

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TALLAHASSEE DIVISION**

CAIR-FOUNDATION, INC., *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 4:25-cv-516-MW-MJF

RONALD DESANTIS, in his official
capacity as Governor of the State of
Florida,

Defendant.

_____ /

ORDER

This matter is before this court on Defendant Ronald DeSantis's ("DeSantis") motion to compel supplemental responses to requests for production ("RFPs") and associated document production from both Plaintiff CAIR-Foundation, Inc. and Plaintiff CAIR-Florida, Inc. (collectively, "CAIR"). Doc. 91. Both Plaintiffs filed a consolidated response in opposition. Doc. 92. The parties must supplement the record, however.

DISCUSSION

DeSantis moves to compel supplemental responses and production regarding RFPs 3-9, 11-13, 15-18, 20-25, and 27-48. Doc. 91. In support

of his motion to compel, DeSantis proffers the following four exhibits:

- Exhibit A: DeSantis's RFPs 1-51 served on CAIR.
- Exhibit B: CAIR's most recent amended responses to RFPs 1-51, served July 17, 2026.
- Exhibit C: DeSantis's discovery dispute correspondence to CAIR, dated June 18, 2026.
- Exhibit D: CAIR's responsive discovery dispute correspondence to DeSantis, dated July 17, 2026.

For nearly all of the RFPs at issue, CAIR appears to raise objections as to both relevance and proportionality under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). Doc. 91-2.¹ Also, for roughly half of the RFPs at issue, CAIR appears to claim a privilege under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5), namely associational privilege under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. Doc. 91-2. In support of its brief in opposition—and consequently in support of its objections and claims of privilege—CAIR proffers the following fifteen exhibits:

- Exhibit 1: Declaration of Elizabeth C. Lockwood.
- Exhibit 2: CAIR's answers to interrogatories.
- Exhibit 3: DeSantis's responses to requests for admission.

¹ For about fifteen of the RFPs, CAIR also appears to raise vagueness objections.

- Exhibit 4: DeSantis's responses to RFPs.
- Exhibit 5: Doc. 92-5, documents Bates stamped as follows:
 - Def's00008-00048;
 - Def'sResponsetoPltf's1stRFP000049-000052;
 - Def'sResponsetoPltf's1stRFP000055-000076; and
 - one document with no Bates stamp.
- Exhibit 6: eleven pages of documents with no Bates stamps.
- Exhibit 7: documents Bates stamped
Def'sResponsetoPltf's1stRFP000077-000138.
- Exhibit 8: documents Bates stamped
Def'sResponsetoPltf's1stRFP000139-000155.
- Exhibit 9: documents Bates stamped Def's00001-00007.
- Exhibit 10: documents Bates stamped as follows:
 - Def'sResponsetoPltf's1stRFP000053-000054;
 - Def'sResponsetoPltf's1stRFP000158-000182; and
 - Def'sResponsetoPltf's1stRFP000188-000194.
- Exhibit 11: one document with no Bates stamp.
- Exhibit 12: one document with no Bates stamp.
- Exhibit 13: DeSantis's responses to interrogatories.
- Exhibit 14: declaration of Thania Diaz Clevenger.
- Exhibit 15: declaration of Wilfredo Ruiz.

The record also includes the parties' Rule 26(f) report filed on March 13, 2026. Doc. 49. Nevertheless, the parties must supplement the record.

CONCLUSION

It is **ORDERED**:

1. On or before **September 4, 2026**, DeSantis shall file a notice containing any written stipulations made in this proceeding after the filing of the Rule 26(f) report regarding the scope of discovery. If no such stipulations exist, then the notice will say so.

2. On or before **September 4, 2026**, CAIR shall file a notice containing the following documents as exhibits, or if any such document does not exist, a statement saying so:

- a. CAIR's latest initial disclosures, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), that were served on DeSantis before the filing of the instant motion to compel; and
- b. CAIRs latest privilege logs, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(A), that were served on DeSantis before the filing of the instant motion to compel.

SO ORDERED on August 28, 2026.

/s/ Michael J. Frank

Michael J. Frank
United States Magistrate Judge