

From: Lewis, David
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2012 4:12 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Here are a couple of new tech slides - please review

Agreed, but that is the push to eG not the search.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2012 4:07 PM
To: Lewis, David
Subject: RE: Here are a couple of new tech slides - please review

I see what you say but the arrow going toward e-guardian might make someone believe they are getting the e-guardian site data—but you know you and I don't always agree on diagrams

From: Lewis, David [mailto:David.P.Lewis@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2012 3:47 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Here are a couple of new tech slides - please review

I will take these under consideration. Although I think he's reading the chart incorrectly under comment #2 . . . If you look at the NSI Search function in only goes to the common boxes and is noted that the search only looks at the common boxes to include DHS and eG. The search of eG is only for eGuardian users and is designated as such. Take a look and let me know what you think.

David

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2012 3:43 PM
To: Lewis, David
Subject: FW: Here are a couple of new tech slides - please review

Here are some observations from [REDACTED]—minor in nature but worth considering—thanks bob

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2012 10:35 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Here are a couple of new tech slides - please review

Some observations:

1. On slide 2 the terms *Analyst* and *Supervisor* are used to designate functions within the submission process. I recommend that these terms be changed to *Reviewer* and *Approver*. I do not believe there is a direct correlation between these functions and the positions of Analyst and Supervisor. I know that this may seem trivial—merely semantics—but in my experience, people can get hung up on something like this. I think these functions should be defined along with the training requirements and access requirements (eGuardian access for the Approver) on a separate slide.

2. Should we differentiate between eGuardian and the eGuardian Common Box. The slide implies that the NSI search searches eGuardian, which I do not believe is accurate. The purpose for this distinction is that if a Fusion Center has entered information into eGuardian and searches NSI, they may not find the record if it has not been pushed from eGuardian to the eGuardian Common Box.

b(6)

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Senior Research Associate
Institute for Intergovernmental Research
Post Office Box 12729
Tallahassee, FL 32317-2729
[REDACTED]

Eastern Time Zone

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2012 8:37 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Here are a couple of new tech slides - please review

For your review and probably will be included in Sacramento power point

From: Lewis, David [mailto:David.P.Lewis@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2012 5:49 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Here are a couple of new tech slides - please review

I've update some of the tech slides that will be use in the canned presentation. The goal was to show the search steps and the role the common box plays in the NSI architecture. Please take a look at these and provide comments/suggestions.

David

David P. Lewis
Chief Technology Officer, Nationwide SAR Initiative (NSI)
Senior Policy Advisor, Justice Information Sharing
Bureau of Justice Assistance
US Department of Justice
810 7th Street, NW
Washington DC 20531

202-616-7829
