From:	O'Reilly, Thomas	
Sent:	Thursday, September 04, 2008 9:43 PM	
To:	24 25 25 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	
Subject:	RE: Assessment of the DC FC and EGuardian	
If she has a SWAG that would be	nelpful.	
Original Message		
From:		
Sent: Thursday, September 04, 20		
To: O'Reilly, Thomas		
Subject: Re: Assessment of the DO	FC and EGuardian	
Thanks Following Tom's and	d Dave's review, we should be ready to provide support and/or suggestions.	
is the required code development	t something we could estimate scope and/or value to potentially recruit a provider to	
contribute resources to coordinat		
	The second secon	
Thx.		
5		
Original Message		
From:		
To: O'Reilly, Thomas <thomas.o'f< th=""><th>Reilly2@usdoj.gov>;</th></thomas.o'f<>	Reilly2@usdoj.gov>;	
Sent: Thu Sep 04 19:12:33 2008		
Subject: Assessment of the DC FC	and EGuardian	
97		
Tom and		
Here is a quick assessment of the	EC meeting today	
Here is a quick assessment of the	To meeting today.	
At the beginning, I thought it wen	it well because the EGuardian was supportive of the FC. However, David Lewis said	
that this is a different story then w	what he heard from the FBI since yesterday. EGuardian (FBI) claimed that they could	
	nent from the DC FC, but David thinks this is not true because the FBI does not even	
have the SAR IEPD.		
DC FC Director, in	ndicated they will use SAR and that there is no doubt that they will need to	
	ney needed assistance from information sharing community and the FBI. EGuardian has	

not even looked at the SAR IEPD and the DC FC just got the Functional Specification last week. No one has even done the mapping of EGuardian data elements to SAR IEPD. According to the David, the schema will be a super set of the

b(6)

current SAR. The RMS people are 70-80% completed with the SAR process. The FC is concerned with the privacy issues since EGuardian is unclassified with law enforcement sensitivity. The two agencies do not a ConOps.	
has short-term and long-term concerns. The short term is to have these two agency share data before the Jan. 2009 (Inauguration's Day specifically) and the long-term is to then share data seamlessly with other agencies. If all the technical solutions are not in place, then the backup plan for Jan. 2009 is for the FC to manually enter data into EGuardian.	b(6)
Somehow David thought that I could write code to extract data from the DC RMS and give it the FBI. I think that was a misunderstanding since I do not have the cycle for it. It also been a while since I last wrote code and I think he understood it. I could provide technical guidance on NIEM conformance, XML, SOA, etc.	
It was difficult to know who represented which side since missed the introduction. I had a difficult time getting into the building and finally arrived 5 minutes late to the room. The meeting started on time. was the only contact I was given to get an escort, but he was not in his office and did not answer his cell phone.	
Email:	
, PMP NIEM Technical Architecture Committee	
Email:	

The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. Access to this email by anyone other than the intended addressee is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, any review, disclosure, copying, distribution, retention, or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please reply to or forward a copy of this message to the sender and delete the message, any attachments, and any copies thereof from your system.

-Tr