From: Sent: To:	6, 7(C) Monday, March 28, 2011 10:47 PM (b) (6); Black, Katherine; O'Reilly, Thomas; Cardiellos, Maria; Lewis, David, ^{6, 7(C)} 6, 7(C) 6, 7(C) (DHS); 6, 7(C) (b) (6); (b) (6) (b) (6)
Subject:	Re: 3, 6 summary of eGuardian discussion from March 23rd ISA IPC meeting
That was my understand	ing also 5, 7(E)
< <u>Maria.Cardiellos@usdoi</u> 6, 7(C) (b) (6) Sent: Mon Mar 28 14:38	
-	ummary of eGuardian discussion from March 23rd ISA IPC meeting e more explanation of the 5, 7(E) ,(b) (6)
Sent: Monday, March 28 To: O'Reilly, Thomas; Ca (b) (6) (b) (6)	mallto:Katherine,Black@usdoj.gov] , 2011 2:21 PM rdiellos, Maria; Lewis, David; <u>6, 7(C)</u> 6, 7(C) (DHS) <u>6, 7(C)</u> ; (b) (6) ummary or equardian discussion from March 23rd ISA IPC meeting
All – please review the el Kate	mail below and let me know if you have any questions or concerns.
From 3, 6 Sent: Friday, March 25, To: paulek@nctc.gov3, 6 Subject: 3, 6	
•	of highlights of the eGuardian presentation from Wednesday's ISA IPC meeting. A formal s for the meeting is being developed with action item deadlines.
-	e (Jim Burch and Tom O'Reilly) Itee is making progress. Jim sees the SAR Sub-Committee as providing "enhanced value" to the
	1