



ILLINOIS STATE POLICE
Office of the Director

Pat Quinn
Governor

Jonathon E. Monken
Acting Director

RESPONSE GRANTING A FOIA REQUEST

March 24, 2010

FOIR #9240

Karen Sheley
Roger Baldwin Foundation of ACLU
180 N. Michigan Ave.
Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60601

Ms. Sheley:

Thank you for writing to the Illinois State Police with your request for information pursuant to the Illinois Freedom of Information Act, 5 ILCS 140/1 et seq.

On February 26, 2010, you requested the following document(s): See attached. Your request is granted.

I have attached responses to each request.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kerry Sutton".

Tpr. Kerry Sutton
FOIA Officer
801 South 7th Street, Suite 1000-S
Post Office Box 19461
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9461

1. Requests indicating the number of:

A. People whose activities have been reported by the ISP to the eGuardian system;

See attachment #1.

B. SARs or incidents reported by the ISP to the eGuardian system;

See attachment #1.

C. SARs or incidents reported by the ISP to the eGuardian system, and also entered into the eGuardian system;

See attachment #1.

D. SARs or incidents reported by the ISP to the eGuardian system, but rejected for entry into the eGuardian system.

See attachment #1, no other records responsive to this request exist.

2. Policy records and/or training records pertaining to:

A. The sources of data reported to, entered into, or disseminated through the eGuardian system, including commercial sources.

No records responsive to this request.

B. The definition of "suspicious activity" that may be collected, reported, entered into, retained, or disseminated through eGuardian;

The definition of Suspicious Activity according to ISE-FS-200 and ISE-SAR Functional Standard:

The new version of the functional standard for suspicious activity reporting (SAR) defines suspicious activity as "*observed behavior reasonably indicative of pre-operational planning related to terrorism or other criminal activity.*"

C. The standards and procedures used to evaluate what information should or can be reported to, entered into or disseminated through the eGuardian system;

The ISP requires adherence to the draft STIC Privacy policy and 28 CFR Part 23 for all information collected, evaluated, stored, disseminated, and purged.

D. The standards and procedures used to evaluate what information should be rejected or not entered into the eGuardian system;

Criteria for entry are that the incident and information must meet the standards of 28 CFR Part 23.

E. eGuardian's compliance or noncompliance with international, federal, state and/or local privacy and anti-discrimination laws, and federal regulations governing criminal intelligence systems;

No records responsive to this request.

F. The verification and maintenance of the accuracy of data in the eGuardian system;

No records responsive to this request.

G. The retention or destruction information in the eGuardian system;

No records responsive to this request.

H. The use or consideration of race, religion, national origin and/or ethnicity as factors to support the reporting of, the dissemination of, the evaluation of whether to enter, or the destruction of information in the eGuardian system;

No records responsive to this request.

I. Changes to or revisions of the eGuardian program.

See attachment #2.

3. Intra-agency correspondence or correspondence with local, state, or federal agencies regarding the implementation of and/or problems and concerns associated with the implementation of eGuardian.

See documents attached as Attachment #3.

4. Records concerning evaluations, tests, analyses, and/or assessments of:

A. The implementation of and/or performance of the eGuardian system;

No records responsive to this request. As of January 10, 2010, the Illinois State Police no longer refers SARs to the eGuardian system.

B. The effectiveness and/or ineffectiveness of the eGuardian system, including the standards, procedures, and analyses used to evaluate what information should or can be reported to, entered into, retained in, or disseminated through the eGuardian system;

No records responsive to this request.

C. The accuracy of information maintained in the eGuardian system;

No records responsive to this request.

D. Problems with the eGuardian system.

No records responsive to this request.

5. Records created concerning complaints about, investigations of, and/or disciplinary actions related to the misuse or abuse of the eGuardian system, as well as any investigations and/or reported legal violations concerning the implementation of the program.

No records responsive to this request.

6. Records created describing the process and/or procedures individuals may use to find out whether and what information about themselves is maintained in the eGuardian system, and how to correct any inaccurate information in the system.

No records responsive to this request.

7. Records created regarding the financial and staffing resources required to operate eGuardian, including any cost-benefit analyses of the system.

No records responsive to this request.