From:

3, 6

Sent:

Monday, November 15, 2010 7:04 PM

To:

O'Reilly, Thomas Lewis, David

Cc: Subject:

RE: Shared Space -> FBI Ingest: Plan B

Also, get an OK from them in writing on this approach. Tks. K

From: O'Reilly, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.O'Reilly2@usdoj.gov]

Sent: Monday, November 15, 2010 6:51 PM

To: Kshemendra N Paul

Cc: David Lewis

Subject: RE: Shared Space -> FBI Ingest: Plan B

6, 7(C) and ^{6, 7(C)} agreed to it. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

That is correct. David's clean up will be

forthcoming in the AM.

Thomas J. O'Reilly
Director
Nationwide SAR Initiative (NSI)
U.S. Department of Justice
810 Seventh St. NW
Washington, D.C.
thomas.o'reilly2@usdol.gov

202-353-8590 (o)

(b) (6) (c)

From: 3, 6

and the second s

[mailto3, 6

Sent: Monday, November 15, 2010 3:08 PM

To: O'Reilly, Thomas

Subject: RE: Shared Space -> FBI Ingest: Plan B

I like this formulation. Does It have legs?

(b) (5) 7(E) per FBI

Tks.

-K

From: O'Reilly, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.O'Reilly2@usdoj.gov]

Sent: Monday, November 15, 2010 2:47 PM

To: Kshemendra N Paul

Subject: FW: Shared Space -> FBI Ingest: Plan B

Here is the first response that reflects a tow hour session in Orlando with 6, 7(0) Lewis and myself. David expanded upon this and I have a few edits that I will go over with him at 3 PM to day and get to you.

Thomas J. O'Reilly Director

Nationwide SAR Initiative (NSI)
U.S. Department of Justice
810 Seventh St. NW
Washington, D.C.
thomas.o'reilly2@usdoj.gov
202-353-8590 (o)
(b) (6) (c)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Monday, November 08, 2010 12:49 PM **To:** O'Reilly, Thomas (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Fw: Shared Space -> FBI Ingest: Plan B

FYI

6, 7(C)

A/Assistant Section Chief National Threat Center Section

Desk6, 7(C) Cell6, 7(C)

From: (b) (6)

To: Lewis, David (OJP)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) .; 6, 7(C)

Sent: Mon Nov 08 12:48:17 2010

Subject: Shared Space -> FBI Ingest: Plan B

Dave,

Last week I met with 6, 7(C) to review a new proposal (b) (5)

(SS). This email will describe the proposed process from an <u>operational</u> standpoint—I will defer discussion of the technical implications until later.

(b)5, 7(E)

Here is my current understanding of where the new process is heading:

(b) (5)

Is that accurate from your perspective?

In terms of the technical implications, this is a significant change on our side. At this point we are still considering how it would be done.

Thanks!

