

To: (b)(6)
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wed 6/1/2016 1:41:11 PM
Subject: RE: 5/11 CVE Briefing Follow-Up

(b)(5)

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 2:42 AM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: 5/11 CVE Briefing Follow-Up

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 6:32 PM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: 5/11 CVE Briefing Follow-Up

(b)(6)

Can you comment on the note a bit further down the email chain?

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thanks,

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 1:44 PM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: 5/11 CVE Briefing Follow-Up

That is correct. (b)(5)

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Los Angeles, CA

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 10:41:51 AM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: 5/11 CVE Briefing Follow-Up

(b)(6)

(b)(5) CISA 009270

MOA.

(b)(6)

Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20528

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 11:39 AM

To: (b)(6)

Cc:

Subject: Fw: 5/11 CVE Briefing Follow-Up

(b)(6)

(b)(5)

Can

you comment?

Thanks,

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 11:16 AM Eastern Standard Time

To: (b)(6)

Cc:

Subject: FW: 5/11 CVE Briefing Follow-Up

(b)(6)

can you please confirm today whether the getback below is accurate? Thanks.

Duplicate