looking 14 election that we outd have set those aside. But per court 17 at the date, yes, we still would pull it at 17 we would have set those aside. But per court 19 0. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 shold cay, that had determinations and updated 10 guadinos you bagind that before the 20 Quadinos by the peartment of State, we we 20 court of loos return of the second of Elections was prepared to 20 Court of Peansylvania issued its opinions in 21 C. Miller 2 Gor + to dategait Court of Peansylvania issu	6	Q. So if a person passes away before	6	did not contain a date written on the envelope
9 person's ballot will not he counted? 9 ballots aside that did not have a date, and we 10 A. Correct. 10 0 did not count them. 11 0. And that is regardless of whether 11 0. And that is regardless of whether 12 there's a date on their return envelope, 13 A. This fall would have been the first 13 whether the date is incorrect? 13 A. This fall would have been the first 14 A. If there is not a date on the 14 election that we would have counted ballots 15 envelope, we would have already pulled it for 14 election that we would have set those aside. But per court 16 ft bering no date. 17 we would have set those aside. But per court 17 questions, you said that before the 19 ould say, that had determinations and updated 17 questions, you said that before the 20 quidance by the Department of State, we were 18 that date was correct is is that right? 22 Q. Got it. Thank you. 20 contained a date on the envelope or whether 23 Are you aware that the Supreme 2 A. Yes. 24 for to determine what we're doing	7	Election Day and they and their ballot is	7	or the date was incorrect?
10 A. Correct. 10 did not count them. 11 Q. And that is regardless of whether Q. And can you give me the time periods 13 there's a date on the their return envelope, 11 Q. And can you give me the time periods 13 whether the date is incorrect? 13 A. This fall would have been the first 14 A. If there is not a date on the 14 election that we would have accounted ballots 15 with o date. 10 other stat came out or court cases, I 17 at the date, yes, we still would pull it at 14 orders that came out or court cases, I 19 Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 should say, that had therminations and updated 20 questions, you said that before the 12 following that to for the fall election only. 21 out ballots regardless of whether they 20 Q. Got it. Thank you. 22 O. May, Are you familiar with those 14 C. Miller 14 C. Miller 14 C. Miller 14 2 A. Yes. 2 for to determine what we're doing going 3 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those 4 </td <td>8</td> <td>received for a particular election, that</td> <th>8</th> <td>A. Previous to that, yes. We did set</td>	8	received for a particular election, that	8	A. Previous to that, yes. We did set
10 A. Correct. 10 did not count them. 11 Q. And that is regardless of whether Q. And can you give me the time periods 13 there's a date on the their return envelope, 11 Q. And can you give me the time periods 13 whether the date is incorrect? 13 A. This fall would have been the first 14 A. If there is not a date on the 14 election that we would have accounted ballots 15 with o date. 10 other stat came out or court cases, I 17 at the date, yes, we still would pull it at 14 orders that came out or court cases, I 19 Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 should say, that had therminations and updated 20 questions, you said that before the 12 following that to for the fall election only. 21 out ballots regardless of whether they 20 Q. Got it. Thank you. 22 O. May, Are you familiar with those 14 C. Miller 14 C. Miller 14 C. Miller 14 2 A. Yes. 2 for to determine what we're doing going 3 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those 4 </td <td>9</td> <td>person's ballot will not be counted?</td> <th>9</th> <td>ballots aside that did not have a date, and we</td>	9	person's ballot will not be counted?	9	ballots aside that did not have a date, and we
12 there's a date on their return envelope, 12 for that? 13 whether the date is incorrect? 13 A. This fall would have been the first 14 A. If there is not a date on the 14 A. This fall would have counced ballots 15 envelope, we would have already pulled it for 15 whether the date, yes, we still would pull it at 16 it being no date. But, yes, otherwise, looking 16 All other elections before that, 19 Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's 9 would have set those aside. But per court 10 questions, you said that before the 20 guidance by the Department of State, we were 21 following that for the fall election only. 22 Q. Got it. Thank you. 22 of 2022 the Board of Elections was prepared to 23 Are you aware that the Supreme 24 contained a date on the envelope or whether 24 Court of Penmsylvania issued its opinions in 25 that date was correct; is that right? 25 for to determine what we're doing going 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those 4 yet. 4 opinions? Did you review them? 5 0 O way. Are you aware	10	A. Correct.	10	
12 there's a date on their return envelope, 12 for that? 13 whether the date is incorrect? 13 A. This fall would have been the first 14 A. If there is not a date on the 14 election that we would have counted ballots 15 envelope, we would have already pulled it for 14 A. This fall would have counted ballots 16 it being no date. But, yes, otherwise, looking 14 election that we would have set those aside. But per court 18 that point. 9 0. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 should say, that had determinations and updated 19 Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 should say, that had determinations and updated 20 opticits stat came out or court cases, I 19 should say, that had determinations and updated 21 optics stat date was correct; is that right? 22 Q. Got it. Thank you. 22 22 optics? Did you review them? 23 for work what we're doing going 3 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those 4 optics? Did you review them? 5 4 optics? Did you review them? 5 0. Okay. Are you aware thathe 9	11	Q. And that is regardless of whether	11	Q. And can you give me the time periods
13 whether the date is incorrect? 13 A. This fall would have been the first 14 A. If there is not a date on the 14 election that we would have counted ballots 14 A. If there is not a date on the 14 election that we would have counted ballots 15 envelope, we would have already pulled it for 15 with no date. 16 it being no date. But, yes, otherwise, looking 17 we would have set those aside. But per court 17 at the date, yes, we still would pull it at 18 orders that came out or court cases, I 19 Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 should say, that had determinations and updated 20 questions, you said that before the 20 guidance by the Department of State, we were 21 count hallots regardless of whether they 22 Q. Got it. Thank you. 2 22 of 2022 the Board of Elections was prepared to Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 1 C. Miller 2 2 A. Yes. 2 for to determine what we're doing going 3 for ward, we have not met to speak about that 4 opinions? Did you review them? 5 A. Very briefly. I wouldh't say I'm<	12		12	
15 envelope, we would have already pulled it for 15 with no date. 16 it being no date. But, yes, otherwise, looking 16 All other elections before that, 17 at the date, yes, we still would pull it at 17 we would have set those aside. But per court 17 0. So in response to Mr. Loney's orders that came out or court cases. I 19 0. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 should say, that had determinations and updated 20 questions, you said that before the 20 guidance by the Department of State, we were 20 following that for the fall election only. 20 Got it. Thank you. 21 contained a date on the envelope or whether 21 Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 21 C. Miller 23 Are you aware that the Supreme 2 A. Yes. 2 for to determine what we're doing going 3 0. Okay. Are you familiar with thes. 3 forward, we have not met to speak about that 4 yet. 0. Okay. I'm going to now ask you 7 for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was 'the 3 absentee ballots. 16 elections? 4 <td< td=""><td>13</td><td></td><th>13</th><td>A. This fall would have been the first</td></td<>	13		13	A. This fall would have been the first
16 it being no date. But, yes, otherwise, looking 16 All other elections before that, 17 at the date, yes, we still would pull it at 17 at the date, yes, we still would pull it at 18 that point. 18 orders that came out or court cases, I 19 Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 should say, that had determinations and updated 20 questions, you said that before the 20 guidance by the Department of State, we were 21 Pennsylvania Supreme Court's order in November 20 Q. Got it. Thank you. 23 count ballots regardless of whether they 20 Q. Got it. Thank you. 23 count ballots regardless of whether they 23 Q. Got it. Thank you. 24 count of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 24 25 that date was correct; is that right? 25 for to determine what we're doing going 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those 1 C. Miller 2 3 Q. Kay. The going to now ask you 3 for we have not met to speak about that 9 it can't speak to whether they have baced and is intending to 0 Go in future elections with respect to date	14	A. If there is not a date on the	14	election that we would have counted ballots
17 at the date, yes, we still would pull it at 17 we would have set those aside. But per court 18 that point. 18 orders that came out or court cases, I 19 Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 orders that came out or court cases, I 10 questions, you said that before the 10 orders that came out or court cases, I 11 Bennsylvania Supreme Court's order in November 20 following that for the fall election only. 22 of 2022 the Board of Elections was prepared to 22 Q. Got it. Thank you. 23 contained a date on the envelope or whether 24 Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 25 that date was correct: is that right? That Ball case last week? Page 105 1 C. Miller 1 C. Miller 1 2 A. Yes. 3 for we have not met to speak about that yet. 3 A. Yes. 3 for were you aware that, in the 6 6 super familiar with them. 5 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with them. 5 Q. Okay. Tay poing to now ask you 1 reviewed them thenselves or not. 9 will use during	15	envelope, we would have already pulled it for	15	with no date.
17 at the date, yes, we still would pull it at 17 we would have set those aside. But per court 18 that point. 18 orders that came out or court cases, I 18 that point. 18 orders that came out or court cases, I 19 Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 orders that came out or court cases, I 20 questions, you said that before the 20 guidance by the Department of State, we were 21 Pennsylvania Supreme Court's order in November 20 Q. Got it. Thank you. 23 contained a date on the envelope or whether 21 Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 25 that date was correct: is that right? 22 Q. Got it. Thank you. 24 contained a date on the envelope or whether 24 Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 25 that date was correct: is that right? 25 for to determine what we're doing going 3 Q. Okay. Are you smail ar with those 3 forward, we have not met to speak about that 4 yet. 5 Q. Okay. Are you aware that the 5 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. The youndari themanit and thopendee 6 </td <td></td> <td></td> <th>16</th> <td>All other elections before that,</td>			16	All other elections before that,
18 that point. 18 orders that came out or court cases, I 19 Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's 19 should say, that had determinations and updated 20 questions, you said that before the 20 guidance by the Department of State, we were 21 following that for the fall election only. Q. Got it. Thank you. 22 of 2022 the Board of Elections was prepared to 20 Q. Got it. Thank you. 23 count ballots regardless of whether they 23 Are you aware that the Supreme 24 contained a date on the envelope or whether 24 Count of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 25 that date was correct; is that right? 25 for to determine what we're doing going 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those 3 for ward, we have not met to speak about that 4 opinions? Did you review them? 5 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the 6 super familiar with them. 6 for this deposition, notice that we sent 7 Q. Has the board reviewed them? 5 Q. Okay. Are you aware that the Solution was the 8 I are t speak to whether they have actually 10 reture selections, containing toil <td>17</td> <td></td> <th>17</th> <td>-</td>	17		17	-
19Q. So in response to Mr. Loney's questions, you said that before the pernsylvania Supreme Court's order in November of 2202 the Board of Elections was prepared to count ballots regardless of whether they contained a date on the envelope or whether that date was correct's is that right?19should say, that had determinations and updated guidance by the Department of State, we were following that for the fall election only. Q. Got it. Thank you.20count ballots regardless of whether they contained a date on the envelope or whether that date was correct's is that right?20Got it. Thank you.21C. Miller20Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in that Ball case last week?21C. Miller21C. Miller2A. Yes.2for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet.3Q. Okay. Are you familiar with them.3forward, we have not met to speak about that yet.4yet.5Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the for this deposition notice that we sent or this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the 86N further where they have been sent them.7for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the 89I can't speak to whether they have actually 10reviewed them themselves or not.1010Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you 1111return envelope is correct?12questions about what the board of Elections absente ballots.12A. I don. But those court orders also ipust came out, and we have not had a chance to ipust came out, and we have a date that the Board of E	18		18	-
20 questions, you said that before the 20 guidance by the Department of State, we were 21 Pennsylvania Supreme Court's order in November 21 following that for the fall election only. 22 of 2022 the Board of Elections was prepared to 22 Q. Got i. Thank you. 23 count ballots regardless of whether they 23 Are you aware that the Supreme 24 contained a date on the envelope or whether 24 Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 25 that date was correct; is that right? 23 Are you aware that the Supreme 25 A. Yes. 2 for to determine what we're doing going 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those 3 forward, we have not met to speak about that 4 opinions? Did you reviewed them? 3 forward, we have not met to speak about that 7 Q. Bas the board reviewed them? 5 Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you 1 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you 1 return envelope is correct'? 1 12 Q. Kay. I'm going to now ask you 11 return envelope is correct'? 1 12 Q. Kay. I'm going to now ask you 11 <	1	-		
21 Pennsylvania Supreme Court's order in November 21 following that for the fall election only. 22 of 2022 the Board of Elections was prepared to 22 Q. Got it. Thank you. 23 count ballots regardless of whether they 23 Are you aware that the Supreme 24 contained a date on the envelope or whether 24 Q. Got it. Thank you. 25 that date was correct; is that right? 23 Are you aware that the Supreme 26 O. May. Are you familiar with those 4 C. Miller 2 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those 4 for to determine what we're doing going 3 3 Q. Way. Are you aware that, in the 6 notice the deposition notice that we sent 4 6 notice the deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the 6 notice the deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the 6 7 Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you 1 return envelope is correct"? 1 1 12 questions about what the board of Elections 13 Q. So were you aware that this 14 4 written on the envelopes contained an absente ballots. 15 A. I believe that's what tha said, yes.	20		20	
22of 2022 the Board of Elections was prepared to count hallots regardless of whether they contained a date on the envelope or whether that date was correct; is that right?22Q. Got it. Thank you. Are you aware that the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in that Ball case last week?1C. Miller24Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in that Ball case last week?1C. Miller25A. Yes.26for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet.2A. Yes.2Got it. Thank you.Page 1053Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them?1C. Miller14yet.5Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the 86A. I I know they have been sent them. 99will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct??12Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to absentee ballots.10A. I believe that's what that said, yes.16How is the Board of Elections in future elections?16A. I do. But those court orders also is the Board of Elections17going to handle mail ballots contained in in future elections?17A. I do. But those court orders also is the Board of Elections18envelopes in which there are no written dates in future elections?10A. We have not spoken I've not spoken in thut be Board of Elec	21	- · -	21	
23 count ballots regardless of whether they 23 Are you aware that the Supreme 24 contained a date on the envelope or whether 24 Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 25 that date was correct; is that right? 25 Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 26 C. Miller 26 Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in 26 C. Miller 27 Fage 105 27 A. Yes. 2 for to determine what we're doing going 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those 3 forward, we have not met to speak about that 4 opinions? Did you review them? 5 A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm 5 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the 6 super familiar with them. 6 rotice the deposition notice that we sent 7 7 Q. Has the board reviewed them? 8 criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections 9 i can't speak to whether they have actually 9 will use during future elections to determine 9 will use during future elections to determine 10 whether the date written on the mail ballot 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you 11	22		22	
24contained a date on the envelope or whether24Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in25that date was correct; is that righ?24Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinions in25that date was correct; is that righ?25that Ball case last week?1C. Miller1C. Miller2A. Yes.2for to determine what we're doing going3Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those4yet.4opinions? Did you review them?5Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the6super familiar with them.5Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the7Q. Has the board reviewed them?7Go this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the8A. I I know they have been sent them.9will use during future elections to determine9I can't speak to whether they have actually10return envelope is correct??12questions about what the board is intending to10whether the date written on the mail ballot14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.17A. I do. But those court orders also16How is the Board of Elections1717going to handle mail ballots contained in18envelopes in which there are no written dates19in future elections?20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken21A. We have not spoken I've not spoken22Q. You have not spoken	23		23	
25 that date was correct; is that right? 25 that Ball case last week? 1 C. Miller Page 104 1 C. Miller 2 A. Yes. 2 for to determine what we're doing going 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those 3 for ward, we have not met to speak about that 4 opinions? Did you review them? 5 A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm 5 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the 6 super familiar with them. 5 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent 7 Q. Has the board reviewed them? 7 for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the 8 A. I I know they have been sent them. 9 will use during future elections to determine 10 reviewed them themselves or not. 10 whether the date written on the mail ballot 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you 11 return envelope is correct"? 12 A. I believe that's what that said, yes. 13 Q. So were you aware that this 14 written on the envelopes containing mail-in and 14 deposition was supposed to cover what the Board 15 of Elections was pla	24			
Page 104Page 104Page 1051C. Miller1C. Miller2A. Yes.2for to determine what we're doing going3Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those3for ward, we have not met to speak about that4opinions? Did you review them?3for ward, we have not met to speak about that5A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm5Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the6super familiar with them.6notice the deposition notice that we sent7Q. Has the board reviewed them?7for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the8A. I I know they have been sent them.9will use during future elections to determine9I can't speak to whether they have actually9will use during future elections to determine10reviewed them themselves or not.10whether the date written on the mail ballot11Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you11return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to12A. I believe that's what that said, yes.13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.16How is the Board of Elections16How is the Board of Elections18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?19Meet will that determination be	25	-	25	
1C. Miller1C. Miller2A. Yes.2for to determine what we're doing going3Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those3forward, we have not met to speak about that4opinions? Did you review them?3forward, we have not met to speak about that4opinions? Did you review them?4yet.5A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm5Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the6super familiar with them.6notice the deposition notice that we sent7Q. Has the board reviewed them?7for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the8A. I I know they have been sent them.9will use during future elections to determine9I can't speak to whether they have actually9will use during future elections to determine10p. Okay. I'm going to now ask you10return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to12A. I believe that's what that said, yes.13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15of Elections?17A. I do. But those court orders also18just came out, and we have not had a chance to18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have a date that19in future elections?10A. I don't know if we have a date that20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20				
3Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those3forward, we have not met to speak about that4opinions? Did you review them?4yet.5A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm5Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the6super familiar with them.6notice the deposition notice that we sent7Q. Has the board reviewed them?7for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the8A. I I know they have been sent them.8retrievia that the Lancaster Board of Elections9I can't speak to whether they have actually9will use during future elections to determine10reviewed them themselves or not.10whether the date written on the mail ballot11Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you11return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?Q. When will that determination be made?21A. We have not spoken I've not spoken21A. I don't know if we have a date that22 <td< th=""><th></th><th>P 104</th><th>1</th><th>5 105</th></td<>		P 104	1	5 105
3Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those3forward, we have not met to speak about that4opinions? Did you review them?4yet.5A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm5Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the6super familiar with them.6notice the deposition notice that we sent7Q. Has the board reviewed them?7for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the8A. I I know they have been sent them.8criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections9I can't speak to whether they have actually9will use during future elections to determine10reviewed them themselves or not.10whether the date written on the mail ballot11Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you11return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?2Q. When will that determination be made?21A. We have not spoken I've not spoken2Q. When will that determination be made?	1		1	-
4opinions? Did you review them?4yet.5A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm5Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the6super familiar with them.6notice the deposition notice that we sent7Q. Has the board reviewed them?7for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the8A. I I know they have been sent them.9retira that the Lancaster Board of Elections9I can't speak to whether they have actually9will use during future elections to determine10reviewed them themselves or not.10whether the board is intending to11Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you11return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections1617going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?20When will that determination be made?21A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20When will that determination be made?22We will be doing going forward.22We have set yet. I assume it would be sometime23Q. You have not spoken to		C. Miller		C. Miller
5A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm5Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the6super familiar with them.6notice the deposition notice that we sent7Q. Has the board reviewed them?7for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the8A. I I know they have been sent them.9i criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections9I can't speak to whether they have actually9will use during future elections to determine10reviewed them themselves or not.10whether the date written on the mail ballot11Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you11return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to12A. I believe that's what that said, yes.13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.15of Elections?16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates19inst came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?19meet to go over that yet.20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20Q. When will that determination be made?21A. I don't know if we have a date that21A. I don't know if we have a date that </td <td>2</td> <td>C. Miller A. Yes.</td> <th>2</th> <td>C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going</td>	2	C. Miller A. Yes.	2	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going
6super familiar with them.6notice the deposition notice that we sent7Q. Has the board reviewed them?7for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the8A. I I know they have been sent them.8criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections9I can't speak to whether they have actually9will use during future elections to determine10reviewed them themselves or not.10whether the date written on the mail ballot11Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you11return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to12A. I believe that's what that said, yes.13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates19meet to go over that yet.20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20Q. When will that determination be made?21With the Board of Elections to determine what21A. I don't know if we have a date that22Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of2	2 3	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those	2 3	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that
7Q. Has the board reviewed them?7for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the8A. I I know they have been sent them.8criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections9I can't speak to whether they have actually9will use during future elections to determine10reviewed them themselves or not.10whether the date written on the mail ballot11Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you11return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to12A. I believe that's what that said, yes.13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?20Q. When will that determination be made?21A. We have not spoken I've not spoken21A. I don't know if we have a date that22Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them?	2 3 4	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet.
8A. I I know they have been sent them.8criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections9I can't speak to whether they have actually9will use during future elections to determine10reviewed them themselves or not.10whether the date written on the mail ballot11Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you11return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to12A. I believe that's what that said, yes.13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?20Q. When will that determination be made?21with the Board of Elections to determine what21A. I don't know if we have a date that22Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm	2 3 4 5	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the
9I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not.9will use during future elections to determine 1010reviewed them themselves or not.10whether the date written on the mail ballot11Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you11return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to12A. I believe that's what that said, yes.13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?10We have a date that20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20Q. When will that determination be made?21With the Board of Elections to determine what21A. I don't know if we have a date that22Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them.	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent
10reviewed them themselves or not.10whether the date written on the mail ballot11Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you11return envelope is correct"?12questions about what the board is intending to12A. I believe that's what that said, yes.13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.16elections16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?10Q. When will that determination be made?20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20Q. When will that determination be made?21with the Board of Elections to determine what21A. I don't know if we have a date that22Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them?	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the
12questions about what the board is intending to12A. I believe that's what that said, yes.13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?19meet to go over that yet.20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20Q. When will that determination be made?21with the Board of Elections to determine what21A. I don't know if we have a date that23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.24Lections?24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections
13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board16How is the Board of Elections15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?19meet to go over that yet.20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20Q. When will that determination be made?21with the Board of Elections to determine what21A. I don't know if we have a date that22Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine
13do in future elections with respect to dates13Q. So were you aware that this14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board15absentee ballots.15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?19meet to go over that yet.20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20Q. When will that determination be made?21with the Board of Elections to determine what21A. I don't know if we have a date that23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.24Elections?24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot
14written on the envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots.14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections going to handle mail ballots contained in envelopes in which there are no written dates in future elections?14deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future elections?20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken 2117A. I do. But those court orders also just came out, and we have not had a chance to meet to go over that yet.21With the Board of Elections to determine what 2220Q. When will that determination be made? A. I don't know if we have a date that we have not spoken to the Board of 2421A. I don't know if we have a date that 2323Q. You have not spoken to the Board of 2424Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"?
15absentee ballots.15of Elections was planning to do in future16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?19meet to go over that yet.20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20Q. When will that determination be made?21with the Board of Elections to determine what21A. I don't know if we have a date that22Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes.
16How is the Board of Elections16elections?17going to handle mail ballots contained in17A. I do. But those court orders also18envelopes in which there are no written dates18just came out, and we have not had a chance to19in future elections?19meet to go over that yet.20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20Q. When will that determination be made?21with the Board of Elections to determine what21A. I don't know if we have a date that22we will be doing going forward.22we have set yet. I assume it would be sometime23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.24Elections?24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this
 18 envelopes in which there are no written dates 19 in future elections? 20 A. We have not spoken I've not spoken 21 with the Board of Elections to determine what 22 we will be doing going forward. 23 Q. You have not spoken to the Board of 24 Elections? 24 Elections? 25 In March, though, but I would be guessing. 26 Q. Okay. You answered some questions by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates written on the envelopes containing mail-in and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this deposition was supposed to cover what the Board
 18 envelopes in which there are no written dates 19 in future elections? 20 A. We have not spoken I've not spoken 21 with the Board of Elections to determine what 22 we will be doing going forward. 23 Q. You have not spoken to the Board of 24 Elections? 24 Elections? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates written on the envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future
20A. We have not spoken I've not spoken20Q. When will that determination be made?21with the Board of Elections to determine what21A. I don't know if we have a date that22we will be doing going forward.22we have set yet. I assume it would be sometime23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.24Elections?24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates written on the envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots. How is the Board of Elections	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future elections?
21with the Board of Elections to determine what21A.I don't know if we have a date that22we will be doing going forward.22we have set yet. I assume it would be sometime23Q.You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.24Elections?24Q.Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates written on the envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots. How is the Board of Elections	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future elections? A. I do. But those court orders also
22we will be doing going forward.22we have set yet. I assume it would be sometime23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.24Elections?24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates written on the envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots. How is the Board of Elections going to handle mail ballots contained in envelopes in which there are no written dates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future elections? A. I do. But those court orders also just came out, and we have not had a chance to
23Q. You have not spoken to the Board of23in March, though, but I would be guessing.24Elections?24Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates written on the envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots. How is the Board of Elections going to handle mail ballots contained in envelopes in which there are no written dates in future elections?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future elections? A. I do. But those court orders also just came out, and we have not had a chance to meet to go over that yet.
24 Elections? 24 Q. Okay. You answered some questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates written on the envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots. How is the Board of Elections going to handle mail ballots contained in envelopes in which there are no written dates in future elections? A. We have not spoken I've not spoken	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future elections? A. I do. But those court orders also just came out, and we have not had a chance to meet to go over that yet. Q. When will that determination be made?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates written on the envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots. How is the Board of Elections going to handle mail ballots contained in envelopes in which there are no written dates in future elections? A. We have not spoken I've not spoken with the Board of Elections to determine what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future elections? A. I do. But those court orders also just came out, and we have not had a chance to meet to go over that yet. Q. When will that determination be made? A. I don't know if we have a date that
25 A. Since those orders have come out 25 Mr. Loney about the format of the date that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates written on the envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots. How is the Board of Elections going to handle mail ballots contained in envelopes in which there are no written dates in future elections? A. We have not spoken I've not spoken with the Board of Elections to determine what we will be doing going forward.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future elections? A. I do. But those court orders also just came out, and we have not had a chance to meet to go over that yet. Q. When will that determination be made? A. I don't know if we have a date that we have set yet. I assume it would be sometime
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with those opinions? Did you review them? A. Very briefly. I wouldn't say I'm super familiar with them. Q. Has the board reviewed them? A. I I know they have been sent them. I can't speak to whether they have actually reviewed them themselves or not. Q. Okay. I'm going to now ask you questions about what the board is intending to do in future elections with respect to dates written on the envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots. How is the Board of Elections going to handle mail ballots contained in envelopes in which there are no written dates in future elections? A. We have not spoken I've not spoken with the Board of Elections to determine what we will be doing going forward. Q. You have not spoken to the Board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller for to determine what we're doing going forward, we have not met to speak about that yet. Q. Okay. Are you aware that, in the notice the deposition notice that we sent for this deposition, Topic Number 3 was "the criteria that the Lancaster Board of Elections will use during future elections to determine whether the date written on the mail ballot return envelope is correct"? A. I believe that's what that said, yes. Q. So were you aware that this deposition was supposed to cover what the Board of Elections was planning to do in future elections? A. I do. But those court orders also just came out, and we have not had a chance to meet to go over that yet. Q. When will that determination be made? A. I don't know if we have a date that we have set yet. I assume it would be sometime in March, though, but I would be guessing.

	Page 106		Page 107
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	written on the mail ballot return envelope.	2	Q. And what's your recollection about
3	And you said that that you	3	what those instructions said?
4	rejected when I say "you," I mean the Board	4	A. I believe that it says today's date
5	of Elections you rejected you rejected	5	required. And then after it, it says
6	on ballots contained in envelopes where the	6	MM/DD/YYY. But, again, without seeing it in
7	date was written in a format that suggested	7	front of me, I can't confirm.
8	that the let's say the date predated the	8	Q. Do you know whether you whether
9	first date of the range set by the Pennsylvania	9	the board did that for envelopes for overseas
10	Supreme Court; is that right?	10	and military ballots?
11	A. I believe.	11	A. Off the top of my head, I cannot
12	Q. And you presumed that the format was	12	remember.
13	month, date, then year.	13	Q. Would there have been any reason for
14	Did I hear that right?	14	the board to provide that guidance in domestic
15	A. I did. And, again, I would need to	15	ballots but not military and overseas ballots?
16	see our ballot our ballot return envelope,	16	A. Not that I can think of.
17	not Dauphin County's, because I believe that is	17	MR. OSHER: Mr. Loney, did you
18	on our actual ballot return envelopes so people	18	mark already the board's responses to your
19	have the right format.	19	RFPs?
20	Q. And can you tell me why you think	20	MR. LONEY: I did. Those should
21	that?	21	be Lancaster 4.
22	A. I was I'm trying to remember	22	MR. OSHER: Okay. I apologize.
23	exactly what they look like, and I believe that	23	Would you mind pulling that up? I think
24	it is on there. But, again, without seeing it	24	it would be easier for you to do it rather
25	in front of me, I cannot confirm that.	25	than me use a different document.
	Dago 109		Page 109
1	Page 108 C. Miller	1	Page 109 C. Miller
	C. Miller	1	
2	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I	2	C. Miller
2 3	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it.	2 3	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was
2 3 4	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it.	2 3 4	C. Miller
2 3 4 5	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this	2 3 4 5	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it?	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Can you see what I'm showing on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Can you see what I'm showing on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Can you see what I'm showing on the screen here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: That works for me. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Can you see what I'm showing on the screen here? A. I can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: That works for me. Thank you. MR. OSHER: Thanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Can you see what I'm showing on the screen here? A. I can. Q. And does this appear to you to be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: That works for me. Thank you. MR. OSHER: Thanks. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Can you see what I'm showing on the screen here? A. I can. Q. And does this appear to you to be the Lancaster Board of Elections response to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: That works for me. Thank you. MR. OSHER: Thanks. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: And what are we marking this as?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Can you see what I'm showing on the screen here? A. I can. Q. And does this appear to you to be the Lancaster Board of Elections response to the NAACP plaintiffs request for production of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: That works for me. Thank you. MR. OSHER: Thanks. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: And what are we marking this as? MR. OSHER: I don't know what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Can you see what I'm showing on the screen here? A. I can. Q. And does this appear to you to be the Lancaster Board of Elections response to the NAACP plaintiffs request for production of documents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: That works for me. Thank you. MR. OSHER: Thanks. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: And what are we marking this as? MR. OSHER: I don't know what we finished on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Can you see what I'm showing on the screen here? A. I can. Q. And does this appear to you to be the Lancaster Board of Elections response to the NAACP plaintiffs request for production of documents? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller I assume they're the same, but I don't think there's any reason to risk it. Thank you. I appreciate it. And let's go to so this doesn't actually contain the documents that were produced, does it? Okay. No problem. I will I'll offer a new exhibit then. If you wouldn't mind just giving me one second. All right. Jennifer, I did not send an email before the deposition containing our possible exhibits. So I'm just going to put it in the chat, if that works. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: That works for me. Thank you. MR. OSHER: Thanks. THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: And what are we marking this as? MR. OSHER: I don't know what we finished on. MR. LONEY: The next one should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) MR. OSHER: So I've just put in the chat feature of Zoom a document that we're going to mark as I'm sorry. Mr. Loney, did you say 13 or 14? THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: 13. MR. OSHER: 13. Thank you. So this will be Exhibit 13, and I'm going to share my screen. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Can you see what I'm showing on the screen here? A. I can. Q. And does this appear to you to be the Lancaster Board of Elections response to the NAACP plaintiffs request for production of documents? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is there any way can

	Page 110		Page 111
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller
2	A. It's fine.	2	for military ballots, not all of our absentee
3	Q. Okay. Thanks.	3	and regulatory mail-in ballots. So this one
4	I'm going to move down to page 9	4	does not appear that it has it, but it would be
5	of this document. And it looks like and	5	next to the date.
6	this is listed as Exhibit A to the responses to	6	Q. But before, when I asked you if there
7	the request for production.	7	was any reason why the board would have
8	I'm going to show you page 9,	8	different instructions as to the format of the
9	which looks like half of a ballot envelope.	9	dates between absentee ballots, domestic mail
10	Does that look right?	10	ballots, and military ballots, you could not
11	A. Yes.	11	think of any; is that right?
12	Q. Okay. And if you said before that	12	A. No, I couldn't think of any.
13	you recall that there might have been guidance	13	MR. OSHER: Okay. I am going to
14	as to the format by which people should write	14	stop sharing my screen.
		15	BY MR. OSHER:
15	their date; is that right?	-	
16	A. Correct.	16	Q. So going back to the assumption that
17	Q. Would that have been so it looks	17	the date written on the envelope would be
18	like, towards the bottom of this envelope, you	18	month, date, year.
19	have the absentee it's cut off, so we can't	19	Why did you make that
20	see everything that it says. But it appears to	20	assumption?
21	be the absentee elector's declaration.	21	A. Again, without seeing our for
22	Where in this would the guidance	22	domestic mail-in absentee ballots, without
23	as to date, month, year have been or month,	23	seeing that in front of me, I believe that it
24	date, year?	24	is on there, which is why we use that.
25	A. This these are used specifically	25	Q. Any other reason?
	Page 112		Page 113
1	Page 112 C. Miller	1	Page 113 C. Miller
1 2		1 2	
	C. Miller		C. Miller
2	C. Miller A. No.	2	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any?
2 3	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that	2 3	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just
2 3 4	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections?	2 3 4	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our
2 3 4 5	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to	2 3 4 5	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first.	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes. But I don't know that there's direct training	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future? A. I can't speak to what the board will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes. But I don't know that there's direct training about dates specifically.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future? A. I can't speak to what the board will decide going forward.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes. But I don't know that there's direct training about dates specifically. Q. Aside from your recollection that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future? A. I can't speak to what the board will decide going forward. Q. Sure. But to your knowledge, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes. But I don't know that there's direct training about dates specifically. Q. Aside from your recollection that there might have been guidance as to format of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future? A. I can't speak to what the board will decide going forward. Q. Sure. But to your knowledge, you don't know of any intent to do that in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes. But I don't know that there's direct training about dates specifically. Q. Aside from your recollection that there might have been guidance as to format of the date that should be written on envelopes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future? A. I can't speak to what the board will decide going forward. Q. Sure. But to your knowledge, you don't know of any intent to do that in the future?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes. But I don't know that there's direct training about dates specifically. Q. Aside from your recollection that there might have been guidance as to format of the date that should be written on envelopes, putting that aside, does the board provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future? A. I can't speak to what the board will decide going forward. Q. Sure. But to your knowledge, you don't know of any intent to do that in the future? A. I am not sure what they will do with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes. But I don't know that there's direct training about dates specifically. Q. Aside from your recollection that there might have been guidance as to format of the date that should be written on envelopes, putting that aside, does the board provide guidance to voters as to how they should format	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future? A. I can't speak to what the board will decide going forward. Q. Sure. But to your knowledge, you don't know of any intent to do that in the future? A. I am not sure what they will do with that going forward.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes. But I don't know that there's direct training about dates specifically. Q. Aside from your recollection that there might have been guidance as to format of the date that should be written on envelopes, putting that aside, does the board provide guidance to voters as to how they should format the date written on the envelope? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future? A. I can't speak to what the board will decide going forward. Q. Sure. But to your knowledge, you don't know of any intent to do that in the future? A. I am not sure what they will do with that going forward. Q. Earlier, in response to Mr. Loney's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes. But I don't know that there's direct training about dates specifically. Q. Aside from your recollection that there might have been guidance as to format of the date that should be written on envelopes, putting that aside, does the board provide guidance to voters as to how they should format the date written on the envelope? A. I can't recall at the moment without 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future? A. I can't speak to what the board will decide going forward. Q. Sure. But to your knowledge, you don't know of any intent to do that in the future? A. I am not sure what they will do with that going forward. Q. Earlier, in response to Mr. Loney's questions, you said that the board uses the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 C. Miller A. No. Q. Will the Board of Elections make that assumption in future elections? MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the Board of Elections will determine without meeting with them first. BY MR. OSHER: Q. Does the Board of Elections provide training to its workers about how to determine whether the date written on a ballot is correct? A. We go over it with the staff, yes. But I don't know that there's direct training about dates specifically. Q. Aside from your recollection that there might have been guidance as to format of the date that should be written on envelopes, putting that aside, does the board provide guidance to voters as to how they should format the date written on the envelope? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller Q. You're not aware of any? A. I can't recall. I don't I just don't remember what's exactly in our instructions. Q. Okay. I think in response to Mr. Loney's questions you said that the board does not provide notice to voters if their ballot is rejected because of a missing or incorrect date. Do I have that right? A. Correct. Q. To your knowledge, does the board have any intention of providing such notice in the future? A. I can't speak to what the board will decide going forward. Q. Sure. But to your knowledge, you don't know of any intent to do that in the future? A. I am not sure what they will do with that going forward. Q. Earlier, in response to Mr. Loney's

	Page 114		Page 115		
1	C. Miller	1	C. Miller		
2	whether the ballot is compliant with	2	needed.		
3	election with the election code.	3	Q. And what are those rejection codes		
4	Do I have that right?	4	reflecting?		
5	A. Correct.	5	A. I don't, off the top of my head, know		
6	Q. Does the board use the written date	6	all of them. But that could be no secrecy		
7	on the envelope for any other purpose?	7	envelope, no signature or date. Things to that		
8	A. We do not.	8	nature.		
9	Q. I have a few questions about the SURE	9	Q. Does the rejection code differentiate		
10	system.	10	between missing signature and missing date?		
11	So can you just explain what the	11	A. I don't believe that it did. I		
12	SURE system is?	12	believe that has been changed going forward,		
13	A. It's the voter registration system	13	though.		
14	for Pennsylvania.	14	Q. Do you know when that change was		
15	Q. Okay. And can you describe to me the	15	made?		
16	process by which the Board of Elections	16	A. I do not. Again, I don't remember if		
17	interacts with the SURE system when a mail	17	it actually updated that or not yet. I just		
18	ballot is returned to them?	18	know there was talk of it.		
19	A. So we have to all ballots have to	19	Q. So am I correct that, when the mail		
20	be scanned into the system to say that	20	ballot is received by the Board of Elections,		
21	basically saying I was trying to think what	21	it is time-stamped, and then that time and date		
22	the exact wording is that it uses.	22	is entered into the SURE system?		
23	But it's basically saying that	23	A. Yes. They are scanned into the SURE		
24	we received that ballot. And then there are	24	system that day so that the voter knows that we		
25	rejection codes, should a rejection code be	25	have received their ballot.		
	Page 116		Page 117		
1	Page 116 C. Miller	1	Page 117 C. Miller		
1 2		1 2			
	C. Miller		C. Miller		
2	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a	2	C. Miller voter; is that right?		
2 3	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter	2 3	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct.		
2 3 4	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in	2 3 4	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if		
2 3 4 5	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person?	2 3 4 5	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends		
2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional	2 3 4 5 6	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling		
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot.	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls. If they do not have those two		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first? A. Correct. Q. How does the election official at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls. If they do not have those two pieces, then they must vote provisionally.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first? A. Correct. Q. How does the election official at the polling place know that the voter has submitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls. If they do not have those two pieces, then they must vote provisionally. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and the mail ballot comes in after that and it's before the deadline, the 8:00 p.m.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first? A. Correct. Q. How does the election official at the polling place know that the voter has submitted their mail ballot?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls. If they do not have those two pieces, then they must vote provisionally. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and the mail ballot comes in after that		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first? A. Correct. Q. How does the election official at the polling place know that the voter has submitted their mail ballot? A. It shows them in the poll book.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls. If they do not have those two pieces, then they must vote provisionally. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and the mail ballot comes in after that and it's before the deadline, the 8:00 p.m.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first? A. Correct. Q. How does the election official at the polling place know that the voter has submitted their mail ballot? A. It shows them in the poll book. Q. And when it comes time to tabulate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls. If they do not have those two pieces, then they must vote provisionally. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and the mail ballot comes in after that and it's before the deadline, the 8:00 p.m. deadline of Election Day, what happens then?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first? A. Correct. Q. How does the election official at the polling place know that the voter has submitted their mail ballot? A. It shows them in the poll book. Q. And when it comes time to tabulate the votes, how does the Board of Elections	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls. If they do not have those two pieces, then they must vote provisionally. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and the mail ballot comes in after that and it's before the deadline, the 8:00 p.m. deadline of Election Day, what happens then? A. I don't know if we've ever had a case		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first? A. Correct. Q. How does the election official at the polling place know that the voter has submitted their mail ballot? A. It shows them in the poll book. Q. And when it comes time to tabulate the votes, how does the Board of Elections know I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls. If they do not have those two pieces, then they must vote provisionally. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and the mail ballot comes in after that and it's before the deadline, the 8:00 p.m. deadline of Election Day, what happens then? A. I don't know if we've ever had a case of that, so I can't speak to what would happen.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first? A. Correct. Q. How does the election official at the polling place know that the voter has submitted their mail ballot? A. It shows them in the poll book. Q. And when it comes time to tabulate the votes, how does the Board of Elections know I'm sorry. When it comes time to tabulate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls. If they do not have those two pieces, then they must vote provisionally. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and the mail ballot comes in after that and it's before the deadline, the 8:00 p.m. deadline of Election Day, what happens then? A. I don't know if we've ever had a case of that, so I can't speak to what would happen. Q. But in all events here, the board		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller Q. What happens if the board receives a mail ballot from the voter and then the voter appears at a voting place and tries to vote in person? A. They would have to do a provisional ballot. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and nothing else happens, what happens? A. If we received their mail ballot, their provisional ballot would not count. Q. And is that because the mail ballot was received first? A. Correct. Q. How does the election official at the polling place know that the voter has submitted their mail ballot? A. It shows them in the poll book. Q. And when it comes time to tabulate the votes, how does the Board of Elections know I'm sorry. Mhen it comes time to tabulate the votes, the Board of Elections will always	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. Miller voter; is that right? A. Correct. Q. What happens if a mail if a if a voter requests a mail ballot, the board sends it out, and the voter then appears at a polling place and it does not appear that they have returned their mail ballot? A. If they bring back their ballot as well as their return envelope, there is a form that they have to fill out. They turn that in to the judge of elections, and then they may vote at the polls. If they do not have those two pieces, then they must vote provisionally. Q. And if they submit a provisional ballot and the mail ballot comes in after that and it's before the deadline, the 8:00 p.m. deadline of Election Day, what happens then? A. I don't know if we've ever had a case of that, so I can't speak to what would happen. Q. But in all events here, the board will know when the mail ballot is returned and		

Page 118 Pag								
1	C. Miller		C. Miller	5				
2	A. Correct.	2	BY MR. OSHER:					
3	Q. Aside from the incident that you	3	Q. Ms. Miller, does the Lancaster board					
4	discussed with Mr. Loney regarding the 2022	4	coordinate at all with the boards of other					
5	primary, has the board identified any credible	5	counties to ensure uniformity in the way that					
6	fraud concerns relating to ballots,	6	they interpret the election code?					
7	specifically with respect to the date written	7	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to the					
8	on their ballot, in any other instance besides	8	form.					
9	that one that you referred to?	9	You can answer.					
10	A. No.	10	THE WITNESS: I would be					
11	Q. If a mail envelope is missing a	11	speculating if I said I knew if the board					
12	written date, is that a reason to suspect voter	12	members were reaching out directly to					
13	fraud?	13	other boards.					
14	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to the	14	BY MR. OSHER:					
15	form. Calls for speculation.	15	Q. Is there any formal system for that					
16	THE WITNESS: No, we would not	16	that you're aware of?					
17	assume that.	17	A. Not that I'm aware of.					
18	MR. OSHER: All right. Can we	18	Q. Are you aware of any communication					
19	go off the record for about five minutes.	19	between the boards of elections regarding how					
20	Let me just make sure that I don't have	20	they will deem dates to be correct or					
20	5	21	incorrect?					
22	any other questions.	22	A. No. Again, I would be speculating as					
23	(Whereuron a chert record was	23	to what they sent to other people or talks					
23	(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)	24	amongst themselves.					
24	caken.)	25	Q. But you're not aware of any of that?					
25								
1	Page 120 C. Miller	1	C. Miller	Page 121				
2	In preparing for this	2	I don't believe that was one of					
3	deposition, as the representative of the Board	3	the topics that she was asked to prepare					
4	of Elections, you are not aware of that?	4	for, but and also calls for					
5	A. Correct.	5	speculation.					
		6	-					
6			But with those objections, you					
	what happens when a voter submits a mail		can answer the question as best you can.					
8	ballot, comes to the polls, and variations of	8	THE WITNESS: Again, it would be					
9	that.	9	speculation as to how the board would					
10	What if the mail ballot is	10	choose to move forward on those					
11	received by the Board of Elections and is	11	provisional ballots.					
12	rejected because of a missing or incorrect date	12	BY MR. OSHER:					
13	and the voter then appears at the polling	13	Q. Do you have any reason to believe					
14	place? What happens then?	14	that the voter's in-person ballot would not be					
15	A. Again, I'm not aware of any instances	15	counted because of the rejected mail ballot					
16	that that has happened. So I would be	16	that was received before?					
17	speculating as to how we would handle that	17	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to					
18	because we have not had to.	18	form.					
19	Q. Based on your preparation as a	19	You can answer.					
20	representative of the board and your position,	20	THE WITNESS: I would truly be					
21	how based on your understanding of how the	21	speculating if I gave an answer on that.					
22	election code works, what is your understanding	22	MR. OSHER: All right. I don't					
23	of how the board would treat that voter?	23	have any more questions. Thank you.					
24	MR. ZIMOLONG: Objection to	24	THE COURT STENOGRAPHER: Does					
1	-							
25	form.	25	anybody else have any questions?					

1	C. Miller	Page 122	Page 1			
2	This is Jeff Bukowski on behalf		2		AIA SHEEI	
3			3			
4	of Berks County. We have no questions. MR. ZIMOLONG: If no one else		4			
5	has any questions going once, going		5	Pg. No. Now Reads	Should Read	Reason
6			6			
	twice then we'll let Ms. Miller go.		7			
7	Okay. Thank you very much.		8			
8			9			
9	(Whereupon, the deposition		10			
10	was concluded at 1:22 p.m.)		11			
11			12			
12			13			
13			14			
14			15			
15			16			
16			17			
17			18			
18			19			
19			20			
20			21			
21			22			Signature of Deponent
22				SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN	BEFORE ME	
23			23	THIS DAY OF	, 2023.	
24			24			
25			25	(Notary Public) MY	COMMISSION EX	PIRES:
		Dama 104				
1	C. Miller	Page 124				
2	CERTIFICATE					
3	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the					
4	proceedings, evidence and objections are					
5	contained fully and accurately in the					
6	stenographic notes taken by me upon the					
7	deposition of Crista Miller, taken on					
8	February 13, 2023 and that this is					
9	a true and correct transcript of same.					
10	Date, February 23, 2023					
11						
12						
13	Jennifer Billstein-Miller					
14	Jennifer Miller, RMR, CCR, CRR					
15	and Notary Public					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21	(The foregoing certification of					
22	this transcript does not apply to any					
23	reproduction of the same by any means					
24	unless under the direct control and/or					
25	supervision of the certifying reporter.)					