

EXHIBIT A

From: [Thurn, Linda](#)
To: [Gabriella Torres](#); [Jon Raby](#); [Jackson, Donald E Jr MG USARMY CEHQ \(US\)](#); [O'Sullivan, Ian P MAJ USARMY CEHQ \(US\)](#); [Michael Anderson](#); [Ryan Sklar](#); Sara A Sorensen; William Van Houten; William Van Houten; [Fletcher, Matthew M CPT USARMY CEHQ \(US\)](#); [HassellMD@state.gov](#)
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Agenda for Keystone Meeting on May 18 at 4:00 pm (Eastern Time)
Date: Monday, May 15, 2017 10:53:34 AM
Attachments: [5.18.17 Meeting Agenda.docx](#)

Good morning,

Please see the attached agenda for the upcoming Keystone Meeting on Thursday.

Thank you,

Linda Thurn

Executive Assistant

Bureau of Land Management

202-208-3801

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [Non-DoD Source] Keystone XL -- status update
Date: Thursday, June 1, 2017 9:27:31 AM

[REDACTED]

I am the right guy for now - but definitely my office.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

v/r,

[REDACTED]

Chief, Operational Protection Division
Directorate of Contingency Operations
Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
441. G. Street NW
Washington, DC 20314-1000
NIPR [REDACTED]
SIPR: [REDACTED]
Office: 202-761-5824
DSN: 312-763-5824
BB: [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2017 9:25 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: [Non-DoD Source] Keystone XL -- status update

[REDACTED]

See below. We agreed to start having some discussion on security for Keystone pipeline. When MG Jackson and I attended the last meeting, we thought you would be the right guy, but I will defer to you if you think otherwise.

Otherwise, I will send Ryan your contact info as they start planning this.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Deputy Chief, Northwestern and Pacific Ocean
Divisions-Regional Integration Team
Headquarters, US Army Corps of Engineers
(202) 761-4527 (office)

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Sklar, Ryan [<mailto:ryan.sklar@sol.doi.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2017 8:21 AM

To [REDACTED]

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Keystone XL -- status update

Hi [REDACTED]

It's been a couple of weeks since our meeting so I thought it would be helpful to check in on the status of USACE's Section 408 review. Is the technical review complete and has USACE identified any concerns in addition to those we have previously discussed (i.e., those related to the scour analysis, horizontal drilling directional plan, and cultural resource information provided by TransCanada)? In addition, is USACE still waiting on any follow-up information from TransCanada? As of the May 18 meeting, TransCanada had provided most, but not all, of the requested information. I wanted to see if those gaps have been filled.

Finally, at the May 18 meeting, we discussed putting together an interagency team that would plan for safety and security concerns related to project approval and construction. Can you please provide names of the people from USACE who will be participating in that effort. We would like to stand up that team as soon as possible.

Thanks, and please let me know if there's any information you need from BLM.

Ryan

--

Ryan Sklar
Acting Senior Litigation Specialist
Bureau of Land Management
U.S. Department of the Interior
202-208-4695

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this e-mail or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

From: [Sklar, Ryan](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: [Non-DoD Source] Keystone XL -- status update
Date: Thursday, June 8, 2017 12:01:56 PM

[REDACTED]

Thanks for the quick reply. We do not yet have a formal charter or business rules for the interagency team. The team is still in the formative stages, but we expect that there will be an incident command structure and that the state of Montana will take the lead. We will have more details once we get the names of the appropriate participants and get a little farther in the planning process.

Just to confirm, will you be sending me the names of the potentially affected Division and District security managers in the near future or should you be the only USACE point of contact for now?

Thanks,
Ryan

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 9:26 AM, [REDACTED] CIV USARMY CEHQ (US) > wrote:

Ryan,

For now let's use me as the Primary POC. My Law Enforcement Chief doesn't come on board until 1 July and we can make a potential transition from there. For now based on my experience with DAPL I prefer to stay the lead.

When it comes to any Field Offices I will gather the names of the potentially affected Division and District security managers.

Can you give me an idea of the Charter or Business Rules that will be for this interagency team? How often they will meet, where, etc.?

Thanks
[REDACTED]

v/r,
[REDACTED]
Chief, Operational Protection Division
Directorate of Contingency Operations
Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
441. G. Street NW
Washington, DC 20314-1000
NIPR: [REDACTED]
SIPR: [REDACTED]
Office: 202-761-5824
DSN: 312-763-5824
BB: [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----
From: Sklar, Ryan [<mailto:ryan.sklar@sol.doi.gov> <<mailto:ryan.sklar@sol.doi.gov>>]
Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2017 8:53 AM

[REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd: [Non-DoD Source] Keystone XL -- status update

Hi [REDACTED]

I wanted to follow up on my email, originally communicated to [REDACTED] asking who from USACE should participate in the interagency team we're putting together to deal with safety and security concerns related to the Keystone XL project. Can you please let me know who from HQUSACE and the relevant USACE field offices should participate? The team will also be comprised of members of the Bureau of Land Management, the State Department, and state and local law enforcement agencies.

Thank you,
Ryan

----- Forwarded message -----

[REDACTED]

Date: Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 9:33 AM
Subject: RE: [Non-DoD Source] Keystone XL -- status update
To: "Sklar, Ryan" <ryan.sklar@sol.doi.gov <<mailto:ryan.sklar@sol.doi.gov>> > [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

<Blockedhttp://army.mil <Blockedhttp://army.mil> > >

Ryan,

At the moment, I do not know of any new issues other than those three we discussed, and as our initial review has completed, I don't expect any additional ones. Let me touch base with our field folks on the new information. Also, our folks are looking at the PCN submissions for the Section 404 permit, and we are on track to get an initial read within 30 days.

For Security [REDACTED] is our security lead for HQUSACE, and also works with our security folks in the field as well. His contact info is: (202) 761-5824, and [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[I am looping him into this conversation, as he may want to send a few of his folks.](#)

[REDACTED]

[Deputy Chief, Northwestern and Pacific Ocean](#)
[Divisions-Regional Integration Team](#)
[Headquarters, US Army Corps of Engineers](#)
[\(202\) 761-4527 \(office\)](#)

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

[From: Sklar, Ryan \[mailto:ryan.sklar@sol.doi.gov <<mailto:ryan.sklar@sol.doi.gov>> >\]](#)

Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2017 8:21 AM

To: [REDACTED]
<mailto:[REDACTED]>
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Keystone XL -- status update

Hi [REDACTED]

It's been a couple of weeks since our meeting so I thought it would be helpful to check in on the status of USACE's Section 408 review. Is the technical review complete and has USACE identified any concerns in addition to those we have previously discussed (i.e., those related to the scour analysis, horizontal drilling directional plan, and cultural resource information provided by TransCanada)? In addition, is USACE still waiting on any follow-up information from TransCanada? As of the May 18 meeting, TransCanada had provided most, but not all, of the requested information. I wanted to see if those gaps have been filled.

Finally, at the May 18 meeting, we discussed putting together an interagency team that would plan for safety and security concerns related to project approval and construction. Can you please provide names of the people from USACE who will be participating in that effort. We would like to stand up that team as soon as possible.

Thanks, and please let me know if there's any information you need from BLM.

Ryan

--

Ryan Sklar
Acting Senior Litigation Specialist
Bureau of Land Management
U.S. Department of the Interior
202-208-4695

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this e-mail or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

--

Ryan Sklar
Attorney-Advisor
Office of the Solicitor
U.S. Department of the Interior
202-208-3039

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this e-mail or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

=

Ryan Sklar
Attorney-Advisor
Office of the Solicitor
U.S. Department of the Interior
202-208-3039

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this e-mail or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.