

June 10, 2025

Honorable Michael E. Farbiarz, U.S. District Judge, D.N.J.  
Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse  
50 Walnut Street, Newark, New Jersey 07101

**Re: *Khalil v. Trump, et al.*, No. 2:25-cv-1963 (MEF) (MAH)**

Dear Judge Farbiarz:

First, the government is wrong that Mr. Khalil's detention is not irreparable harm. Mr. Khalil does not argue that his detention violates due process because it has been lengthy, Gov. Ltr. 3, but that his retaliatory detention has violated the First and Fifth Amendments from the start. Ptr. Ltr. 1.<sup>1</sup> That Mr. Khalil "has visited with lawyers" and received a "one-time exception" to meet his newborn baby, Gov. Ltr. 4, only underscores the ongoing harms he has endured from day one.

Second, the government's argument that the post-hoc charge is "another basis" for detention, Gov. Ltr. 2, is fatally undermined by its failure to introduce *any* evidence disputing Mr. Khalil's evidence that his arrest and detention are retaliatory and unsupported by a constitutional justification (flight or danger), *see* Pet. Ltr. 1-2. Instead, the government re-litigates its failed arguments about release through an "administrative process," while noting the regulation authorizing his detention prohibits the IJ from considering his (uncontested) lack of flight risk or danger. Gov. Ltr. 2; *see* ECF 175 at 4-6.

Third, the government stretches to argue that the Court cannot consider any claims against the post-hoc charge because they were not a part of the operative petition at the time he filed the motion. Gov. Ltr. 2. As Mr. Khalil explained, he did not move on any such claim; rather, he argued the post-hoc charge was part of the same retaliatory policy resulting in the initial charge. ECF 124 at 8, 18 n.20; ECF 223; ECF 280 at 1-5. And the Court can consider the post-hoc charge in ruling on his claim against the policy. Preliminary relief is ultimately based on an evidentiary record, which the Court is empowered to expand through supplemental orders. *See United States v. Shulick*, 18 F.4th 91, 115 (3d Cir. 2021). Regardless, the government suffers no prejudice from the "modest" amendment, ECF 229 at 4:3-21, *cf.* FRCP 15(b)(1), as the government *itself* (1) introduced evidence of the charge, ECF 90-1, and, (2) in opposing preliminary relief, relied on the charge. ECF 156 at 30.

Fourth, Mr. Khalil has presented substantial—and undisputed—evidence of irreparable First Amendment harms, including chilled speech of his own and the public, Pet. Ltr. 3-4, which "actually flow" from the government's unconstitutional use of the Foreign Policy Ground against him, Gov. Ltr. 3, and underscore the urgency of relief "to prevent irreparable harm," *id.* at 4.<sup>2</sup> And fifth, an injunction against the determination and policy is well within Mr. Khalil's petition *and* complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241 *and* 1331. *See* ECF 175 at 13-14. Regardless, § 2241 has long provided jurisdiction for non-release claims. *See Trump v. J.G.G.*, 145 S. Ct. 1003, 1005 (2025).

---

<sup>1</sup> The government suggests that "immigration detention" alone is not irreparable harm. Gov. Ltr. 3. But it cannot suggest that *unconstitutional* detention—like that resulting from the Rubio Determination—is not.

<sup>2</sup> The government's arguments about the reputational harms to Mr. Khalil are baseless. Gov. Ltr. 5. He seeks a prohibitory, not mandatory, injunction. ECF 175 at 15 n.9. And the government's suggestion that the reputational harms resulting from the Secretary of State and other high-level government officials' public targeting of Mr. Khalil are diminished by "his own decisions to hold himself out publicly," Gov. Ltr. at 5, only underscores that the government is retaliating against Mr. Khalil for his protected activities.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Liza Weisberg

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF NEW  
JERSEY FOUNDATION  
Jeanne LoCicero  
Farrin R. Anello  
Molly K.C. Linhorst  
Liza Weisberg  
570 Broad Street, 11th Floor  
Newark, New Jersey 07102  
973-854-1715

NEW YORK CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION  
Amy Belsher\*  
Robert Hodgson\*  
Veronica Salama\*  
Molly Biklen\*  
125 Broad Street, 19th Floor  
New York, N.Y. 10004  
Tel: (212) 607-3300

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION  
Omar Jadwat  
Noor Zafar\*  
Sidra Mahfooz\*  
Michael K.T. Tan\*  
Brian Hauss\*  
Esha Bhandari\*  
Vera Eidelman\*  
Tyler Takemoto\*  
Brett Max Kaufman\*  
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
Tel: (212) 549-2500

CLEAR PROJECT  
MAIN STREET LEGAL SERVICES, INC.  
Ramzi Kassem\*  
Naz Ahmad  
Mudassar Hayat Toppa\*  
Shezza Abboushi Dallal\*  
CUNY School of Law  
2 Court Square  
Long Island City, NY 11101  
Tel: (718) 340-4558

CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS  
Baher Azmy  
Samah Sisay\*  
Diala Shamas\*  
666 Broadway, 7th Floor  
New York, NY 10012  
Tel: (212) 614-6464

WASHINGTON SQUARE LEGAL SERVICES, INC.  
Alina Das\*  
Immigrant Rights Clinic  
245 Sullivan Street, 5th Floor  
New York, New York 10012  
Tel: (212) 998-6430

DRATEL & LEWIS  
Amy E. Greer  
29 Broadway, Suite 1412  
New York, NY 10006  
Tel: (212) 732-8805  
Fax: (212) 571-3792

VAN DER HOUT LLP  
Marc Van Der Hout (CA Bar #80778)\*  
Johnny Sinodis (CA Bar #290402)\*  
Oona Cahill (CA Bar #354525)\*  
360 Post St., Suite 800  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
Tel: (415) 981-3000  
Fax: (415) 981-3003

\* *Appearing Pro hac vice*

*Counsel for Petitioner*