From: @ijis.org> Tuesday, September 08, 2009 4:40 PM Sent: To: Lewis, David O'Reilly, Thomas; Cc: @iir.com; Subject: RE: FBI Security Policy I agree that's why I'm not responding to directly and pushed it off to you folks. However based on his question last week after our discussion with he and I suspect that NYSIC may not want to get engaged. SAR Program Manager IJIS Institute 44983 Knoll Square Ashburn, VA 20147 Office: Remote Office: Cell: Fax: 703-726-3557 email: @ijis.org www.ijis.org From: Lewis, David [mailto:David.P.Lewis@usdoj.gov] Sent: Tue 9/8/2009 4:40 PM To: Cc: O'Reilly, Thomas; @iir.com; **Subject:** RE: FBI Security Policy I advise you do not engage the states in this issue. This is a policy issue and not a technical one. I will discuss this with Tom when he returns and we will address it with the states. David [mailto @iiis.org] From: Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2009 2:17 PM To: Cc: Lewis, David; O'Reilly, Thomas; @iir.com Subject: RE: FBI Security Policy This could be problematic if NY or FL don't like this answer and decide to opt out of the e-Guardian push feature. They could potentially even have heartburn with FBI access to their shared Space data. David any thoughts? [mailto: @iir.com] Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2009 10:56 AM To:

1

Cc: Lewis, David

Subject: RE: FBI Security Policy

Neither the FBI nor DOJ has promulgated an ISE-SAR specific or other policy that meets the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements, although the Bureau has promulgated a PIA for the e-Guardian system.

From: [mailto: @ijis.org]

Sent: Thursday, September 03, 2009 4:45 PM

To: [Cc: Lewis, David Subject: FBI Security Policy

Two of the FCs asked me on where the FBI stands on their privacy policy. I believe that the FBI policy has been approved or accepted for the Shared Space. The context has to do with State FCs forwarding SARs from their Shared Space to eGuardian via the NCIRC portal.

UIIS Institute

44983 Knoll Square

Ashburn, VA 20147

Main Office:

Remote Office:

@ijis.org

Cell: