

RAÚL R. LABRADOR  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

JAMES E. M. CRAIG, ISB #6365  
Chief, Civil Litigation and  
Constitutional Defense

JAMES J. SIMERI, ISB #12332  
GREGORY E. WOODARD, ISB #11329  
MATTHEW L. MAURER, ISB #12575  
DAVID J. MYERS, ISB #6528  
Deputy Attorneys General  
Office of the Attorney General  
P. O. Box 83720  
Boise, ID 83720-0010  
Telephone: (208) 334-2400  
james.craig@ag.idaho.gov  
james.simeri@ag.idaho.gov  
greg.woodard@ag.idaho.gov  
matthew.maurer@ag.idaho.gov  
david.myers@ag.idaho.gov

*Attorneys for State Defendants*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO**

ROBINSON, *et al.*

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

RAÚL LABRADOR, in his official  
capacity as Attorney General of the State  
of Idaho; *et al.*

*Defendants.*

Case No. 1:24-cv-00306-DCN

**RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR NINTH  
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION  
[DKT. 215]**

Faced with the mounting evidence that cross-sex hormones and surgery are both risky and ineffective for treating gender dysphoria, the Idaho Legislature reasonably determined that public funds shall not be used to pay for such treatments. *See* Idaho Code § 18-8901; Claudia Haupt et al., *Antandrogen or estradiol treatment or both during hormone therapy in transitioning transgender women*, 11 Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Art. No. CD013138 at 2, 11 (2020) (finding insufficient evidence to determine the efficacy or safety of hormonal treatment approaches for transgender women in transition). In so doing, the Legislature was exercising its “broad power to establish and enforce standards of conduct within its borders relative to the health of everyone.” *Barsky v. Bd. of Regents of Univ.*, 347 U.S. 442, 449 (1954); H.B. 668, Sec. 1, 68th Leg., 2nd Reg. Sess., 2024 Idaho Sess. Laws 1.

For its part, this Court has “consistently held...that the record shows a genuine debate in the medical community about using cross-sex hormones to treat gender dysphoria.” Dkt. 204 at 8. The Court notes that this debate about the efficacy of cross-sex hormone treatment and the existence of safer alternatives “could prove significant.” *Id.* at 7 n.4.

Plaintiffs assert, nonetheless, that they are entitled to cross-sex hormone treatment—at taxpayer expense—despite Plaintiffs’ own medical histories documenting the unacceptable risks of that treatment. *See* Response to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Eighth Preliminary Injunction, Filed Under Seal, at 11–14.

State Defendants therefore oppose Plaintiffs’ renewed request to enjoin the enforcement of Idaho Code § 18-8901. To avoid repetition, State Defendants incorporate here their arguments opposing the previous injunctions.

DATED: August 20, 2026

STATE OF IDAHO  
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: /s/ Matthew L. Maurer  
MATTHEW L. MAURER  
Deputy Attorney General

*Attorney for State Defendants*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on August 20, 2026, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following persons:

Paul Carlos Southwick  
psouthwick@acluidaho.org

Christina M. Hesse  
cmh@dukeevett.com

Emily Myrei Croston  
ecroston@acluidaho.org

Michael J. Bentley  
mbentley@bradley.com

Malita Picasso  
mpicasso@aclu.org

Erin Saltaformaggio  
esaltaformaggio@bradley.com

Chase B. Strangio  
cstrangio@aclu.org

*Attorneys for Defendants Centurion of Idaho,  
LLC and Centurion Health*

Leslie J. Cooper  
lcooper@aclu.org

Alexandra Johnson  
a.johnson@aclu.org

Antonia Gales  
galesa@ballardspahr.com

Harlan T. Mechling  
mechlingh@ballardspahr.com

Chloe Nakaya  
nakayac@ballardspahr.com

Pilar C. French  
frenchp@lanepowell.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

/s/ Matthew L. Maurer  
MATTHEW L. MAURER