

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 5:22 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Fw: NSI Architecture Review
Attachments: NSI Architecture Plan - Nov-6-2009 DRAFT cec notes.doc; N-DEx SAR Position Statement DRAFT 21 Nov 2009 cec v6.doc

From: [redacted]
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Sent: Sun Nov 22 17:21:36 2009
Subject: RE: NSI Architecture Review

b6
b7C

[redacted] - thanks for all the thought you put into this. But, I don't think most of these questions are an issue. This new capacity in eGuardian simply increases consistency between the 2 - may even be a step in the direction of "convergence" which [redacted] has said he thinks should happen. Where the requirement came from, vetting this with any of the parties you name below; sorry, I don't know why we have to consider them. The ACLU - since when do we ask them about what we need to fulfill our operations? It is nothing new to the NSI as Sh Sp already does this.

I also don't understand the question about N-DEx - the 2 are completely different - in fact, I'm attaching a DRAFT of a paper I'm working on that states unequivocally the two have completely different means of collecting, reporting, sharing data. There is no reason to address N-DEx in this SARs EA context, at least that I can see. (Please do not disseminate at this point - still close hold.)

I have told [redacted] and a few others about this and I am sure the word spread quickly, so no, this won't be news to very many people. It might be time to step back and review just what kind of architecture we need, since this was the main reason we were told that we needed 2 systems. And, as [redacted] has said, this should be a system-neutral review - eGuardian must stand on its own merits as well. I don't mean for this to devolve into the battle over systems, but do we need to look at this overarching question? <http://arstechnica.com/open-source/news/2009/11/chromeos-announcement.ars>

[redacted] is getting ready to leave the country soon and [redacted] I don't think you need to spend much time on these questions. My suggestions as to where the new ability can be addressed are noted in the attached.

If anyone wants to comment on the N-DEx SAR Position paper, please feel free. Am planning to get this finalized by COB tomorrow.

The EA paper is full of non-EA issues, as [redacted] has pointed out, but we should keep these to a minimum.

Thanks [redacted]

[redacted]
Federal Bureau of Investigation

O [redacted]
BB [redacted]

b6
b7C

From: [redacted]
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 2:03 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: NSI Architecture Review

EGuardian-571

[redacted]
I understand you want the new e-Guardian capability surfaced in the NSI EA paper. I'm going to need a little more information to do so.

What you are talking about is an e-Guardian enhancement. Does the new capability enhance the current NSI capabilities too? If yes, how?

Where did the web services requirement come from to enhance e-Guardian capabilities? SLT or OGA? How similar to the N-DEX model is e-Guardian going to become?

Has this requirement been vetted anywhere to include the OMB, NSC, FBI and DOJ OGC, ACLU, PM-ISE, and BJA? If not, when do we plan to do so? After the NSI EA document is published?

Is the NSI EA document going to be the first time anyone outside of FTTTF and some SLT pilot sites are going to know of the future capability? Or do we plan to socialize the capability widely during EA development?

How does FTTTF see this capability comparing, contrasting or enhancing existing NSI capabilities now and in the future?

In short, what is the e-Guardian 5 year plan as it relates to the NSI and web services? What is the e-Guardian FOC going to look like in this interoperable environment?

And how does FTTTF see e-Guardian fitting into the NSI five year plan once the NSI PMO stands up given this new capability?

[redacted]
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Information and Technology Branch
[redacted]

b6
b7c

From: [redacted]
Sent: Sunday, November 22, 2009 1:14 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Re: NSI Architecture Review

It should be in the EA paper.
Sent from my Blackberry

From: [redacted]
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Sent: Sun Nov 22 12:18:08 2009
Subject: RE: NSI Architecture Review

I do not believe so.

I will check.

[redacted]
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Information and Technology Branch
[redacted]

EGuardian-572