From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Friday, June 04, 2010 10:33 AM O'Reilly, Thomas RE: eGuardian Follow Up
Tom,	
(b) (9)	
(5) (5)	
(b) (5)	
(8. 6 <u>)</u>	
Sent: Friday, June 04, To: 5. 5 Subject: Fw: eGuardia	
From: 5/6/To: O'Reilly, Thomas Sent: Thu Jun 03 21:5 Subject: eGuardian Fo	
Tom:	
but I couldn't find it last	the eGuardian issue with (5) (6) I thought such a letter existed and was on the LEO eGuardian site time I logged on. I thought I had seen an implementation date of April somewhere along the n would help me with my argument. It was great seeing you and I appreciate your consideration of
(5)(5) Work:(5)(5) Cell: ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	•