
From: Lewis, David
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 1:53 PM
To: O'Reilly, Thomas
Subject: RE: Implementation Checklist

OK

-----Original Message-----

From: O'Reilly, Thomas
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 1:52 PM
To: Lewis, David
Subject: Re: Implementation Checklist

Can you advise the 3 amd the PM office

----- Original Message -----

From: Lewis, David
To: O'Reilly, Thomas
Sent: Fri May 08 13:06:16 2009
Subject: FW: Implementation Checklist

Tom,

As you can see from [REDACTED] email there were some issues that needed to be addressed prior to bringing this up "live." My guidance to him was to do whatever necessary to make the product available in the most useable and correct method. I think we're looking at Monday morning right now. I will keep you informed.

David

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] ([mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com])
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 12:57 PM
To: Lewis, David
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Implementation Checklist

David,

The team has completed the functional check list. There was one issue that needed addressing. We were initially planning on going with a mix of LEXS and non-LEXS services. The portal is capable of mixing results from LEXS and non-LEXS services. Our initial plan was to complete the eGuardian testing and then circle back and upgrade the non-LEXS sites.

This would have minimized the demands on the center such as FDLE, NYSIC, and VFC.

There were three search parameters which were made searchable after we completed the initial evaluation. These parameters were not available in the earlier version of the service (non-LEXS). Now that we have SVT-sourced LEXS systems in the mix, the service and the portal support searches on these parameters, we felt that we should address this

inconsistency and bring the non-LEXS sites up to speed. We were planning on bringing FDLE and Virginia up with the LEXS version of the service. We are currently scheduled for a 9:30 install at FDLE and are awaiting a time fix for VFC.

Do you have a counter proposal?

[REDACTED]

On Fri, May 8, 2009 at 12:17 PM, Lewis, David <David.P.Lewis@usdoj.gov> wrote:

> [REDACTED]
>
> So the checklist is completed from a functional side correct?
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com]
> Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 12:15 PM
> To: Lewis, David
> Subject: Implementation Checklist
>
> David,
>
> [REDACTED] is having bad connectivity today, I will be sending the
> implementation check list out on his behalf. We are just putting
> finishing touches to the procedures document. It will be sent in the
> next few minutes.
>
> [REDACTED]
>
> --
>
> _____
> [REDACTED]
> Cell: [REDACTED]
>

--

[REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED]