

[REDACTED]

From: Lewis, David
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2010 9:25 AM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (FBI); (b) (6)
Subject: RE: eGuardian push from SS
Attachments: eGModel-Mapping_Notes_3.xls

[REDACTED]

I've had our team look at what you provided and [REDACTED]. Please take a look and then lets talk. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I would like to set up a cal at the beginning of next week and then possibly a face-to-face. We also need to get a time frame when we can finish this process so we can close the door on this portion. I would like to have this done and be able to implement the moment the privacy policy is finally signed. Thank you.

David

From: (b) (6) [mailto:(b) (6)@techtrack.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2010 2:43 PM
To: Lewis, David
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (FBI); (b) (6)
Subject: RE: eGuardian push from SS

[REDACTED], shows mandatory fields.

From: Lewis, David [mailto:David.P.Lewis@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2010 2:41 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (FBI); (b) (6)
Subject: RE: eGuardian push from SS

[REDACTED]

Thank you for getting this back to us. Of the list your provided can you identify any other fields you have marked as "mandatory."

David

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]@techtrack.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2010 2:36 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (FBI); Lewis, David
Subject: RE: eGuardian push from SS

Don,

There are, as you know, two directions to the NSI/eGuardian interface.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

A conference call, to discuss next steps, is a minimal requirement. A face-to-face meeting is probably a better idea.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (office)
[REDACTED] (cell)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2010 10:16 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: eGuardian push from SS

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]@techtrack.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2010 4:30 PM
To: 'Lewis, David'
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: eGuardian push from SS
Importance: Low

Dave [REDACTED]

We are considering what the next/best move should be. Stay tuned.

Thanks!

From: Lewis, David [mailto:David.P.Lewis@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 18, 2010 2:03 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: eGuardian push from SS

I see you got the responses to the email you sent me prior to you going on vacation. I wanted to make contact with you last week, but it was a little hectic and I had to handle some personal matters so I had [REDACTED] forward the information to you. The one thing I would like to get from you for us to reference is a list of all the mandatory fields you have set up in the push process.

This will give our team a chance to look at the sites and come up with some alternatives as you suggested. Thank you.

David

David P. Lewis
Senior Policy Advisor, Justice Information Sharing
Bureau of Justice Assistance
US Department of Justice
810 7th Street, NW
Washington DC 20531

202-616-7829

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 18, 2010 12:35 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Lewis, David
Subject:

David Lewis asked me to forward the attached response to your earlier email regarding observations from your last round of eGuardian testing.

It may be useful to set up a short Telecom to discuss. Please let David or I know about next steps.



[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 8:38 AM
To: O'Reilly, Thomas
Cc: Cardiello, Maria
Subject: FW: OMB Language Regarding eGuardian Custom Interfaces

FYI – I don't know if this made it into the language but it was sent over to OMB.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 9:42 AM
To: Kshemendra N Paul
Cc: [REDACTED]; Kerry Sleeper; David A Bray; Thomas J Smialowicz; [REDACTED]
Subject: OMB Language Regarding eGuardian Custom Interfaces

Kshemendra,



Please let me know if you'd like this sent over to OMB as part of our recommendations for FY12.

v/r,
[REDACTED]