

Registered Voter Omnibus

RV Omni 2025 June A - ACLU Toplines



Sample Online sample of 1,035 voters fielded from June 11 to June 13, 2025.
 Margin of Error $\pm 3.5\%$

1. How much, if anything, have you heard about each of the following things in the news lately?

	A great deal	Somewhat	A little	None
The Trump Administration terminating research grants and withholding federal funds, including student aid, from colleges and universities	46%	31%	17%	6%
President Trump sending National Guard troops to do immigration enforcement in cities and communities throughout the US	57%	24%	10%	8%
The budget bill that is under consideration in Congress	43%	31%	16%	9%
President Trump sending National Guard troops to suppress protest in California	66%	21%	9%	4%
The Trump Administration's tariff policy	57%	30%	10%	3%
The Trump Administration pulling funding for NPR and PBS	39%	31%	16%	13%

2. How strongly would you [support or oppose] the Trump administration terminating research grants, including student assistance, and withholding federal funds from colleges and universities?

Strongly support	22%
Somewhat support	13%
Somewhat oppose	10%
Strongly oppose	49%
Don't know	6%
Totals	100%
N	1,035

3. Now, we'd like you to read a series of concerns that people have concerning the Trump administration terminating research grants and withholding federal funds, including student assistance, from colleges and universities. How [concerned or unconcerned] are you about each of the following?

	Very concerned	Somewhat concerned	Somewhat unconcerned	Very unconcerned	Don't know
President Trump could use the threat of terminating federal funding to force schools to terminate programs that make sure that everyone can be welcome and get an equal education, regardless of race, religion, gender, national origin, or other characteristics	46%	14%	11%	25%	4%
President Trump could use the threat of terminating federal funding to force schools to terminate programs that make sure that everyone can be welcome and get an equal education, regardless of race, religion, gender, national origin, or other characteristics. These programs are sometimes called Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (or DEI) programs	46%	12%	10%	27%	4%
President Trump could use the threat of terminating federal funding to force colleges and universities to stop teaching about the history of race and gender discrimination and the civil rights movement in the classroom, including the history of slavery and the Civil War	45%	13%	9%	28%	5%
President Trump could use the threat of terminating federal funding to force colleges and universities to stop teaching subjects government officials disapprove of	50%	13%	13%	19%	4%
Cuts to student financial aid could mean that students from middle-class and working-class families will have a harder time getting a college education	48%	20%	10%	18%	4%
President Trump could use the threat of terminating federal funding to suppress free speech from students and faculty on college campuses	47%	14%	12%	24%	4%

The Trump administration cutting funding for science programs that ensure the American workforce is prepared to work in science, technology, engineering and math (STEM)	48%	17%	14%	16%	5%
The Trump administration is cutting funding for medical research that it disagrees with for ideological reasons, like research developing new vaccinations or medical treatments for cancer	50%	17%	9%	20%	4%
The Trump administration is cutting funding for science research that it disagrees with for ideological reasons, like research about climate change, including on hurricane and flood predictions	47%	13%	13%	23%	4%
Cuts to research would stifle innovation and reduce American competitiveness in sectors like medical research, that could advance cures and treatments	47%	22%	10%	17%	4%
Colleges and universities should be able to continue to educate some students from other countries, which lets America benefit from the talents of students from around the world	38%	18%	16%	21%	6%
President Trump could use the threat of terminating federal funding to force colleges to stop research and teaching subjects the government doesn't like	48%	14%	14%	19%	5%
University-affiliated hospitals are the backbone of healthcare in many states. Funding cuts won't just hurt hospitals—they'll make care more expensive, with longer wait times, fewer services, and higher costs for families	51%	17%	11%	16%	5%

4. How convincing would you say each of the following statements is to oppose cutting funds from colleges and universities.

	Very convincing	Somewhat convincing	Somewhat unconvincing	Very unconvincing	Don't know
The Trump administration's funding cuts violate universities' rights to free speech. Private organizations in this country are allowed to freely express ideas – as granted in the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution – and the president may not punish the university simply for disagreeing with him on what is said or taught in classrooms	34%	20%	11%	27%	8%
The Trump administration's funding cuts violate students' and teachers' rights to free speech. Private organizations in this country are allowed to freely express ideas – as granted in the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution – and the president may not punish the university simply for disagreeing with him on what is said or taught in classrooms	45%	17%	9%	25%	5%
The Trump administration is cutting federal funding to universities that refuse to comply with its demands to change hiring and admissions policies, or their approach to diversity, which will limit who can go to college	31%	21%	14%	27%	7%
The Trump administration's threats to cut federal funds from universities will harm medical care, cancer research, and national security, as university researchers play a critical role in both areas. Universities continually innovate in the sectors of medicine, materials science, technology, and energy because of federal research funding	41%	19%	11%	22%	6%

Universities are private institutions, and the Trump administration is violating the rights of private individuals and organizations in this country to conduct their business freely. Cutting funding simply for disagreeing with the president's approach to hiring and management violates freedoms guaranteed in this country	37%	17%	11%	29%	6%
The Trump administration's cancelling of federal funds for universities is an abuse of power. The federal government does not have the authority to dictate what universities teach, who they admit, who they hire, or what they research	38%	15%	12%	28%	6%
Cancelling federal funds because the President is angry at a university is an abuse of power - like having the DOJ investigate his opponents and sending people to foreign prisons without due process. The federal government needs to apply the law equally to all, not weaponize it for coercion and revenge	41%	15%	12%	26%	6%
The federal government cannot control how a university teaches or who does the teaching. Nor can the government punish the university because they disagree with the President. That is an abuse of power	37%	19%	14%	24%	6%
The Trump administration should be focused on solving real problems like funding medical research to care for people, addressing the cost of living and the cost of healthcare, not trying to control what colleges and universities teach or do on their campuses	40%	19%	13%	22%	6%

5. Sometimes, people change their mind during a survey. How strongly would you [support or oppose] the Trump administration terminating grants and withholding federal funds from colleges and universities?

Strongly support	23%
Somewhat support	13%
Somewhat oppose	8%

Strongly oppose	51%
Don't know	4%
Totals	99%
N	1,035

6. How strongly would you [support or oppose] deploying the U.S. military to cities, towns, and rural areas nationwide to carry out mass deportations?

Asked only of those in split A

Strongly support	24%
Somewhat support	14%
Somewhat oppose	12%
Strongly oppose	44%
Don't know	6%
Totals	100%
N	513

7. How strongly would you [support or oppose] deploying the U.S. military to cities, towns, and rural areas nationwide to suppress protests?

Asked only of those in split B

Strongly support	28%
Somewhat support	16%
Somewhat oppose	11%
Strongly oppose	41%
Don't know	4%
Totals	100%
N	522

8. Now, we'd like you to read a series of concerns that people have about the deployment of the U.S. military on American soil. How [concerned or unconcerned] would you be about each of the following outcomes if the federal government deployed the military in the US?

	Very concerned	Somewhat concerned	Somewhat unconcerned	Very unconcerned	Don't know
National Guard troops are redirected to police our communities, even though they lack the necessary training. This would require additional training for National Guard soldiers, taking away time from training performing military duties and hurt readiness, morale, and retention	44%	18%	14%	20%	4%
National Guard troops are redirected to assist ICE, even though they lack the necessary training to conduct immigration enforcement. This would require additional training for National Guard soldiers, taking away time from training performing military duties and hurt readiness, morale, and retention	42%	18%	12%	23%	5%
The Pentagon deploys military troops to arrest immigrants in cities nationwide, ripping them from their families and our communities	48%	13%	11%	23%	5%
The federal government forces the national guard to face off against their fellow Americans, putting the civilians they've sworn to protect in danger	50%	14%	12%	19%	5%
The Pentagon diverts funds meant for renovation of U.S. Army housing to instead send more troops to the U.S.-Mexico border. U.S. military buildings are 47 years old on average, some with mold, pests, failing plumbing and broken HVAC systems, risking the health of servicemembers	43%	23%	12%	16%	5%
Military personnel detain and demand identity papers from anyone they suspect is an immigrant, which would lead to racial profiling, and put citizens and noncitizens alike at risk of deportation	49%	15%	12%	20%	4%

Domestic deployment diverts National Guard troops from emergency response and disaster relief, including fighting wildfires, hurricane relief, where the National Guard plays a vital role	44%	19%	14%	19%	4%
Military personnel are taken away from their families, their communities, and civilian jobs for an uncertain length of time to police American cities and towns	40%	19%	13%	22%	5%
Armored vehicles weigh a minimum of 7 tons, or about 14,000 pounds. Deploying military vehicles into our communities would damage civilian infrastructure, ruining our streets, creating dangerous driving conditions, and requiring costly repairs	44%	19%	13%	21%	4%
The federal government sends military personnel to arrest children in schools to help ICE detain immigrant families	53%	14%	8%	19%	6%
The federal government sends military personnel to arrest people at hospitals to help ICE detain immigrant families	49%	14%	10%	20%	7%
The federal government sends military personnel to arrest people in places of worship to help ICE detain immigrant families	50%	15%	11%	18%	6%
The federal government deploys the National Guard to shut down peaceful protests, despite local and state authorities rejecting the need for federal law enforcement	50%	13%	9%	22%	5%

9. How convincing would you say each of the following statements is to oppose deploying the U.S. military to cities, towns and rural areas in the United States?

	Very convincing	Somewhat convincing	Somewhat unconvincing	Very unconvincing	Don't know
Since Inauguration Day, the Trump administration has spent \$525 million deploying U.S. military troops to the US-Mexico border, even though border crossings are at their lowest level in years. Deploying troops and military equipment on American soil for immigration enforcement would be more costly	34%	18%	12%	28%	7%
Sending the military into our communities doesn't make us safer—it puts both residents and service members in harm's way. Our elected leaders should focus on what truly strengthens our communities: quality schools, living wages, affordable housing, and healthcare—not deploying troops into our streets	39%	16%	13%	28%	5%
In 1992, 1,500 Marines were deployed to Los Angeles. Communication was a challenge because Marines, soldiers, and police use different terms. In one case, a police officer shouted 'Cover me!'—meaning 'aim but don't shoot.' But for the Marines that command meant to open fire. They shot over 200 rounds into a house with a family inside. Miraculously, no one was killed—but it shows how deploying the military on U.S. soil can have dangerous consequences	38%	20%	12%	22%	8%
Just as we're getting into hurricane, flood, and wildfire season, the National Guard should be ready to serve our community during a natural disaster, and not diverted to police our communities. A natural disaster will be far worse if the National Guard is off doing something else, maybe not even in our state	40%	18%	14%	23%	6%

Recent protests and demonstrations in Los Angeles were peaceful and under control, until the Trump administration escalated by deploying the National Guard. Both local law enforcement and state leadership have rejected the deployment of the National Guard to maintain public safety

38% 16% 7% 34% 6%

The recent protests and demonstrations in Los Angeles have been peaceful. It is a waste of our resources to send the National Guard to suppress peaceful protests that local law enforcement says are under control

34% 17% 9% 35% 4%

10. Sometimes, people change their mind during a survey. How strongly would you [support or oppose] deploying the U.S. military to cities, towns, and rural areas nationwide to carry out mass deportations?

Asked only of those in split A

Strongly support	25%
Somewhat support	14%
Somewhat oppose	10%
Strongly oppose	46%
Don't know	4%
Totals	99%
N	513

11. Sometimes, people change their mind during a survey. How strongly would you [support or oppose] deploying the U.S. military to cities, towns, and rural areas nationwide?

Asked only of those in split B

Strongly support	28%
Somewhat support	13%
Somewhat oppose	11%
Strongly oppose	44%
Don't know	4%
Totals	100%
N	522

12. Have you ever served on active duty in the U.S. Armed Forces?

Never served in the military	88%
Currently in training	0%
Currently on active duty aside from training	0%
On active duty in the past, but not now	11%
Totals	99%
N	1,035

Registered Voter Omnibus RV Omni 2025 June A - ACLU Toplines



This survey is based on 1,035 interviews conducted by YouGov on the internet of registered voters. The sample was weighted according to gender, age, race/ethnicity, education, and U.S. Census region based on voter registration lists, the U.S. Census American Community Survey, and the U.S. Census Current Population Survey, as well as 2020 Presidential vote and approximate 2024 Presidential vote based on available results. Respondents were selected from YouGov to be representative of registered voters. The weights range from 0.19 to 6.01 with a mean of 1 and a standard deviation of 0.55.

The margin of error (a 95% confidence interval) for a sample percentage p based upon the subsetting sample is approximately 3.5%. It is calculated using the formula:

$$\hat{p} \pm 100 \times \sqrt{\frac{1 + CV^2}{n}}$$

where CV is the coefficient of variation of the sample weights and n is the sample size used to compute the proportion. This is a measure of sampling error (the average of all estimates obtained using the same sample selection and weighting procedures repeatedly). The sample estimate should differ from its expected value by less than margin of error in 95 percent of all samples. It does not reflect non-sampling errors, including potential selection bias in panel participation or in response to a particular survey.