```
Page 1
 1
                IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
 2
                    FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO
 3
 4
      MADELINE MOE, et al.,
 5
               Plaintiffs,
                                          Case No.
          VS
                                        24 CV 002481
 6
 7
      DAVE YOST, et al.,
 8
               Defendants.
 9
                    Deposition of JAMES CANTOR,
10
11
      PhD, Witness herein, called by the
12
      Plaintiffs for cross-examination pursuant to
13
      the Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before
14
      me, Donald Correll, a Notary Public in and
15
      for the State of Ohio, conducted via a
16
      Veritext Virtual deposition, on Thursday,
17
      the 20th day of June 2024, at 9:02 a.m.
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

Page 126

- Q. Sure. And so but you characterize
- 2 that as a zero-risk intervention, not
- 3 comparable to medical interference with healthy
- 4 tissue, right?
- A. Yes. Well, as an example of the
- 6 kind of recommendation for which one has only
- 7 low-quality evidence.
- Q. But to the extent someone followed
- 9 that recommendation and did provide
- 10 pharmacotherapy for obesity, there would be
- 11 some risk to that intervention?
- 12 A. Yes. Which is why that, as I
- 13 said, why that recommendation is that such an
- 14 intervention be done, again, only in -- the
- 15 recommendation, as I read it, is not for
- 16 engaging in the medicalized interventions.
- 17 It's that, even when one does engage in those
- 18 interventions, it's done as a last resort and
- 19 in combination with the behavioral and
- 20 lifestyle issues.
- 21 Again, to say that a person
- 22 battling with obesity should receive the
- 23 psychosocial supports for better exercise and
- 24 better diet, and so again that's not -- that's
- 25 a kind of a recommendation which is so
 - Page 127
- 1 uncomparable in its risk and potential downside
- 2 that it's just not comparable to the kind of
- 3 situation where Dr. Antommaria was saying that
- 4 it's equivalent to low-quality evidence for
- 5 biologically interfering with objectively
- 6 healthy organs.
- 7 Q. You support the provision of
- 8 medical treatment for gender dysphoria in
- 9 adults in some circumstances, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, I do. I'm hesitating on the
- 11 word support, only because I don't mean to make 11
- 12 it an advocacy position, but support in the
- 13 sense that I'm perfectly able and willing to
- 14 have a history of pointing out how that's
- 15 consistent with the science.
- Q. Do you recall providing testimony
- 17 at a hearing in Loe V. Texas?
- A. Vaguely, yes. 18
- Q. Well, were you retained as an 19
- 20 expert by the State of Texas in their defense
- 21 of SB 14, their ban on gender-affirming care
- 22 for transgender adolescents?
- A. I believe I was. I would have to,
- 24 of course, you know, check my notes for the
- 25 exact numbers, but that sounds roughly correct.

- (Thereupon, Exhibit 4, Loe V.
- 2 Texas Testimony, was marked for identification
- 3 purposes.)
- 4 BY MR. SELDIN:
- Q. I'll share my screen. I'll
- 6 represent to you that this has been pre-marked
- 7 as Exhibit 4. It's a copy of your testimony in
- 8 Loe V. Texas. I'm on Page 126. You were asked
- 9 on Line 18, Dr. Cantor, you support the
- 10 provision of medical treatment for gender
- 11 dysphoria in adults; is that correct?
- 12 And you answered, that is correct.
- 13 Did you see that there?
 - A. Yes, I do. As I say, my answer
- 15 today was a bit more fulsome, but that's
- 16 essentially consistent.
- 17 Q. So your testimony in Loe V. Texas
- 18 was accurate?

14

- 19 A. Well, as I say, the first time I'm
- 20 presented with a question, I give it the
- 21 accurate response that first comes to mind.
- 22 And then after being presented with the same
- 23 question a couple of times, I provide more
- 24 detail. But, yes, it's all accurate and
- 25 consistent.

1

Page 129

Page 128

- Q. And still true?
- A. And it's still true. And again,
- 3 in the same way where I -- my only hesitation
- 4 is so as not to cross into advocacy. And that
- 5 in the details, as I'm always pointing out,
- 6 that what's consistent with the science.
- 7 Q. And so you would agree that there
- 8 is some research demonstrating the
- 9 effectiveness of gender-affirming medical care
- 10 for adults with gender dysphoria?
 - A. Some, yes. I would hesitate to
- 12 boil it down quite so simply, because again
- 13 there are several factors involved. Not the
- 14 least of which is that in being an adult, one
- 15 has already lived a substantial part of one's
- 16 life as one's biological gender. One is not
- 17 merely imagining what one's adulthood will be
- 18 like. One by then can compare more directly
- 19 one's adulthood in one sex versus what one
- 20 hopes it will be as the other.
- 21 Q. So would you agree that it's true
- 22 for adults that gender-affirming medical care
- 23 was supported by clinical studies?
- 24 A. As best as was available, yes. 25 And again, given the alternatives and given the