

<b>From:</b>	Michael Masters (b)(6)
<b>To:</b>	"Morgenthau, Sarah (b)(6) (b)(6)
<b>Subject:</b>	FW: GRTF Report Follow-up
<b>Date:</b>	2016/02/20 11:40:17
<b>Type:</b>	Note

Sarah,

I hope the CVE meeting went well yesterday. I would appreciate the opportunity to discuss the grants effort with you, prior to our group call. I have concerns related to some of the comments made with respect to the draft, particular as they juxtapose against the insight that I received last week with respect to the intent of the tasking, itself.

Thanks.

V/R,  
Michael

---

**From:** Michael Masters (b)(6)  
**Date:** Friday, February 19, 2016 at 22:14  
**To:** Elaine Duke (b)(6), Bill Livingood  
(b)(6), 'Juliette Kayyem' (b)(6), Elizabeth Harman (b)(6)  
**Cc:** Katrina Woodhams (b)(6), Sarah Morgenthau  
(b)(6), Sydney Black (b)(6)  
Erin Walls (b)(6), Mike Miron (b)(6), "'Dian Lefkowitz (Juliette Kayyem)'" (b)(6), "'Wanner, Angie'"  
(b)(6), Lauren Davis (b)(6)  
**Subject:** GRTF Report Follow-up

All,

I wanted to respond in a comprehensive manner to the suggestions and edits provided with respect to the draft report for the grants effort. In doing so, it is my hope that we may reach consensus on how best to address the various points raised, as well as others that are inherent to the report.

Most importantly, I would like to note that the provided attachment was the first comprehensive draft that included all of the "findings" (I'll address this language issue in a moment) while also attempting to organize the recommendations topically, in one whole manner. It is to be anticipated, expected and encouraged that this will generate robust discussion so as to get us to final copy, without being viewed as complete.