

DEFEAT | DELAY | DILUTE

**ACLU vs.
PRESIDENT
TRUMP**



ACLU

CONTENTS

Introduction 1

Safeguarding Free Speech.....3

Protecting Immigrants’ Rights.....6

Defending Equal Protection Under the Law9

Building Power11

What’s Next: Midterm Elections & Beyond.....13

Endnotes14



INTRODUCTION

We are currently living through the most dangerous assault on our civil rights and civil liberties in recent memory. In 2025, President Trump issued over 225 executive orders — signing more orders than he did in all four years of his first term and more than have been signed in a single year by any president since Franklin D. Roosevelt in 1941.¹ This unprecedented blitz of executive orders, coupled with far-reaching executive actions and a Congress unwilling or unable to assert their authority, has unleashed an agenda designed to “shock and awe” by terrorizing vulnerable communities, chilling opposition and public debate, and steamrolling adversaries.²

Ruthless. Regressive. Unlawful. At odds with our Constitution.

By any measure, the administration has been aggressive as well as effective. What is also true is that our response in this first year has been equally formidable and will provide a base for us to continue our work to preserve civil rights and liberties over the next three years.

The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) — battle-tested from the first Trump presidency — has been prepared for this fight from day one. During President Trump’s first term, The Washington Post called us “Trump’s main antagonist” for filing over a dozen legal actions against his policies within the administration’s first month.³ Over the course of four years, we brought more than 434 legal actions against the administration — stopping or delaying many of the worst abuses.

We knew a second Trump administration would require even more from us. Months before the 2024 election, we examined President Trump’s campaign promises, including those outlined in Project 2025. In our series of Trump memos, we detailed the civil rights and civil liberties challenges a second Trump presidency would likely present and outlined how we would fight to protect the rights and liberties of all people.⁴ We spent months plotting out our litigation and advocacy strategy across the country — building a plan to ensure that cities and states would be a Firewall for Freedom against a federal government attacking civil rights and civil liberties.

In the past year we have ramped up our work, taking over 230 legal actions against the Trump administration — on track to double our total during the first Trump administration.

239

Legal Actions Taken by ACLU
(including lawsuits, records requests, amicus briefs, and agency complaints)

139

Lawsuits Filed by ACLU

41

Congressional Briefings

206

**Constituent Meetings with
Congressional Offices**

We’re not just challenging the Trump administration’s policies — we’re successfully thwarting their plans.

On day one, when President Trump issued an executive order attempting to unlawfully end birthright citizenship, we filed a case within two hours.⁵ When the government began arresting non-citizen students and scholars for their speech, we moved quickly to challenge their detention and secure their release.⁶ As Congress made multiple attempts to block access to critical health care for trans people, we worked relentlessly on Capitol Hill to block these provisions from becoming law.⁷ When the administration bullied ABC into suspending Jimmy Kimmel, we mobilized nearly 500 artists and entertainers and 53,000 Americans to protect free speech. Kimmel was back on air within hours of our letter becoming public.⁸

64%

**Success of ACLU Lawsuits
in Delaying, Diluting, or
Defeating Trump Agenda**

Through our Firewall for Freedom campaign, 26 state affiliates have helped to enact over 80 executive, legislative, or municipal actions to blunt the administration’s attacks.⁹ This work to protect immigrant communities, free speech, equal rights, reproductive freedom, and voting rights proves that even in hostile times, we can advance long-standing civil rights and civil liberties goals and protect our communities by leveraging our collective power in cities and states. At the federal level, of the 37 anti-civil rights or anti-civil liberties bills and amendments we opposed, we helped prevent 86 percent of them from becoming law in 2025.

ACLU Firewall for Freedom: Protecting & Expanding Civil Liberties & Civil Rights in the States

- **51** State Laws Passed
- **14** State Executive Actions
- **19** Municipal Actions

Despite our accomplishments thus far, **we cannot underestimate the continued speed and ferocity of this assault on civil rights and civil liberties, or the impact it will continue to have on us all.** As one example, the administration states it deported 600,000 immigrants in the first year and pushed out an additional 1.9 million immigrants through what it calls “self-deportation” — ripping apart families and communities, deeply ingraining fear and distrust of law enforcement, and putting a strain on public resources.¹⁰

Their strategy is deliberate: Overwhelm civil society by threatening everything — people, laws, and norms — all at once, to the point that a crisis feels inevitable. But, as our Executive Director Anthony Romero put it plainly:

“We are in a constitutional crisis if we allow it. We aren’t if we resist it.”

Anthony Romero
Executive Director of the ACLU

And resist we will. With over 2,200 staff nationwide, including litigators, policy experts, and organizers on the ground in every state, Puerto Rico, and Washington, D.C., the ACLU is well poised to meet the challenges of this moment. Millions of Americans, alarmed by the threats they’re witnessing, have joined us in our fight. We have built close partnerships with allies to collectively resist the Trump administration’s policies. **Together, we are forging a movement strong enough to confront whatever comes next.**

Last year tested our democracy, and so will the years ahead, as more executive directives, federal bills, and policy changes demand immediate action. As difficult as the road ahead may be, we must not succumb to despair. We must act. The ACLU is getting ready once again — mapping out our plans, increasing our staff, and preparing for what is in store for 2026 and beyond. We are examining the Trump administration’s successes and failures to develop targeted and innovative responses to challenge future efforts. We are continuing to work closely with our state affiliates and partners to build our movement.

With this report we hope to distill key lessons from the past year, dispelling the myth that resistance to the Trump administration’s policies has not borne fruit. We do not endeavor to capture every threat the administration has posed to civil rights and civil liberties or to document every challenge the ACLU has made. We merely hope to tell the story of the first year of this ongoing fight, highlighting the broad themes and accomplishments across our work to safeguard free speech, protect immigrants’ rights, defend equal protection, and build power.

We trust that this clear-eyed assessment of progress and setbacks will embolden us all in continuing our efforts against the Trump administration’s anti-civil rights and civil liberties agenda.

SAFEGUARDING FREE SPEECH

The Trump administration is openly trying to suppress First Amendment rights and silence opposition through deliberate and unconstitutional intimidation tactics. These efforts have included attacking law firms and lawyers who represent disfavored causes or clients, deploying federal troops to suppress protests, and using excessive force against journalists, legal observers, and protesters. The administration has also banned books in federally-run K-12 schools, cut funding for colleges and universities that don't comply with its demands, and sought to detain and deport students and scholars to silence criticism. As the nation's premier defender of free speech and dissent, the ACLU is fighting back.

Targeting Non-Citizen Students and Scholars

In early 2025, the Trump administration quickly began detaining non-citizen students and scholars, including green card holders, solely for their constitutionally protected political speech. Mahmoud Khalil, a U.S. green card holder and Columbia University graduate, was arrested for his campus advocacy in support of Palestinian rights, transferred to a Louisiana detention facility 1,400 miles from his then-pregnant wife, and ultimately forced to miss the birth of his first child.¹¹ Rümeyza Öztürk, a Ph.D. student at Tufts University on a valid student visa, was grabbed off the street by masked U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) agents after co-authoring an op-ed critical of her university's response to student resolutions on Gaza.¹² Mohsen Mahdawi, a green card holder and Columbia student, was detained at his own naturalization interview because of his participation in student protests.¹³ And Dr. Badar Khan Suri, a Georgetown postdoctoral scholar known for his work on peace and conflict, was detained outside his home and then transferred to five different ICE facilities across three states before being detained for months in a Texas detention center thousands of miles away from his U.S. citizen wife and children.¹⁴

These cases strike at the heart of First Amendment protections: The government cannot weaponize immigration law to silence people expressing viewpoints it disagrees with. Working with state affiliates and legal partners, we secured the release of all four clients, ensuring they are free and protected from deportation while we continue to fight their cases in court.¹⁵

“There is no power that can silence the people.”

Mohsen Mahdawi
ACLU Client

Deploying Federal Agents and National Guard Troops

The administration's assault on immigrant communities, free speech, and our right to dissent became unmistakably visible — and frightening — in the streets. Starting in June 2025, when the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) conducted violent raids on immigrant communities throughout Southern California, protests erupted in Los Angeles.¹⁶ DHS responded with brutal force — deploying tear gas, rubber bullets, and other weapons against protesters, journalists, and legal observers.¹⁷ President Trump then used the protests as a pretext to justify military deployment.

The ACLU of Southern California, alongside co-counsel and partners, sued DHS on behalf of protesters, legal observers, and journalists for violating their First Amendment rights.¹⁸ In September 2025, a district court judge issued a preliminary injunction blocking DHS from using excessive and indiscriminate force against journalists and peaceful protesters.¹⁹

As the Trump administration continued its efforts to sow fear and consolidate their power by deploying federal agents and National Guard troops to other cities, the ACLU and our affiliates responded with a coordinated strategy combining litigation with organizing, advocacy, and public pressure. In addition to the Los Angeles litigation, the ACLU and our affiliates filed amicus briefs in cases in Washington, D.C., Chicago, and Portland.²⁰ Over 400,000 ACLU supporters nationwide took action with us to challenge the administration's efforts. More than 80,000 people joined us for Know Your Rights Trainings to learn about their legal protections and prepare for protests. We brought veterans from across the country to Capitol Hill to meet with more than 40 congressional offices, sharing their stories to urge Congress to stop President Trump's militarization of American cities.²¹

As we explained to the Supreme Court in our amicus brief supporting Illinois' challenge to federal troop deployment, a president with unchecked power to deploy troops against our communities poses an existential threat to individual liberty.²² Thanks to a string of court victories prohibiting deployments, including in *Illinois v. Trump*, as well as sustained political opposition, President Trump announced on New Year's Eve that he was abandoning efforts to use the Guard in Los Angeles, Chicago, and Portland.²³

“My message that I carried to Congress was our federal troops do not belong in the streets. They do not belong policing civilians.”

Tom Alonzo

*Veteran and Participant in
ACLU Day of Action on Capitol Hill*

Banning Books in Defense Department K-12 Schools

Children of military families were also drawn into the Trump administration's attacks on the First Amendment as public schools on military bases faced sweeping censorship. The Department of Defense, which operates public schools serving military families worldwide, removed nearly 600 books, altered curricula, and canceled events the Trump administration accused of promoting “gender ideology” or “divisive equity ideology.”²⁴ The administration censored materials on slavery, Native American history, women's history, LGBTQ+ people, and even portions of Advanced Placement Psychology. The ACLU, along with two of our affiliates, sued on behalf of six military families and 12 students ranging from pre-K to 11th grade, arguing the administration's actions violated students' First Amendment rights.²⁵ A federal court agreed, blocking the censorship and ruling that the government cannot scrub references to race and gender from public school libraries and classrooms simply because it dislikes certain viewpoints.²⁶

Attacking Law Firms and Universities

In March, President Trump launched a concerted effort to scare law firms away from taking disfavored cases and clients. He issued a series of directives and executive orders expressly intended to punish firms for their work on lawsuits he disliked — including a transgender rights case filed with the ACLU — and their past employment of attorneys he considers adversaries, such as Robert Mueller.²⁷

Though President Trump's attacks on law firms were unexpected, the rapid capitulation of many leading firms to his pressure tactics was even more surprising. Nine law firms ended up pledging nearly \$1 billion in “settlement fees” via pro bono services to the administration's favored causes — a stunning outcome given the patently unconstitutional nature of the executive orders.²⁸ But some firms were willing to fight back against the administration's strong-arm tactics. We coordinated closely and organized amicus briefs with a cross-ideological group of organizations, including right-of-center partners, to support litigation filed by Jenner & Block, WilmerHale Perkins Coie, and Susman Godfrey, asking the courts to strike down the orders for violating the First Amendment.²⁹ In all four cases, the courts issued a resounding rebuke to President Trump's attempts to chill the legal profession and prevent ordinary people from accessing justice.³⁰

The administration's campaign against free speech hit campuses head-on, making universities another focal point of its crackdown. In January, President Trump issued two executive orders pressuring university officials to censor and punish non-citizen scholars and students for speech about Palestinians and Israel.³¹ The ACLU responded with an open letter urging schools to protect free expression on campus.³²

The administration then targeted university funding, threatening institutions — including Brown, Columbia, Harvard, Cornell, Duke, and Northwestern Universities — with cuts unless they altered admissions policies, hiring practices, and other programs to better reflect the Trump administration's preferred ideology.³³ As many of the universities buckled under the pressure of the administration, university administrators began to target faculty and students whose political beliefs were thought to bring legal jeopardy to the schools.³⁴

We filed amicus briefs, alongside free speech allies across the political spectrum, in support of challenges at Harvard and Columbia.³⁵ In a major victory for academic freedom, a federal court in Massachusetts

blocked the attempted sanctions on Harvard.³⁶ Thus far, Brown, Northwestern, Cornell, the University of Pennsylvania, Columbia, and the University of Virginia have entered settlements or agreements with the federal government, while Harvard and UCLA continue their litigation.³⁷

With universities facing intense pressure to settle with the administration rather than resist, the fight to preserve academic freedom remains critical. If the government can punish universities for viewpoints it dislikes, and if universities capitulate to pressure tactics and extortionist settlements with the federal government, then no field of research, no classroom discussion, and no scholar's speech is safe.

Targeting Independent Media and Silencing Critical Voices

Over the course of several months, President Trump launched a full-throttle attack on the press by pushing to defund independent public media, suing news outlets for defamation, and interfering with journalists' reporting — all to silence public scrutiny of his administration.³⁸ The ACLU repeatedly warned that these moves amounted to a coordinated campaign to chill the freedom of the press by restricting public access to critical reporting.

This climate of hostility became starkly visible when Emmy Award-winning journalist Mario Guevara was detained by ICE after reporting at a Georgia No Kings protest.³⁹ Even after prosecutors dismissed the charges and an immigration judge ordered his release on bond, ICE refused to release Guevara, claiming his reporting on law enforcement activity was dangerous. He spent more than 100 days in ICE detention in retaliation for his journalism. The ACLU, alongside our partners and co-counsel, fought for Guevara's release for months, arguing that his detention was unconstitutional retaliation against protected First Amendment activity.⁴⁰ Ultimately, Guevara was deported to El Salvador, becoming the only journalist in the United States to be detained — and then deported — for their reporting.⁴¹

Not even popular culture was spared from President Trump's relentless attacks on the First Amendment.

In September, Federal Communications Commission Chairman Brendan Carr threatened to revoke broadcast licenses if ABC affiliates didn't take action against late-night host Jimmy Kimmel for remarks he made criticizing the response of President Trump and his supporters to Charlie Kirk's assassination. Within hours, ABC suspended Kimmel's show indefinitely.⁴²

The ACLU organized an open letter signed by nearly 500 actors, musicians, and directors, with more than 50,000 individuals adding their names in solidarity.⁴³ Hours after the letter's publication, ABC announced Kimmel would return to the air, turning a chilling moment of government coercion into a resounding victory for free speech and a necessary reminder that collective action works.⁴⁴

By the Numbers: **ACLU Safeguarding Free Speech**

- 17 lawsuits filed with a 71% success rate in delaying, diluting, or defeating President Trump's anti-free speech agenda.
- We lobbied against two bills that threatened free speech; both were ultimately defeated and did not become law in 2025.

In the States: **Safeguarding Free Speech**

Affiliates strengthened protections against government overreach and safeguarded the right to dissent.

- The Portland City Council passed a resolution, supported by the ACLU of Oregon, aimed at protecting residents from abusive federal deployments.⁴⁵
- The ACLUs of Delaware and Iowa staff successfully advocated for bills strengthening their state's existing anti-SLAPP laws to defend future generations of students, journalists, activists, and others who exercise their right to speak out.⁴⁶
- The ACLU of Washington helped pass a critical bill restricting out-of-state armed forces from entering the state.⁴⁷

PROTECTING IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS

With immigrants making up more than 15 percent of American residents, President Trump's mass deportation and detention efforts threaten to fracture millions of families nationwide.⁴⁸ On January 20, 2025, he unleashed a barrage of executive orders aimed at dismantling immigrants' rights and due process — striking simultaneously at birthright citizenship, asylum, and any semblance of fairness in the deportation process.⁴⁹ The ACLU was prepared for this moment and immediately sprang into action, filing lawsuits to block his opening salvo, educating our membership, and rallying our allies in Congress to stand firm against the administration's efforts.

“The Constitution protects all of us, whether we are citizens or not; documented or undocumented.”

Maribel Hernández Rivera
*National Director of Immigrant
Community Strategies at the ACLU*

Attempting to End Birthright Citizenship

One of the most alarming executive orders from the administration sought to strip U.S. citizenship from babies born on American soil in a plainly unconstitutional act.⁵⁰ For the first time since the end of the Civil War and the ratification of the Reconstruction Amendments, families across the United States faced the prospect that their children could grow up unrecognized as citizens in the only country they have ever known. The ACLU, along with state affiliates and partner organizations in the immigrants' rights and civil rights communities, filed suit within two hours of the Inauguration Day order, blocking the unconstitutional policy from taking effect.⁵¹

When the Supreme Court issued a procedural ruling potentially opening the door for partial enforcement

of the order in the summer, we responded within hours with a new lawsuit — a nationwide class action, designed to ensure that the order would not be applied to any child born in the United States.⁵² We won a preliminary injunction safeguarding birthright citizenship and protecting the rights of the over 129,000 babies born since the order was signed.⁵³ Thanks to the ACLU and our partners, the policy has never gone into effect.

We will argue this case before the Supreme Court this term, and we are confident we will prevail. The 14th Amendment is abundantly clear: People born in the United States are citizens of this country, and no one can be denied this right based on their parents' immigration status.⁵⁴

Invoking the Alien Enemies Act

In March, President Trump secretly signed a proclamation unlawfully invoking the 18th-century Alien Enemies Act (AEA) — a wartime statute rarely invoked over the past 127 years — to bypass the procedures and protections of immigration laws and rapidly deport Venezuelans he accused, without evidence, of being Tren de Aragua gang members.⁵⁵ The move was a dangerous and sweeping abuse of executive power, furthering the administration's deportation agenda by stripping away due process rights.

The day after the order was issued — and before it was even made public — the ACLU and its partners filed a class-action lawsuit on behalf of five Venezuelans.⁵⁶ The court held a Saturday hearing and ordered the government not to proceed with AEA removals, including any that were then in progress. The government defied that order, transferring hundreds of Venezuelan men to a prison in El Salvador notorious for human rights violations, without any due process.⁵⁷ The ACLU is currently working for the return of our clients sent to El Salvador in violation of the court order. We are also arguing the government is in contempt for failing to follow a judicial order.⁵⁸

We have filed nine habeas petitions challenging the unlawful use of the AEA and won two emergency rulings from the Supreme Court making clear that people are entitled to challenge the government's attempt to remove them under the AEA and that due process protections

apply.⁵⁹ In September, the Fifth Circuit, one of the most conservative courts in the country, rejected the administration's claim that Venezuelan migrants constituted an "invasion" by a foreign power.⁶⁰ The surge of litigation has prevented any AEA removals from taking place since the illegitimate day-one flights. This fight is likely to return to the Supreme Court, which will be faced with a high-stakes question: Can the government twist a centuries-old law to strip away basic rights from immigrants on American soil?

Accelerating Deportation and Detention Throughout the Country

In a deeply unjust executive order, President Trump announced a plan to fast-track deportations through an expedited removal deportation procedure that unlawfully circumvents due process.⁶¹ The ACLU, along with state affiliates, swiftly filed suit to block the rule.⁶² As the case proceeded, the government ramped up enforcement procedures to meet a ruthless and arbitrary goal of arresting 3,000 people daily. In total, the Trump administration deported over 600,000 immigrants in its first year. Coupled with the 1.9 million self-deportations in which immigrants were effectively pushed — or terrorized — out of the country, our nation of immigrants has been fundamentally transformed.⁶³

The administration snatched people up at courthouses and their places of work, subjecting them to an inhumane, unfair, and error-prone system. In August, a court blocked the policy, sparing thousands of people from unjust deportations while the ACLU litigation continues.⁶⁴

President Trump's allies in Congress sought to strengthen the administration's hand in unleashing mass deportation through a series of anti-immigrant bills. Due to our mobilization on Capitol Hill and with our members, only one anti-immigrant bill was enacted: the Laken Riley Act, a bill forcing immigration authorities to detain individuals whether or not law enforcement deems them a threat.⁶⁵ We stalled a bill to defund so-called "sanctuary" cities and states entirely, while three bills passed the House but received so little bipartisan support that they are unlikely to be enacted. In December, more than 35 members of Congress who had voted for the Laken Riley Act voted against the year's final anti-immigrant bill, demonstrating a rising opposition to the Trump administration's reckless anti-immigrant agenda.⁶⁶

Unfortunately, the "One Big Beautiful Bill" provided an additional \$170 billion in funding for immigration enforcement.⁶⁷ Over the next three years we expect this enormous bump in funding to be used to fundamentally transform the U.S. immigration enforcement system — increasing detention and the potential for abuses. While some members of Congress have begun to walk back from the hard line on immigration, continued public pressure will be necessary to truly turn the tide.

The Trump administration has vastly expanded the immigration detention system, with ICE detaining a record number of over 68,000 people in its more than 200 detention prisons.⁶⁸ These prisons are often remote and abusive, cutting detained people off from counsel and subjecting them to inhumane conditions. The immigration detention conditions are so dire that 2025 marked the deadliest year for people in ICE custody in two decades, with 32 deaths announced by the administration.⁶⁹

The ACLU mobilized members of Congress to demand access to immigrant detention sites. Even as the Trump administration indicted New Jersey Rep. LaMonica McIver and threatened to prosecute other members of Congress, we supported dozens of members in visiting detention facilities across the country.⁷⁰ These visits generated significant press coverage and gave the public insight into detention conditions.⁷¹

Along with our partners, we sued the Trump administration for detaining immigrants at Guantánamo Bay — one of the world's most notorious prisons, hundreds of miles from counsel, isolated from the world, and surrounded by military personnel.⁷² We are currently leading lawsuits challenging Florida's authority to operate "Alligator Alcatraz," a hastily constructed detention center in the middle of the Everglades where people have been held without charge, cut off from attorneys, and disappeared from the detainee locator system.⁷³ With our partners and state affiliates, we won injunctions against abusive conditions in ICE's short-term "hold room" detention centers in New York City, Broadview, Illinois, and San Francisco.⁷⁴ And with co-counsel and partners, we filed a class action lawsuit on behalf of immigrants detained at California City Detention Facility. This suit against California's largest immigration detention center — where people face punitive conditions, inadequate medical care, excessive isolation, and denial of access to counsel — is the first large-scale challenge to conditions of detention under this second Trump administration.⁷⁵ This wave of litigation is critical in ensuring that thousands of immigrants have access to counsel and their conditions of confinement meet basic humanitarian standards.

Shuttering the Asylum System

In a brazen attempt to slam the doors on asylum, President Trump invoked the sweeping authority of Section 212(f) of the Immigration and Nationality Act under the false justification of “invasion.”⁷⁶

The asylum system was already deeply degraded by policies promulgated by the Biden administration and the previous Trump administration. Then, with a single proclamation, President Trump attempted to fully dismantle protections Congress had deliberately written into law for people fleeing persecution — an audacious attempt to rewrite the nation’s obligations by executive fiat — exposing countless people to an increased risk of being sent to places where they faced torture, death, or other severe mistreatment.⁷⁷

The ACLU, joined by our legal partners and state affiliates, filed suit in February, arguing that no president can erase the rights guaranteed by Congress.⁷⁸ In July, the court agreed, ruling that Trump had exceeded his authority and warning that his actions threatened to create a “presidentially decreed, alternative immigration system.”⁷⁹ The case is now on appeal.

Racial Profiling Allowed by the Supreme Court

Alongside our victories, we’ve faced some painful setbacks — and found new ways to fight. In June, the federal government unleashed immigration agents across Los Angeles and surrounding counties. ICE swept through immigrant neighborhoods, targeting anyone they believed to be an undocumented immigrant based on skin color, language, accent, and presumed occupation. Being Latine at a car wash, Home Depot, or another location ICE deemed indicative of undocumented status — in an overt act of racial profiling — was enough to get you detained.⁸⁰ ICE then confined people at a federal building in illegal conditions while denying them access to attorneys.⁸¹

The ACLU of Southern California, along with legal partners and co-counsel, filed a class action lawsuit challenging these unlawful stop-and-arrest tactics.⁸² In July 2025, the district court granted a temporary restraining order.⁸³ But in September, the Supreme Court lifted that order when it granted the government’s emergency stay application.⁸⁴ This decision was a devastating blow for millions in our communities who have endured — and continue to endure — relentless

targeting because of how they look and sound, or where they work. But the decision did not declare such profiling legal, nor did it close off other avenues to challenge abusive immigration enforcement. ACLU affiliates have since won important limitations on immigration arrests in cases brought in Illinois, Colorado, and Washington, D.C.⁸⁵ We will continue to fight in all these cases, and to bring new cases challenging unlawful enforcement, for as long as it takes.

By the Numbers: ACLU Protecting Immigrants’ Rights

- 106 lawsuits filed with a 69% success rate in delaying, diluting, or defeating President Trump’s immigration agenda.
- We took action against 6 federal anti-immigrant bills and amendments in Congress and helped prevent 83% from becoming law in 2025.

In the States: Protecting Immigrants’ Rights

Affiliates made major gains on immigrants’ rights, helping to pass 20 new state laws and enacting 10 executive actions across 16 states.

- The ACLU of Delaware helped pass a bill outright barring state and local law enforcement from entering into cooperation agreements with ICE, known as 287(g) agreements.⁸⁶ And the ACLU of Maine helped secure legislation limiting state and local agencies’ participation in federal immigration enforcement.⁸⁷
- Wisconsin and Nevada passed local and state resolutions limiting ICE arrests at state courthouses and urging Congress to protect schools and places of worship.⁸⁸
- When a mother and her three children were detained in Upstate New York and sent to ICE detention in Texas, our affiliates in Texas and New York supported mobilizations alongside local leaders to win their release, showing how organized communities can stop ICE in its tracks.⁸⁹

DEFENDING EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW

The Trump administration has censored academic discussions about race and gender, abandoned civil rights enforcement on behalf of historically marginalized groups, used funding cuts and sweeping purges of federal grants to impose an ideological agenda, and launched ruthless attacks on transgender people. We're fighting to ensure the promise of equal justice is a guaranteed reality, not a selective privilege.

Targeting Education Equity

Censoring K-12 School Curricula and Activities

In February, the U.S. Department of Education issued a “Dear Colleague” letter declaring it would consider diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) efforts unlawful and threatening swift cuts to schools’ federal funding if it determined they engaged in “DEI” activities.⁹⁰

The directive was a brazen attempt to intimidate educators into abandoning inclusive learning environments and teaching about the history and experiences of people of different races, genders, and abilities.

The ACLU, joined by our partners and state affiliates, sued on behalf of the National Education Association’s three million members nationwide.⁹¹ In our complaint, teachers described being suddenly afraid to teach the very lessons they had always taught — from the history of the Jim Crow Era and the Civil Rights Movement to seminal books like “To Kill a Mockingbird” — because the new, vague rules could brand their lessons as unlawful. When education is censored, students of color, immigrant students, LGBTQ+ students, and those from low-income communities are harmed the most as their histories and identities are erased from the classroom.⁹² In a victory for teachers and students, the court granted a preliminary injunction in April blocking enforcement of the letter.⁹³

The “Dear Colleague” letter was only one front in a broader effort to weaken protections for students. President Trump escalated his assault on education equity in March, **issuing an executive order that directed Education Department Secretary Linda McMahon to begin dismantling the department itself**, eroding its ability to carry out the responsibilities mandated by Congress, including its duty to safeguard

students’ civil rights.⁹⁴ In response, the ACLU immediately called on Congress to defend the department’s existence, and more than 50,000 people joined us to demand equal access to education for all students.⁹⁵

Targeting Preschool Children and Working Families

The administration attempted to radically alter the Head Start program — a cornerstone federal program that has provided free early education and services to low-income families for decades — by attempting to interfere with providers’ ability to meet the needs of the diverse communities they serve. In July, the administration issued a directive that banned many eligible children from Head Start based on immigration status, compounding the fears that many immigrant families already face.⁹⁶ The ACLU, alongside our state affiliates and partners, challenged this unprecedented directive, which threatened to shut students out of classrooms, childcare, and critical support.⁹⁷ We secured a court order that blocks enforcement of the directive to exclude immigrant families and preserves access to Head Start for over 100,000 children while the lawsuit proceeds.⁹⁸

Slashing Millions in NIH Health Grants

Starting in February, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) abruptly cancelled hundreds of grants, directing staff to cut research on topics and populations disfavored by the Trump administration.⁹⁹ Officials offered only vague references to “gender identity,” “diversity, equity, and inclusion,” and “vaccine hesitancy” in their directives, wiping out rigorously vetted projects and jeopardizing discoveries vital to cancer care, HIV prevention, infectious disease research, Alzheimer’s disease, and reproductive health.¹⁰⁰

In April, the ACLU, alongside partners and co-counsel, sued to stop political ideology from unlawfully dictating public health.¹⁰¹ In June, a federal district court judge in Massachusetts ruled that the NIH’s research purge was unlawful, arbitrary, and capricious, calling the NIH’s actions the most palpable record of bias he had seen in 40 years on the bench.¹⁰²

In a troubling turn, the Supreme Court temporarily stayed the district court's order on the terminated grants but left undisturbed the court's finding that the NIH directives violated the Administrative Procedures Act, preserving a legal path to continue our fight.¹⁰³ In late December, the NIH agreed to evaluate and review stalled scientific grants, allowing for applications addressing urgent public health issues to move forward — a victory in its own right.¹⁰⁴

Discriminating Against Transgender People

Mandating Discrimination Through Government IDs

On his first day back in office, President Trump signed an executive order directing the State and Homeland Security Departments to require identification documents — including passports and visas — to reflect sex “at conception.”¹⁰⁵ The effect of the order was immediate. Within 48 hours, the State Department paused processing for some passport applications from transgender, intersex, and nonbinary people and returned others with documents marked with sex assigned at birth.¹⁰⁶ For transgender people, carrying a passport with the wrong marker is not a bureaucratic inconvenience — it is a terrifying exposure to harassment and violence every time they travel or must show identification.

The ACLU and Advocates for Transgender Equality helped collect over 214,000 public comments opposing the policy.¹⁰⁷ In February 2025, we filed suit alongside the ACLU of Massachusetts and our co-counsel.¹⁰⁸ While we initially secured nationwide relief that allowed many transgender and intersex people to access accurate passports, the Supreme Court later stayed the injunction, permitting enforcement of the policy in a heartbreaking setback for the freedom of all people to live authentically.¹⁰⁹ While we continue fighting as this case returns to the lower courts, transgender and intersex people remain at risk as they are denied accurate identity documents and forced to live with fear each time they use their passports.

Ending Care for Transgender People

In the same sweeping order, President Trump banned gender-affirming medical care for transgender people in federal prisons and immigration detention centers.¹¹⁰

Following the order, the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) instructed federal prisons to cease treatments like hormone replacement therapy previously prescribed

by BOP medical providers, forcing people to lose medically necessary care and exposing them to heightened risk of harassment and violence.¹¹¹

On behalf of three plaintiffs, the ACLU filed a class-action lawsuit with our partners and co-counsel, winning a court order blocking enforcement of the executive order's prohibition on hormone therapy.¹¹² Our victory preserved access to critical health care for over 2,000 transgender people currently incarcerated in federal prisons, offering immediate protection in the face of sweeping harm.¹¹³

In February, President Trump signed an executive order directing federal agencies to withhold funds from medical providers and institutions that provide gender-affirming medical treatments to anyone under 19.¹¹⁴ If enforced, the order would deny critical federal funds to hospitals, clinics, doctors, and other providers, a threat which led some providers to abruptly halt medical care for transgender people under the arbitrary age limit.¹¹⁵ The ACLU and our partners swiftly challenged the order, and a court temporarily blocked the Trump administration from enforcing it.¹¹⁶

“The Trump administration does not have absolute power like they pretend to have.”

Zaya Perysian
ACLU Client

Meanwhile, throughout the year, the administration's allies in Congress continued these attempts to block access to critical health care for transgender people. In June, the ACLU worked with key members of Congress to ensure that a Medicaid coverage ban on gender-affirming medical care was stripped out of the “One Big Beautiful Bill.”¹¹⁷ We also worked intensively to ensure that prohibitions on gender-affirming care for servicemembers and their families were removed from the defense bill.¹¹⁸ Finally, as the year wound down, we mobilized our grassroots volunteers to spearhead opposition to a House bill that would criminalize the provision of gender-affirming care.¹¹⁹

By the Numbers: ACLU Protecting Equal Rights

- 12 Lawsuits filed with 67% success rate in delaying, diluting, or defeating the administration's anti-equality agenda.
- We took action against 14 federal anti-LGBTQ+ bills and amendments in Congress and helped prevent 86% from becoming law in 2025.

In the States: **Protecting Equal Rights**

Our affiliates safeguarded equal rights by helping to pass 20 new laws and supporting 2 executive orders across 11 states while defeating harmful proposals.

- Oregon and Delaware affiliates helped successfully pass Freedom to Read Acts into law, protecting students' right to read, learn, and share ideas free from discriminatory censorship.¹²⁰
- In Arizona, the affiliate worked with lawmakers to block sweeping bills that would have prevented gender marker changes on birth certificates, eliminated legal recognition of trans people, and stripped funding to colleges and universities teaching about "gender identity" — all of which were ultimately vetoed by the governor.¹²¹

BUILDING POWER

To confront this administration's aggressive agenda, we're organizing at every level — mobilizing volunteers, partnering with allies nationwide, and working with our state affiliates to defend civil liberties and civil rights. In 2025, the ACLU played a key role in building the national infrastructure for protests by establishing shared standards for safety and nonviolence and equipping protesters with the tools and confidence to safely, peacefully, and joyfully exercise their First Amendment rights.

Rallying Millions in Resistance at Nationwide Protests

The administration's unyielding attacks on democracy and our core freedoms have left many Americans feeling dispirited and disenfranchised. But as the year progressed, the American people showed their strong opposition, taking to the streets to make their voices heard in visible displays of peaceful resistance — including in a growing number of jurisdictions that voted for President Trump.¹²²

“We do think we’re seeing a shift in the willingness of people in pro-Trump areas in the country to participate in a broader mass movement emerging in opposition to many of his administration’s policies.” ¹²³

Erica Chenoweth
Political Scientist, Harvard University

In April, the ACLU proudly served as a national partner to the Hands Off! demonstrations. Over 1,300 events drew millions of attendees demanding a democracy that works for all of us, with nearly two dozen ACLU affiliates helping organize and support local actions.¹²⁴

In June, we co-sponsored the nationwide No Kings protests with Indivisible and a broad coalition of labor, environmental, and human rights organizations. More than five million people rallied at over 2,100 events.¹²⁵ By October, the No Kings rallies grew even larger, peacefully bringing together over seven million people across 2,700 events, from small towns to big cities, to stand together against abuses of power.¹²⁶ It was one of the largest single-day political protests in U.S. history, a resounding affirmation that no one is above the Constitution.

Leading up to the demonstrations, the ACLU educated tens of thousands of participants through Know Your Rights trainings, and we sent legal observers to protect protestors exercising their core First Amendment rights. The ACLU played a pivotal role in ensuring people could exercise their freedoms confidently and safely — including by rallying 33 ACLU affiliates to participate, training 44,000 participants online, and distributing millions of Know Your Rights cards.

These actions have demonstrated that people — when organized and trained — can meet any crisis with discipline, courage, and solidarity. The Trump administration thrives on fear, silence, and divisiveness, and these moments of collective resistance shift the balance of power back to the people.

By the Numbers: Building Power

- Over 180,000 new people signed up to be part of our People Power activist program, and tens of thousands turned out at protests across the country.
- Over 84,000 people attended ACLU-led Know Your Rights trainings, preparing them for protest activations and what to do if confronted by ICE in their own communities.
- Volunteers and staff sent 5.5 million texts and 6.9 million emails to mobilize communities across the country.
- Advocates completed over 3 million online digital actions, petitions, sign-up forms, and messages to legislators — including over 56,000 constituent calls to legislators.

**“We must assert our
First Amendment rights
to show that fear will
not win.”**

Suzanne Potts
Savannah Indivisible Co-Chair

WHAT'S NEXT: MIDTERM ELECTIONS & BEYOND

The years ahead will test us in profound ways. We are already seeing efforts to subvert the outcome of the upcoming midterm elections through the disenfranchisement of voters via mid-decade redistricting as well as other voter suppression tactics.¹²⁷ We are fighting the federal government's attempt to gain access to state voter rolls, create new barriers to registration and purge voters from the lists, and limit access to mail-in voting. To counter these threats, the ACLU, along with our state affiliates, will launch our largest-ever voter safeguarding program.

In the States: **Voting Rights**

We worked to strengthen the foundation of fair elections at a moment when democratic participation was under direct threat.

- Our affiliates in Colorado and Maryland won sweeping legislation to address voting inequities and expand language access.¹²⁸

Regardless of the outcome of the midterms, there is one stark reality that must be faced: President Trump and his allies will control the White House for the next three years. We can expect hundreds of executive actions as the president and his party double down on their anti-civil rights and anti-civil liberties agenda and set the stage for the national election in 2028.

As the Trump administration expands its military operations overseas, we will continue to advocate for transparency and a robust system of checks and balances. We have filed a Freedom of Information Act lawsuit seeking the release of an Office of Legal Counsel opinion that purports to justify the legality of boat strikes in the Caribbean, which have resulted in the deaths of at least 104 people.¹²⁹ The United States may not, under any circumstances, summarily execute civilians who are merely suspected of smuggling drugs. We will continue our efforts to expose these clearly illegal acts and hold those responsible accountable.

In the next year, the Supreme Court will decide several ACLU cases — rulings that will shape the future of birthright citizenship, voting rights, and the rights of trans youth. The outcome of these cases will surely give rise to additional litigation that will shape the remainder of the Trump administration.

The ACLU will continue to defeat, delay, and dilute the Trump administration's most dangerous attacks, and we will do it with the same resolve, creativity, and focus that have carried us through this past year. And we are not in this fight alone — we are joined by a growing community of activists, volunteers, and donors that believe that our collective power can turn the tide.

As we approach the 250th anniversary of the Declaration of Independence, we are reminded that the promise of this country — equality, freedom, and justice for all — has never been self-executing. It's been carried forward, generation after generation, by the many people willing to defend it and turn its principle into practice.

ENDNOTES

- 1 Siobhan Hughes and Lindsay Wise, “Congress Limpes Into 2026 as Trump Dominates Washington,” *Wall Street Journal*, December 29, 2025, <https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/congress-year-trump-2025-ad0afe47>
- 2 Mike Levine, “‘Shock and Awe’: What Trump ‘Border Czar’ Tom Homan Has Said He Plans to Do Starting on Day 1,” *ABC News*, November 18, 2024, <https://abcnews.go.com/US/shock-awe-trump-border-czar-tom-homan-plans/story?id=115972346>
- 3 Sandhya Somashekhar, “ACLU Emerges as Main Trump Antagonist,” *Washington Post*, March 7, 2017, https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/aclu-emerges-as-main-trump-antagonist/2017/03/07/956855c4-fdd9-11e6-8ebe-6e0dbe4f2bca_story.html
- 4 ACLU, “Project 2025,” *ACLU*, accessed December 30, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/campaigns-initiatives/project2025>
- 5 *Protecting the Meaning and Value of American Citizenship*, Exec. Order No. 14160, 90 Fed. Reg. 8449 (Jan. 29, 2025) (signed Jan. 20, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/protecting-the-meaning-and-value-of-american-citizenship/>; *New Hampshire Indonesian Cmty. Support v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-38 (D.N.H. Jan. 20, 2025) (complaint), <https://www.aclu-nh.org/cases/new-hampshire-indonesian-community-support-et-al-v-donald-j-trump-et-al/?document=12025-Complaint>. The case was brought by the ACLU, ACLU of New Hampshire, ACLU of Maine, ACLU of Massachusetts, Asian Law Caucus, State Democracy Defenders Fund, and Legal Defense Fund.
- 6 Karina Tsui, “What We Know About the College Activists Detained by Federal Agents,” *CNN*, March 31, 2025, <https://www.cnn.com/2025/03/31/us/what-we-know-college-activists-immigration-hnk>; *Khalil v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-01935 (D.D.C. Mar. 9, 2025) (habeas corpus petition), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/khalil-v-trump?document=Habeas-Corpus-Petition#legal-documents>; *Suri v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-00480-PTG-WBP (E.D. Va. Mar. 20, 2025) (petitioners’ memorandum in support of motion to compel return), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/suri-v-trump?document=Petitioners-Memo-in-Support-of-Motion-to-Compel-Return#press-releases>; *Öztürk v. Hyde*, No. 1:25-cv-10695-DJC (D. Mass. Mar. 28, 2025) (amended habeas corpus petition), <https://www.acluvt.org/cases/ozturk-v-hyde/?document=03-28-25-Pet-Amended-Habeas-Filing-12#documents>; *Mahdawi v. Trump*, No. 2:25-cv-00389 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 14, 2025) (habeas corpus petition), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/mahdawi-v-trump?document=Habeas-Petition#legal-documents>
- 7 ACLU, “Transgender People and Health Care,” accessed January 7, 2026, <https://www.aclu.org/issues/lgbtq-rights/transgender-rights/transgender-people-and-health-care>
- 8 Mark Kennedy, “Jimmy Kimmel’s Suspension Draws Supporters and Critics,” *AP News*, December 19, 2025, <https://apnews.com/article/jimmy-kimmel-suspension-supporters-94b79634a19b2c4ce70a2b4195ef1175>
- 9 ACLU, “Firewall for Freedom: States Must Safeguard Our Rights,” last updated November 7, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/campaigns-initiatives/firewall-for-freedom>
- 10 U.S. Department of Homeland Security, “Thanks to President Trump and Secretary Noem, More than 2.5 Million Illegal Aliens Left the U.S.,” news release, December 10, 2025, <https://www.dhs.gov/news/2025/12/10/thanks-president-trump-and-secretary-noem-more-25-million-illegal-aliens-left-us>
- 11 Adrian Florido, “Mahmoud Khalil Misses Son’s Birth After ICE Official Denies His Request to Be There,” *NPR*, April 21, 2025, <https://www.npr.org/2025/04/21/nx-s1-5371757/mahmoud-khalil-misses-sons-birth-after-ice-official-denies-his-request-to-be-there>
- 12 Anemona Hartocollis, “Tufts Student Is Detained by ICE After Visa Is Revoked,” *The New York Times*, March 26, 2025, <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/03/26/us/ice-tufts-student-detained-rumeysa-ozturk.html>
- 13 Tim Stelloh, Fallon Gallagher, and Amita Kelly, “Columbia Student Detained While Attending Naturalization Interview, Lawyer Says,” *NBC News*, April 14, 2025, <https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/mohsen-mahdawi-columbia-detained-naturalization-rcna201214>
- 14 Karina Tsui et al., “What We Know About the Federal Detention of College Activists Including Mahmoud Khalil and Badar Khan Suri,” *CNN*, March 26, 2025, <https://www.cnn.com/2025/03/26/us/mahmoud-khalil-badar-khan-suri>
- 15 *Khalil v. Trump*, Nos. 25-2162 & 25-2357 (3d Cir. Sept. 10, 2025) (response brief), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/khalil-v-trump>. Mr. Khalil is represented by Dratel & Lewis, the Center for Constitutional Rights, CLEAR, Van Der Hout LLP, Washington Square Legal Services, the New York Civil Liberties Union (NYCLU), the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), the ACLU of New Jersey, and the ACLU of Louisiana. See also, <https://www.aclu.org/cases/khalil-v-trump#legal-documents>

- Öztürk v. Hyde*, No. 25-cv-10695 (D. Mass. Apr. 4, 2025), transferred to No. 2:25-cv-00374 (D. Vt. Apr. 18, 2025) (denying motion to dismiss and ordering transfer of custody); *Öztürk v. Hyde*, No. 25-1019 (2d Cir. Sept. 3, 2025) (appeal pending). Ms. Öztürk is represented by Mahsa Khanbabai, the American Civil Liberties Union, ACLU of Massachusetts, ACLU of Vermont, CLEAR, and Emery Celli Brinckerhoff Abady Ward & Maazel LLP. See also, <https://www.acluvt.org/cases/ozturk-v-hyde/>. *Mahdawi v. Trump*, No. 25-cv-389 (D. Vt. Mar. 21, 2025) (complaint filed and preliminary injunction motion pending); *Mahdawi v. Trump*, No. 25-1113 (2d Cir. May 15, 2025) (appeal docketed). clearinghouse.net Mr. Mahdawi is represented by the ACLU, the ACLU of Vermont, Cyrus Mehta and David Isaacson of Cyrus D. Mehta Partners, PLLC; Luna Droubi of Beldock Levine & Hoffman LLP; Andrew Delaney of Martin Delaney & Ricci Law Group; and CLEAR. See also, <https://www.aclu.org/cases/mahdawi-v-trump>. *Suri v. Trump et al.*, No. 1:25-cv-00480 (E.D. Va. Mar. 18, 2025) (petition for writ of habeas corpus and complaint filed), *aff'd in part*, No. 25-1560 (4th Cir. July 1, 2025) (order) Dr. Khan Suri is represented by the ACLU, ACLU of Virginia, the Center for Constitutional Rights, the HMA Law Firm, and the Immigrants and Non-Citizens Rights Clinic at the CUNY School of Law. See also, <https://www.aclu.org/cases/suri-v-trump>.
- 16 Kerry Breen, and Kaia Hubbard, “Why Are People Protesting in Los Angeles? Here Are Key Events Leading Up to President Trump’s Crackdown,” CBS News, June 10, 2025, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/los-angeles-protests-key-events-trump-crackdown/>
 - 17 Karina Tsui, Zoe Sottile, and Dalia Faheid, “Los Angeles Immigration Enforcement Protesters,” CNN, June 6, 2025, <https://www.cnn.com/2025/06/06/us/los-angeles-immigration-enforcement-protesters>
 - 18 *Los Angeles Press Club v. Noem*, No. 2:25-cv-05563-HDV-E (C.D. Cal. June 18, 2025) (complaint), https://www.aclusocal.org/app/uploads/2025/06/lapressclubvnoem_complaint.pdf. The case was brought by the ACLU Foundation of Southern California, BraunHagey & Borden LLP, the Law Office of Carol A. Sobel, the Law Office of Peter Bibring and Schonbrun, Seplow, Harris, and Hoffman & Zeldes LLP. See also: ACLU of Southern California, “Journalists, Protesters, and Legal Observers Sue DHS over Unconstitutional Violence,” Press Release, December 17, 2025, <https://www.aclusocal.org/press-releases/journalists-protesters-and-legal-observers-sue-dhs-unconstitutional-violence/>
 - 19 *L.A. Press Club v. Dep’t of Homeland Sec.*, No. 2:25-cv-03112 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2025) (order granting preliminary injunction), https://www.aclusocal.org/app/uploads/drupal/sites/default/files/la_press_club_pi_order.pdf
 - 20 Brief of Amici Curiae Local Civil Rights and Legal Services Organizations, *District of Columbia v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-03005 (D.D.C. Sept. 15, 2025), <https://www.acludc.org/cases/district-of-columbia-v-trump-opposing-president-trumps-militarization-of-law-enforcement-in-d-c/?document=DC-v-Trump-Amicus-Brief>. Amici include the ACLU of the District of Columbia, Washington Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights and Urban Affairs, Amica Center for Immigrant Rights, Bread for the City, Children’s Law Center, DC Applesseed Center for Law & Justice, Disability Rights DC, Legal Aid DC, School Justice Project, Tzedek DC, and Washington Legal Clinic for the Homeless; Brief of Amici Curiae ACLU et al., *Trump v. Illinois*, No. 25A443 (U.S. filed Nov. 10, 2025), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/trump-v-illinois-brief-of-amici-curiae-aclu>. Amici include the ACLU, ACLU of Illinois, Foundation for Individual Rights and Expression, Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University, and Rutherford Institute; Brief of Amici Curiae ACLU et al. in Support of Rehearing En Banc, *Oregon v. Trump*, No. 25-6268 (9th Cir. Oct. 23, 2025), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/oregon-v-trump-brief-of-amici-curiae-aclu>. Amici include the ACLU, ACLUs of Oregon, Southern California, Northern California, San Diego and Imperial Counties, Alaska, Arizona, Hawai’i, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, and Washington, Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University, and Rutherford Institute.
 - 21 Jessica Apgar, “Veterans Urge Congress to Stop Trump’s Militarization of American Cities,” American Civil Liberties Union, November 18, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/news/national-security/veterans-demand-congress-pull-troops-from-american-cities>
 - 22 *Brief of Amici Curiae ACLU et al.*, *Trump v. Illinois*, *supra*.
 - 23 NBC News, “Trump Removing National Guard Troops from Chicago, Los Angeles and Portland,” accessed January 7, 2026, <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/trump-administration/trump-removing-national-guard-troops-chicago-los-angeles-portland-rcna251746>
 - 24 Nadra Nittle, “Students Sue Department of Defense for Pulling ‘DEI’ Books From Its School Libraries,” *The 19th*, April 16, 2025, <https://19thnews.org/2025/04/aclu-students-lawsuit-defense-dei-books-school-libraries>
 - 25 *E.K. et al. v. Dep’t of Defense Educ. Activity*, No. 3:25-cv-00045 (W.D. Ky. Apr. 15, 2025), https://www.aclu-ky.org/app/uploads/2025/04/ek_v_dodea_-_2025.04.15_ecf_001_-_complaint.pdf. The case was brought by the ACLU, the ACLU of Kentucky, and the ACLU of Virginia.
 - 26 *E.K. et al. v. Dep’t of Defense Educ. Activity*, No. 1:25-cv-00637 (E.D. Va. Oct. 20, 2025) (preliminary injunction granted), <https://law.justia.com/cases/federal/district-courts/virginia/vaedce/1%3A2025cv00637/572223/58/>

- 27 Brennan Center for Justice, *The Trump Administration's Campaign to Undermine the Next Election*, research report, 2025, <https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/research-reports/trump-administrations-campaign-undermine-next-election/>; Justin Henry, "Big Law Firms Scrub Mueller Ties as Trump Targets Enemies," *Bloomberg Law*, 2025, <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/business-and-practice/big-law-firms-scrub-mueller-references-as-trump-targets-enemies>
- 28 Ashley Wu and et al., "What Has the Trump Administration Gotten From Law Firms and Universities?" *The New York Times*, September 6, 2025, <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2025/09/06/us/trump-deals-law-firms-universities-concessions.html>
- 29 Amicus Brief of ACLU et al., *Jenner & Block LLP v. U.S. Dep't of Justice*, No. 1:25-cv-01234 (D.D.C. Nov. 18, 2025), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/jenner-block-llp-v-u-s-department-of-justice?document=Amicus-Brief>; Amicus Brief of ACLU et al., *Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr LLP v. Exec. Office of the President*, No. 1:25-cv-01456 (D.D.C. Nov. 21, 2025), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/wilmer-cutler-pickering-hale-and-dorr-llp-v-executive-office-of-the-president?document=Amicus-Brief>; Amicus Brief of ACLU et al., *Perkins Coie LLP v. U.S. Dep't of Justice et al.*, No. 1:25-cv-01567 (D.D.C. Nov. 24, 2025), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/amicus-brief-in-perkins-coie-llp-v-u-s-department-of-justice-et-al>. Amicus Brief of ACLU et al., *Susman Godfrey LLP v. Exec. Office of the President et al.*, No. 1:25-cv-01107 (D.D.C. Apr. 25, 2025), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/amicus-brief-in-susman-godfrey-llp-v-executive-office-of-the-president-et-al>; Amici for the various briefs include: The American Civil Liberties Union, The American Civil Liberties Union of the District of Columbia, The Cato Institute, The Electronic Frontier Foundation, The Foundation for Individual Rights and Expression, The Institute for Justice, The Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University, The National Coalition Against Censorship, The Reporters Committee for the Freedom of the Press, The Rutherford Institute, and The Society for the Rule of Law Institute.
- 30 "Judge Rejects Another Trump Executive Order Targeting the Legal Community," *Associated Press*, June 27, 2025, <https://apnews.com/article/trump-law-firm-executive-order-susman-godfrey-853b75ff741547e27acb4ea8b8ec8d18>
- 31 *Protecting the United States from Foreign Terrorists and Other National Security and Public Safety Threats*, Exec. Order No. 14,161, 90 Fed. Reg. 8451 (Jan. 20, 2025), <https://perma.cc/82VD-C7ND>; *Additional Measures to Combat Anti-Semitism*, Exec. Order No. 14,188, 90 Fed. Reg. 8847 (Jan. 29, 2025), <https://perma.cc/QF6W-2BMT>
- 32 ACLU, "Open Letter to College and University Presidents: Protect Speech on Campus," March 4, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/documents/open-letter-college-university-presidents-protect-speech-on-campus>
- 33 Alan Blinder, "How Universities Are Responding to Trump," *The New York Times*, December 1, 2025, <https://www.nytimes.com/article/trump-university-college.html>
- 34 Alice Speri, "The Chilling Effect of Title VI Investigations: The Professors Accused of Antisemitism," *The Guardian* (US edition), September 11, 2025, updated January 3, 2026, <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/sep/11/campus-investigations-professors-gaza-antisemitism>
- 35 Amicus Brief of ACLU et al., *President & Fellows of Harvard Coll. v. U.S. Dep't of Health & Hum. Servs. et al.*, No. 1:25-cv-11048 (D. Mass. June 9, 2025), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/president-and-fellows-of-harvard-college-v-us-department-of-health-and-human-services?document=Amicus-Brief-of-ACLU-ACLU-Massachusetts-EFF-Knight-Institute-Cato-Institute-NCAC-Reporters-Committee-and-Rutherford-Institute#legal-doc>. Amici include the ACLU, the ACLU of Massachusetts, the Cato Institute, the Electronic Frontier Foundation, the Knight First Amendment Institute, the National Coalition Against Censorship, the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, and the Rutherford Institute.; Amicus Brief of ACLU et al., *AAUP v. United States Dep't of Justice et al.*, No. 25-1529 (2d Cir. Sept. 30, 2025), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/amicus-brief-in-aaup-v-united-states-department-of-justice>. Amici include the ACLU, Cato Institute, NCAC, NYCLU, and the Rutherford Institute.
- 36 *President and Fellows of Harvard College v. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services*, No. 1:23-cv-11872 (D. Mass. Sept. 16, 2025), https://www.harvard.edu/federal-lawsuits/wp-content/uploads/sites/17/2025/09/gov.uscourts.mad_283718.238.0_1.pdf
- 37 Ben Unglesbee, Laura Spitalniak, and Natalie Schwartz, "Tracking the Trump Administration's Deals with Colleges," *Higher Ed Dive*, October 22, 2025, updated December 2, 2025, <https://www.highereddive.com/news/trackingthetrumpadministrationsdealswithcolleges/803434/>; Ben Unglesbee, "Trump Administration Appeals Ruling in Harvard University Case," *Higher Ed Dive*, December 19, 2025, <https://www.highereddive.com/news/trumpadministrationappealsrulingharvarduniversitycase/808425/>; Eric He, "No Deal, No Defiance: UC's Trump Fight Grinds On, Leaving UCLA in Limbo," *Politico*, December 28, 2025, <https://www.politico.com/news/2025/12/28/uc-facultys-fight-with-trump-has-put-the-university-in-a-tough-spot-00694193>
- 38 John Avlon, "Trump's Year of Attacking the Media," *Rolling Stone*, December 28, 2025, <https://www.rollingstone.com/politics/political-commentary/trump-year-attacking-media-1235490875/>; See also ACLU, "Trump's Attacks on Press Freedom Escalate: NPR, PBS Funding Cuts

- Explained,” accessed December 19, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/news/free-speech/trumps-attacks-on-press-freedom-escalate-npr-pbs-funding-cuts-explained>
- 39 Chamian Cruz, “Salvadoran Journalist Arrested in Georgia Is Fighting Deportation in ICE Detention,” *NPR*, June 25, 2025, <https://www.npr.org/2025/06/24/nx-s1-5440286/mario-guevara-salvadoran-journalist-ice-protest>
 - 40 *Guevara v. Francis*, No. 5:25-cv-00086-LGW-BWC (S.D. Ga. filed 2025). The complaint was brought by the ACLU of Georgia, the University of Georgia School of Law’s First Amendment Clinic Garland, Samuel & Loeb, P.C., and Diaz & Gaeta Law, LLC. See also American Civil Liberties Union, “*Guevara v. Francis*,” *ACLU*, accessed December 31, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/cases/guevara-v-francis>.
 - 41 *Committee to Protect Journalists*, “Journalist Mario Guevara to Be Deported from U.S. in Unprecedented Case,” October 2, 2025, <https://cpj.org/2025/10/journalist-mario-guevara-to-be-deported-from-us-in-unprecedented-case/>
 - 42 Mandalit del Barco, “ABC Pulls Jimmy Kimmel Off Air After Comments Made About the Charlie Kirk Killing,” *NPR*, September 18, 2025, <https://www.npr.org/2025/09/18/nx-s1-5544559/abc-jimmy-kimmel-comments-charlie-kirk>
 - 43 ACLU, “Defend Free Speech: Letter in Support of Jimmy Kimmel,” Letter, September 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/defend-free-speech-letter-kimmel>; See also YouTube, “Trump Says He Will ‘Take Over’ Cities If Local Leaders ‘Can’t Do the Job,’” accessed January 7, 2026, <https://youtube.com/shorts/qm8KJSsNYU?si=me57GSya2NSLHOPH>
 - 44 Mark Kennedy, “Jimmy Kimmel’s Suspension Draws Supporters and Critics,” *Associated Press*, December 19, 2025, <https://apnews.com/article/jimmy-kimmel-suspension-supporters-94b79634a19b2c4ce70a2b4195ef1175>
 - 45 Alma McCarty, “Portland City Council Passes Two Measures Pushing Back on ICE Overreach,” *KGW*, October 16, 2025, <https://www.kgw.com/article/news/local/portland-city-council-passes-two-proposals-federal-immigration-policies-protect-residents-visitors/283-47efb0ec-3e92-4deb-b33c-4c58cb1c8e7b>
 - 46 Sarah Petrowich, “Anti-Book Ban Legislation and Additional Free Speech Protections Now Law in Delaware,” *Delaware Public Media*, September 17, 2025, <https://www.delawarepublic.org/politics-government/2025-09-17/anti-book-ban-legislation-and-additional-free-speech-protections-now-law-in-delaware>; Stephen Gruber-Miller, “Kim Reynolds Signs Anti-SLAPP Law Aimed at Lawsuits Targeting Speech,” *Des Moines Register*, May 20, 2025, <https://www.desmoinesregister.com/story/news/politics/2025/05/20/kim-reynolds-signs-anti-slapp-law-aimed-at-lawsuits-targeting-speech/83731315007/>
 - 47 “Governor Bob Ferguson Signs Bill Restricting Armed Forces from Entering Washington,” Office of the Governor of Washington, April 21, 2025, <https://governor.wa.gov/news/2025/governor-bob-ferguson-signs-bill-restricting-armed-forces-entering-washington>
 - 48 Stephanie Kramer, “What the Data Says about Immigrants in the U.S.,” *Pew Research Center*, August 21, 2025, <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2025/08/21/key-findings-about-us-immigrants/>
 - 49 Camilo Montoya-Galvez, “Trump begins immigration crackdown with moves to end birthright citizenship, deploy the military to the border and more,” *CBS News*, January 20, 2025, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/trump-immigration-executive-orders-inauguration/>
 - 50 *Protecting the Meaning and Value of American Citizenship*, *supra*.
 - 51 *New Hampshire Indonesian Community Support v. Donald J. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-00987 (D.N.H. Nov. 20, 2025) (complaint), https://www.aclu-nh.org/app/uploads/drupal/sites/default/files/field_documents/11713227293.pdf. The case was filed by the American Civil Liberties Union, ACLU of New Hampshire, ACLU of Maine, ACLU of Massachusetts, Asian Law Caucus, State Democracy Defenders Fund, and Legal Defense Fund on behalf of organizations with members whose babies born on U.S. soil will be denied citizenship under the order, including New Hampshire Indonesian Community Support, League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC), and Make the Road New York.
 - 52 *Barbara v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-01821 (D.D.C. Dec. 10, 2025) (complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/barbara-v-donald-j-trump?document=Complaint>
 - 53 129,452 is the number of impacted children born from the day the executive order was set to take place (February 19, 2025) to December 31, 2025, calculated as a portion of the estimated number of newborn babies targeted by the order (150,000) as cited in Nate Raymond, “Judge Blocks Trump’s Birthright Citizenship Order After Supreme Court Ruling,” *Reuters*, July 10, 2025, <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/judge-weigh-blocking-trump-birthright-citizenship-despite-supreme-court-ruling-2025-07-10/>
 - 54 The citizenship clause states: “All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside.” Today, there is only one group that is not “subject to the jurisdiction” of the U.S. and thus does not attain birthright citizenship: children born to foreign diplomats who are protected by sovereign immunity and are therefore not “subject to the jurisdiction” of the United States. U.S. Const. amend. XIV, <https://constitution.congress.gov/constitution/amendment-14/>

- 55 *Invocation of the Alien Enemies Act Regarding the Invasion of the United States by Tren De Aragua*, Presidential Document, 90 Fed. Reg. 13033 (Mar. 15, 2025) (No. 2025-04865), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/03/invocation-of-the-alien-enemies-act-regarding-the-invasion-of-the-united-states-by-tren-de-aragua/>
- 56 *J.G.G. v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-00766 (D.D.C. Mar. 15, 2025) (complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/j-g-g-v-trump?document=COMPLAINT#legal-documents>. Accessed 19 Dec. 2025. This case was brought by the ACLU, ACLU of the District of Columbia, and Democracy Forward.
- 57 Nicholas Riccardi and Regina Garcia Cano, “Trump administration deports hundreds of immigrants even as a judge orders removals stopped,” *Associated Press*, March 17, 2025, <https://apnews.com/article/trump-venezuela-el-salvador-immigration-dd4f61999f85c4dd8bcaba7d4fc7c9af>
- 58 ACLU, “J.G.G. v. Trump – Challenging Unlawful Use of the Alien Enemies Act of 1789 to Deport Immigrants without Due Process,” (case page), Dec. 3, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/cases/j-g-g-v-trump>
- 59 A list of Alien Enemies Act habeas petitions is available at ACLU, “Alien Enemies Act Habeas Petitions,” <https://www.aclu.org/alien-enemies-act-habeas-petitions>
- 60 *W.M.M. v. United States*, No. 25-10534 (5th Cir. Sept. 2, 2025) (ruling), <https://law.justia.com/cases/federal/appellate-courts/ca5/25-10534/25-10534-2025-09-02.html>
- 61 *Protecting the American People Against Invasion*, Exec. Order No. 14,160, 90 Fed. Reg. 8449 (Jan. 20, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/protecting-the-american-people-against-invasion/>
- 62 *Make the Road New York v. Noem*, No. 1:25-cv-01876 (D.S.D. Dec. 12, 2025) (complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/make-the-road-new-york-v-noem?document=Complaint>. This case was brought by the ACLU, ACLU of the District of Columbia, and New York Civil Liberties Union.
- 63 U.S. Department of Homeland Security, “Thanks to President Trump and Secretary Noem, More than 2.5 Million Illegal Aliens Left the U.S.,” news release, December 10, 2025, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, <https://www.dhs.gov/news/2025/12/10/thanks-president-trump-and-secretary-noem-more-25-million-illegal-alien-left-us>.
- 64 *Make the Road New York v. Noem*, No. 1:25-cv-01876 (D.S.D. Dec. 15, 2025) (opinion), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/make-the-road-new-york-v-noem?document=OPINION->
- 65 U.S. Congress, Senate, “S.5 — 119th Congress,” [Congress.gov](https://www.congress.gov), accessed January 7, 2026, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/senate-bill/5>
- 66 ACLU, “ACLU Statement on House Passage of H.R. 4371,” accessed January 7, 2026, <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/aclu-statement-on-house-passage-of-h-r-4371>; American Immigration Council, “Misguided ‘Laken Riley Act’ Fails to Fix Broken Immigration System,” accessed January 7, 2026, <https://www.americanimmigrationcouncil.org/press-release/misguided-laken-riley-act-fails-to-fix-broken-immigration-system/>
- 67 *One Big Beautiful Bill Act*, H.R. 1, 119th Cong., Pub. L. No. 11921 (2025), <https://www.congress.gov/bill/119th-congress/house-bill/1/text>
- 68 U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, “Detention Management,” accessed January 7, 2026, <https://www.ice.gov/detain/detention-management>
- 69 Maanvi Singh, Coral Murphy Marcos, and Charlotte Simmonds, “2025 Was ICE’s Deadliest Year in Decades. Here Are the 32 People Who Died in Custody,” *The Guardian*, January 4, 2026, <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/ng-interactive/2026/jan/04/ice-2025-deaths-timeline>
- 70 ACLU, “As Communities Mobilize Against Increasing ICE Abuses, Members of Congress Must Fulfill Their Responsibility to Conduct Oversight of Immigration Detention,” accessed January 7, 2026, <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/as-communities-mobilize-against-increasing-ice-abuses-members-of-congress-must-fulfill-their-responsibility-to-conduct-oversight-of-immigration-detention>
- 71 National Immigrant Justice Center, “Congress Must Continue to Demand Access to ICE Detention Facilities,” accessed January 7, 2026, <https://immigrantjustice.org/blog/congress-must-continue-to-demand-access-to-ice-detention-facilities/>
- 72 *Luna-Gutierrez v. Noem*, No. 1:25-cv-01766 (D.D.C. June 4, 2025) (complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/luna-gutierrez-v-noem?document=Complaint-%C2%A0Luna-Gutierrez-v-Noem>. This case was brought by the ACLU, ACLU of the District of Columbia, Center for Constitutional Rights, and International Refugee Assistance Project (IRAP).
- 73 *M.A. v. Guthrie*, No. 2:25-cv-00765 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 22, 2025) (petition for writ of habeas corpus and complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/m-a-v-guthrie-complaint>
- 74 *Barco Mercado v. Noem*, No. 1:25-cv-06568-LAK (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 17, 2025) (preliminary injunction order), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/barco-mercado-v-noem-preliminary-injunction-order>; *Moreno Gonzalez v. Noem*, No. 1:25-cv-13323 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 5, 2025) (temporary restraining order), https://www.aclu-il.org/sites/default/files/field_documents/moreno_gonzalez_v._noem_-_temporary

- [restraining_order.pdf](#); Pablo Sequen v. Albarran, No. 5:25-cv-06487-PCP (N.D. Cal. Nov. 25, 2025) (order provisionally certifying class and granting preliminary injunction), https://lccrsf.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/2025-11-25-Order-Provisionally-Certifying-dckt-138_0-c.pdf
- 75 Gomez-Ruiz v. ICE, No. 3:25-cv-09757 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 12, 2025) (complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/gomez-ruiz-et-al-v-ice?document=Complaint>
- 76 *Guaranteeing the States Protection Against Invasion*, Presidential Document, 90 Fed. Reg. 5891 (Jan. 29, 2025), <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/01/29/2025-01951/guaranteeing-the-states-protection-against-invasion>
- 77 Ramji-Nogales, Jaya. “The Trump Administration’s Unprecedented Violations of the Non-Refoulement Principle.” *American Journal of International Law* 119, no. 4 (2025): 758–67. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ajil.2025.10113>
- 78 *Refugee & Immigrant Ctr. for Educ. & Legal Servs. v. Noem*, No. 1:25-cv-01902 (D.S.D. Dec. 16, 2025) (complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/refugee-and-immigrant-center-for-education-and-legal-services-v-kristi-noem?document=Complaint>. This case was brought by the ACLU, ACLU-D.C., ACLU-TX, National Immigrant Justice Center, Texas Civil Rights Project, and Center for Gender & Refugee Studies (CGRS).
- 79 *Refugee & Immigrant Ctr. for Educ. & Legal Servs. (RAICES) et al. v. Noem*, No. 25-306 (RDM) (D.D.C. July 2, 2025) at 122 (opinion), <https://www.courthousenews.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/judge-moss-blocks-trump-invasion-proclamation-opinion.pdf>
- 80 Josh Gerstein and Kyle Cheney, “Supreme Court Limits ICE Raids in Major Ruling,” *Politico*, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.politico.com/news/2025/09/08/supreme-court-ice-raids-ruling-00550551>
- 81 American Civil Liberties Union of Southern California, “Court Prohibits Federal Government From Racial Profiling and Denying Access to Counsel in L.A. Immigration Raids,” *ACLU SoCal*, June 26, 2025, <https://www.aclusocal.org/press-releases/court-prohibits-federal-government-racial-profiling-denying-access-counsel/>
- 82 *Vasquez-Perdomo v. Noem*, No. 2:25-cv-05605-MEMF-SP (C.D. Cal. June 2, 2025) (complaint), <https://www.aclusocal.org/cases/vasquez-perdomo-v-noem/>. The case was brought by ACLU Foundation of Southern California, ACLU Foundations of Northern California and San Diego & Imperial Counties, Public Counsel, Law Offices of Stacy Tolchin, UC Irvine School of Law Immigrant and Racial Justice Solidarity Clinic, National Day Laborer Organizing Network, Hecker Fink LLP, Martinez Aguila-socho Law, Inc, Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights (CHIRLA), and Immigrant Defenders Law Center.
- 83 *Vasquez-Perdomo v. Noem*, No. 2:25-cv-05605-MEMF-SP (C.D. Cal. July 11, 2025) (order granting TRO), <https://www.aclusocal.org/app/uploads/drupal/sites/default/files/vasquez-perdomo-v-noem-tro-granting.pdf>. See also, American Civil Liberties Union of Southern California, “Court Prohibits Federal Government From Racial Profiling and Denying Access to Counsel in L.A. Immigration Raids,” *ACLU SoCal*, June 26, 2025, <https://www.aclusocal.org/press-releases/court-prohibits-federal-government-racial-profiling-denying-access-counsel/>
- 84 *Noem v. Vasquez Perdomo*, No. 25A169 (U.S. Sept. 8, 2025) (order granting stay), https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/24pdf/25a169_5h25.pdf
- 85 *Chicago Headline Club v. Noem*, No. 1:25-cv-12173, Order Granting Preliminary Injunction, 2025 WL 3124141 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 6, 2025); *Ramirez Ovando v. Noem*, No. 1:25-cv-03183-RBJ, Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Granting Class Certification, 2025 WL 3293467 (D. Colo. Nov. 25, 2025); *Molina v. U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Sec.*, No. 1:25-cv-03417 (BAH), Mem. Op. & Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Pls.’ Mot. for Prelim. Injunction and Granting Provisional Class Cert., 2025 WL 3465518 (D.D.C. Dec. 2, 2025).
- 86 ACLU of Delaware, “Firewall for Freedom,” accessed December 20, 2025, <https://www.aclu-de.org/firewall>
- 87 ACLU of Maine, “ACLU of Maine Applauds Mills’ Commitment to Let Immigration Bill Become Law,” December 15, 2025, <https://www.aclumaine.org/press-releases/aclu-applauds-mills-commitment-allow-ld1971-become-law/>
- 88 Isiah Holmes, “Milwaukee County Board Makes Stand Against ICE,” *Wisconsin Examiner*, April 16, 2025, <https://wisconsinexaminer.com/2025/04/16/milwaukee-county-board-makes-stand-against-ice/>; “Nevada Legislature Passes Resolution Urging Congress to Protect Places of Worship and Schools from ICE,” American Civil Liberties Union, May 22, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/nevada-legislature-passes-resolution-urging-congress-to-protect-places-of-worship-and-schools-from-ice>
- 89 Brian Mann, “Migrant Family Swept up by ICE Allowed to Return Home,” *NPR*, April 8, 2025, <https://www.npr.org/2025/04/08/nx-s1-5355128/migrant-family-swept-up-by-ice-allowed-to-return-home>
- 90 U.S. Department of Education, “Dear Colleague Letter: Students for Fair Admissions v. President and Fellows of

Harvard College,” December 13, 2024, <https://www.ed.gov/media/document/dear-colleague-letter-sffa-v-harvard-109506.pdf>

- 91 *Nat’l Educ. Ass’n v. U.S. Dep’t of Educ.*, No. 1:25-cv-00678 (D.D.C. Apr. 15, 2025) (complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/national-education-association-et-al-v-us-department-of-education-et-al?document=Complaint#legal-documents>. This case was brought by the ACLU, ACLU of New Hampshire, the ACLU of Massachusetts, and lawyers for the National Education Association.
- 92 Heather Rose Artushin, “Why Book Bans Are Bad for Mental Health.” *Psychology Today*, May 9, 2024. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/well-read/202405/why-book-bans-are-bad-for-mental-health>; Jonathan Feingold and Joshua Weishart, *How Discriminatory Censorship Laws Imperil Public Education* (Boulder: National Education Policy Center, 2023), <https://nepc.colorado.edu/publication/censorship>; Dustin Hornbeck and Joel R. Malin, “Demobilizing Knowledge in American Schools: Censoring Critical Perspectives,” *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* 10, no. 1 (2023): 1–13, <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-023-02161-4>
- 93 *Nat’l Educ. Ass’n v. U.S. Dep’t of Educ.*, No. 25-cv-091-LM (D.N.H. Apr. 24, 2025) (order granting preliminary injunction), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/preliminary-injunction-order-in-national-education-association-et-al-v-us-department-of-education-et-al>
- 94 *Improving Education Outcomes by Empowering Parents, States, and Communities*, Exec. Order No. 14,242, 90 Fed. Reg. 13679 (Mar. 25, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/03/improving-education-outcomes-by-empowering-parents-states-and-communities>
- 95 ACLU, “ACLU Comment on Department of Education’s Dismantling of Core Civil Rights and Education Offices,” June 12, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/aclu-comment-on-department-of-educations-dismantling-of-core-civil-rights-and-education-offices>; ACLU, “Save the Department of Education,” accessed December 17, 2025, <https://action.aclu.org/send-message/save-department-education>
- 96 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, “Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA): Interpretation of Section 401,” Federal Register 90, no. 135 (July 14, 2025): 50012–50018, <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/07/14/2025-13118/personal-responsibility-and-work-opportunity-reconciliation-act-of-1996-prwora-interpretation-of>
- 97 *Head Start Ass’ns & Parent Groups v. U.S. Dep’t of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 2:25-cv-00781-RSM (W.D. Wash. July 15, 2025) (motion to amend complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/motion-to-amend-complaint-head-start-associations-parent-groups-v-hhs>.
- The case was brought by the ACLU, the ACLU of Washington, the ACLU of Illinois, and the Impact Fund. See also, “Plaintiffs in Head Start Lawsuit File Motion to Amend Complaint to Challenge New HHS Rule Targeting Immigrant Families,” American Civil Liberties Union, July 15, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/plaintiffs-in-head-start-lawsuit-file-motion-to-amend-complaint-to-challenge-new-hhs-rule-targeting-immigrant-families>
- 98 The ruling restored access for 115,000 children enrolled in Head Start: Jo Napolitano, “Undocumented Preschoolers Can Stay in Head Start—for Now,” *The 74*, September 2025, <https://www.the74million.org/zero2eight/undocumented-preschoolers-can-stay-in-head-start-for-now/>; ACLU, “Federal Court Halts Trump Administration’s Unlawful Attacks on Head Start Families,” December 15, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/federal-court-halts-trump-administrations-unlawful-attacks-on-head-start-families>
- 99 Dietrich Knauth and Nate Raymond, “Trump Administration Agrees to Review Stalled NIH Research Grants After Lawsuit,” *Reuters*, December 30, 2025, <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/trump-administration-agrees-review-stalled-nih-research-grants-after-lawsuit-2025-12-30/>
- 100 *Ibid.*
- 101 *Am. Pub. Health Ass’n v. Nat’l Insts. of Health*, No. 1:25-cv-00567 (D.D.C. Mar. 3, 2025) (complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/apha-v-nih-complaint>. The case was brought by the ACLU, the ACLU of Massachusetts, Protect Democracy, the Center for Science in the Public Interest, and Emery Celli Brinckerhoff Abady Ward & Maazel LLP.
- 102 Alice Miranda Ollstein, “Judge Rebukes Trump over NIH Cuts,” *Politico*, June 16, 2025, <https://www.politico.com/news/2025/06/16/judge-rebuke-trump-nih-cuts-00409095>
- 103 *Am. Pub. Health Ass’n v. Nat’l Insts. of Health*, No. 1:25-cv-00567 (D.D.C. June 20, 2025) (order on application for a stay), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/apha-v-nih?document=Order-on-Application-for-a-Stay#legal-documents>
- 104 *Am. Pub. Health Ass’n v. Nat’l Insts. of Health*, No. 1:25-cv-10787-WGY (D. Mass. Dec. 29, 2025) (stipulation agreement), <https://www.aclu.org/documents/apha-v-nih-stipulation-agreement>; See also, “NIH Agrees to Evaluate and Complete Review on Stalled Scientific Grant Applications,” American Civil Liberties Union, December 29, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/nih-agrees-to-evaluate-and-complete-review-on-stalled-scientific-grant-applications>

- Dan Vergano, “NIH Agrees to Evaluate Stalled Scientific Grants,” *Scientific American*, December 29, 2025, <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/nih-agrees-to-evaluate-stalled-scientific-grants/>
- 105 *Defending Women from Gender Ideology Extremism and Restoring Biological Truth to the Federal Government*, 90 Fed. Reg. 8615 (Jan. 20, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/defending-women-from-gender-ideology-extremism-and-restoring-biological-truth-to-the-federal-government/>
- 106 Shannon K. Kingston and Kiara Alfonseca, “State Department Halts ‘X’ Passport Gender Marker Applications,” *ABC News*, January 24, 2025, <https://www.abcnews.go.com/US/state-department-halts-passport-gender-marker-applications/story?id=118062178>
- 107 “Transgender U.S. Passport Holders Granted Temporary Relief in Challenge to Trump Gender Marker Policy,” *American Civil Liberties Union*, January 24, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/transgender-us-passport-holders-granted-temporary-relief-in-challenge-to-trump-gender-marker-policy>
- 108 *Orr v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-10313 (D. Mass. Feb. 7, 2025) (class action complaint), <https://assets.aclu.org/live/uploads/2025/02/Passports-Doc.-1-Complaint.pdf>. The lawsuit was brought by the ACLU, ACLU of Massachusetts, and law firm Covington & Burling LLP.
- 109 *Trump v. Orr*, No. 25A319 (U.S. Nov. 6, 2025) (order granting application for stay), https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/25pdf/25a319_i4dj.pdf
- 110 *Defending Women from Gender Ideology Extremism*, *supra*.
- 111 Jordan Schucker, “Constitutional Confusion in the BOP: Recent Litigation Regarding Executive Order 14168...,” *American University Journal of Gender, Social Policy & the Law*, September 8, 2025, (summary of Executive Order 14168 compliance actions), <https://jgspl.org/constitutional-confusion-in-the-bop-recent-litigation-regarding-executive-order-14168-defending-women-from-gender-ideology-extremism-and-restoring-biological-truth-to-the-federal-government>; Sam Levin, “Trans People in US Federal Prisons Face Brutal Crackdown Under Trump Order,” *The Guardian*, January 30, 2025, sec. US news, <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jan/30/trans-people-federal-prisons>
- 112 *Kingdom v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-00691-RCL (D.D.C. Mar. 10, 2025) (Complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/kingdom-v-trump?document=Complaint-#legal-documents>. The case was brought by the ACLU, the ACLU of DC, and the Transgender Law Center; *Kingdom v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-00412 (D.D.C. June 3, 2025) (order granting preliminary injunction and class certification), <https://assets.aclu.org/live/uploads/2025/06/Kingdom-decision-PI-and-class-cert.pdf>
- 113 Reuters, “Federal Judge Says Prisons Must Provide Gender-Affirming Care for Inmates,” *NBC News*, June 4, 2025, <https://www.nbcnews.com/nbc-out/out-politics-and-policy/federal-judge-says-prisons-must-provide-gender-affirming-care-inmates-rcna210859>
- 114 *Protecting Children from Chemical and Surgical Mutilation*, 90 Fed. Reg. 8771 (Jan. 28, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/protecting-children-from-chemical-and-surgical-mutilation/>
- 115 “Federal Judge Blocks Trump Order Targeting Medical Care for Transgender Youth,” *American Civil Liberties Union*, February 13, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/federal-judge-blocks-trump-order-targeting-medical-care-for-transgender-youth>; “New York AG Tells Hospitals to Continue Trans Care After Trump’s Executive Order,” *Associated Press*, February 4, 2025, <https://apnews.com/article/new-york-trump-transgender-hospitals-fe5635a3f98e5d0be28f3b96c3a333db>
- 116 *PFLAG v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-00337-RDB (D. Md. Feb. 4, 2025) (complaint), <https://live-aclu-wp.pantheonsite.io/cases/pflag-v-trump?document=Complaint>. This case was brought by the ACLU, ACLU of Maryland, Lambda Legal, and law firms Jenner & Block and Hogan Lovells; *PFLAG v. Trump*, No. 8:25-cv-00337-BAH (D. Md. Feb. 13, 2025) (temporary restraining order); <https://assets.aclu.org/live/uploads/2025/02/093114651219.pdf>; See also, <https://www.aclu.org/cases/pflag-v-trump>.
- 117 *One Big Beautiful Bill Act*, *supra*; Christopher Kane, “Senate Parliamentary Orders Removal of Gender-Affirming Care Ban from GOP Reconciliation Bill,” *Washington Blade*, June 26, 2025, <https://www.washingtonblade.com/2025/06/26/senate-parliamentary-orders-removal-of-gender-affirming-care-ban-from-gop-reconciliation-bill/>
- 118 Mariel Padilla, “The Senate Just Passed a New \$900 Billion Defense Bill. Here’s What’s in It,” *The 19th*, December 17, 2025, <https://19thnews.org/2025/12/2026-ndaa-defense-bill-military-spending>
- 119 ACLU, “Protect Trans Care Now,” accessed January 8, 2026, <https://action.aclu.org/send-message/protect-trans-care-now>; “ACLU Condemns House Passage of Bill to Criminalize Transgender Health Care,” *ACLU*, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/aclu-condemns-house-passage-of-bill-to-criminalize-transgender-health-care>
- 120 James Folta, “Oregon Has Passed a Bill to Protect School Libraries from Book Bans,” *Literary Hub*, June 11, 2025, <https://lithub.com/oregon-has-passed-a-bill-to-protect-school-libraries-from-book-bans/>; “Freedom to Read Act

Becomes Law in Delaware,” *WBOC*, September 16, 2025, https://www.wbo.com/news/freedom-to-read-act-becomes-law-in-delaware/article_113e6ff0-a119-4902-98b8-78bf664cc70d.html

- 121 Erin Reed, “Arizona Gov. Katie Hobbs vetoes anti-trans bills, and tells lawmakers to focus on real issues that matter,” *The Advocate*, May 5, 2025, <https://www.advocate.com/politics/katie-hobbs-veto-transphobic-bills>

See also Darrell Hill, “2025 Legislative Recap,” *ACLU of Arizona*, September 26, 2025, <https://www.acluaz.org/news/2025-legislative-recap/#LGBTQ-rights>

- 122 Erica Chenoweth, “AntiTrump Protests Are Making Headway in Trump Country, New Research Shows,” *Harvard Kennedy School*, October 21, 2025, <https://www.hks.harvard.edu/faculty-research/policy-topics/advocacy-social-movements/anti-trump-protests-are-making-headway>
- 123 Anti-Trump Protests Are Making Headway,” *Harvard Kennedy School*, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.hks.harvard.edu/faculty-research/policy-topics/advocacy-social-movements/anti-trump-protests-are-making-headway>
- 124 “Millions Turn Out,” *Hands Off 2025*, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://handsoff2025.com/millions-turn-out>
- 125 Tara Ramanathan, “No Kings protests,” *Encyclopedia Britannica*, November 7, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/event/No-Kings-protests>
- 126 Richard Hall and Rebecca Schneid, “Millions Attend ‘No Kings’ Protests Against Trump in Towns and Cities Across the U.S.,” *TIME*, October 18, 2025, <https://time.com/7326801/no-kings-protests-near-me-trump/>; Ellen Flenniken “Seven Million People Unite at Peaceful No Kings Protests to Defend Our First Amendment Rights: ACLU,” *American Civil Liberties Union*, October 22, 2025, <https://www.aclu.org/>

[news/civil-liberties/seven-million-people-unite-at-peaceful-no-kings-protests-to-defend-our-first-amendment-rights](https://www.aclu.org/news/civil-liberties/seven-million-people-unite-at-peaceful-no-kings-protests-to-defend-our-first-amendment-rights)

- 127 Chris Diaz, “2025 Legislative Sessions to Date: Key Election Policy Trends,” *Voting Rights Lab*, July 28, 2025, <https://votingrightslab.org/report/2025-legislative-sessions-to-date-key-election-policy-trends/>; Jasleen Singh, *The Trump Administration’s Campaign to Undermine the Next Election*,” *Brennan Center for Justice*, August 3, 2025, <https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/research-reports/trump-administrations-campaign-undermine-next-election>
- 128 Lindsey Toomer, “Gov. Polis Signs Voting Rights Bill, Shielding Colorado from Federal Backsliding,” *Colorado Newsline*, May 12, 2025, <https://coloradonewsline.com/2025/05/12/polis-signs-voting-rights-act-colorado/>; “Major Victory for Maryland Voters as Language Access Bill Signed into Law,” *Common Cause Maryland*, May 6, 2025, <https://www.commoncause.org/maryland/press/major-victory-for-maryland-voters-as-language-access-bill-signed-into-law/>
- 129 *ACLU v. U.S. Dep’t of Def.*, No. 1:25-cv-10189 (D. Mass. Dec. 9, 2025) (FOIA complaint), <https://www.aclu.org/cases/foia-case-seeking-legality-of-trump-admins-boat-strikes?document=Complaint>

ACLU