Stephen Lamoreaux 05/12/2023

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION
4	
5	ROBERT JULIAN-BORCHAK WILLIAMS,
6	Plaintiff,
7	-vs- No: 21-10827
8	HON. LAURIE J. MICHELSON
9	CITY OF DETROIT, a municipal
10	corporation; DETROIT POLICE
11	CHIEF JAMES CRAIG, in his
12	official capacity; and
13	DETECTIVE DONALD BUSSA, in
14	his individual capacity,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Pages 1 - 85.
18	
19	The videotaped deposition of STEPHEN LAMOREAUX
20	taken via Hanson Virtual Remote
21	commencing at 10:04 A.m.
22	Friday, May 12, 2023,
23	before Ann L. Bacon CSR-1297.
24	
25	



1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	MS. LAUREN YU
4	Civil Rights Litigation Initiative
5	University of Michigan Law School
6	701 S. State Street, Suite 2020
7	Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109
8	(734) 763-1983
9	mjsteinb@umich.edu
10	Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.
11	
12	MR. NATHAN F. WESSLER
13	American Civil Liberties
14	Union Fund of Michigan
15	2966 Woodward Avenue
16	Detroit, Michigan 48201
17	(313) 578-6803
18	nwessler@aclumich.org
19	Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25



2	
3 MR. PATRICK CUNNINGHAM (P67643)	
4 City of Detroit Law Department	
5 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500	
6 Detroit, Michigan 48226	
7 (313) 237-5032	
8 cunninghamp@detroitmi.gov	
9 Appearing on behalf of the Defendants.	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



25

	05/12/2023		Page
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2			
3	WITNESS	PAGE	
4	STEPHEN LAMOREAUX		
5	Examination by Mr. Wessler	6	
6	Examination by Mr. Cunningham	84	
7			
8			
9	EXHIBITS		
10	NUMBER	PAGE	
11	Deposition Exhibit No. 1	12	
12	(Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice)		
13	Deposition Exhibit No. 2	15	
14	(4/20/23 Letter of Subtopics)		
15	Deposition Exhibit No. 3	19	
16	(FRT Policy Review)		
17	Deposition Exhibit No. 4	20	
18	(7/16/19 - 7/18/19 E-mails)		
19	Deposition Exhibit No. 5	23	
20	(BuzzFeed News Article)		
21	Deposition Exhibit No. 6	25	
22	(DataWorks Plus Contract)		
23	Deposition Exhibit No. 7	30	
24	(DataWorks Plus Solicitation)		
25			



1	EXHIBITS, Continued:	
2	NUMBER	PAGE
3	Deposition Exhibit No. 8	55
4	(SNAP Presentation)	
5	Deposition Exhibit No. 9	57
6	(CIU SOPs)	
7	Deposition Exhibit No. 10	59
8	(CIU SOP Section 8)	
9	Deposition Exhibit No. 11	73
10	(Digital Image Analysis Request)	
11	Deposition Exhibit No. 12	77
12	(Investigative Lead Report	
13	Supplemental Information)	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1		Hanson Virtual Remote
2		Friday, May 12, 2023
3		10:04 a.m.
4		
5		COURT REPORTER: My name is Ann Bacon,
6		a Michigan State notary public and certified
7		shorthand reporter and this deposition is being
8		held via videoconferencing equipment. The
9		witness and reporter are not in the same room.
10		The witness will be sworn in remotely pursuant
11		to agreement of all parties. The parties
12		stipulate that the testimony is being given as
13		if the witness was sworn in person.
14		STEPHEN LAMOREAUX
15		was thereupon called as a witness herein, after
16		having been first duly sworn to tell the truth,
17		the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was
18		examined and testified as follows:
19		EXAMINATION
20		BY MR. WESSLER
21	Q.	Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Lamoreaux. My
22		name is Nate Wessler. I'm an attorney, part of
23		the team representing Mr. Robert Williams, who
24		is the Plaintiff in this lawsuit. Thank you for
25		making yourself available this morning. I



- 1 appreciate it. My understanding is that you
- 2 need to leave at 1:00, is that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. All right. So we will do everything we can to
- 5 complete this whole deposition in those three
- 6 hours. It's possible that if we don't get
- 7 through this, we will need to find one more hour
- 8 to come back for, but my goal is for us to
- 9 finish today and not have to come back.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Have you had your deposition taken before?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. So I'm just going to go over a few basics before
- we get going. First is if I'm looking down,
- it's because I have some notes down here on the
- desk in front of me. I promise I'm not trying
- 17 to disrespect you. That is not the intention.
- 18 That's just the nature of doing this remotely.
- 19 Second, I will be showing you some
- 20 exhibits throughout this deposition that we'll
- 21 be discussing. I'll do that by sharing my screen.
- I also made the PDFs available to Mr. Cunningham
- last night and we'll send the PDFs again after
- this so that you all have them with the correct
- 25 numbering in order. And Miss Bacon, I will send



- 1 those PDFs to you as well at the conclusion of
- 2 this deposition.
- 3 So first is the sound quality okay on
- 4 your end?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. If for any reason it gets choppy, you can't hear
- 7 me, let me know. I can try using headphones or
- 8 the microphone or something else, but otherwise
- 9 I'll assume you can hear me. Do you understand
- 10 that you're under oath today as if you were in a
- 11 courtroom?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. In order to have a clean transcript, you'll just
- need to wait until I finish asking questions
- 15 before you answer, and I'll do the same, I'll
- wait for you to answer a question before I ask
- 17 something else. Does that make sense?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And since we have a court reporter taking down a
- 20 transcript, please provide audible yes or no
- answers like you've been doing. Nodding your
- head or saying uh-huh won't make for a clear
- 23 transcript. Is that clear?
- 24 A. Yes, that's clear.
- 25 Q. If you don't understand a question, please ask



- for clarification. If you don't ask for
- 2 clarification, I'm going to assume you understood
- and we'll take your answer accordingly. Make
- 4 sense?
- 5 A. Yes, that makes sense.
- 6 Q. If you want a break at any time, you're very
- 7 welcome to take one. I just ask that you please
- 8 finish answering a question if I ask one and
- 9 then we can take a break. And relatedly, if we
- take a break and you and Mr. Cunningham want to
- 11 have a confidential conversation, just please
- 12 mute and go off screen and that's fine. I'm
- hoping we will take minimal breaks so we can
- just get through this, but of course, any time
- 15 you need a moment, just let me know. Does that
- 16 make sense?
- 17 A. Yes, that makes sense.
- 18 Q. Is there anything that would prevent you from
- 19 thinking clearly and testifying truthfully today?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. And then finally, Mr. Cunningham might object to
- 22 some of my questions. That's just for the record
- in the transcript. Unless he specifically
- instructs you not to answer, he'll object and
- 25 then you still need to answer the question that



- is pending. Is that clear?
- 2 A. That is clear.
- 3 Q. Okay. Thank you. So before we get into the
- 4 question, I just want to go over some of the
- terms that I'll be using throughout our
- 6 conversation today, to make sure we're on the
- 7 same page about what they mean. So if I say
- 8 DPD, you understand that I'm talking about the
- 9 Detroit Police Department?
- 10 A. Correct, yes.
- 11 Q. And if I say CIU, I'm talking about DPD's Crime
- 12 Intelligence Unit?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And MSP refers to the Michigan State Police?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. When I refer to the Shinola investigation, what
- 17 I'm talking about is the investigation into a
- theft of watches from the Shinola store in
- 19 downtown Detroit in October 2018 that culminated
- in the arrest of my client, Robert Williams. Do
- 21 you understand that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And then if I use the abbreviation FRT, I'm
- talking about facial recognition technology, okay?
- 25 A. Yes.



- 1 Q. And by facial recognition technology, I mean a
- 2 computer program or an algorithm that takes an
- 3 input photo, compares it against one or more
- 4 stored images and attempts to produce an output
- 5 with one or more possible matches or investigative
- 6 leads. Does that accord with your understanding
- 7 of that term?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And then if I talk about a probe image or
- a probe photo, I'm talking about the image or
- the photo that's fed into the facial recognition
- technology and are to be compared against one or
- more of those stored images to attempt a match.
- 14 Does that make sense?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you understand that you have been
- designated today to testify under Rule 30(b)(6)
- on behalf of the City of Detroit as a Defendant
- in this lawsuit?
- 20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm just going to say
- 21 that Stephen will be testifying today in his
- 22 capacity as director of crime data analytics for
- the Detroit Police Department. Go ahead.
- 24 MR. WESSLER: We have dealt with these
- objections before, Mr. Cunningham, of course.



- 1 We have designated -- I'm sorry, we have sent a
- 2 Notice to the city as a Defendant under
- Rule 30(b)(6) asking the city to designate
- 4 somebody capable of testifying on the specified
- 5 topic. Unless you are telling me that this
- 6 deponent is not prepared to testify under Rule
- 7 30(b)(6), I'm going to proceed as normal with
- 8 the deposition under that rule.
- 9 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) Okay. So just one
- 10 more question in this vein. Do you understand
- 11 that the answers you give today are binding on
- the City of Detroit as a Defendant in this lawsuit?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 (Marked Exhibit No. 1.)
- 15 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) Okay. Thank you.
- I am going to share Exhibit 1. Can you see this?
- 17 A. Yes, I can see it.
- 18 O. Are you familiar with this document?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So this is the Deposition Notice that the
- 21 Plaintiff in this case sent to the City of
- Detroit as a Defendant in this lawsuit, right?
- 23 A. That is my understanding, yes.
- 24 O. Okay. So I'm scrolling down to the second
- paragraph, the one that starts, "In accordance



- 1 with." Could you just read that paragraph to
- 2 yourself please and tell me when you're done?
- 3 A. Yes, I've read that.
- 4 Q. And so this paragraph identifies a topic on
- 5 which we have requested the City of Detroit to
- 6 produce someone to testify today and that topic
- is, I'm going to read it again, "The City of
- 8 Detroit's use of facial recognition technology.
- 9 This topic includes, but is not limited to, the
- 10 City of Detroit's use of DataWorks and its
- 11 process of sending facial recognition search
- requests to the Michigan State Police." You
- have been designated to testify on behalf of the
- 14 City of Detroit on that topic, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And are you prepared to testify today on behalf
- of the City of Detroit on that topic?
- 18 A. I am.
- 19 O. What did you do to prepare for this deposition?
- 20 A. I had a discussion with Mr. Cunningham last week
- 21 and some other members of CIU about the topics
- 22 to be covered in this deposition. I reviewed
- our policy this morning as well.
- 24 Q. Who did you speak to other than Mr. Cunningham?
- 25 A. I believe on that discussion was crime analyst



- 1 Nathan Howell, crime analyst Cameron Dean and
- 2 Deputy Chief Franklin Hayes.
- 3 Q. Franklin Hayes. Did you say Cameron Dean?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what did you speak to each of them about?
- 6 A. We simply talked about the topics that were
- 7 listed in the questions for the deposition and I
- 8 had the most institutional knowledge about the
- 9 topic.
- 10 Q. And did you speak to all three of them at once
- or were these separate conversations?
- 12 A. It was all three at once.
- 13 Q. Okay. How long was that conversation?
- 14 A. I don't remember.
- 15 Q. Ballpark?
- 16 A. Yeah, perhaps roughly 30 minutes.
- 17 Q. Mm-hmm. And you said you reviewed the policy
- this morning. Did you review any other documents?
- 19 A. I looked at the template that we complete to
- submit to MSP when we are requesting them to run
- 21 facial recognition.
- 22 Q. Any other documents?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. So in total, including your conversation with
- 25 Mr. Cunningham and your conversation with your



- colleagues and reviewing documents, how much
- time would you estimate you spent preparing for
- 3 this deposition?
- 4 A. Perhaps an hour and a half.
- 5 Q. Did you bring any documents or notes with you
- 6 this morning?
- 7 A. I have those documents in my bag. They're not
- 8 in front of me at the moment.
- 9 Q. And which documents?
- 10 A. The policy and the template that we submit to MSP.
- 11 Q. Any other documents or notes relevant to your
- 12 preparation today?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Is there anything else that you did to prepare
- that we haven't discussed now?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 (Marked Exhibit No. 2.)
- 18 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) Okay. Thank you.
- 19 I'm going to share Exhibit 2 now. Are you
- familiar with this document?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So this is a letter that we sent to Mr. Cunningham
- listing some subtopics that we intended to ask
- 24 you about today, is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.



- 1 Q. Are you prepared to address the items that are
- listed in this letter?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And do you understand that this was provided
- 5 just as a guide to assist you in preparing, but
- it's not intended to be a complete list of all
- 7 the questions or topics that we might discuss
- 8 today? Is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Thank you. So I want to ask you a few
- 11 questions about your background and your
- employment and then we'll get into the real
- 13 substance of our conversation. Briefly what's
- 14 your educational background?
- 15 A. I studied Urban Affairs and Geographic
- 16 Information Systems and Urban Public Policy.
- 17 Q. And what's the last degree you received?
- 18 A. Master's.
- 19 O. Who is your current employer?
- 20 A. City of Detroit with the Detroit Police Department.
- 21 Q. How long have you been with DPD?
- 22 A. A little more than five and a half years.
- 23 Q. And what did you do before DPD, most recently
- 24 before?
- 25 A. I free-lanced and worked at a coffee shop.



- 1 Q. What was the nature of the free-lance work?
- 2 A. Geographic information systems, data systems.
- 3 Q. Okay. And have your previous jobs before that
- 4 free-lancing also been in GIS?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Can you please walk me through the positions
- you've had at DPD starting from the beginning?
- 8 A. Yes. I began as the GIS Specialist, and from
- 9 there I became a Project Manager and Analytic
- 10 Specialist 3, and then I became Project Manager
- and Analytic Specialist 4, and then I became the
- 12 Director of Crime Data Analytics.
- 13 Q. How long have you been the Director of Crime
- 14 Data Analytics?
- 15 A. I believe approximately one month.
- 16 Q. Approximately one --
- 17 A. One month.
- 18 Q. Okay. And how long were you in the position
- immediately prior to that?
- 20 A. Roughly a little more than two years.
- 21 Q. Okay. And what are your responsibilities in
- 22 your current position?
- 23 A. I am responsible for data analysis as it relates
- to crime or identifying crime trends, CompStat
- 25 providing information to executives in a variety



- of topics and ensuring our data analysis is a
- 2 quality group.
- 3 Q. And what were your responsibilities in the
- 4 immediately prior position?
- 5 A. The same responsibilities.
- 6 Q. Okay. And what's different about this position
- 7 now?
- 8 A. We will be a little more focused on the
- 9 processes of an institutionalizing data analysis
- 10 and building out capacity to a greater extent.
- 11 Q. Okay. And do you supervise other employees in
- this current position?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How many?
- 15 A. It's a little difficult to answer at the moment
- since it's a new position and the org. chart hasn't
- 17 really been fully updated yet. Probably, you
- 18 know, I oversee about ten people at the moment.
- 19 Q. I see. So this position did not exist prior to
- a month ago?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. I see. Okay. Thank you. So getting to the
- substance of our conversation now, DPD runs
- searches using facial recognition technology,
- 25 correct?



- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. When did DPD start using FRT searches in
- 3 investigations?
- 4 A. I believe it was in 2018.
- 5 Q. Okay. So I'm going to come back to that in one
- 6 second, but before DPD began running its own
- 7 facial recognition technology searches, did DPD
- 8 request FRT searches by other agencies?
- 9 A. I don't have any knowledge of anything prior to
- 10 CIU becoming involved in facial recognition.
- 11 Q. And when did CIU become involved in facial
- 12 recognition?
- 13 A. I believe it's 2018, maybe 2017. I can't
- 14 remember the exact date.
- 15 (Marked Exhibit No. 3.)
- 16 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) So let me share an
- 17 exhibit. Are you familiar with this is a power
- 18 point presentation deck from DPD that we received
- in discovery. Have you seen this before?
- 20 A. I don't recognize this slide.
- 21 Q. I'm going to take us to page seven and you see
- here under this first bullet point, "DPD began
- using facial recognition technology in 2016
- using the state system." Do you have any reason
- 25 to think that's incorrect?



- 1 A. No, I don't have any reason to think that's
- incorrect.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you know when in 2016 DPD began using
- 4 facial recognition using the state system?
- 5 A. No, I wasn't employed by DPD at the time.
- 6 Q. And in preparing for this deposition you didn't
- 7 speak to anyone about these historical details?
- 8 A. Specific dates, no.
- 9 Q. So when this says DPD began using FRT in 2016
- 10 using the state's system, does that mean that
- 11 DPD began requesting that the Michigan State
- 12 Police run searches on DPD's behalf, or that DPD
- began logging into the state system to run its
- own searches?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 (Marked Exhibit No. 4.)
- 17 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) Okay. I'm going to
- share another exhibit now, and now I'm sharing
- 19 Exhibit 4. So this is an e-mail exchange between
- 20 Andrew Rutebuka in DPD CIU, and Angela Yankowski
- 21 you can see works for the Michigan State Police
- 22 Biometrics and Identification Division and it's
- 23 an exchange from 2019. Does that look correct
- 24 to you?
- 25 A. That looks correct, yes.



- 1 Q. So I'm going to scroll down to the bottom.
- 2 Actually, first, does Mr. Rutebuka still work
- 3 for CIU?
- 4 A. No, he is not employed by the city anymore.
- 5 Q. Do you know when he left the city?
- 6 A. I believe in 2021.
- 7 Q. Okay. Thank you. So this is the first e-mail
- 8 in the chain down at the bottom of the document
- 9 and Mr. Rutebuka writes to Miss Yankowski, well,
- 10 to Michigan State Police, "I am attempting to
- get an accurate count of how many facial recs
- have been completed." Did I read that accurately?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now I'm going to go up to the most recent e-mail
- in this chain up at the top of the document.
- 16 This is from Miss Yankowski back to Mr. Rutebuka
- 17 and you'll see that Miss Yankowski writes, "From
- 18 May 15, 2014 through July 16, 2019 MSP SNAP Unit
- 19 facial examiners have processed 377 SNAP case
- 20 management facial recognition (CMFR) search
- 21 requests for the Detroit Police Department,"
- and then in the third paragraph Miss Yankowski
- writes, "From September 16, 2014 to July 16,
- 24 2019 the DPD has used the SNAP CMFR tool a total
- of 4,078 times," and then in parentheses it



- 1 says, "(Note that several of those search
- 2 sessions are duplicate searches of the same
- 3 probe photo and/or subject)." Did I read those
- 4 two sentences accurately?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Why do these date ranges start in 2014?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. So you don't know when DPD starting requesting
- 9 facial recognition searches through MSP?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Okay. Has DPD ever had a contract with a company
- that provides facial recognition technology
- 13 software?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What company was that?
- 16 A. DataWorks Plus.
- 17 Q. Is DataWorks the only company that DPD has
- 18 contracted with for FRT?
- 19 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Has DPD ever used any other facial
- 21 recognition technology programs or algorithms?
- 22 A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
- 23 Q. Are you familiar with the facial recognition
- technology company called Clearview AI?
- 25 A. I am, yes.



- 1 Q. Can you just describe them briefly in your own
- words what you understand them to be?
- 3 A. I believe Clearwater AI is a facial recognition
- 4 application that compares probe photos to social
- 5 media photos returning social media profiles for
- 6 those individuals.
- 7 Q. In that answer you said Clearwater AI. Did you
- 8 mean Clearview AI?
- 9 A. Yes. I'm sorry. Clearview AI.
- 10 (Marked Exhibit No. 5.)
- 11 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) No problem. I'm
- now going to show you Exhibit 5. So this is an
- article from BuzzFeed News from April of 2021
- discussing local police departments that used
- 15 Clearview AI's system. Have you seen this before?
- 16 A. I don't know if I've seen that article. I have
- 17 seen some articles in this format.
- 18 O. I am scrolling down now. This is page five of
- 19 the PDF as it's saved from the internet article
- and you'll see this is a table with the names of
- 21 some police departments that records BuzzFeed
- obtained indicate used Clearview and you see it
- 23 says Detroit Police Department, Michigan and
- under the search count column it says 11 to 50.
- 25 Do you see that?



- 1 A. I see that, yes.
- 2 Q. So that would mean that according to this
- 3 reporting, someone or someones at DPD used
- 4 Clearview's facial recognition tool between 11
- 5 and 50 times, is that correct?
- 6 A. That would be my understanding is what that
- 7 would mean.
- 8 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that this
- 9 reporting is incorrect?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did DPD ever have a contract with Clearview?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Did DPD ever permit its personnel to use
- 14 Clearview for facial recognition searches?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Could DPD personnel have used Clearview without
- the knowledge of department leadership?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. And would that have violated any policy that
- 20 you're aware of?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What policy is that?
- 23 A. The facial recognition use policy.
- 24 Q. Okay. And so what in the facial recognition use
- 25 policy would have been violated? Let me ask



- 1 that a different way. In what way would that
- 2 have violated the facial recognition use policy?
- 3 A. There are, I believe there are two parts of the
- 4 policy that would have violated. The first is
- 5 that facial recognition must be run and managed
- by the Crime Intelligence Unit; and two, the ban
- on the use of mobile facial recognition technology.
- 8 O. And if it was a CIU member who was using
- 9 Clearview, would that have violated the policy?
- 10 A. I'm not sure if that would have violated the
- 11 facial recognition policy or not.
- 12 Q. Is there another policy it might have violated?
- 13 A. I don't know off the top of my head which policy
- 14 that would have violated.
- 15 Q. Okay. Thank you. We're done with this exhibit.
- So I'm going to go back to a timeline question
- again. Do you know when DPD first began using
- 18 DataWorks?
- 19 A. Is the contract of that from 2017?
- 20 (Marked Exhibit No. 6.)
- 21 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) Let me bring up the
- 22 contract. This is Exhibit 6. So are you
- familiar with this document?
- 24 A. I have seen that, yes.
- 25 Q. And this is the contract between the City of



- 1 Detroit and DataWorks Plus for facial recognition
- 2 services, is that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And I'm going to go to the signature page. This
- is page 24 and it shows that the contract was
- 6 signed in 2017, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So do you know when DPD started actually using
- 9 the DataWorks platform pursuant to this contract
- signed in July of 2017?
- 11 A. I believe the second half of 2017. I don't
- 12 remember the exact date.
- 13 Q. Okay. Is this contract still in effect?
- 14 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 15 O. Does DPD still use DataWorks for facial
- 16 recognition searches though?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And so how does it do that if it's not through
- 19 this contract?
- 20 A. Through the MiCJIN portal and SNAP through MSP's.
- 21 Q. Can you just spell the letters in the MiCJIN
- 22 acronym for the record?
- 23 A. M-i-C-J-I-N, I believe.
- 24 O. Do you know what that stands for?
- 25 A. The Michigan Criminal Justice Information Network.



- 1 Q. Thank you. And you said SNAP, that's S-N-A-P?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And do you remember what that stands for?
- 4 A. Statewide Network of Agency Photos.
- 5 Q. Thank you. So can you tell me when DPD stopped
- 6 using DataWorks under this contract and started
- 7 instead using DataWorks through MSP's system?
- 8 A. I believe DPD primarily used DataWorks Plus
- 9 through the MSP program.
- 10 Q. So even when this contract was in effect and DPD
- 11 had its own version of DataWorks that it could
- use locally, it was mostly using MSP's system
- 13 instead?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And why was that?
- 16 A. The MSP program has access to the Statewide Agency
- 17 Photos. DPD's version has access only to DPD
- 18 photos.
- 19 O. So when a Crime Intelligence Unit staff member
- logs into MSP's system, are they able to run a
- 21 search against the state driver's license database?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Are they able to run a search against arrest
- 24 photos from the City of Detroit?
- 25 A. Yes.



- 1 Q. Are they able to run a search against arrest
- 2 photos from other jurisdictions in Michigan?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Are they able to run a search against arrest
- 5 photos from other jurisdictions elsewhere in the
- 6 country?
- 7 A. I don't believe so.
- 8 Q. And are there any other types of photos that
- 9 someone in DPD can run a search against using
- 10 MSP's system?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Do you know how early -- so we discussed earlier
- that presentation saying that DPD started using
- searches through the state system in 2016. Do
- 15 you remember that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. So would it be correct to say that MSP
- through CIU was using the state system starting
- in 2016 and then in 2017 also had the option of
- 20 using a locally operated DataWorks program?
- 21 A. Could you clarify? You said MSP through CIU?
- 22 Q. Sorry. So starting in 2016 CIU could use MSP's
- 23 system, is that right?
- 24 A. I believe so, yes.
- 25 Q. And then in 2017 CIU had a choice between MSP's



- 1 system or the DataWorks system pursuant to this
- 2 contract?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you said mostly DPD was using MSP's system.
- 5 Can you give an estimate of the proportion of
- 6 searches through DPD's own contract with
- 7 DataWorks versus through MSP's system?
- 8 A. I don't have a sense. I think the vast majority
- 9 was through the MSP system.
- 10 O. I'm going to stop sharing. Do you know why DPD
- 11 let its contract with DataWorks lapse?
- 12 A. My understanding is I think we realized it wasn't
- 13 required to run facial recognition searches.
- 14 Q. And is that because DPD could use MSP's system
- 15 through DataWorks?
- 16 A. That is my understanding, yes.
- 17 O. Do you know who was involved in those discussions
- about ending the contract with DataWorks?
- 19 A. I'm only aware of Captain Matthew Fulgenzi,
- 20 Commander Michael Parish, and I don't know who
- 21 else. I believe Director Robert Millender,
- 22 public safety IT would have been involved in
- that as well. Oh, and I think Art Thompson CIO
- 24 was involved in that as well.
- 25 Q. Okay. And were there any documents memorializing



- that decision, memos, e-mails, et cetera?
- 2 A. I'm not aware of any.
- 3 Q. Can you please describe in your own words what
- 4 DataWorks does?
- 5 A. DataWorks provides an application where a probe
- 6 photo can be uploaded and matched against a
- 7 collection of photos, from our perspective that
- is agency mug shots and it returns a set of
- 9 photos that an analyst can then look through and
- 10 compare it to the original photo.
- 11 Q. And would it be correct to say that DataWorks is
- a platform that integrates facial recognition
- technology algorithms from other companies?
- 14 A. I don't know the source of the algorithms.
- 15 Q. Okay. So do you know when DPD began using
- DataWorks through its own contract in 2017, do
- 17 you know what algorithms were in use through the
- 18 platform?
- 19 A. I don't remember the specific names. I believe
- there were two that were included in the purchase.
- 21 (Marked Exhibit No. 7.)
- 22 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) So I'm going to
- introduce Exhibit 7. Are you familiar with this
- 24 document?
- 25 A. I have not seen this before.



- 1 O. So this is the solicitation that DataWorks --
- well, this is the DataWorks submission to DPD in
- 3 response to the solicitation for facial
- 4 recognition software, and I'm going to take us
- down to page seven where DataWorks writes, this
- is the highlighted area in front of this first
- 7 blue circle, "We are proposing the ROC and NEC
- 8 facial matching engines, for dual engine
- 9 searching which increases system accuracy." Are
- 10 you familiar with ROC and NEC algorithms?
- 11 A. I believe those are the algorithms that were
- 12 included.
- 13 Q. And does ROC stand for Rank One Computing? Does
- that sound right to you?
- 15 A. I believe so.
- 16 Q. Do you know what NEC stands for?
- 17 A. I do not.
- 18 O. Okay. And now I'm going to take us back to
- 19 Exhibit 6. This is the contract with DataWorks,
- and taking us to page 26 of this, and you see in
- this third row this contract says, "500,000
- 22 Enrolled Records (Dual Engine NEC and ROC)."
- Did I read that right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So is it your understanding that the facial



- 1 recognition algorithms that DPD had access to
- 2 through DataWorks were NEC and ROC?
- 3 A. I believe so, yes.
- 4 Q. And are those the same algorithms that DPD had
- 5 access to through MSP's version of DataWorks?
- 6 A. I don't know what algorithms we had access to
- 7 with MSP.
- 8 Q. Do you know what versions of NEC and ROC
- 9 algorithms were in use at various times by DPD?
- 10 A. No, I do not.
- 11 Q. Were NEC and ROC the algorithms that were still
- integrated into the system in 2019?
- 13 A. I don't know if or how the system was changed
- 14 after that.
- 15 Q. And do you know what facial recognition
- 16 algorithms are used today in MSP's DataWorks
- 17 system that you have access to?
- 18 A. No, I do not.
- 19 O. Just give me one moment. Does DPD have the
- ability to conduct facial recognition searches
- 21 through the FBI's FRT system?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. How many, approximately how many photos are in
- the database that DPD has access to to run
- 25 facial recognition searches against?



- 1 A. I don't know.
- 2 Q. I'm just going to share again Exhibit 6. This
- is the contract with DataWorks and that same
- line we were looking at before, it says 500,000
- 5 Enrolled Records. Does that mean anything to you?
- 6 A. I would presume that that is the number of DPD
- 7 photos that were loaded into DataWorks Plus for
- 8 the DPD instance of the program.
- 9 Q. Thank you. Give me one second. The light
- 10 automatically turned off. Let me get myself out
- of the dark here. One of the hazards of a
- deposition on Zoom. And do you know today when
- DPD runs searches through MSP's system of arrest
- photos from Detroit and from the rest of the
- 15 state, do you have any idea how many of those
- 16 photos there are?
- 17 A. I do not.
- 18 O. So we spoke earlier a little bit about SNAP, the
- 19 Statewide Network of Agency Photos. When DPD
- 20 was running its own facial recognition system
- 21 through DataWorks, did that have access to SNAP?
- 22 A. I'm sorry. Are you asking if our instance of
- 23 DataWorks Plus had access to SNAP?
- 24 O. That's right.
- 25 A. No, it did not.



- 1 Q. But when you run searches through MSP's instance
- of DataWorks, that does have access to SNAP?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. And we talked about earlier how DPD can
- 5 run searches against arrest photos from agencies
- 6 across the state, but no other kinds of photos
- 7 in SNAP, is that right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. When DPD requests that MSP run a search
- through DataWorks, what photos can MSP search
- 11 against?
- 12 A. My understanding is they can search the SNAP
- 13 collection of photos as well as the SOS driver's
- 14 license photos.
- 15 O. And what is contained in the SOS driver's
- license photo database?
- 17 A. The state I.D. photos.
- 18 O. Okay. And that would include both current
- driver's license photos and expired driver's
- 20 license photos?
- 21 A. I believe so, yes.
- 22 Q. So can you just walk me through in your own words
- what the process is when a DPD investigator like
- 24 a detective wants to have a facial recognition
- 25 technology search run on an image?



1	A.	Yes, the investigator submits a run form with
2		their request, including basic information about
3		the incident, the incident number, the crime
4		type and they also submit probe photos that they
5		have obtained. Analysts at CIU review that
6		request, review the case notes, and the incident
7		report itself to conduct a policy review to make
8		sure that the incident falls in line with our
9		policy, and if it does and the image is deemed
10		to be high enough quality to run a facial
11		recognition search, that photo is uploaded into
12		the DataWorks Plus instance through SNAP and MSP
13		and the analyst then compares that probe photo
14		to the collection of mug shot photos that are
15		returned to make a determination. That is then
16		reviewed by another analyst to corroborate that,
17		and then a supervisor signs off that policy was
18		followed and that everything, that the potential
19		lead can be shared with the investigators, and
20		if a potential lead is determined and can be
21		shared with the investigators, that is sent to
22		the investigator. If not, no information is
23		provided.
24	Q.	And was this process that you just described the





- 1 investigation was ongoing?
- 2 A. I don't believe it was exactly the same.
- 3 Q. In what ways was it not the same?
- 4 A. I believe there -- well, my recollection is a
- 5 little fuzzy on this. I believe there was not a
- 6 submission form yet. At one point there was not
- 7 a submission form, and so the requests were often
- 8 e-mailed to the shared inbox that's used by the
- 9 Crime Intelligence Unit, and then it would be
- 10 handled by whoever analysts were working that were
- able to perform facial recognition and I'm not
- sure of the rest of the process at that time.
- 13 O. Okay. And so you talked about the CIU member
- 14 receiving the request either at that time
- 15 through e-mail or now through the web forum.
- When someone in CIU receives a request from say
- a detective, does the CIU member always run the
- 18 search themselves?
- 19 A. No, not always.
- 20 Q. Sorry. Can you say that again?
- 21 A. I said no, not always.
- 22 Q. Not always. And what might they do instead?
- 23 A. In the event that they're not authorized to run
- 24 the facial recognition search, they would
- forward that request to an analyst who is.



- 1 Q. So only some analysts in CIU are permitted to
- 2 actually run the searches?
- 3 A. That is correct, yes.
- 4 Q. And so when an analyst who is permitted to run
- 5 the searches receives that request, do they
- 6 always run the search themselves or do they
- 7 sometimes request another agency to run it?
- 8 A. If the picture -- if the picture is high quality
- 9 enough, they would run it themselves.
- 10 Q. Okay. And what if the picture is not high
- 11 quality enough?
- 12 A. They'll make a determination if it's high quality
- enough to send to MSP, they will send it to MSP.
- 14 Q. So can you describe the difference between an
- image that's high quality enough to run yourself
- at DPD versus one high quality enough to send to
- 17 DPD, but not to run yourself?
- 18 A. I'm not personally aware of the details of that.
- 19 Q. Okay. But just to make sure I understand,
- 20 you're saying that there are some photos that
- 21 are of not enough quality to run directly at
- 22 DPD, but could still be sent to MSP even though
- 23 they're lower quality?
- 24 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 25 Q. But you do not know what the attributes are of a



- 1 photo that would fall into that category?
- 2 A. I do not, no.
- 3 Q. Okay. So when a DPD analyst is evaluating
- 4 whether they can run an FRT search, I think you
- 5 said the image quality is a factor in making
- 6 that decision, is that right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Are there other factors beyond image quality?
- 9 A. It has to be in line with our policy as to the
- 10 very specific crime type.
- 11 Q. Very specific crime type you said?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. And those are Part 1 violent crimes and armed
- 14 robberies I think, violent robberies?
- 15 A. Part 1 violent crimes, which would include
- homicide, sex assault, robbery and aggravated
- 17 assault, as well as Home Invasion 1.
- 18 O. Home Invasion 1. Okay. Thank you. So back to
- image quality. Have CIU analysts declined to
- 20 run FRT searches for other DPD personnel because
- of that concern for image quality?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Do you know how often that happens?
- 24 A. I do not, no.
- 25 Q. Do you have a qualitative sense, you know, daily



- 1 weekly, regularly?
- 2 A. I don't honestly know.
- 3 Q. Okay. What are the factors that bear on the
- 4 accuracy of a photo in terms of image quality?
- 5 A. Could you repeat that? I couldn't --
- 6 Q. What are the factors that bear on the adequacy
- of a photo in terms of image quality?
- 8 A. I believe lighting would be one and pixelation
- 9 would be another. I don't know for sure.
- 10 O. Would shadows on the face be another?
- 11 A. I do not believe so.
- 12 O. So shadows on the face don't factor into a
- decision about whether a photo is of adequate
- 14 quality?
- 15 A. I mean they may at some point, but I think
- 16 shadows are acceptable.
- 17 O. How about the angle of the photo?
- 18 A. Yes, the angle of the face and the photo would
- 19 play an impact on that.
- 20 O. How about whether some facial features are
- included in the photo by something like a hat or
- 22 sunglasses?
- 23 A. I'm not sure if a hat. It would depend on the
- 24 hat. Sunglasses may have an impact.
- 25 Q. And you said lighting was one. How about image



- 1 brightness?
- 2 A. It could play a role. I don't know the degree
- 3 to which that plays a role.
- 4 Q. And how about the skin tone or race of the
- 5 individual depicted?
- 6 A. I don't believe that plays a role in whether an
- 7 image can be put into a facial recognition search.
- 8 Q. Are there any other factors related to image
- 9 quality?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. So you mentioned pixelation of the image, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How does CIU assess the pixelation of an image?
- 14 A. I think it's a simple visual look at it. The
- 15 analyst who do these searches will have a sense
- of what might work, you know, and what won't work.
- 17 Q. Does the analyst ever try to actually count the
- pixels across the face using a computer program?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. So it's a qualitative assessment of how
- 21 pixilated the photo is?
- 22 A. Yeah, general qualitative assessment of resolution.
- 23 Q. And is there a minimum number of pixels between
- the eyes or across the face that sets the
- 25 standard for whether a photo is sufficient to



- 1 run through a search?
- 2 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 3 Q. Okay. So a probe photo that has one of these
- features that we just talked about is likely to
- 5 result in a less reliable FRT result, is that
- 6 right?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. You don't know, okay. Would it be fair to say
- 9 if you had multiple of these factors together
- 10 such as dim lighting or pixelation, they might
- 11 combine to reduce the reliability of the results
- 12 from a search?
- 13 A. It's possible. I don't think any of these is a
- binary yes or no. I think everything is on the
- 15 scale.
- 16 Q. Okay. Just one more question back on the
- 17 pixelation. How are CIU analysts trained on
- 18 evaluating the pixelation of an image?
- 19 A. I don't know the specifics. It's probably
- 20 included in the FBI training that they go
- 21 through, and then they gain kind of experience
- from, the analysts have gained experience and
- they can pass that on to others as well.
- 24 Q. Have you attended that FBI training?
- 25 A. I sat in on it. I was unable to attend the



- 1 entire program.
- 2 Q. Can you describe -- well, how long is the entire
- 3 program?
- 4 A. I believe it is three days.
- 5 Q. Three days. So three full days more or less?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And what is your understanding of the topics
- 8 that are covered over those three days?
- 9 A. There is the parts that I saw cover comparisons
- of facial features and go into some detail of
- shapes and angles. I don't remember the specific
- terminology, but how to compare two photos
- together and compare the features, the ears, the
- 14 nose, the eyes, the mouth, things like that.
- 15 Q. Would it be accurate to say that process is
- sometimes called a morphological comparison?
- 17 A. I believe so, yes.
- 18 Q. So from what you've described that training
- deals with, an analyst who has received some
- 20 results back from facial recognition then tries
- 21 to determine which of those possible results is
- the best match to the probe photo, is that right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 O. Does the FBI training involve training on the
- 25 facial recognition technology itself?



- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Does it involve training on the quality of an
- 3 image sufficient to feed into the facial
- 4 recognition technology?
- 5 A. I believe that's covered in it.
- 6 Q. Okay. And does it address the quality of an image
- 7 required to be able to conduct that morphological
- 8 comparison at the end of the FRT search?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 Q. So we were talking earlier about how DataWorks
- integrates has two different facial recognition
- technology algorithms. When a DPD CIU analyst
- runs a search through DataWorks, does the
- 14 DataWorks system simultaneously search both of
- 15 those algorithms?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 Q. Okay. Are you trained to operate FRT searches
- 18 yourself?
- 19 A. I am not.
- 20 Q. So have you ever run a search through DataWorks?
- 21 A. I have not.
- 22 Q. Have you ever watched the trained analysts run a
- 23 search through DataWorks?
- 24 A. I have, yes.
- 25 Q. So are you familiar with how the system looks at



- 1 various steps of that process?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. How recently was the last time you saw an
- 4 analyst do that?
- 5 A. I believe a couple weeks ago.
- 6 Q. Okay. And roughly how many times do you think
- 7 you watched that process?
- 8 A. Over the last few years, quite a few.
- 9 Q. Okay. So a few questions about that. So first
- does the system ever fail to produce a result at
- all through an FRT search?
- 12 A. I don't know. I have never seen that.
- 13 Q. You've never seen that, okay. When an analyst
- runs an FRT search, how many results are
- returned, and by results I mean the gallery of
- 16 potential match images that the system sends back?
- 17 A. It varies.
- 18 Q. When you say it varies, can you explain more?
- 19 A. There is no set range. It returns what it
- 20 returns and sometimes there's a lot, sometimes
- there's less. I don't have any sense of what
- 22 the range is.
- 23 Q. Okay. And was that also the case in 2019, that
- it might return more or less results?
- 25 A. I believe so.



- 1 Q. What determines how many results come back?
- 2 A. I don't know exactly. I assume the algorithm
- 3 has some bare minimum matching and returns any
- 4 -- whatever the number of images above that
- 5 point is.
- 6 Q. Okay. I'm going to come back to that in just
- one second, but when you're looking at the
- 8 results, how are they displayed? Is there just
- one set of results? Are there two sets that
- 10 might correspond to the two algorithms? Can you
- 11 describe what the results look like?
- 12 A. Yeah. We see a screen that has one set of pictures
- and then it displays a small number at a time
- and you can click through pages to see
- 15 additional photos.
- 16 Q. So returning to what we were talking about just
- before that, are you familiar with the term
- 18 confidence threshold or likelihood threshold?
- 19 A. I am familiar with those terms, yes.
- 20 Q. And so you were discussing kind of a set point
- 21 under which the system is not returning results.
- 22 Would it be accurate to call that a confidence
- 23 threshold or a likelihood threshold in the system?
- 24 A. I believe so, yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. What is that threshold in the DataWorks



- 1 system that DPD uses?
- 2 A. I don't know.
- 3 Q. Who sets that threshold?
- 4 A. I assume DataWorks Plus or potentially MSP.
- 5 Q. But DPD doesn't have a role in setting it?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Okay. And was that the case also in 2019?
- 8 A. I do not know for the DPD instance, but that
- 9 would have been the case still for the MSP
- 10 instance.
- 11 Q. So for the MSP instance, somebody outside of DPD
- set a confidence threshold and that's just how
- the searches would run?
- 14 A. Yes, DPD has no control over that system.
- 15 Q. Okay. And so just to make sure I'm clear, there
- is a threshold below which images don't return
- 17 and images with a score above that threshold
- 18 will return, yes?
- 19 A. That's my understanding of the system, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the term confidence
- 21 score or likelihood score?
- 22 A. I am familiar with those terms, yes.
- 23 O. And in this context would that be a score
- 24 associated with each image about how confident
- 25 the system is that it's a match to the probe photo?



- 1 A. Yes, I believe it would.
- 2 Q. Okay. When the DataWorks system returns results
- of a search, is there a confidence score, a
- 4 likelihood score reflected for each photo?
- 5 A. There is, yes.
- 6 Q. And is that visible to the crime analyst?
- 7 A. It is visible, yes.
- 8 Q. Are the candidate match images arranged in order
- 9 of confidence score?
- 10 A. I believe so, yes.
- 11 Q. And is that from highest score to lowest score?
- 12 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 13 Q. And can you recall from viewing these results
- roughly what the lowest score is that you've
- 15 seen in those results?
- 16 A. No, I don't have any recollection of that.
- 17 Q. Okay. And can you describe the number, how many
- 18 digits it is, what it looks like, that score?
- 19 A. I believe it's to one decimal place. I think
- it's out of 100, like a percentage.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. It's a small -- it's small on the screen, so it
- is not the most prominent thing to look at on
- 24 the screen.
- 25 Q. What role do those confidence scores play in a



- 1 CIU analyst's evaluation of those search results?
- 2 A. The odds of determining what pictures get
- 3 returned, absolutely none.
- 4 Q. And how are CIU analysts trained to deal with
- 5 those scores?
- 6 A. Trained to ignore them.
- 7 Q. Trained to ignore them. They are still visible
- 8 though?
- 9 A. They are still visible, yes.
- 10 O. Are those scores communicated to the detective
- 11 who requested the search?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Are they visible to the peer and the supervisor
- who are later reviewing the results?
- 15 A. They're definitely visible to the peer. I don't
- know if they're visible to the supervisor every
- 17 time.
- 18 Q. And just to make sure I'm clear, analysts are
- instructed to ignore those results, is that what
- 20 you said?
- 21 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 22 Q. And why is that?
- 23 A. Because it is simply used to determine which
- 24 photos get returned. It should not be part of
- the assessment of the photos and the analysts



- are trained to compare the probe photo to the
- 2 return photos to make a determination. The
- 3 algorithm is simply filtering down the set of
- 4 photos to sift through.
- 5 Q. I'm going to ask a few questions about the
- 6 comparison that happens. We talked earlier
- 7 about the FBI training and the morphological
- 8 comparison process. What does that matching
- 9 process entail when a CIU analyst is doing it?
- 10 A. So based on the training provided by the FBI,
- 11 the analysts are comparing eye shape and other
- characteristics, angles, noses, there's key
- 13 characteristics of the various dimensions and
- angles and parts of the nose, same with the
- 15 mouth and ears.
- 16 Q. Is that process of the comparison recorded in
- any way for each search?
- 18 A. No, I do not believe so.
- 19 O. So there is no form on which that morphological
- 20 comparison would be recorded?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Does the examiner share any information or the
- 23 analyst share any information about that
- 24 comparison with the detective?
- 25 A. No.



- 1 Q. We may have addressed this earlier, but I'm
- 2 going to ask again does the examiner ever
- 3 determine that there is no match in the results?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you know how often that might be?
- 6 A. I don't know the exact percentage off the top of
- 7 my head. I'd have to, that would be provided I
- 8 believe in our weekly BOPC reports.
- 9 Q. Okay. And when an examiner or an analyst, I'm
- sorry, determines that there is a possible match,
- an investigative lead, how do they communicate
- that to the detective who requested the search?
- 13 A. When there's a possible lead?
- 14 O. Yeah.
- 15 A. There is a document that is filled out with some
- 16 basic information, includes the probe photo and
- 17 the results. It lists the case information and
- 18 then it just as an official documentation of it
- 19 that is sent as a PDF to the investigator.
- 20 Q. Okay. I want to return to talking about times
- when DPD sends a request to MSP to run through
- their instance of the system.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. Are there any other agencies beyond MSP that CIU
- will send the facial recognition request to?



- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. So a request can only be run locally by CIU
- 3 today through MSP's system or sent to MSP to
- 4 run. Those are the only two options?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. What are the reasons a member of CIU would
- 7 send an image to MSP for them to run a search?
- 8 A. If we do not receive a possible lead, we will
- 9 send it so it can be run against a wider array
- of photos.
- 11 Q. Okay. And so one of the reasons is that MSP has
- 12 access to a larger database of matching images,
- is that right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 O. Could another reason be that DPD does not have a
- trained FRT analyst on duty at the time the
- 17 search request comes in?
- 18 A. That's very unlikely.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so there's always somebody who is on
- 20 call in CIU to run a search?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And earlier we also talked about the quality of
- 23 the probe image, so is another reason that the
- 24 probe image might not be a sufficient quality
- for DPD to run the search, but they think MSP



- 1 could?
- 2 A. In some cases, yes.
- 3 Q. And are there any other reasons that CIU would
- 4 send the request to MSP?
- 5 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 6 Q. Okay. And would your answer to all those
- questions be the same if we were talking about
- 8 the process in 2019?
- 9 A. I believe so.
- 10 Q. Okay. I may have asked you this earlier, but
- 11 I'll ask again. How often does CIU personnel
- send images to MSP for them to run a search?
- 13 A. I don't have a sense of the number of times off
- 14 the top of my head.
- 15 Q. When a CIU analyst receives the results back
- from MSP from an FRT search, what do they do?
- 17 A. They review it and then I believe forward it
- along to the -- they complete the normal lead
- 19 template indicates that it was provided by MSP
- 20 and provide that back to the investigator.
- 21 Q. When you say they review it, what does that
- 22 review entail?
- 23 A. I believe it's just to transfer it into our
- 24 template and pass it along to the investigator.
- 25 Q. Okay. So they do not conduct an independent



- 1 comparison of those photos using that
- 2 morphological comparison process?
- 3 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 4 Q. And do you know why that is?
- 5 A. The MSP, or my understanding is the MSP's trained
- team is the highest trained team in the state.
- 7 Q. Okay. And was this also the practice in early
- 8 2019?
- 9 A. I believe so, yes.
- 10 Q. And do you know in the Shinola investigation
- itself, was there an independent morphological
- comparison conducted in CIU when it got the
- results back from MSP?
- 14 A. I don't know.
- 15 Q. Okay. And we've talked about this, but just to
- make sure the record is totally clear, so MSP
- 17 uses the same DataWorks platform itself that DPD
- now can have access to, right?
- 19 A. I believe so, yes.
- 20 Q. And the only difference is which matching photos
- 21 they can access versus DPD can access?
- 22 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 23 O. Was that also true back in 2019?
- 24 A. I believe so, yes.
- 25 Q. And so when DPD runs a search, it's running



- 1 through the same algorithms that MSP has access
- 2 to when it runs a search, right?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. Just one moment. So when MSP receives a request
- from DPD to run a search, what does MSP do?
- 6 A. They load the probe photo. I assume they have a
- 7 process that's fairly similar to ours. I don't
- 8 know the specifics of it.
- 9 Q. Okay. As far as you know, the steps of that
- 10 process would mirror what DPD does itself?
- 11 A. I mean I imagine it would have to or at least
- from a technical standpoint the application
- probably looks similar to it.
- 14 Q. MSP trains CIU members about visual recognition
- technology, is that right?
- 16 A. They provide some training about the SNAP
- 17 program and the application, yes.
- 18 O. Have you attended that training?
- 19 A. I have not attended that training.
- 20 O. Are you familiar with it?
- 21 A. Vaguely.
- 22 Q. Okay. Can you describe what you understand it
- to entail?
- 24 A. I understand it to entail to be mostly focused
- on how DPD should interact with SNAP and how the



- 1 request process to MSP works and then how they
- 2 go about using that program.
- 3 (Marked Exhibit No. 8.)
- 4 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) So I'm going to
- 5 introduce another exhibit. Let me just find it.
- 6 One moment. Okay. Let me share the screen.
- 7 I'm taking these a little out of order from how
- 8 they are numbered, but I think we are up to
- 9 Exhibit 8. This is Exhibit 8 even though the
- 10 PDF says ten. Have you seen this before?
- 11 A. I have not, no.
- 12 Q. This was provided to us in discovery from the
- 13 Michigan State Police pursuant to a subpoena in
- this case and this is a presentation that
- 15 Michigan State Police has provided about the
- 16 SNAP system and facial recognition technology,
- and I'm going to go now to page six where it
- talks about MSP provides facial recognition and
- 19 morphological comparison. Did I read that
- 20 correctly?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And now on page 17 it talks about how all MSP
- investigative lead reports are peer and/or
- 24 supervisor reviewed prior to release. Did I
- 25 read that correctly?



- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So would you say that this confirms your intuition
- 3 earlier that MSP's process looks similar to DPD's?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. What has CIU or DPD done to ensure that
- 6 MSP's facial recognition search process complies
- 7 with DPD's standards in terms of image quality
- and peer and supervisor review and anything else?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 O. You said you don't know?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- 12 O. Who would know?
- 13 A. Former executive managers who were here at the
- 14 time, executive managers of the Crime Intelligence
- 15 Unit who were here at the time and involved in
- 16 kind of establishing those.
- 17 O. And who were those executive managers over time?
- 18 A. Let's see, the first one that I know would have
- 19 been Diana Flora. She doesn't work for the city
- 20 anymore and I can't remember what or who her
- 21 employer is. The second was her replacement was
- 22 Andrew Rutebuka. He now works for the state
- department. And then Tia Tsakos, she was the
- 24 executive manager following Andrew Rutebuka and
- she now works for the FBI.



- 1 Q. Can you say her name again?
- 2 A. Tia Tsakos.
- 3 Q. Tsakos?
- 4 A. Yes. T-s-a-k-o-s, I believe.
- 5 Q. I have a couple questions now about policy, but
- 6 let me just make a note to myself.
- 7 (Marked Exhibit No. 9.)
- 8 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) Okay. I am going
- 9 to share the screen again. Are you familiar
- 10 with this document?
- 11 A. I have seen that document, yes.
- 12 O. What is it?
- 13 A. That is the standard operating procedures for
- 14 the Crime Intelligence Unit.
- 15 Q. So this says it has an effective date of 7/1/2018
- and a revise date of 4/1/2019, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Now I'm going to take us down to Section 8 of
- 19 this document. This is Exhibit 9. So if I
- refer to SOP, you'll understand that means
- 21 standard operating procedure, is that okay?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. So this is Section 8 which deals with
- facial recognition, correct?
- 25 A. Right.



- 1 Q. So now I'm going to take us down to Subsection
- 2 8.5(d) of the facial recognition section that
- 3 starts on page 47 of the PDF, so this is the
- 4 procedure through which CIU actually runs a
- search, the policy governing the procedure, is
- 6 that right?

7 A. Could you repeat that?

- 8 Q. So this part of the SOP starting or involving
- 9 Subsection (d) here, this describes the
- 10 procedure that CIU is supposed to follow when it
- 11 runs an FRT search, is that right?

12 A. Yes.

- 13 Q. Okay. So we're just scrolling down to the next
- page. We're now at little Roman numeral (viii)
- and Subsection (g) down at the bottom running
- over to the next page. I'm going to read this
- to you. It says, "The following statement will
- 18 accompany the released most likely candidate
- image or images and any related records: 'The
- 20 result of a facial recognition search provided
- 21 by the Detroit Police Department is only an
- 22 investigative lead and is NOT TO BE CONSIDERED A
- 23 POSITIVE INVESTIGATE LEAD OF ANY SUBJECT. Any
- 24 possible connection or involvement of any
- subject to the investigation must be determined



- 1 through further investigation and investigative
- 2 resources.'" Did I read that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. So can you tell me when did CIU begin including
- 5 this disclaimer on investigative leads it
- 6 provided to detectives?
- 7 A. I don't know the exact date.
- 8 Q. Okay. Do you know whether it was before this
- 9 policy went into effect in April of 2019?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 (Marked Exhibit No. 10.)
- 12 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) I'm now going to
- share Exhibit 10. Are you familiar with this
- 14 document?
- 15 A. I believe I've seen that, yes.
- 16 Q. And what is this?
- 17 A. It's a revised version of Section 8 of CIU standard
- 18 operating procedure for facial recognition.
- 19 Q. And this has a revised date of 12/21/2020, right?
- 20 A. That is correct, yes.
- 21 Q. So I'm now going to scroll down to page three
- and this is Subsection 1.5(d)(ii) which says,
- 23 "CIU shall perform racial recognition searches
- 24 utilizing the Statewide Network of Agency Photos
- 25 (SNAP) which include criminal mug shot images.



- 1 In the event additional analysis is needed for
- 2 the confirmation of an investigative lead, a
- formal request may be made to MSP to search the
- 4 state database. Any such request must be approved
- by a CIU supervisor." Did I read that correctly?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So do you see where it says "shall" in the first
- 8 sentence, "CIU shall perform a facial recognition
- 9 search"?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So does that use of "shall" there mean that CIU
- must always perform an FRT search itself first
- before going to MSP?
- 14 A. I don't know. I mean it could.
- 15 Q. Okay. Do you know where else in this policy it
- 16 might clarify whether an analyst can send a request
- 17 to MSP before trying to run their own search?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 O. Okay. Let's look at little Roman numeral (iii)
- 20 right under there. It says, "If the examiner
- 21 detects an investigative lead, the examiner must
- 22 corroborate this lead with at least one other
- examiner and a CIU supervisor. The corroboration
- 24 must have written sign-off by the supervisor and
- all examiners involved." Is that right?



- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So the peer review process this is describing,
- 3 can you tell me what happens during that process?
- 4 A. Yeah, there are a couple of ways it can be done.
- 5 The peer reviewer can come over to the original
- 6 examiner's computer and look through the images
- 7 and make their own comparison. I believe it's
- 8 possible to share the results of a search with
- 9 another analyst and they can then log in themselves
- 10 and review it, and then if they agree upon it,
- if they come to the same conclusion, then it is,
- 12 you know, that's considered corroboration. They
- can also discuss it further if they need to.
- 14 Q. Okay. So that's the peer review and then how
- about the supervisor review?
- 16 A. Supervisor review is often a review of the final
- templates and a conversation with the analysts
- involved as the examiners.
- 19 Q. So in the peer review portion, does the peer
- always review the whole set of possible matches
- or sometimes do they just look at the investigative
- lead report with the probe photo and one
- 23 possible match?
- 24 A. My understanding is the peer reviewer is
- 25 supposed to review the set of images.



- 1 Q. The full set of images you said?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And you're aware that MSP also has a peer
- 4 review process?
- 5 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. I believe
- 6 you showed a document that said that was the case.
- 7 Q. Okay. I'm going to stop sharing this and let's
- 8 talk a little bit about training more. So do
- 9 DPD detectives currently receive training about
- 10 facial recognition technology?
- 11 A. Detectives?
- 12 O. Detectives.
- 13 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 14 Q. Okay. Have DPD detectives received training on
- 15 FRT at any time in the past?
- 16 A. I don't -- I don't know.
- 17 Q. Okay. In your experience, had you ever known of
- a training for DPD detectives on FRT?
- 19 A. Not for detectives, no, not on the technology.
- 20 O. On anything else?
- 21 A. I believe there has been some, you know,
- 22 training directives sent out related to policy.
- 23 Q. Okay. Who is trained, within DPD who is trained
- 24 on FRT?
- 25 A. Do you mean to conduct FRT?



- 1 Q. Why don't we start there? Who is trained to
- 2 conduct FRT?
- 3 A. Analysts, some analysts at CIU and some
- 4 supervisors at CIU.
- 5 Q. And are there any trainings for DPD personnel
- 6 about FRT that are not aimed at how to actually
- 7 conduct the search, so, for example, describing
- 8 the technology, describing how it works?
- 9 A. At the moment I don't believe so. I don't know
- if there were past trainings.
- 11 Q. So what are the trainings that CIU personnel
- 12 receive currently about FRT?
- 13 A. The CIU analyst before being allowed to conduct
- 14 FRT have to complete the FBI training. There is
- internal training on policy and we can bring in
- 16 MSP to provide additional training, SNAP and
- their process.
- 18 Q. Okay. So you said the FBI training that we
- 19 discussed earlier, that training?
- 20 A. Yes, the one we discussed earlier.
- 21 Q. And an internal training on policy and that
- 22 training would run through this SOP Section 8,
- is that right?
- 24 A. And the actual policy itself.
- 25 Q. The actual policy itself, and by the actual



- 1 policy, you mean the manual directive that
- 2 applies department-wide?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And are those the only two policies that are
- 5 operative?
- 6 A. For facial recognition, yes.
- 7 Q. And then you said MSP conducts trainings on the
- 8 SNAP system?
- 9 A. Yes. I don't believe that's required.
- 10 Q. So a CIU analyst can be enabled to run searches
- after the FBI training and the internal training
- on policy?
- 13 A. Yes, the internal training can include how to
- 14 use the application.
- 15 Q. And who conducts that internal training?
- 16 A. That would -- in the past it has often been lead
- 17 by the executive manager. It is currently lead
- 18 by kind of the analysts who have the most
- 19 experience using facial recognition technology.
- 20 O. And how long is that training?
- 21 A. It depends on the group. I believe no more than
- an hour or two.
- 23 Q. And have you attended that one?
- 24 A. Probably once upon a time, but it was a long
- 25 time ago.



- 1 Q. And can you tell me in addition to running
- 2 through the two policies we discussed, what else
- 3 is covered in that training?
- 4 A. The application itself, what it looks like and
- 5 generally how to interact with it and use it.
- 6 Q. Does that training address image quality issues?
- 7 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 8 Q. Okay. Is there anything else that training
- 9 addresses beyond the policies and the technical
- 10 interface?
- 11 A. I don't know. I mean anything that gets raised
- is questioned.
- 13 Q. But I mean the presentation part of the training,
- those are the only things that are addressed?
- 15 A. I believe so.
- 16 Q. Okay. And in early 2019 were these the same
- trainings or would your answer be different at
- 18 that time?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 O. Okay. Who would know?
- 21 A. In early 2019, it would be Andrew Rutebuka.
- 22 Q. Okay. Are there any CIU analysts still there
- who were doing facial recognition searches in
- 24 early 2019?
- 25 A. Still working for the city, there are a handful.



- 1 I think off the top of my head analyst Nathan
- 2 Howell. I can't remember when he exactly
- 3 started. I believe he may have been around at
- 4 that time. Ray Yeager would remember that as well.
- 5 Q. Okay. Thank you. And then going back to
- 6 Exhibit 8, I'm just going to show this. So this
- is the presentation we discussed from MSP. I'm
- 8 just going to scroll through this. You said
- 9 earlier you had not seen this before, is that
- 10 right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. So I'm just going to scroll through this.
- 13 You don't need to read the whole thing, but I'm
- just trying to get a sense of the topics it
- 15 covers. It describes the SNAP database,
- describes the SNAP unit at MSP, the services
- 17 provided by that unit, and then there are some
- 18 screens showing the DataWorks interface. Is
- 19 this what the log-in page looks like when a DPD
- 20 analyst goes to DataWorks?
- 21 A. It looks very similar to that, yes.
- 22 Q. There are slides on important considerations in
- facial recognition, description of what facial
- recognition is and the steps of that matching
- 25 process, guidance on best practices, including



- on image quality, discussion of actually running
- 2 the search, a slide on investigative lead
- 3 reports, couple slides on discussion of image
- 4 editing tools, the compliance check process at
- 5 MSP, discussion of continuing education and
- 6 training on this topic, and then there are some
- 7 case studies that it presents, and then there's
- 8 a list of employees in the relevant section of
- 9 MSP. Does the contents of this slide deck
- 10 accord with your understanding of what the MSP
- 11 training consists of?
- 12 A. I believe so.
- 13 Q. Okay. And do you know how often that training
- is conducted for DPD employees?
- 15 A. I don't know. I don't believe it's on a set
- schedule.
- 17 Q. Okay. But you said it was optional, is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. I don't think it -- I don't think it's required
- 20 training. I think it's focused on SNAP,
- interacting with SNAP, their process and a
- 22 little bit about the application.
- 23 Q. Okay. Give me one moment. So going back to the
- DataWorks platform, when DPD was using its own
- instance of DataWorks, are you familiar with



- what that platform looked like and what the
- 2 results looked like?
- 3 A. I believe it looks very similar.
- 4 Q. And does that include how the results were
- 5 displayed after a search?
- 6 A. I believe so, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And do you know whether in that instance
- of DataWorks, were the results, the search, we
- 9 talked about how the search is run through two
- 10 algorithms, right? Were the results in two
- 11 categories, two rows or is it just one
- integrated set of results?
- 13 A. I've only ever seen one integrated set of results.
- 14 Q. And have you seen the results in that DPD
- instance of DataWorks?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 Q. Okay. So if a DPD analyst was running a search
- through DataWorks and only one of those algorithms
- 19 returned results, would the analyst know that?
- 20 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 21 Q. Okay. And then sometimes, we addressed this a
- little earlier, but I want to make sure I
- 23 understand. Is it the case that sometimes an
- analyst will input a probe photo and run a
- search and get no results back?



- 1 A. I don't know.
- 2 Q. Okay. Is it the case that the quality of a
- 3 probe image can be so low that the system just
- 4 can't process it if it's loaded in?
- 5 A. I believe so.
- 6 Q. And so the system would give some kind of error
- or no result message, would that be right?
- 8 A. That sounds right.
- 9 Q. In the database that DPD can search against, the
- arrest photo database, can that include multiple
- 11 photos of the same individual?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So, for example, if somebody had been arrested
- multiple times, there would be multiple booking
- 15 photos?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And some of those photos could be much older and
- some much newer, is that right?
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- 20 Q. So when that's the case and when an analyst runs
- 21 a facial recognition search to identify a
- 22 potential suspect, are CIU analysts trained to
- look for every instance of a photo of that
- 24 person in the broader database?
- 25 A. Yes.



- 1 Q. Okay. Even if not every one of those photos
- 2 returns in the search results?
- 3 A. There is a link to the additional photos for the
- 4 person who was returned.
- 5 Q. Okay. So an analyst would know that there are
- other photos in the system and be able to look
- 7 at them?
- 8 A. Yes, and would be expected to look at them.
- 9 Q. Is that part of the training?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. I assume so. Since it's an expectation, I
- assume that's part of the DPD training.
- 14 Q. And do CIU analysts inform a detective if just
- one image of somebody came back in the results,
- but there were other images of that person in
- 17 the database that did not return?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And if the system returns a potential match to
- an old image but not to newer images that are
- also in the matching database, are CIU personnel
- trained on what that might mean?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And what might that mean?
- 25 A. It might mean that the age of the photo of the



- 1 comparison photo negates that. That is the
- 2 point of the human comparison of the probe photo
- 3 to the returned images.
- 4 Q. Okay. And are you aware that when MSP is
- 5 searching through DataWorks, it also may return
- 6 an older result, an older photo, but not a newer
- 7 one?
- 8 A. I don't have any personal knowledge of that. I
- 9 assume the system is very similar.
- 10 Q. Does MSP inform DPD when only one image returned
- as a result, but there were others that were not
- 12 returned?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. Okay. And does DPD inquire of MSP whether there
- 15 were other images of the person that did not
- 16 return?
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. Or inquire why an older image returned but not a
- 19 newer one?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- 21 Q. Are analysts trained on what to do in that
- situation, whether to inquire of MSP?
- 23 A. I don't know. I don't know if MSP provides us
- 24 that information, so I don't know if MSP would
- 25 have the information to inquire about.



- 1 Q. Okay. And do you know whether CIU analysts are
- 2 trained in whether to ask MSP for that information?
- 3 A. No, I don't know.
- 4 Q. You don't know?
- 5 A. I'm sorry. I don't know.
- 6 Q. You said you don't know?
- 7 A. Yes, correct, I don't know.
- 8 Q. Are CIU personnel aware that DPD detectives
- 9 outside of CIU don't receive training on FRT?
- 10 A. I believe so.
- 11 Q. And would that have been the case in 2019 also?
- 12 A. I believe so.
- 13 Q. Okay. So we are getting pretty close to the
- end. I am quite confident we will finish this
- before one. Are you doing okay? Do you need a
- 16 break?
- 17 A. I could use a brief five-minute break.
- 18 O. Absolutely. Let's come back -- it's 11:31 now.
- 19 Let's come back at 11:37. Sound good?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 (Recess 11:31 a.m. to 11:39 a.m.)
- MR. WESSLER: So just a couple things
- 23 to return to some things we talked about and
- then I'll go on to a few next questions. So
- 25 first you said that you, way back at the start



- of our conversation you said you reviewed a
- template for the MSP request. I don't know that
- we have received that in discovery, Mr. Cunningham,
- 4 so we would ask to receive a copy of that please.
- 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Do you have a copy of
- 6 that with you?
- 7 A. I have a copy of it here.
- 8 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Do you want to take five
- 9 minutes and I'll scan it and send that to you?
- MR. WESSLER: Right now?
- MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yeah.
- MR. WESSLER: That would be great.
- 13 Thank you.
- 14 (Recess 11:40 a.m. to 11:44 a.m.)
- 15 (Marked Exhibit No. 11.)
- MR. WESSLER: Let me just share my
- 17 screen and this is Exhibit No. 11.
- 18 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) So this is the
- 19 template for submission of requests to MSP that
- 20 you were talking about earlier, is that right?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. So just give me one moment while I review
- 23 this. Okay. Do you know when this template
- 24 started being used?
- 25 A. I do not, no.



- 1 Q. But this is the way that a CIU analyst will ask
- 2 MSP to run a facial recognition search, right?
- 3 A. Yes, and I believe at the top, the revision date
- 4 on the form is September 2016.
- 5 Q. Okay. Thank you. I'll stop sharing that now.
- 6 So earlier in this deposition I asked you some
- 7 questions about when DPD started using facial
- 8 recognition technology, when it started requesting
- 9 searches through MSP and you didn't know the
- 10 answer to those questions. Who would know the
- answer to those questions?
- 12 A. Let's see, I believe Jack Fennessey from the
- 13 Department of Innovation and Technology, and
- then Diana Flora, and I'm not sure who else
- 15 would. Let's see, Brad May maybe. He was the
- 16 Director of Public Safety IT at some point back
- 17 then. I don't know exactly what day he started,
- 18 and it was Scott Hayes before him. They would
- 19 have been involved in this.
- 20 Q. And then we discussed some questions involving
- what CIU and DPD's practices were in early 2019
- around the time of the Shinola investigation.
- 23 What did you do in preparation for this
- deposition to try to understand what DPD's
- 25 practices were at that time?



- 1 A. I just have -- I have a little bit of recollection
- of that time. There isn't -- I don't believe
- 3 there are many people left at DPD who would be
- 4 familiar with facial recognition from that time.
- 5 Q. And did you attempt to speak to anyone who would
- 6 have been?
- 7 A. I did not get a chance to.
- 8 Q. Okay. And did you try to review any documents
- 9 around that time that might have informed you?
- 10 A. I did not have any to review.
- 11 Q. We may have addressed this, but just to be
- 12 clear, are trained CIU analysts the only people
- in DPD permitted to run FRT searches?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. So, for example, a detective outside of
- 16 CIU could not access the DataWorks system and
- 17 run a search, is that right?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 O. Okay. So just give me one moment. And then
- 20 going back to one of our earliest conversations
- about your preparation for this deposition, you
- 22 said you had a meeting with Nathan Howell and
- Cameron Dean and Deputy Chief Hayes. When was
- 24 that last week?
- 25 A. I believe it was on Friday afternoon.



- 1 Q. Friday afternoon. And was that meeting in part
- 2 to figure out who would be doing this deposition,
- 3 or did you already know at that point that you
- 4 would need to be informing yourself?
- 5 A. I did not know at the time that I would be here
- 6 today.
- 7 Q. Okay. And was anyone else at that meeting?
- 8 A. Mr. Cunningham.
- 9 Q. Mr. Cunningham was, okay. And then when were
- 10 you informed that you would be the person doing
- 11 this deposition?
- 12 A. During that meeting.
- 13 Q. During that meeting, okay. And then after that
- meeting, subsequent to that meeting you had a
- meeting with Mr. Cunningham, is that right?
- 16 A. No, that was the meeting.
- 17 Q. I see, that was the one. And then so did you
- meet with anybody else subsequent to that to
- 19 prepare for this?
- 20 A. No, other than a brief chat with Mr. Cunningham
- 21 15 minutes before this.
- 22 O. This morning?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. So a few more questions for you now. And
- 25 these are questions about the Shinola



- investigation itself. How familiar would you
- 2 say you are with what happened in this Shinola
- 3 investigation that's at issue in this case?
- 4 A. Not very familiar. There were rumors floating
- around the department, but beyond that, I don't
- 6 have any firsthand knowledge of the case.
- 7 Q. So I'm going to walk through some of what
- 8 happened at the MSP side and as it interacted
- 9 with DPD and ask you some questions about what
- 10 happened in this case. I'm not assuming any
- 11 prior knowledge. I'll describe anything you
- need to know and if something isn't clear, please
- ask me for clarification. So I'm going to share
- now an exhibit. This will be Exhibit 12.
- 15 (Marked Exhibit No. 12.)
- 16 Q. (Continuing, by Mr. Wessler) Have you seen a
- document of this type before?
- 18 A. I have not seen that.
- 19 Q. So this was provided to us by MSP in discovery
- in this case. It is the supplemental information
- 21 form completed alongside the investigative lead
- reports in the Shinola investigation. This was
- completed by MSP's analyst who ran the FRT search.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. And so do you see where this analyst determined



- that the quality of the probe image was "poor"?
- 2 A. I see that, yes.
- 3 Q. And then scrolling down, you see that this analyst
- 4 recorded that the overall head shape, overall
- face shape, hairline, ears, forehead/brow ridge,
- 6 eyebrows, chin/jaw-line and neck are either
- obstructed or not visible in the probe image.
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A. I see that, yes.
- 10 Q. But the analyst still chose to run the probe
- image through an FRT search in this case and my
- 12 question for you is would CIU have been a
- different decision about the suitability of a
- probe image for FRT that had all these features,
- 15 the poor quality and the obstruction of the
- 16 various features?
- 17 A. I don't know if there is a specific set that
- 18 would indicate that.
- 19 O. Okay. Was CIU aware at this time that MSP would
- 20 run a search on a poor quality image?
- 21 A. I don't know. I assume so.
- 22 Q. What do you assume so based on?
- 23 A. We would provide images to MSP to run what we
- 24 were unable to run.
- 25 Q. Okay. And did DPD train its detectives on the



- fact that CIU would provide poorer quality
- 2 images to MSP to run?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. Okay. So after running this search and recording
- 5 -- well, after running the search, this analyst
- 6 conducted a morphological comparison and that's
- 7 what these notes on the second half of the page
- 8 are about. Would CIU, if it was running the
- 9 search process, have done a morphological
- 10 comparison on an image that had all of these
- deficiencies, the poor quality and all of these
- 12 obstructions?
- 13 A. If CIU runs an image, it always conducts a
- 14 morphological comparison.
- 15 Q. And so would it be fair to say that CIU or DPD
- was aware of MSP's practice of also doing the
- morphological comparison even on a poorer
- 18 quality image?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 O. Okay.
- 21 A. I don't know what CIU understood about MSP's
- 22 process at that time.
- 23 Q. And is that because CIU didn't inquire of MSP
- about its process?
- 25 A. I don't know. The people employed by DPD who



would be involved in those discussions at that

1

2 time no longer work for the department. 3 So let me describe to you -- I'll stop sharing Q. the screen. Describe to you some other facts 4 5 about the MSP search. So MSP's facial recognition 6 search was conducted using three algorithms, the 7 ROC and NEC algorithms that we discussed earlier, and then also the algorithm that the FBI uses 8 9 through its system that MSP had access to, so MSP ran that search. The FBI system returned no 10 It failed to return any gallery. 11 results. 12 NEC system algorithm returned a gallery with 243 13 potential matches. Mr. Williams' photo was not among them anywhere, so it was 243 other 14 15 individuals, and the Rank One algorithm, ROC, 16 returned a gallery of 243 potential matches, and 17 there was a photo of Mr. Williams returned in the ninth position on that list, just one photo. 18 19 The analyst at MSP picked out Mr. Williams' photo from the ninth position of the one algorithm 20 as the investigative lead and that's what was 21 sent back to DPD. So the question is if CIU had 22 23 been doing this process, would it have relied on an image as an investigative lead when it just 24 returned in one of three algorithms and in the 25



- 1 ninth position?
- 2 A. We don't see independent algorithms returned
- 3 separately. We have one set of returns and so
- 4 we would not know if one algorithm returns and
- 5 one algorithm did not.
- 6 Q. And how about the ordinal position of the image,
- 7 the fact that it returned ninth in the list as
- 8 opposed to first or second, what significance
- 9 would that have to CIU?
- 10 A. None.
- 11 Q. And why is that?
- 12 A. We don't -- the match score that determines the
- order is simply there as a filter. We look
- through all the images until we are satisfied
- that there is a potential match or there is not
- 16 a potential match.
- 17 Q. Okay. And so that photo that returned in the
- ninth position on that one algorithm was an
- 19 expired driver's license photo of Mr. Williams.
- 20 He had a current license that was also in the
- 21 system that did not return as a match at all.
- 22 Would CIU have relied on an old image when a
- newer image didn't return as the basis for an
- 24 investigative lead?
- 25 A. If upon comparison of the new image as well it



- was determined that it was a reasonable
- potential match, yes.
- 3 Q. So this refers back to our discussion earlier.
- 4 The analyst is expected to pull up any additional
- 5 images and look at those during the morphological
- 6 comparison process before producing the lead, is
- 7 that right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And was CIU aware of MSP's practices around older
- versus newer images at the time of the search?
- 11 A. I don't know what we understood at that time
- 12 about MSP's process.
- 13 Q. Okay. And then one last question about the
- search. Actually, let me ask you a follow-up on
- something we just talked about. So when there's
- a gallery of results when DPD is running the
- search, let's say there are 200 results, will
- the analyst always look through all 200 or might
- they look through only a subset and then stop?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- 21 Q. Okay. So one more question about the search in
- this case itself. So I would describe the probe
- image in this case as quite pixilated. The
- intraocular distance in terms of pixel count
- between the center of the two eyes was just 17



- 1 to 20 pixels, which I would describe as a very
- low resolution image of the face, but the MSP
- analyst still ran it through the FRT search and
- 4 then purported to conduct a morphological
- 5 comparison of the results of the investigative
- lead in this case. Would CIU have run a search
- 7 and conducted that comparison on an image with
- 8 that level of pixelation?
- 9 A. I don't know. I don't believe we measure it in
- that fashion. I believe it is a qualitative
- 11 decision about whether a photo can be run.
- 12 Q. Okay. And do you know whether DataWorks has a
- threshold in terms of pixel count below which it
- can't process the image or produce a result?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 Q. You don't know?
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. Okay. I think that is all my questions for you,
- but if you just give me a moment, I'm going to
- 20 go off screen and speak to my colleague and see
- if I have any follow-ups and then we're very
- close to done, so I'll be back on in just a
- couple of minutes, okay?
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 (Recess 11:58 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.)



1		MR. WESSLER: So I have no more
2		questions, Mr. Lamoreaux. Thank you.
3		Mr. Cunningham, do you have anything?
4		MR. CUNNINGHAM: I have a couple of
5		questions.
6		EXAMINATION
7		BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
8	Q.	You mentioned Brad May and is Brad May still
9		with the City of Detroit?
10	A.	No, he is retired.
11	Q.	And you mentioned Scott Hayes and I understand
12		Mr. Hayes passed away, is that correct?
13	A.	Correct, Mr. Hayes passed away in a car accident.
14		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Those are the only
15		questions I have.
16		MR. WESSLER: Nothing further from me.
17		So thank you for your time, Mr. Lamoreaux. I
18		appreciate it. We can go off the record now.
19		(Deposition concluded at 12:01 p.m.)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	STATE OF MICHIGAN)		
2	COUNTY OF MACOMB)		
3	I, Ann L. Bacon, a Notary Public in and for		
4	the above county and state, do hereby certify		
5	that the witness, whose attached deposition was		
6	taken before me in the entitled cause on the		
7	date, time and place hereinbefore set forth, was		
8	first duly sworn to testify to the truth, and		
9	nothing but the truth; that the testimony		
10	contained in said deposition was reduced to		
11	writing in the presence of said witness by means		
12	of stenography; that said testimony was		
13	thereafter reduced to written form by mechanical		
14	means; and that the deposition is, to the best		
15	of my knowledge and belief, a true and correct		
16	transcript of my stenographic notes so taken.		
17	I further certify that the signature to and		
18	the reading of the deposition by the witness was		
19	waived by counsel for the respective parties		
20	hereto; also, that I am not of counsel to either		
21	party or interested in the event of this case.		
22	Cin R. Bacon		
23	Ann L. Bacon, Notary Public, Macomb County		
24	Acting in Macomb County		
25	My commission expires: 6/29/23		



2017 19:13 25:19 26:6,10,11 28:19,25 30:16

2018 10:19 19:4,13

2019 20:23 21:18,24 32:12 35:25 44:23 46:7 52:8 53:8,23 59:9 65:16,21,24 72:11 74:21

2021 21:6 23:13

2023 6:2

24 26:5

243 80:12,14,16

26 31:20

3

3 17:10 19:15

30 14:16

30(b)(6) 11:17 12:3,7

377 21:19

4

4 17:11 20:16,19

4,078 21:25

4/1/2019 57:16

47 58:3

5

5 23:10,12

50 23:24 24:5

500,000 31:21 33:4

6

6 25:20,22 31:19 33:2

7

7 30:21,23

7/1/2018 57:15

8

8 55:3,9 57:18,23 59:17 63:22 66:6

8.5(d) 58:2

9

9 57:7,19

Α

a.m. 6:3 72:21 73:14 83:25

abbreviation 10:23

ability 32:20

absolutely 48:3 72:18

acceptable 39:16

access 27:16,17 32:1,5,6,17, 24 33:21,23 34:2 51:12 53:18,

21 54:1 75:16 80:9

accident 84:13

accompany 58:18

accord 11:6 67:10

accordance 12:25

accuracy 31:9 39:4

accurate 21:11 42:15 45:22

accurately 21:12 22:4

acronym 26:22

actual 63:24,25

addition 65:1

additional 45:15 60:1 63:16

70:3 82:4

address 16:1 43:6 65:6

addressed 50:1 65:14 68:21

75:11

addresses 65:9

adequacy 39:6

adequate 39:13

((d) 58:9 (g) 58:15 1 **1** 12:14,16 38:13,15,17,18 **1.5(d)(ii)** 59:22 **10** 59:11,13 **100** 47:20 **10:04** 6:3 **11** 23:24 24:4 73:15,17 **11:31** 72:18,21 **11:37** 72:19 **11:39** 72:21 **11:40** 73:14 **11:44** 73:14 **11:58** 83:25 **12** 6:2 77:14,15 **12/21/2020** 59:19 **12:00** 83:25 **12:01** 84:19 **15** 21:18 76:21 **16** 21:18,23 **17** 55:22 82:25 **1:00** 7:2 2 **2** 15:17,19 **20** 83:1 200 82:17,18

2014 21:18,23 22:6

74:4

2016 19:23 20:3,9 28:14,19,22



Affairs 16:15

afternoon 75:25 76:1

age 70:25

agencies 19:8 34:5 50:24

agency 27:4,16 30:8 33:19

37:7 59:24

aggravated 38:16

agree 61:10

agreement 6:11

ahead 11:23

AI 22:24 23:3,7,8,9

Al's 23:15

aimed 63:6

algorithm 11:2 45:2 49:3 80:8,

12,15,20 81:4,5,18

algorithms 22:21 30:13,14,17 31:10,11 32:1,4,6,9,11,16 43:12,15 45:10 54:1 68:10,18 80:6,7,25 81:2

allowed 63:13

alongside 77:21

analysis 17:23 18:1,9 60:1

analyst 13:25 14:1 30:9 35:13, 16 36:25 37:4 38:3 40:15,17 42:19 43:12 44:4,13 47:6 49:9,23 50:9 51:16 52:15 60:16 61:9 63:13 64:10 66:1, 20 68:17,19,24 69:20 70:5 74:1 77:23,25 78:3,10 79:5 80:19 82:4,18 83:3

analyst's 48:1

analysts 35:5 36:10 37:1 38:19 41:17,22 43:22 48:4,18, 25 49:11 61:17 63:3 64:18 65:22 69:22 70:14 71:21 72:1 75:12

Analytic 17:9,11

analytics 11:22 17:12,14

and/or 22:3 55:23

Andrew 20:20 56:22,24 65:21

Angela 20:20

angle 39:17,18

angles 42:11 49:12,14

Ann 6:5

answering 9:8

answers 8:21 12:11

anymore 21:4 56:20

application 23:4 30:5 54:12, 17 64:14 65:4 67:22

applies 64:2

approved 60:4

approximately 17:15,16 32:23

April 23:13 59:9

area 31:6

armed 38:13

arranged 47:8

array 51:9

arrest 10:20 27:23 28:1,4 33:13 34:5 69:10

arrested 69:13

Art 29:23

article 23:13,16,19

articles 23:17

assault 38:16,17

assess 40:13

assessment 40:20,22 48:25

assist 16:5

assume 8:9 9:2 45:2 46:4 54:6 70:12,13 71:9 78:21,22

assuming 77:10

attempt 11:13 75:5

attempting 21:10

attempts 11:4

attend 41:25

attended 41:24 54:18,19 64:23

attorney 6:22

utteriney 0.22

attributes 37:25

audible 8:20

authorized 36:23

automatically 33:10

aware 22:22 24:20 29:19 30:2 37:18 62:3 71:4 72:8 78:19 79:16 82:9

В

back 7:8,9 19:5 21:16 25:16 31:18 38:18 41:16 42:20 44:16 45:1,6 52:15,20 53:13, 23 66:5 67:23 68:25 70:15 72:18,19,25 74:16 75:20 80:22 82:3 83:22

background 16:11,14

Bacon 6:5 7:25

bag 15:7

Ballpark 14:15

ban 25:6

bare 45:3

based 49:10 78:22

basic 35:2 50:16

basics 7:13

basis 81:23

bear 39:3,6

began 17:8 19:6,22 20:3,9,11, 13 25:17 30:15

begin 59:4

beginning 17:7

behalf 11:18 13:13,16 20:12

binary 41:14

binding 12:11

Biometrics 20:22

bit 33:18 62:8 67:22 75:1

blue 31:7

booking 69:14

BOPC 50:8

bottom 21:1,8 58:15

Brad 74:15 84:8

break 9:6,9,10 72:16,17

breaks 9:13

briefly 16:13 23:1

brightness 40:1

bring 15:5 25:21 63:15

broader 69:24

building 18:10

bullet 19:22

Buzzfeed 23:13,21

C

call 45:22 51:20

called 6:15 22:24 42:16

Cameron 14:1,3 75:23

candidate 47:8 58:18

capable 12:4

capacity 11:22 18:10

Captain 29:19

car 84:13

case 12:21 21:19 35:6 44:23 46:7,9 50:17 55:14 62:6 67:7 68:23 69:2,20 72:11 77:3,6, 10,20 78:11 82:22,23 83:6

cases 52:2

categories 68:11

category 38:1

center 82:25

certified 6:6

cetera 30:1

chain 21:8,15

chance 75:7

changed 32:13

characteristics 49:12,13

chart 18:16

chat 76:20

check 67:4

Chief 14:2 75:23

chin/jaw-line 78:6

choice 28:25

choppy 8:6

chose 78:10

CIO 29:23

circle 31:7

city 11:18 12:2,3,12,21 13:5,7, 10,14,17 16:20 21:4,5 25:25 27:24 56:19 65:25 84:9

CIU 10:11 13:21 19:10,11 20:20 21:3 25:8 28:18,21,22, 25 35:5 36:13,16,17 37:1 38:19 40:13 41:17 43:12 48:1, 4 49:9 50:24 51:2,6,20 52:3, 11,15 53:12 54:14 56:5 58:4, 10 59:4,17,23 60:5,8,11,23 63:3,4,11,13 64:10 65:22 69:22 70:14,21 72:1,8,9 74:1, 21 75:12,16 78:12,19 79:1,8, 13,15,21,23 80:22 81:9,22 82:9 83:6

clarification 9:1,2 77:13

clarify 28:21 60:16

clean 8:13

clear 8:22,23,24 10:1,2 46:15 48:18 53:16 75:12 77:12

Clearview 22:24 23:8,9,15,22 24:11,14,16 25:9

Clearview's 24:4

Clearwater 23:3.7

click 45:14

client 10:20

close 72:13 83:22

CMFR 21:20,24

coffee 16:25

colleague 83:20

colleagues 15:1

collection 30:7 34:13 35:14

column 23:24

combine 41:11

Commander 29:20

communicate 50:11

communicated 48:10

companies 30:13

company 22:11,15,17,24

compare 30:10 42:12,13 49:1

compared 11:12

compares 11:3 23:4 35:13

comparing 49:11

comparison 42:16 43:8 49:6, 8,16,20,24 53:1,2,12 55:19 61:7 71:1,2 79:6,10,14,17 81:25 82:6 83:5,7

comparisons 42:9

complete 7:5 14:19 16:6 52:18 63:14

completed 21:12 77:21,23

compliance 67:4

complies 56:6

Compstat 17:24

computer 11:2 40:18 61:6

Computing 31:13

concern 38:21

concluded 84:19

conclusion 8:1 61:11

conduct 32:20 35:7 43:7 52:25 62:25 63:2,7,13 83:4

conducted 53:12 67:14 79:6 80:6 83:7

conducts 64:7,15 79:13

confidence 45:18,22 46:12,20 47:3,9,25

confident 46:24 72:14

confidential 9:11

confirmation 60:2

confirms 56:2

connection 58:24

considerations 66:22

considered 58:22 61:12

consists 67:11

contained 34:15

contents 67:9

context 46:23

continuing 12:9,15 15:18 19:16 20:17 23:11 25:21 30:22 55:4 57:8 59:12 67:5 73:18 77:16

contract 22:11 24:11 25:19, 22,25 26:5,9,13,19 27:6,10 29:2,6,11,18 30:16 31:19,21 33:3

contracted 22:18

control 46:14

conversation 9:11 10:6 14:13, 24,25 16:13 18:23 61:17 73:1

conversations 14:11 75:20

copy 73:4,5,7

correct 7:24 10:10 13:14,15 18:21,25 19:1 20:23,25 24:5 28:17 30:11 34:8 37:3 48:21 51:5,14 57:17,24 59:20 66:11 67:18 69:19 72:7 73:21 84:12, 13

correctly 55:20,25 60:5

correspond 45:10

corroborate 35:16 60:22

corroboration 60:23 61:12

count 21:11 23:24 40:17 82:24 83:13

country 28:6

couple 44:5 57:5 61:4 67:3 72:22 83:23 84:4

court 6:5 8:19

courtroom 8:11

cover 42:9

covered 13:22 42:8 43:5 65:3

covers 66:15

crime 10:11 11:22 13:25 14:1 17:12,13,24 25:6 27:19 35:3 36:9 38:10,11 47:6 56:14 57:14

crimes 38:13,15

criminal 26:25 59:25

culminated 10:19

Cunningham 7:22 9:10,21 11:20,25 13:20,24 14:25 15:22 73:3,5,8,11 76:8,9,15, 20 84:3,4,7,14

current 16:19 17:22 18:12 34:18 81:20

D

daily 38:25

dark 33:11

data 11:22 17:2,12,14,23 18:1, 9

database 27:21 32:24 34:16 51:12 60:4 66:15 69:9,10,24 70:17,21

Dataworks 13:10 22:16,17 25:18 26:1,9,15 27:6,7,8,11 28:20 29:1,7,11,15,18 30:4,5, 11,16 31:1,2,5,19 32:2,5,16 33:3,7,21,23 34:2,10 35:12 43:10,13,14,20,23 45:25 46:4

47:2 53:17 66:18,20 67:24,25 68:8,15,18 71:5 75:16 83:12

date 19:14 22:6 26:12 57:15, 16 59:7,19 74:3

dates 20:8

day 74:17

days 42:4,5,8

deal 48:4

deals 42:19 57:23

dealt 11:24

Dean 14:1,3 75:23

decimal 47:19

decision 30:1 38:6 39:13

78:13 83:11

deck 19:18 67:9

declined 38:19

deemed 35:9

Defendant 11:18 12:2,12,22

deficiencies 79:11

degree 16:17 40:2

department 10:9 11:23 16:20 21:21 23:23 24:17 56:23 58:21 74:13 77:5 80:2

department-wide 64:2

departments 23:14,21

depend 39:23

depends 64:21

depicted 40:5

deponent 12:6

deposition 6:7 7:5,11,20 8:2 12:8,20 13:19,22 14:7 15:3 20:6 33:12 74:6,24 75:21 76:2,11 84:19

Deputy 14:2 75:23

describe 23:1 30:3 37:14 42:2 45:11 47:17 54:22 77:11 80:3, 4 82:22 83:1

describes 58:9 66:15,16

describing 61:2 63:7,8

description 66:23

designate 12:3

designated 11:17 12:1 13:13

desk 7:16

detail 42:10

details 20:7 37:18

detective 34:24 36:17 48:10 49:24 50:12 70:14 75:15

detectives 59:6 62:9,11,12,14, 18.19 72:8 78:25

detects 60:21

determination 35:15 37:12 49:2

determine 42:21 48:23 50:3

determined 35:20 58:25 77:25 82:1

determines 45:1 50:10 81:12

determining 48:2

Detroit 10:9,19 11:18,23 12:12,22 13:5,14,17 16:20 21:21 23:23 26:1 27:24 33:14 58:21 84:9

Detroit's 13:8,10

Diana 56:19 74:14

difference 37:14 53:20

difficult 18:15

digits 47:18

dim 41:10

dimensions 49:13

directive 64:1

directives 62:22

directly 37:21

director 11:22 17:12,13 29:21 74:16

disclaimer 59:5

discovery 19:19 55:12 73:3

77:19

discuss 16:7 61:13

discussed 15:15 28:12 63:19, 20 65:2 66:7 74:20 80:7

discussing 7:21 23:14 45:20

discussion 13:20,25 67:1,3,5

82:3

discussions 29:17 80:1

displayed 45:8 68:5

displays 45:13

disrespect 7:17

distance 82:24

Division 20:22

document 12:18 15:20 21:8, 15 25:23 30:24 50:15 57:10, 11,19 59:14 62:6 77:17

documentation 50:18

documents 14:18,22 15:1,5,7, 9.11 29:25 75:8

downtown 10:19

DPD 10:8 16:21,23 17:7 18:23 19:2,6,7,18,22 20:3,5,9,11,12, 20 21:24 22:8,11,17,20 24:3, 11,13,16 25:17 26:8,15 27:5, 8,10,17 28:9,13 29:4,10,14 30:15 31:2 32:1,4,9,19,24 33:6,8,13,19 34:4,9,23 37:16, 17,22 38:3,20 43:12 46:1,5,8, 11,14 50:21 51:15,25 53:17, 21,25 54:5,10,25 56:5 62:9, 14,18,23 63:5 66:19 67:14,24 68:14,17 69:9 70:13 71:10,14 72:8 74:7 75:3,13 77:9 78:25 79:15,25 80:22 82:16

DPD's 10:11 20:12 27:17 29:6 56:3,7 74:21,24

driver's 27:21 34:13,15,19 81:19

dual 31:8,22

duly 6:16

duplicate 22:2

duty 51:16

Ε

e-mail 20:19 21:7,14 36:15

e-mailed 36:8

e-mails 30:1

earlier 28:12 33:18 34:4 43:10 49:6 50:1 51:22 52:10 56:3 63:19,20 66:9 68:22 73:20 74:6 80:7 82:3

earliest 75:20

early 28:12 53:7 65:16,21,24 74:21

ears 42:13 49:15 78:5

editing 67:4

education 67:5

educational 16:14

effect 26:13 27:10 59:9

effective 57:15

employed 20:5 21:4 79:25

employees 18:11 67:8,14

employer 16:19 56:21

employment 16:12

enabled 64:10

end 8:4 43:8 72:14

ending 29:18

engine 31:8,22

engines 31:8

Enrolled 31:22 33:5

ensure 56:5

ensuring 18:1

entail 49:9 52:22 54:23,24

entire 42:1,2

equipment 6:8

error 69:6

establishing 56:16

estimate 15:2 29:5

evaluating 38:3 41:18

evaluation 48:1

event 36:23 60:1

exact 19:14 26:12 50:6 59:7

EXAMINATION 6:19 84:6

examined 6:18

examiner 49:22 50:2,9 60:20, 21,23

examiner's 61:6

examiners 21:19 60:25 61:18

exchange 20:19,23

executive 56:13,14,17,24

64:17

executives 17:25

exhibit 12:14,16 15:17,19 19:15,17 20:16,18,19 23:10, 12 25:15,20,22 30:21,23 31:19 33:2 55:3,5,9 57:7,19 59:11,13 66:6 73:15,17 77:14,

exhibits 7:20

exist 18:19

expectation 70:12

expected 70:8 82:4

experience 41:21,22 62:17 64:19

expired 34:19 81:19

explain 44:18

extent 18:10

eye 49:11

eyebrows 78:6

eyes 40:24 42:14 82:25

F

face 39:10,12,18 40:18,24 78:5 83:2

facial 10:24 11:1,11 13:8,11 14:21 18:24 19:7,10,11,23 20:4 21:11,19,20 22:9,12,20, 23 23:3 24:4,14,23,24 25:2,5, 7,11 26:1,15 29:13 30:12 31:3,8,25 32:15,20,25 33:20 34:24 35:10 36:11,24 39:20 40:7 42:10,20,25 43:3,11 50:25 55:16,18 56:6 57:24 58:2,20 59:18 60:8 62:10 64:6,19 65:23 66:23 69:21 74:2,7 75:4 80:5

fact 79:1 81:7

factor 38:5 39:12

factors 38:8 39:3,6 40:8 41:9

facts 80:4

fail 44:10

failed 80:11

fair 41:8 79:15

fairly 54:7

fall 38:1

falls 35:8

familiar 12:18 15:20 19:17 22:23 25:23 30:23 31:10 43:25 45:17,19 46:20,22 54:20 57:9 59:13 67:25 75:4 77:1,4

fashion 83:10

FBI 41:20,24 42:24 49:7,10 56:25 63:14,18 64:11 80:8,10

FBI's 32:21

features 39:20 41:4 42:10,13 78:14,16

fed 11:11

feed 43:3

Fennessey 74:12

figure 76:2

filled 50:15

filter 81:13

filtering 49:3

final 61:16

finally 9:21

find 7:7 55:5

fine 9:12

finish 7:9 8:14 9:8 72:14

firsthand 77:6

five-minute 72:17

floating 77:4

Flora 56:19 74:14

focused 18:8 54:24 67:20

follow 58:10

follow-up 82:14

follow-ups 83:21

forehead/brow 78:5

form 35:1 36:6,7 49:19 74:4

77:21

formal 60:3

format 23:17

forum 36:15

forward 36:25 52:17

Franklin 14:2,3

free-lance 17:1

free-lanced 16:25

free-lancing 17:4

Friday 6:2 75:25 76:1

front 7:16 15:8 31:6

FRT 10:23 19:2,8 20:9 22:18 32:21 38:4,20 41:5 43:8,17 44:11,14 51:16 52:16 58:11 60:12 62:15,18,24,25 63:2,6, 12,14 72:9 75:13 77:23 78:11, 14 83:3



Fulgenzi 29:19

full 42:5 62:1

fully 18:17

fuzzy 36:5

G

gain 41:21

gained 41:22

gallery 44:15 80:11,12,16

82:16

general 40:22

generally 65:5

Geographic 16:15 17:2

GIS 17:4,8

give 12:11 29:5 32:19 33:9 67:23 69:6 73:22 75:19 83:19

goal 7:8

good 6:21 72:19

governing 58:5

great 73:12

greater 18:10

group 18:2 64:21

guidance 66:25

guide 16:5

Н

hairline 78:5

half 15:4 16:22 26:11 79:7

handful 65:25

handled 36:10

Hanson 6:1

happened 77:2,8,10

hat 39:21,23,24

Hayes 14:2,3 74:18 75:23

84:11,12,13

hazards 33:11

he'll 9:24

head 8:22 25:13 50:7 52:14

66:1 78:4

headphones 8:7

hear 8:6,9

held 6:8

high 35:10 37:8,10,12,15,16

highest 47:11 53:6

highlighted 31:6

historical 20:7

Home 38:17,18

homicide 38:16

honestly 39:2

hoping 9:13

hour 7:7 15:4 64:22

hours 7:6

Howell 14:1 66:2 75:22

human 71:2

ı

I.D. 34:17

idea 33:15

Identification 20:22

identifies 13:4

identify 69:21

identifying 17:24

ignore 48:6,7,19

iii 60:19

image 11:9,10 34:25 35:9 37:15 38:5,8,19,21 39:4,7,25 40:7,8,11,13 41:18 43:3,6 46:24 51:7,23,24 56:7 58:19 65:6 67:1,3 69:3 70:15,20 71:10,18 78:1,7,11,14,20 79:10,13,18 80:24 81:6,22,23, 25 82:23 83:2,7,14 images 11:4,13 44:16 45:4 46:16,17 47:8 51:12 52:12 58:19 59:25 61:6,25 62:1 70:16,20 71:3,15 78:23 79:2 81:14 82:5,10

imagine 54:11

immediately 17:19 18:4

impact 39:19,24

important 66:22

inbox 36:8

incident 35:3,6,8

include 34:18 38:15 59:25

64:13 68:4 69:10

included 30:20 31:12 39:21

41:20

includes 13:9 50:16

including 14:24 35:2 59:4

66:25

incorrect 19:25 20:2 24:9

increases 31:9

independent 52:25 53:11 81:2

individual 40:5 69:11

individuals 23:6 80:15

inform 70:14 71:10

information 16:16 17:2,25 26:25 35:2,22 49:22,23 50:16, 17 71:24,25 72:2 77:20

informed 75:9 76:10

informing 76:4

Innovation 74:13

input 11:3 68:24

inquire 71:14,18,22,25 79:23

instance 33:8,22 34:1 35:12 46:8,10,11 50:22 67:25 68:7, 15 69:23

institutional 14:8

institutionalizing 18:9

instructed 48:19

instructs 9:24

integrated 32:12 68:12,13

integrates 30:12 43:11

Intelligence 10:12 25:6 27:19 36:9 56:14 57:14

intended 15:23 16:6

intention 7:17

interact 54:25 65:5

interacted 77:8

interacting 67:21

interface 65:10 66:18

internal 63:15,21 64:11,13,15

internet 23:19

intraocular 82:24

introduce 30:23 55:5

intuition 56:2

Invasion 38:17,18

INVESTIGATE 58:23

investigation 10:16,17 36:1 53:10 58:25 59:1 74:22 77:1, 3,22

investigations 19:3

investigative 11:5 50:11 55:23 58:22 59:1,5 60:2,21 61:21 67:2 77:21 80:21,24 81:24 83:5

investigator 34:23 35:1,22 50:19 52:20,24

investigators 35:19,21

involve 42:24 43:2

involved 19:10,11 29:17,22,24 56:15 60:25 61:18 74:19 80:1

involvement 58:24 involving 58:8 74:20

issue 77:3

issues 65:6

items 16:1

J

Jack 74:12

jobs 17:3

July 21:18,23 26:10

jurisdictions 28:2,5

Justice 26:25

Κ

key 49:12

kind 41:21 45:20 56:16 64:18 69:6

kinds 34:6

knowledge 14:8 19:9 22:19 24:17 62:5 71:8 77:6,11

L

Lamoreaux 6:21 84:2,17

lapse 29:11

larger 51:12

lawsuit 6:24 11:19 12:12,22

lead 35:19,20 50:11,13 51:8 52:18 55:23 58:22,23 60:2,21, 22 61:22 64:16,17 67:2 77:21 80:21,24 81:24 82:6 83:6

leadership 24:17

leads 11:6 59:5

leave 7:2

left 21:5 75:3

letter 15:22 16:2

letters 26:21

level 83:8

license 27:21 34:14,16,19,20 81:19,20

light 33:9

lighting 39:8,25 41:10

likelihood 45:18,23 46:21 47:4

limited 13:9

link 70:3

list 16:6 67:8 80:18 81:7

listed 14:7 16:2

listing 15:23

lists 50:17

load 54:6

loaded 33:7 69:4

local 23:14

locally 27:12 28:20 51:2

log 61:9

log-in 66:19

logging 20:13

logs 27:20

long 14:13 16:21 17:13,18

42:2 64:20,24

longer 80:2

looked 14:19 68:1,2

lot 44:20

low 69:3 83:2

lower 37:23

lowest 47:11,14

M

M-I-C-J-I-N 26:23

made 7:22 60:3

majority 29:8

make 8:17,22 9:3,16 10:6 11:14 35:7,15 37:12,19 46:15 48:18 49:2 53:16 57:6 61:7 68:22

makes 9:5,17

making 6:25 38:5

managed 25:5

management 21:20

manager 17:9,10 56:24 64:17

managers 56:13,14,17

manual 64:1

March 35:25

marked 12:14 15:17 19:15 20:16 23:10 25:20 30:21 55:3 57:7 59:11 73:15 77:15

Master's 16:18

match 11:13 42:22 44:16 46:25 47:8 50:3,10 61:23 70:19 81:12,15,16,21 82:2

matched 30:6

matches 11:5 61:20 80:13,16

matching 31:8 45:3 49:8 51:12 53:20 66:24 70:21

Matthew 29:19

means 57:20

measure 83:9

media 23:5

meet 76:18

meeting 75:22 76:1,7,12,13, 14,15,16

member 25:8 27:19 36:13,17 51:6

members 13:21 54:14

memorializing 29:25

memos 30:1

mentioned 40:11 84:8,11

message 69:7

Michael 29:20

Michigan 6:6 10:14 13:12 20:11,21 21:10 23:23 26:25 28:2 55:13,15

Micjin 26:20,21

microphone 8:8

Millender 29:21

minimal 9:13

minimum 40:23 45:3

minutes 14:16 73:9 76:21

83:23

mirror 54:10

Mm-hmm 14:17

mobile 25:7

moment 9:15 15:8 18:15,18 32:19 54:4 55:6 63:9 67:23 73:22 75:19 83:19

month 17:15,17 18:20

morning 6:21,25 13:23 14:18 15:6 76:22

morphological 42:16 43:7 49:7,19 53:2,11 55:19 79:6,9, 14.17 82:5 83:4

mouth 42:14 49:15

MSP 10:14 14:20 15:10 21:18 22:9 27:9,16 28:17,21 29:9 32:7 34:9,10 35:12 37:13,22 46:4,9,11 50:21,24 51:3,7,11, 25 52:4,12,16,19 53:5,13,16 54:1,4,5,14 55:1,18,22 60:3, 13,17 62:3 63:16 64:7 66:7,16 67:5,9,10 71:4,10,14,22,23,24 72:2 73:2,19 74:2,9 77:8,19 78:19,23 79:2,23 80:5,9,10,19 83:2

MSP's 26:20 27:7,12,20 28:10, 22,25 29:4,7,14 32:5,16 33:13 34:1 51:3 53:5 56:3,6 77:23 79:16,21 80:5 82:9,12

mug 30:8 35:14 59:25

multiple 41:9 69:10,14

mute 9:12

Ν

names 23:20 30:19

Nate 6:22

Nathan 14:1 66:1 75:22

nature 7:18 17:1

NEC 31:7,10,16,22 32:2,8,11 80:7,12

neck 78:6

needed 60:1

negates 71:1

Network 26:25 27:4 33:19

59:24

newer 69:18 70:20 71:6,19 81:23 82:10

News 23:13

night 7:23

ninth 80:18,20 81:1,7,18

Nodding 8:21

normal 12:7 52:18

nose 42:14 49:14

noses 49:12

notary 6:6

note 22:1 57:6

notes 7:15 15:5,11 35:6 79:7

Notice 12:2,20

number 33:6 35:3 40:23 45:4, 13 47:17 52:13

numbered 55:8

numbering 7:25

numeral 58:14 60:19

0

oath 8:10

object 9:21,24

objections 11:25

obstructed 78:7

obstruction 78:15

obstructions 79:12

obtained 23:22 35:5

October 10:19

odds 48:2

official 50:18

older 69:17 71:6,18 82:9

ongoing 36:1

operate 43:17

operated 28:20

operating 57:13,21 59:18

operative 64:5

opposed 81:8

option 28:19

optional 67:17

options 51:4

order 7:25 8:13 47:8 55:7

81:13

ordinal 81:6

org 18:16

original 30:10 61:5

output 11:4

oversee 18:18

Ρ

p.m. 83:25 84:19

pages 45:14

paragraph 12:25 13:1,4 21:22

parentheses 21:25

Parish 29:20

part 6:22 38:13,15 48:24 58:8

65:13 70:9,13 76:1

parties 6:11

parts 25:3 42:9 49:14

pass 41:23 52:24

passed 84:12,13

past 62:15 63:10 64:16

PDF 23:19 50:19 55:10 58:3

PDFS 7:22,23 8:1

peer 48:13,15 55:23 56:8 61:2,

5,14,19,24 62:3

pending 10:1

people 18:18 75:3,12 79:25

percentage 47:20 50:6

perform 36:11 59:23 60:8,12

permit 24:13

permitted 37:1,4 75:13

person 6:13 69:24 70:4,16

71:15 76:10

personal 71:8

personally 37:18

personnel 24:13,16 38:20

52:11 63:5,11 70:21 72:8

perspective 30:7

photo 11:3,10,11 22:3 30:6,10

34:16 35:11,13 38:1 39:4,7, 13,17,18,21 40:21,25 41:3

42:22 46:25 47:4 49:1 50:16

54:6 61:22 68:24 69:10,23 70:25 71:1,2,6 80:13,17,18,20

81:17,19 83:11

photos 23:4,5 27:4,17,18,24 28:2,5,8 30:7,9 32:23 33:7,14,

16,19 34:5,6,10,13,14,17,19,

20 35:4,14 37:20 42:12 45:15 48:24,25 49:2,4 51:10 53:1,20

59:24 69:11,15,17 70:1,3,6

picked 80:19

picture 37:8,10

pictures 45:12 48:2

pixel 82:24 83:13

pixelation 39:8 40:11,13

41:10,17,18 83:8

pixels 40:18,23 83:1

pixilated 40:21 82:23

place 47:19

Plaintiff 6:24 12:21

platform 26:9 30:12,18 53:17

67:24 68:1

play 39:19 40:2 47:25

plays 40:3,6

point 19:18,22 36:6 39:15

45:5,20 71:2 74:16 76:3

police 10:9,14 11:23 13:12

16:20 20:12,21 21:10,21

23:14,21,23 55:13,15 58:21

policies 64:4 65:2,9

policy 13:23 14:17 15:10

16:16 24:19,22,23,25 25:2,4,

9,11,12,13 35:7,9,17 38:9

57:5 58:5 59:9 60:15 62:22

63:15,21,24,25 64:1,12

poor 78:1,15,20 79:11

poorer 79:1,17

portal 26:20

portion 61:19

position 17:18,22 18:4,6,12,

16,19 80:18,20 81:1,6,18

positions 17:6

POSITIVE 58:23

potential 35:18,20 44:16

69:22 70:19 80:13,16 81:15,

16 82:2

potentially 46:4

power 19:17

practice 53:7 79:16

practices 66:25 74:21,25 82:9

preparation 15:12 74:23 75:21

prepare 13:19 15:14 76:19

prepared 12:6 13:16 16:1

preparing 15:2 16:5 20:6

presentation 19:18 28:13

55:14 65:13 66:7

presents 67:7 presume 33:6

pretty 72:13

prevent 9:18

previous 17:3

primarily 27:8

prior 17:19 18:4,19 19:9 55:24 77:11

probe 11:9,10 22:3 23:4 30:5 35:4,13 41:3 42:22 46:25 49:1 50:16 51:23,24 54:6 61:22 68:24 69:3 71:2 78:1,7,10,14 82:22

problem 23:11

procedure 57:21 58:4,5,10 59:18

procedures 57:13

proceed 12:7

process 13:11 34:23 35:24 36:12 42:15 44:1,7 49:8,9,16 52:8 53:2 54:7,10 55:1 56:3,6 61:2,3 62:4 63:17 66:25 67:4, 21 69:4 79:9,22,24 80:23 82:6,12 83:14

processed 21:19

processes 18:9

produce 11:4 13:6 44:10 83:14

producing 82:6

profiles 23:5

program 11:2 27:9,16 28:20 33:8 40:18 42:1,3 54:17 55:2

programs 22:21

Project 17:9,10

prominent 47:23

promise 7:16

proportion 29:5

proposing 31:7

provide 8:20 52:20 54:16 63:16 78:23 79:1

provided 16:4 35:23 49:10 50:7 52:19 55:12,15 58:20 59:6 66:17 77:19

providing 17:25

public 6:6 16:16 29:22 74:16

pull 82:4

purchase 30:20

purported 83:4

pursuant 6:10 26:9 29:1 55:13

put 40:7

Q

qualitative 38:25 40:20,22 83:10

quality 8:3 18:2 35:10 37:8,11, 12,15,16,21,23 38:5,8,19,21 39:4,7,14 40:9 43:2,6 51:22, 24 56:7 65:6 67:1 69:2 78:1, 15,20 79:1,11,18

question 8:16,25 9:8,25 10:4 12:10 25:16 41:16 78:12 80:22 82:13,21

questioned 65:12

questions 8:14 9:22 14:7 16:7,11 44:9 49:5 52:7 57:5 72:24 74:7,10,11,20 76:24,25 77:9 83:18 84:2,5,15

R

race 40:4

racial 59:23

raised 65:11

ran 77:23 80:10 83:3

range 44:19,22

ranges 22:6

Rank 31:13 80:15

Ray 66:4

read 13:1,3,7 21:12 22:3 31:23 55:19,25 58:16 59:2 60:5 66:13

real 16:12

realized 29:12

reason 8:6 19:24 20:1 24:8 51:15,23

reasonable 82:1

reasons 51:6,11 52:3

recall 47:13

receive 51:8 62:9 63:12 72:9 73:4

received 16:17 19:18 42:19 62:14 73:3

receives 36:16 37:5 52:15 54:4

receiving 36:14

recent 21:14

recently 16:23 44:3

recess 72:21 73:14 83:25

recognition 10:24 11:1,11 13:8,11 14:21 18:24 19:7,10, 12,23 20:4 21:20 22:9,12,21, 23 23:3 24:4,14,23,24 25:2,5, 7,11 26:1,16 29:13 30:12 31:4 32:1,15,20,25 33:20 34:24 35:11 36:11,24 40:7 42:20,25 43:4,11 50:25 54:14 55:16,18 56:6 57:24 58:2,20 59:18,23 60:8 62:10 64:6,19 65:23 66:23,24 69:21 74:2,8 75:4 80:5

recognize 19:20

recollection 36:4 47:16 75:1

record 9:22 26:22 53:16 84:18

recorded 49:16,20 78:4

recording 79:4

records 23:21 31:22 33:5 58:19

recs 21:11

reduce 41:11

refer 10:16 57:20

refers 10:14 82:3

reflected 47:4

regularly 39:1

related 40:8 58:19 62:22

relatedly 9:9

relates 17:23

release 55:24

released 58:18

relevant 15:11 67:8

reliability 41:11

reliable 41:5

relied 80:23 81:22

remember 14:14 19:14 26:12 27:3 28:15 30:19 42:11 56:20 66:2.4

00.2,4

Remote 6:1

remotely 6:10 7:18

repeat 39:5 58:7

replacement 56:21

report 35:7 61:22

reporter 6:5,7,9 8:19

reporting 24:3,9

reports 50:8 55:23 67:3 77:22

representing 6:23

request 19:8 35:2,6 36:14,16, 25 37:5,7 50:21,25 51:2,17 52:4 54:4 55:1 60:3,4,16 73:2

requested 13:5 48:11 50:12

requesting 14:20 20:11 22:8

74:8

requests 13:12 21:21 34:9

36:7 73:19

required 29:13 43:7 64:9

67:19

resolution 40:22 83:2

resources.' 59:2

response 31:3

responsibilities 17:21 18:3,5

responsible 17:23

rest 33:14 36:12

result 41:5 44:10 58:20 69:7

71:6,11 83:14

results 41:11 42:20,21 44:14, 15,24 45:1,8,9,11,21 47:2,13, 15 48:1,14,19 50:3,17 52:15 53:13 61:8 68:2,4,8,10,12,13, 14,19,25 70:2,15 80:11 82:16, 17 83:5

retired 84:10

return 44:24 46:16,18 49:2 50:20 70:17 71:5,16 72:23 80:11 81:21,23

returned 35:15 44:15 48:3,24 68:19 70:4 71:3,10,12,18 80:10,12,16,17,25 81:2,7,17

returning 23:5 45:16,21

returns 30:8 44:19,20 45:3 47:2 70:2,19 81:3,4

review 14:18 35:5,6,7 52:17, 21,22 56:8 61:2,10,14,15,16, 19,20,25 62:4 73:22 75:8,10

reviewed 13:22 14:17 35:16 55:24 73:1

reviewer 61:5,24

reviewing 15:1 48:14

revise 57:16

revised 59:17,19

revision 74:3

ridge 78:5

robberies 38:14

robbery 38:16

Robert 6:23 10:20 29:21

ROC 31:7,10,13,22 32:2,8,11 80:7,15

role 40:2,3,6 46:5 47:25

Roman 58:14 60:19

room 6:9

roughly 14:16 17:20 44:6 47:14

row 31:21

rows 68:11

rule 11:17 12:3,6,8

rumors 77:4

run 14:20 20:12,13 25:5 27:20, 23 28:1,4,9 29:13 32:24 34:1, 5,9,25 35:1,10 36:17,23 37:2, 4,6,7,9,15,17,21 38:4,20 41:1 43:20,22 46:13 50:21 51:2,4, 7,9,20,25 52:12 54:5 60:17 63:22 64:10 68:9,24 74:2 75:13,17 78:10,20,23,24 79:2 83:6,11

running 19:6 33:20 53:25 58:15 65:1 67:1 68:17 79:4,5, 8 82:16

runs 18:23 33:13 43:13 44:14 53:25 54:2 58:4,11 69:20 79:13

Rutebuka 20:20 21:2,9,16 56:22,24 65:21

S

S-N-A-P 27:1

safety 29:22 74:16

sat 41:25

satisfied 81:14

saved 23:19

scale 41:15

scan 73:9

schedule 67:16

score 46:17,21,23 47:3,4,9,11, 14,18 81:12

scores 47:25 48:5,10

Scott 74:18 84:11

screen 7:21 9:12 45:12 47:22, 24 55:6 57:9 73:17 80:4 83:20

screens 66:18

scroll 21:1 59:21 66:8,12

scrolling 12:24 23:18 58:13 78:3

search 13:11 21:20 22:1 23:24 27:21,23 28:1,4,9 34:9,10,12, 25 35:11 36:18,24 37:6 38:4 40:7 41:1,12 43:8,13,14,20,23 44:11,14 47:3 48:1,11 49:17 50:12 51:7,17,20,25 52:12,16 53:25 54:2,5 56:6 58:5,11,20 60:3,9,12,17 61:8 63:7 67:2 68:5,8,9,17,25 69:9,21 70:2 74:2 75:17 77:23 78:11,20 79:4,5,9 80:5,6,10 82:10,14, 17,21 83:3,6

searches 18:24 19:2,7,8 20:12,14 22:2,9 24:14 26:16 28:14 29:6,13 32:20,25 33:13 34:1,5 37:2,5 38:20 40:15 43:17 46:13 59:23 64:10 65:23 74:9 75:13

searching 31:9 71:5

section 57:18,23 58:2 59:17 63:22 67:8

send 7:23,25 37:13,16 50:25 51:7,9 52:4,12 60:16 73:9

sending 13:11

sends 44:16 50:21

sense 8:17 9:4,5,16,17 11:14 29:8 38:25 40:15 44:21 52:13 66:14

sentence 60:8

sentences 22:4

separate 14:11

separately 81:3

September 21:23 74:4

services 26:2 66:16

sessions 22:2

set 30:8 44:19 45:9,12,20 46:12 49:3 61:20,25 62:1 67:15 68:12,13 78:17 81:3

sets 40:24 45:9 46:3

setting 46:5

sex 38:16

shadows 39:10,12,16

shape 49:11 78:4,5

shapes 42:11

share 12:16 15:19 19:16 20:18 33:2 49:22,23 55:6 57:9 59:13 61:8 73:16 77:13

shared 35:19,21 36:8

sharing 7:21 20:18 29:10 62:7 74:5 80:3

Shinola 10:16,18 35:25 53:10 74:22 76:25 77:2,22

shop 16:25

shorthand 6:7

shot 35:14 59:25

shots 30:8

show 23:12 66:6

showed 62:6

showing 7:19 66:18

shows 26:5

side 77:8

sift 49:4

sign-off 60:24

signature 26:4

signed 26:6,10

significance 81:8

signs 35:17

similar 54:7,13 56:3 66:21

68:3 71:9

simple 40:14

simply 14:6 48:23 49:3 81:13

simultaneously 43:14

situation 71:22

skin 40:4

slide 19:20 67:2,9

slides 66:22 67:3

small 45:13 47:22

SNAP 21:18,19,24 26:20 27:1 33:18,21,23 34:2,7,12 35:12 54:16,25 55:16 59:25 63:16 64:8 66:15,16 67:20,21

social 23:4,5

software 22:13 31:4

solicitation 31:1,3

someones 24:3

SOP 57:20 58:8 63:22

SOS 34:13,15

sound 8:3 31:14 72:19

sounds 69:8

source 30:14

speak 13:24 14:5,10 20:7 75:5 83:20

Specialist 17:8,10,11

specific 20:8 30:19 38:10,11 42:11 78:17

specifically 9:23

specifics 41:19 54:8

spell 26:21

spent 15:2

spoke 33:18

staff 27:19

stand 31:13

standard 40:25 57:13,21

59:17

standards 56:7

standpoint 54:12

stands 26:24 27:3 31:16

start 19:2 22:6 63:1 72:25

started 26:8 27:6 28:13 66:3 73:24 74:7,8,17

starting 17:7 22:8 28:18,22 58:8

starts 12:25 58:3

state 6:6 10:14 13:12 19:24 20:4,11,13,21 21:10 27:21 28:14,18 33:15 34:6,17 53:6 55:13,15 56:22 60:4

state's 20:10

statement 58:17

Statewide 27:4,16 33:19 59:24

Stephen 11:21

steps 44:1 54:9 66:24

stipulate 6:12

stop 29:10 62:7 74:5 80:3 82:19

stopped 27:5

store 10:18

stored 11:4,13

studied 16:15

studies 67:7

subject 22:3 58:23,25

submission 31:2 36:6,7 73:19

submit 14:20 15:10 35:4

submits 35:1

subpoena 55:13

Subsection 58:1,9,15 59:22

subsequent 76:14,18

subset 82:19

substance 16:13 18:23

subtopics 15:23

sufficient 40:25 43:3 51:24

suitability 78:13

sunglasses 39:22,24

supervise 18:11

supervisor 35:17 48:13,16 55:24 56:8 60:5,23,24 61:15,

supervisors 63:4

supplemental 77:20

supposed 58:10 61:25

suspect 69:22

sworn 6:10,13,16

system 19:24 20:4,10,13 23:15 27:7,12,20 28:10,14,18, 23 29:1,4,7,9,14 31:9 32:12, 13,17,21 33:13,20 43:14,25 44:10,16 45:21,23 46:1,14,19, 25 47:2 50:22 51:3 55:16 64:8 69:3,6 70:6,19 71:9 75:16 80:9,10,12 81:21

systems 16:16 17:2

Т

T-S-A-K-O-S 57:4

table 23:20

takes 11:2

taking 8:19 31:20 55:7

talk 11:9 62:8

talked 14:6 34:4 36:13 41:4 49:6 51:22 53:15 68:9 72:23 82:15

talking 10:8,11,17,24 11:10 43:10 45:16 50:20 52:7 73:20

talks 55:18,22

team 6:23 53:6

technical 54:12 65:9

technology 10:24 11:1,12

13:8 18:24 19:7,23 22:12,21, 24 25:7 30:13 34:25 42:25 43:4,12 54:15 55:16 62:10,19 63:8 64:19 74:8,13

telling 12:5

template 14:19 15:10 52:19,24 73:2,19,23

templates 61:17

ten 18:18 55:10

term 11:7 45:17 46:20

terminology 42:12

terms 10:5 39:4,7 45:19 46:22 56:7 82:24 83:13

testified 6:18

testify 11:17 12:6 13:6,13,16

testifying 9:19 11:21 12:4

testimony 6:12

theft 10:18

thing 47:23 66:13

things 42:14 65:14 72:22,23

thinking 9:19

Thompson 29:23

threshold 45:18,23,25 46:3, 12,16,17 83:13

Tia 56:23 57:2

time 9:6,14 15:2 20:5 36:12,14 44:3 45:13 48:17 51:16 56:14, 15,17 62:15 64:24,25 65:18 66:4 74:22,25 75:2,4,9 76:5 78:19 79:22 80:2 82:10,11 84:17

timeline 25:16

times 21:25 24:5 32:9 44:6 50:20 52:13 69:14

today 7:9 8:10 9:19 10:6 11:17,21 12:11 13:6,16 15:12, 24 16:8 32:16 33:12 51:3 76:6

tone 40:4

Stephen Lamoreaux

tools 67:4 top 21:15 25:13 50:6 52:14

66:1 74:3

topic 12:5 13:4,6,9,14,17 14:9 67:6

topics 13:21 14:6 16:7 18:1 42:7 66:14

total 14:24 21:24

tool 21:24 24:4

totally 53:16

train 78:25

trained 41:17 43:17,22 48:4,6, 7 49:1 51:16 53:5,6 62:23 63:1 69:22 70:22 71:21 72:2 75:12

training 41:20,24 42:18,24 43:2 49:7,10 54:16,18,19 62:8,9,14,18,22 63:14,15,16, 18,19,21,22 64:11,13,15,20 65:3,6,8,13 67:6,11,13,20 70:9,13 72:9

trainings 63:5,10,11 64:7 65:17

trains 54:14

transcript 8:13,20,23 9:23

transfer 52:23

trends 17:24

true 53:23

truth 6:16,17

truthfully 9:19

Tsakos 56:23 57:2,3

turned 33:10

type 35:4 38:10,11 77:17

types 28:8

U

uh-huh 8:22

unable 41:25 78:24

05/12/2023

understand 8:9,25 10:8,21 11:16 12:10 16:4 23:2 37:19 54:22,24 57:20 68:23 74:24 84:11

understanding 7:1 11:6 12:23 24:6 29:12,16 31:25 34:12 42:7 46:19 53:5,22 61:24 67:10

understood 9:2 79:21 82:11

unit 10:12 21:18 25:6 27:19 36:9 56:15 57:14 66:16,17

updated 18:17

uploaded 30:6 35:11

Urban 16:15,16 utilizing 59:24

V

Vaguely 54:21

varies 44:17,18

variety 17:25

vast 29:8

vein 12:10

version 27:11,17 32:5 59:17

versions 32:8

versus 29:7 37:16 53:21 82:10

videoconferencing 6:8

viewing 47:13

viii 58:14

violated 24:19,25 25:2,4,9,10, 12,14

violent 38:13,14,15

Virtual 6:1

visible 47:6,7 48:7,9,13,15,16

78:7

visual 40:14 54:14

W

wait 8:14,16

walk 17:6 34:22 77:7

watched 43:22 44:7

watches 10:18

ways 36:3 61:4

web 36:15

week 13:20 75:24

weekly 39:1 50:8

weeks 44:5

Wessler 6:20,22 11:24 12:9,15 15:18 19:16 20:17 23:11 25:21 30:22 55:4 57:8 59:12 72:22 73:10,12,16,18 77:16 84:1,16

wider 51:9

Williams 6:23 10:20 80:17 81:19

Williams' 80:13,19

words 23:2 30:3 34:22

work 17:1 21:2 40:16 56:19 80:2

worked 16:25

working 36:10 65:25

works 20:21 55:1 56:22,25

63:8

writes 21:9,17,23 31:5

written 60:24

Υ

Yankowski 20:20 21:9,16,17, 22

Yeager 66:4

years 16:22 17:20 44:8

Ζ

Zoom 33:12

