To: Cc: From:	(b)(6)	
Sent: Subject:	Wed 6/1/2016 1:48:37 PM BE: CVE Pilot Project in Los Angeles	

Here's all the other info and I will incorporate your answers into this for one complete email ©

THANK YOU!

(b)(5);(b)(6)

(b)(6)
Alternate Designated Federal Official,
National Infrastructure Advisory Council
NPPD, DHS
Office of Infrastructure Protection
Strategy, Policy, and Budget (SPB) (b)(6)
From ^{(b)(6)} Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 9:48 AM
To: (b)(6)
Cc:
Subject: RE: CVE Pilot Project in Los Angeles
Hi ^{(b)(6)} Given the chain of comms below, I've drafted the following response in hopes of helping NPPD clarify for Congress
some details on our former Strategic Engagement pilot program. However, strongly recommend ^{(b)(6)} review/edit first, as I have
been a bit removed from this effort for a while and he will likely have more insight.

Please let me know if (b)(6)	require any further support with the additional questi	ons from Senate Approps.
ricase lectric kilott in the	reduite any futcher support their the additional duest	sho hom benace i ppiopsi

Thank you!

nk you,	
ink you,	
ink you,	
m (b)(6)	
ank you, (b)(6) :: Wednesday, June 1, 2016 9:21 AM	
m (b)(6)	
(b)(6) :: Wednesday, June 1, 2016 9:21 AM	
m (b)(6)	

ByteCubed Contract.	
While I can answer most there are a few I need your input on:	
What is the LA Strategic Engagement Center?	
What other cities received similar funds? ^{(b)(5)}	
Thank you.	
Warmest Regards, (b)(6)	
Alternate Designated Federal Official, National Infrastructure Advisory Council	
NPPD, DHS Office of Infrastructure Protection	
Strategy, Policy, and Budget (SPB) (b)(6)	
From: ^{(b)(6)}	
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 8:45 AM To: ^{(b)(6)}	
Cc: Subject: RE: CVE Pilot Project in Los Angeles	
Thanks ^{(b)(6)} I specifically want to know the IAA amounts and date, and then for the amount, type of procurement, and what funding lines were used. If you have a brief summary of blurb that goes out for congressional notification) that would also be helpful. Thanks,	
From: ^{(b)(6)}	
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 8:35:20 AM To: ^{(b)(6)}	
Cc: Subject: Re: CVE Pilot Project in Los Angeles	
(b)(6) is our COR for this. please be in touch with her for contract details, since I'm not sure wh in.	ich details you are interested
(b)(5);(b)(6)	
(b)(6)	
From: (b)(6)	
Sept: Wednesday June 01 2016 08:15 AM Eastern Standard Time To: ^{(b)(6)} Cc:	
Subject: RE: CVE Pilot Project in Los Angeles	

The department is drafting a response to this end. I was trying to find out from our Finance team if there on the same in the team if there on the same is the same of the sam

Thanks, (b)(6)	
From (^{(b)(6)} Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 9:34:22 PM To: ^{(b)(6)} Cc:	

Subject: RE: CVE Pilot Project in Los Angeles

In case this is still an open question, we provided no other funds other than the NCTC funds which we executed on a single contract, via a sole source procurement. We transferred supervision of our CVE staff to OCP in December, pending formal realignment of the billets, and all further activity for this project has been under their jurisdiction. It is possible OCP has added additional funds, though I have no reason to think so, given their limited funding resources. I have asked ^{(b)(6)} for more details on current activities.

b)(6)	
From: ^{(b)(6)}	
nt: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 4:08 PM	
(b)(6)	
bject: RE: CVE Pilot Project in Los Angeles	
³⁾ just came back with this note	
just came back with this note	
)(5)	
•	
) I'm on another call, but can call you after	
·	
6)	
rom:(b)(6)	
nt: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 4:00 PM	
(b)(6)	
bject: RE: CVE Pilot Project in Los Angeles	
6)	
6) (5)	
(6)	
eputy Assistant Secretary, Infrastructure Pratectian	
ational Protection and Programs Directorate	CISA 009275
6)	CI3A 007273

1.1	÷	1.00
(h	1	(6)
10	1	101

From:	(b)(6)

Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 3:46 PM

To^{(b)(6)} Cc

<

Subject: FW: CVE Pilot Project in Los Angeles

(b)(6)

Please see below. I haven't been tracking the status of CVE funding. How would you like to handle^{(b)(6)} question? I assume we'll need to loop someone in from OCP. Let me know if this warrants a discussion.

Thanks,

(b)(6)			

From: Cowles, Matt (Appropriations) [mailto:Matt_Cowles@appro.senate.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 3:42 PM
To:(b)(6)
Cc:(b)(6)
Dudley, Drenan (Appropriations); Gupta, Stephanie (Appropriations); Kraninger, Kathy (Appropriations)
(b)(6)
Subject: CVE Pilot Project in Los Angeles

(b)(6)

We recently learned that NPPD's Los Angeles Strategic Engagement Office obligated \$116,666 to fund a CVE-related pilot project in Los Angeles. This funding was apparently in conjunction with an additional \$100k from CVE. Is this true? Can you please provide any details on this money? I'm interested in aspects such as:

- What account/PPA/office did the money come from;
- What mechanism was utilized to obligate the funds;
- Who is overseeing the project;
- What other cities received similar funds; and
- What is the LA Strategic Engagement Center?

Thanks,

Matt