

**Statistical Estimates of
Noncitizen Registration or
Attempted Registration**

Richman Estimates



“At the low end his estimates are above 1,000, and at the high end they are above 18,000. Indeed, there was even one estimate that could go as high as 32,000, although we are not relying on that one because it is such a small sample size.”

Dr. Jesse Richman



Does survey research for a “popular audience”

Never been qualified as an expert witness

Criticized in open letter signed by 200 political scientists

Uses the CCES for his only publication of note

Dr. Stephen Ansolabehere



Plaintiffs' Expert
Dr. Stephen Ansolabehere,
Harvard University

5 Books; 80 Articles

**CalTech/MIT Voting Tech Project;
CBS Election Night Desk**

**Expert witness in appx 10 cases, cited
by Supreme Court in Cooper v. Harris**

Designed and implements the CCES

Meta-Analysis



Take Richman at Face Value

REALTIME DISCLAIMER - ROUGH DRAFT - DO NOT DISSEMINATE 122

1 his first report?
2 A. Well, a sam
3 preferable because
4 much weight to stu
5 sample sizes of 19
6 study with a sampl
7 statistical terms,
8 estimate based on
9 on a sample size o
10 Q. Okay. So i
11 report as your met
12 A. Yes.
13 Q. And what as
14 meta-analysis make
15 Richman's underlyi
16 A. This meta-a
17 It assumes that th
18 it assumes that th
19 there are no non-r
20 misreporting probl
21 value as if they w
22 representative sam
23 Q. And what do
24 A. That is the
25 non-citizens in the state of Kansas who are registered

Kelli Stewart, CSR, RPR, CRR, RMR

16

A. This meta-analysis takes the data at face value.

17

It assumes that the data are representative or random,

18

it assumes that there are no measurement error problems,

19

there are no non-response problems, there are no

20

misreporting problems. It just treats the data on face

21

value as if they were drawn from an unbiased and

22

representative sample.

Source: Dr. Stephen Ansolabehere, Mar. 13, 2018 PM Rough Draft Trial Tr. 122:16-22

Meta-Analysis



Problem 1: Estimates are Inconsistent with Each Other

Anywhere from 1,000 (Sedgwick) to 32,000 (CCES)

REALTIME DISCLAIMER - ROUGH DRAFT - DO NOT DISSEMINATE 123

1 or attempted to register. Treating again the data at
2 face value.
3 Q. Okay. So if we take Doctor Richman's data at
4 face value, we assume it's accurate, we assume it's
5 representative of the entire non-citizen population
6 Kansas, you're telling me that we get an estimate
7 1.3 percent of non-citizens in Kansas are registered to
8 vote?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. And--
11 A. Or attempted to.
12 Q. Or attempted to register to vote. That's right.
13 In your opinion as an expert on statistical
14 survey research and voter registration, Doctor
15 Ansolabehere, when you do that, when you take
16 data at face value, assume it's accurate, and
17 are no representativeness problems, does that data
18 provide persuasive evidence of a statistically
19 significant rate of non-citizen registration in the
20 state of Kansas?
21 A. No, because there's a great amount of variation
22 from study to study and that variation reflects our
23 uncertainty about the actual estimate.
24 Normally if we were just drawing random samples
25 as if we were, say, doing surveys for public opinion,

Kelli Stewart, CSR, RPR, CRR, RMR

17 are no representativeness problems, does that data
18 provide persuasive evidence of a statistically
19 significant rate of non-citizen registration in the
20 state of Kansas?

21 A. No, because there's a great amount of variation
22 from study to study and that variation reflects our
23 uncertainty about the actual estimate.

Source: Dr. Stephen Ansolabehere, Mar. 13, 2018 PM Rough Draft Trial Tr. 123:17-23)

Meta-Analysis



TABLE 1
Estimates of the Percent of Non-Obtainers
who are Registered to Vote in the State of Kansas
According to the Report of Professor Bruce Richman

SOURCE	Estimated Percent	Sample Size	Theoretical Margin of Error**
Kansas - 1998-2002 Registered Registration AND Registered Non-Obtainer Survey (Richman, Page 5)	28.2%	14	+/- 27.7%
Kansas - Multiple Counts Pre-existing registrations of non-registered voters (Richman, Page 5)	1.0%	789	+/- 3.4%
Kansas 2001 - Survey of registered or attempting to register, conducted by ILS (Sample 10)	15.0%	37	+/- 16.4%
Kansas Counties (Phone, Mail, Green, Sewer) (Sample 11)	0%	576	+/- 4.2%
Kansas - Undersizable Contained Registrations (Sample 12)	5.3%	19	10.1%***

Summary of Kansas Estimates

	Simple Average	Sample-Size Weighted Average
Average of Richman's Estimates	10.3%	1.3%
Observed Standard Deviation of Richman's Estimates (Root Mean Squared Error)	12.2%	3.8%

Pratt/Plan Exhibit 102 - 37 (10-2013)

Observed Margin of Error of Richman's Estimates	+/- 24.4%	+/- 7.6%
Observed Margin of Error of Richman's Estimates	+/- 24.4%	+/- 7.6%

Pratt/Plan Exhibit 102 - 38 (10-2013)

Summary of Kansas Estimates			
	Simple Average	Sample-Size Weighted Average	
Average of Richman's Estimates	10.3%	1.3%	
Observed Standard Deviation of Richman's Estimates (Root Mean Squared Error)	12.2%	3.8%	
Observed Margin of Error of Richman's Estimates	+/- 24.4%	+/- 7.6%	

Source: PX 102, Ansolabehere Report, Table 2

Meta-Analysis



Problem 2: Not Statistically Distinct from Zero

REALTIME DISCLAIMER - ROUGH DRAFT - DO NOT DISSEMINATE 124

1 polls over and over again, we'd expect a
2 variation based on the formulas, the theo
3 formulas that are developed on sampling t
4 Since we have multiple studies, we
5 take the variation across the studies as
6 variation. And that's what I've done her
7 row. And that gives me the degree of unc
8 about the estimate. That degree of uncer
9 3.8 percent. And the standard-- the marg
10 associated with that is roughly two times
11 uncertainty, that's 7.6 percent. So it's
12 margin of error for a 1.3 percent estimat
13 So in statistical terms, I wouldn't
14 reject the hypothesis that the rate of no
15 voting was, in fact, 0 or extremely close
16 higher, perhaps as high as 8 or 9 percent
17 anywhere in that range. There's just a g
18 uncertainty with these estimates.
19 Q. And I believe you said the rate of
20 voting, did you mean the rate of non-citi
21 registration?
22 A. Sorry, registration.
23 Q. Registration or attempted registra
24 A. Correct.
25 Q. Would it be an accurate representa

8 about the estimate. That degree of uncertainty is
9 3.8 percent. And the standard-- the margin of error
10 associated with that is roughly two times the degree of
11 uncertainty, that's 7.6 percent. So it's a very wide
12 margin of error for a 1.3 percent estimate.
13 So in statistical terms, I wouldn't-- we couldn't
14 reject the hypothesis that the rate of non-citizen
15 voting was, in fact, 0 or extremely close to 0 or
16 higher, perhaps as high as 8 or 9 percent. It could be

Kelli Stewart, CSR, RPR, CRR, RMR

Source: Dr. Stephen Ansolabehere, Mar. 13, 2018 PM Rough Draft Trial Tr. 124:8-16

Confidence Intervals

Richman's Unconventional Methods



- **Did not calculate confidence intervals for 3 of 4 estimates in initial report , contrary to peer-review standards (Dr. Jesse Richman, Mar. 13, 2018 PM Rough Draft Trial Tr. at 103-04; 106)**
- **Used unconventional methods that he had never used prior to his supplemental report (Dr. Jesse Richman, Mar. 13, 2018 PM Rough Draft Trial Tr. at 112)**
- **Unconventional methods had two effects**
 - **(1) reduced confidence intervals (but not enough to make them reliable – margins of error still above 20 percentage points);**
 - **(2) shifted lower bound upward, to make results appear statistically significant**

Confidence Intervals



Ansolabehere uses conventional method

- **A version of the same method used by Richman in his peer-reviewed article on noncitizen registration, and in his initial report in this case**
- **Assumes “ignorance prior” – no assumptions about actual level of noncitizen registration**
 - **Consistent with what courts expect of objective, unbiased experts**
 - **Methodologically appropriate in political science**
 - **Real-world applications, e.g., all medical research**

Source: See Dr. Stephen Ansolabehere, Mar. 13, 2018 PM Rough Draft Trial Tr. at 149 (addressing Richman rebuttal); 184-85 (application to medical research); 171, 175-76, 221-23 (ignorance prior)

Richman: 4 Estimates



CCES

Sedgwick County

TDL Survey

**Incidentally-Contacted
Noncitizens**

Richman: 4 Estimates



Sample Sizes too Small (CCES, TDL, Incidental)

Not Statistically Significant (Sedgwick, Incidental)

Not All Non-Citizens (CCES)

Not Registered (CCES, TDL)

Biased Samples (ALL)

Richman: 4 Estimates



CCES

Sedgwick County

TDL Survey

**Incidentally-Contacted
Noncitizens**

TDL Survey Estimate

Survey of 37 TDL Holders

6 said they were registered or “had attempted to register”

Estimate: 16.5% of noncitizen adults in Kansas / 18,000 total



Wilson (Score) Method	0.5%	2.0%
Estimate that 6 of 37 non-citizens contacted from the TDL list reported that they had registered to vote or attempted to register to vote. Confidence Intervals for 37 individual sample with p = 0.165 (16.5%)		
Professor Ansolabehere's report		
Exact method		
Agresti Method		
Jeffreys Method		
Wilson (Score) Method		
Estimate that 1 of 19 incidentally contact attempted to register to vote. Confidence Intervals for 19 individual sample		
Professor Ansolabehere's report		
Exact method		
Agresti Method		
Jeffreys Method		
Wilson (Score) Method		
15. The estimate that 4 of 14 Kansas CCES were non-citizens and that they were re generalization (paragraph 38) that "non However, as Table 2 shows, the confidence reported margin of error does not include		
16. Professor Ansolabehere notes that 4 Kansas non-citizens and none indicated that they my previous analysis because the focus of Kansas electoral law. But if these are 18 KS CCES respondents from 2006 that they were registered to vote. Here again, these indicate statistical significance		

Estimate that 6 of 37 non-citizens contacted from the TDL list reported that they had registered to vote or attempted to register to vote.
Confidence Intervals for 37 individual sample with p = 0.165 (16.5%)

Professor Ansolabehere's report	0.10%	32.90%
Exact method	6.2%	32%
Agresti Method	7.3%	28.1%
Jeffreys Method	7.1%	30.4%
Wilson (Score) Method	7.7%	31.1%

TDL Survey Estimate



The Key Estimate In This Case

“And so we’ll be suggesting the iceberg is-- we know that it is in the thousands and we believe that the best estimate is that is over 18,000 people currently on the Kansas voter rolls.”

TDL Survey Estimate



Richman and Kobach Do Not Agree

7 Q. And you'd say as a political scientist that that
8 13,000 number that that's more reliable than the 18,000
9 number that was not weighted to match the total
10 non-citizen population of Kansas; correct?
11 A. I think that weighting to match the overall
12 population is probably a better estimate.

REALTIME DISCLAIMER - ROUGH DRAFT - DO NOT DISSEMINATE

1 affect of reducing your estimate by about a third
2 right?
3 A. It cut it to something like 111.4 percent
4 Q. Okay. And that's about 13,000 non-citizen
5 registered in Kansas?
6 A. I think so.
7 Q. And you'd say as a political scientist that
8 13,000 number that that's more reliable than the
9 number that was not weighted to match the total
10 non-citizen population of Kansas; correct?
11 A. I think that weighting to match the overall
12 population is probably a better estimate.
13 Q. Okay. Dr. Richman, are you aware that during
14 opening arguments in this case Secretary Kobach
15 represented that in his view your estimate of 18,000
16 non-citizens on the voter rolls based on the TDL survey
17 as described in your initial report was the best
18 estimate of non-citizen registration in Kansas?
19 A. I'm not aware or I wasn't.
20 Q. Okay. You're aware of it now?
21 A. (Witness nods head.)
22 Q. You'd agree with me Dr. Richman that's not your
23 best estimate of non-citizen registration in Kansas
24 because the weighted estimate is a better one; right?
25 A. I think the weighted estimate has some advantages

Source: Dr. Jesse Richman, Mar. 13, 2018 AM Rough Draft Trial Tr. at 138:7-12

TDL Survey Estimate

TDL Survey Estimate

Unreliable for 5 Reasons

TDL Survey Estimate



Problem 1: Sample Size of 37 Is Too Small for a Reliable Estimate

REALTIME DISCLAIMER - ROUGH DRAFT - DO NOT DISSEMINATE 137

1 Kansas TDL survey estimate. Staying on Table 2 of your
2 report. What's your understanding of what Doctor
3 Richman did here?

4 A. The temporary driver's license list are people
5 who have a temporary driver's license because they are
6 not citizens and they conducted a survey of the TDL and
7 measured how many people reported or attempted-- being
8 registered or attempted to be registered.

9 Q. Now, let's again assume there are no errors in
10 the data and let's assume that this sample is
11 representative of the non-citizen population in Kansas.

12 In your opinion as an expert on statistics,
13 survey research and voter registration, if we'd make
14 those assumptions, does Doctor Richman's data here based
15 on the TDL survey provide adequate statistical
16 information to make an informed estimate about the rate
17 of non-citizen registration in Kansas?

18 A. No, it has an extremely wide theoretical margin
19 of error. That's assuming everything is okay with the
20 sampling and there's no other biases. The-- the width
21 of that confidence interval is 16.4 percent, so this
22 could be-- if this study is the only study we had, the
23 estimate could be anywhere from .1 percent to
24 37 percent.

25 Q. I want to ask you about a different document in

Ke111 Stewart, CSR, RPR, CRR, RMR

12 In your opinion as an expert on statistics,
13 survey research and voter registration, if we'd make
14 those assumptions, does Doctor Richman's data here based
15 on the TDL survey provide adequate statistical
16 information to make an informed estimate about the rate
17 of non-citizen registration in Kansas?

18 A. No, it has an extremely wide theoretical margin
19 of error. That's assuming everything is okay with the
20 sampling and there's no other biases. The-- the width
21 of that confidence interval is 16.4 percent, so this
22 could be-- if this study is the only study we had, the
23 estimate could be anywhere from .1 percent to
24 37 percent.

Source: Dr. Stephen Ansolabehere, Mar. 13, 2018 PM Rough Draft Trial Tr. 137:12-24

TDL Survey Estimate



Problem 1: Sample Size of 37 Is Too Small for a Reliable Estimate

Richman conceded:

Has “weak statistical power”

Confidence Interval is more than 20 percentage points

TDL Survey Estimate



Problem 2 – Biased Estimate (Unrepresentative Sample)

**Excludes:
Undocumented Immigrants,
Green Card Holders
Non-Drivers**

TDL Survey Estimate



Problem 3 – Biased Estimate (Non-Response Bias)

**37 Respondents out of Unknown
Number of TDL Holders Called**

No way to calculate Response Rate

**Overall Response Rate (5% vs. 16%)
irrelevant – cannot calculate response
rate for TDL Holders specifically**

TDL Survey Estimate

Problem 4: Survey Doesn't Tell Us If Any Actually Registered

Questionnaire name: 17398 01/13/17 - 11:08 AM Page 1

[S]
Hello,
I have

1. First name
<>

2. Is your name available for use in this survey?
(If not available, please provide a name for the survey)
<>

<> Name on list coming to phone

RECORD GENDER BY OBSERVATION
<1> MALE
<2> FEMALE

3. Now, I have a few quick questions about you for statistical purposes. Have you registered to vote or attempted to register to vote in the state of Kansas?
<1> Yes
<2> No
<3> (Do not read) Refused/Uncertain

4. Thank you. Do you consider yourself to be of Hispanic or Latino descent?
<1> Yes
<2> No
<3> (Do not read) Refused / Uncertain

5. What do you consider to be your race?
Are you ... (READ LIST)
<1> White
<2> African-American
<3> Native American
<4> Asian

EXHIBIT
Richman
3

Plaintiff Exhibits 100 - 1 (18 cv 2015)

Source: PX 109, Richman Survey Instrument

TDL Survey Estimate



Problem 4: Survey Doesn't Tell Us If Actually Registered

HEALTHY DISCLAIMER - ROUGH DRAFT - DO NOT REPRODUCE 1/8

1 your binder now, Tap 8, which is PLATELFFA?
2 Exhibit 100, Doctor Richman's survey instrument for this
3 survey. It is a private document. Do
4 you recognize that?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. And I want to point you to Question No. 3 on the
7 survey, the voter registration question. "Have you
8 registered to vote for attempted to register to vote in
9 the state of Kansas?"
10 What reaction, if any, do you as an expert on
11 survey research have to the wording of this question?
12 A. Well, it's a double-barreled question. It asks
13 you two different behaviors and it's not clear how you'd
14 distinguish actually being registered to vote or
15 attempting to register to vote from that question. It's
16 also imprecise as to when this activity happened; was
17 this ten years ago, was it in the last six months, or
18 there's... it's unclear the underlying question.
19 Q. Doctor Ansolabehere, if someone answered "yes" to
20 this question, would we have any way of knowing if that
21 person, in fact, successfully registered to vote?
22 A. No, because it doesn't distinguish whether you
23 succeeded in registering or merely attempted.
24 Q. So when we look back at Doctor Richman's estimate
25 that 16.5 percent of non-citizens in Kansas have

HEALTHY DISCLAIMER - ROUGH DRAFT - DO NOT REPRODUCE 1/8

1 registered to vote based on the TDL-- registered to vote
2 or attempted to register to vote based on the TDL
3 survey, we don't know if any of those individuals
4 actually registered to vote. Correct?
5 A. No, we don't.
6 Q. They-- Are you familiar with the term
7 non-response bias in the field of survey research,
8 Doctor Ansolabehere?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. What does that mean?
11 A. That means that in conducting a survey there's a
12 certain number of people who refuse to answer your
13 survey. The higher the number, the greater the problem
14 or worry we have with response bias. And the problem
15 with the bias is when the non-respondents behave
16 differently from the respondents. Like you
17 only get educated people or only people who speak a
18 certain language in responding to the survey and then
19 they're systematically different from those who don't
20 respond.
21 Q. So when you're evaluating a survey, do you want
22 to know something about the response rate?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. When you looked at Doctor Richman's report and
25 the underlying information in it, was there any

24 Q. So when we look back at Doctor Richman's estimate
25 that 16.5 percent of non-citizens in Kansas have

1 registered to vote based on the TDL-- registered to vote
2 or attempted to register to vote based on the TDL
3 survey, we don't know if any of those individuals
4 actually registered to vote. Correct?
5 A. No, we don't.

TDL Survey Estimate



Problem 5: None Even Attempted to Register

Everyone who attempts to register should be listed in the voter file.

REALTIME DISCLAIMER - ROUGH DRAFT - DO NOT DISSEMINATE 67

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. And your understanding is that everyone who

3 submits a voter registration form that is incomplete,

4 because they did not submit documentary proof of

5 citizenship, should not only be on the suspense list

6 also in the larger Kansas voter file; correct?

7 A. That is correct.

8 Q. Now, Dr. Richman, you're aware that Kansas

9 elections director Bryan Caskey also performed his

10 match involving the TDL list; correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And Mr. Caskey found what he thought were 79

13 people who were on the TDL list and in the larger vo

14 file; correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Because the suspense list that you used is a

17 subset of the complete voter file that Mr. Caskey us

18 you would presume that the 16 individuals that you f

19 are included among Bryan Caskey's match list; correc

20 A. Matching is an subject to judgment calls rely

21 the margins. Eitan Hersh also did a match replicati

22 mine. We agreed on 15. One we've disagreed with.

23 looked at Caskey's list versus mine. There are three

24 individuals that Caskey and I disagreed about.

25 Q. You didn't describe any of that in your repor

2 Q. And your understanding is that everyone who

3 submits a voter registration form that is incomplete,

4 because they did not submit documentary proof of

5 citizenship, should not only be on the suspense list but

6 also in the larger Kansas voter file; correct?

7 A. That is correct.

Source: Dr. Jesse Richman, Mar. 13, 2018 AM Rough Draft Trial Tr. at 67:2-7

TDL Survey Estimate



Problem 5: None Even Attempted to Register

9. Last, I was given a list of six individuals who may have been TDL holders, and who apparently self-reported in a survey that they were registered to vote. As noted, based on only their first and last name, I attempted to identify these individuals in the version of the voter file that I initially received. I have now attempted to locate these individuals in the completed voter registration file. **It still does not appear than any of these individuals are listed on the voter file as someone who is a registered voter, was a registered voter, or who attempted to be a registered voter.**

9. Last, I was given a list of six individuals who may have been TDL holders, and who apparently self-reported in a survey that they were registered to vote. As noted, based on only their first and last name, I attempted to identify these individuals in the version of the voter file that I initially received. I have now attempted to locate these individuals in the completed voter registration file. **It still does not appear than any of these individuals are listed on the voter file as someone who is a registered voter, was a registered voter, or who attempted to be a registered voter.**

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
DATED this 25th Day of April, 2017


Eitan Hersh

TDL Survey Estimate



Problem 5: None Even Attempted to Register

“Q. Okay. And so when you submitted your supplemental expert report in this case in April 2017, you **did not dispute Doctor Hersh's conclusion that none of the six TDL holders from your survey** who said they were registered to vote or had attempted to register to vote **were actually in the Kansas voter file.**

Correct?

A. That is correct.”

TDL Survey Estimate

Kobach's "Most Reliable" Estimate: 18,000

Richman's "Better" Estimate: 13,000

Correct Estimate: Zero





Secretary Kobach on Noncitizen Registration and Voting the 2016 Election



Cites Richman Analysis of CCES

Trump “is absolutely correct ... illegal votes cast exceeds the popular vote margin” in 2016

ERROR: stackunderflow
OFFENDING COMMAND: ~

STACK: