From:	(b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6)
To:	(b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6)
Subject:	FW: memos
Date:	2014/10/08 15:07:00
Priority:	Normal
Type:	Note

UPDATED:

All 9 memos attached in same format, with my comments/edits in doc. Comments also summarized below…

CVE: good to go, and (b)(6) signed off.
Aviation Security: Only substantive recommendation would be to add a 'next steps' section if we have next steps.
Blue Campaign: I took some liberties in the 'next steps.' I believe that this is where he wants to go with the Campaign given our discussions, but you and he may want to review the 'next steps' here closely.
Cybersecurity: I made 2 comments in the doc (attached), asking for clarity in two different places.
GAO High Risk List: good to go.
State and Local Law Enforcement: good to go
Trade Facilitation: good to go, and Christa signed off.
Travel and Tourism: looks good. only one question: is it 5 loaned executives or 6? This memo says 5, but S2's briefing memo for his "Airports Council" remarks says 6.

One more memo that wasn't included in what you sent me…

DMAG/JRC/Unity of Effort (Departmental Management): good to go, and (b)(6) signed off.

(b)(6)
Office of the Deputy Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Office: (b)(6)
Mobile

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2014 6:45 PM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: memos

(b)(6) could you please take a crack at making all of these consistent in terms of formatting, etc. Would also appreciate any comments or edits you may have on the substance. Many thanks.
(b)(6)

Sender:	(b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6)
Recipient:	(b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6) "
Sent Date:	2014/10/08 15:07:44
Delivered Date:	2014/10/08 15:07:00