

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
Charleston Division**

STERLING MISANIN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ALAN WILSON, in his official capacity as
the Attorney General of South Carolina, et
al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:24-cv-04734-BHH

ANSWERS TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 26.01 INTERROGATORIES

Named Plaintiffs Sterling Misanin, Jane Doe, Jill Ray, Nina Noe, Nancy Noe, Grant Goe, and Gary Goe answer Local Civil Rule 26.01 Interrogatories as follows:

(A) State the full name, address, and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have a subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of that interest.

ANSWER: Not applicable.

(B) As to each claim, state whether it should be tried jury or nonjury and why.

ANSWER: Plaintiffs have not requested a jury trial because each claim is for declarative and injunctive relief only.

(C) State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly-owned company and separately identify (1) any parent corporation and any publicly-held corporation owning ten percent (10%) or more of the party's stock; (2) each publicly-owned company of which it is a parent; and (3) each publicly-owned company in which the party owns ten percent (10%) or more of the outstanding shares.

ANSWER: Not applicable.

(D) State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division). *See* Local Civ. Rule 3.01 (D.S.C.).

ANSWER: Venue is proper in the Charleston division under Local Civil Rule 3.01 because that is where several Plaintiffs and Defendants reside and where a substantial portion of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

(E) Is this action related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this district, whether civil or criminal? If so, provide (1) a short caption and the full case number of the related action; (2) an explanation of how the matters are related; and (3) a statement of the status of the related action. Counsel should disclose any cases that may be related regardless of whether they are still pending. Whether cases are related such that they should be assigned to a single judge will be determined by the clerk of court based on a determination of whether the cases arise from the same or identical transactions, happenings, or events; involve the identical parties or property; or for any other reason would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

ANSWER: No.

(F) *[Defendants only.]* If the defendant is improperly identified, give the proper identification and state whether counsel will accept service of an amended summons and pleading reflecting the correct identification.

ANSWER: Not applicable.

(G) *[Defendants only.]* If you contend that some other person or legal entity is, in whole or in part, liable to you or the party asserting a claim against you in this matter, identify such person or entity and describe the basis of their liability.

ANSWER: Not applicable.

Dated: August 29, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Meredith McPhail

Allen Chaney (Fed. Id. No. 13181)
Meredith McPhail (Fed. Id. No. 13500)
ACLU OF SOUTH CAROLINA
P.O. Box 1668
Columbia, SC 29202
T: 864-372-6881
achaney@aclusc.org
mmcphail@aclusc.org

/s/ Sruti Swaminathan

Sruti Swaminathan*
Harper Seldin*
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION
125 Broad St., Fl 18
New York, NY 10004
T: 212-549-2500
hseldin@aclu.org
sswaminathan@aclu.org

/s/ Julie Singer

David S. Flugman*
Corey Stoughton*
Julie Singer*
SELENDY GAY PLLC
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10104
T: 212-390-9000
dflugman@selendygay.com
cstoughton@selendygay.com
jsinger@selendygay.com

* Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming

Attorneys for Plaintiffs