

Paul Carlos Southwick (ISB No. 12439)
Emily Myrei Croston (ISB No. 12389)
ACLU of Idaho Foundation
P.O. Box 1897
Boise, ID 83701
Tel: (208) 344-9750
psouthwick@acluidaho.org
ecroston@acluidaho.org

Chase B. Strangio*
Malita Picasso*
Leslie Cooper*
Alexandra R. Johnson*
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION
125 Broad St.
New York, NY 10004
Tel: (212) 549-2584
cstrangio@aclu.org
mpicasso@aclu.org
lcooper@aclu.org
a.johnson@aclu.org

Pilar C. French*
Harlan Mechling*
Antonia Gales*
Chloe Nakaya*
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
601 S.W. Second Avenue, Suite 2100
Portland, OR 97204
Tel: (503) 778-2100
frenchp@ballardspahr.com
mehlingh@ballardspahr.com
galesa@ballardspahr.com
nakayac@ballardspahr.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO**

KATIE ROBINSON, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
RAÚL LABRADOR, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-00306-DCN
**PLAINTIFF'S REPLY ISO
MOTION FOR SEVENTH
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

This Court is authorized to issue a seventh preliminary injunction that parallels the injunctions it issued on September 3, 2024, Dkt. 58, December 3, 2024, Dkt. 96, March 3, 2025, Dkt. 120, May 30, 2025, Dkt. 137, August 29, 2025, Dkt. 150, and November 25, 2025, Dkt. 180. None of the material facts have changed for the purpose of determining whether another

preliminary injunction is warranted, as was true when this Court issued the second, third, fourth, fifth, and sixth preliminary injunctions in this case. Defendants cite no new caselaw since this Court granted the sixth preliminary injunction.

Defendants' response to Plaintiffs' motion for a sixth preliminary injunction is to suggest hormone therapy to treat gender dysphoria is "risky and unproven." Dkt. 184 at 2. This is not the case. In their first request for a preliminary injunction, which this Court granted, Dkt. 58, Plaintiffs submitted substantial evidence that this treatment is well-accepted in the medical field, *see* Dkt. 2-1 at 7-10, including the fact that this treatment is supported by every major medical association in the United States, *see* Dkt. 2-5 at 10-11. Moreover, since that first preliminary injunction, Plaintiffs have introduced additional evidence that this care is essential, *see* Dkts. 114-5, 114-6, 114-7— which the Court has credited, *see* Dkt. 120 at 7.

In any case, any uncertainty on the question of hormone therapy's necessity would counsel in favor of, not against, another preliminary injunction. This question is a serious one which speaks to the merits of the case—meeting the first prong of the preliminary injunction test. *See* Dkt. 96 at 9 ("Until the parties present the Court with more definitive evidence one way or another as to the medical necessity of hormone therapy to individuals with gender dysphoria, there continues to be a serious question as to the merits of this case, and this factor weighs in favor of granting another preliminary injunction."); *see also* Dkt. 58 at 9 (similar); Dkt. 120 at 7 (similar).

Defendants again cite to *Dana v. Campbell*, No. 1:18-CV-00298-DCN, 2025 WL 1827042 (D. Idaho July 1, 2025) and *United States v. Skrmetti*, 605 U.S. 495 (2025). Dkt. 184 at 2-3. But this Court's prior analysis of *Dana* and *Skrmetti* in granting Plaintiff's previous motions for a preliminary injunction still stands; both cases recognize but do not "definitively resolve the question of medical necessity of gender-affirming care." Dkt. 150 at 6. Thus, the "legal status of

gender-affirming care has not shifted.” *Id.* The Court has previously found, and should still find, that parties are in a period of “deliberative investigation” weighing in favor of issuing another preliminary injunction. Dkt. 58 at 9; Dkt. 120 at 7; Dkt. 137 at 6; Dkt. 150 at 6; Dkt. 180 at 5.

Defendants also “incorporate here their arguments opposing the previous injunctions.” Dkt. 184 at 2. Plaintiffs thus incorporate their responses to said arguments. *See* Dkt. 25, Dkt. 90, Dkt. 118, Dkt. 136; Dkt. 148; Dkt. 179.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs have made the requisite showing that a preliminary injunction against enforcement of Idaho Code § 18-8901 continues to be necessary in this case. Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court issue a seventh preliminary injunction prior to the sixth preliminary injunction’s expiration on March 1, 2025.

DATED: February 23, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Emily Myrei Croston

Emily Myrei Croston (ISB No. 12389)

ACLU of Idaho Foundation