
From: [REDACTED]@ijis.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2009 8:06 PM
To: Lewis, David; O'Reilly, Thomas
Cc: [REDACTED]@tetrusconsulting.com; [REDACTED]@nukore.com
Subject: SAR Hands-on Demo status

Although we did not have a lot of folks come thru, I think we made a "sale" in nearly every case.
Ohio Fusion Center - "can't wait to get on board"
Minnesota Fusion Center - "I think this meets our privacy concerns"
Oregon Fusion Center " We do not want to go the eGuardian route - this is perfect"
Wisconsin Fusion Center - "our system is identical to FDLE should be a slam dunk to join Shared Space"
Dallas PD - "SVT is preferred over eGuardian"
Missouri Fusion Center "SVT may help us consolidate SAR data at the TEW and other local sites"

All but Wisconsin could use the SVT. (plus Wisconsin already has all the HW and SW needed)

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] I may have missed some folks that you talked with since we had the 3 tables.
Please let me know. But I would suspect that Ohio, Oregon and Wisconsin are pretty firm.
[REDACTED]