Governing Body Review:

For the purposes of this combined 2009 Local Justice Assistance Grant (Recovery) application, the governing body of the applicant is the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors and the County Manager. Initial notification of the proposed projects for this grant application to the Governing Body was made informally by Ms. Amy Rex to County Manager David Smith.

Email notification of the proposed projects follows:
From: Amy Rex - JSPX Sent: Friday, April 17, 2009 1:45 PM To: David Smith - CAOX Cc: Teresa Tschupp - JUVX Subject: 2009 Edward Byrne Memorial JAG Recovery Grants - notice to representative of governing body
Importance: High
Attachments: Recommended projects2009.doc
Hi Dave,
As you are aware, the Recovery Act: Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Formula Program - Local Solicitation has been released. As this falls under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, the number of partners and the amount of funding increased substantially. However, Maricopa County and the Cities of Avondale, Chandler, El Mirage, Glendale, Goodyear, Mesa, Peoria, Phoenix, Scottsdale, Tempe, Tolleson, and Surprise and the Towns of Buckeye, Gilbert, and Youngtown still are considered disparate partners for the purposes of funding. Our sixteen entities are eligible to receive \$10,536,695. The funding distributions will be as follows: the County will receive \$1,447,760; Avondale \$47,883; Buckeye \$21,614; Chandler \$433,610; El Mirage \$43,893; Gilbert \$117,214; Glendale \$740,863; Goodyear \$56,197; Mesa \$1,095,332; Peoria \$159,778; Phoenix \$5,494,118; Scottsdale \$234,096; Tempe \$510,423; Tolleson \$32,920; Surprise \$57,194, and Youngtown \$13,800. The agreement will be finalized in an MOU which all agencies are in the process of having signed; this will be placed on a Board of Supervisor's agenda - most likely May 6, 2009. Maricopa County will serve as the fiscal agent.
Most agencies are working through their own Council timelines for final approval of the programs they propose to fund using these funds. However, all have provided me with the proposed programs, attached here for your review.
This information also will be placed on our website (http://www.maricopa.gov/CriminalJustice/default.aspx) to ensure the public is provided an opportunity to comment on this process. I anticipate the information will be online within a week.
Please let me know if you have any questions, Amy
Amy A. Rex Maricopa County Criminal Justice Project Mgr 602-506-1310

Combined Review Narrative - Teresa Tschupp

1 of 13

11/9/2012

From: Amy Rex - JSPX

Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2009 2:35 PM

To: David Smith - CAOX Cc: Teresa Tschupp - JUVX

Subject: 2009 Edward Byrne Memorial JAG Recovery Grants - UPDATED notice to representative of governing

body

Importance: High

Attachments: Recommended projects 2009, April 23, 2009. doc

Hi Dave,

As you know, the City of Scottsdale decided to utilize \$9,500 of the \$234,096 it was allocated from the Recovery Act: Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Formula Program - Local Solicitation. All partners have agreed to distribute the remaining \$224,596 to the City of Avondale for the Southwest Family Advocacy Center. Therefore, distributions of the \$10,536,695 are now as follows: the County will receive \$1,447,760; Avondale \$272,479; Buckeye \$21,614; Chandler \$433,610; El Mirage \$43,893; Gilbert \$117,214; Glendale \$740,863; Goodyear \$56,197; Mesa \$1,095,332; Peoria \$159,778; Phoenix \$5,494,118; Scottsdale \$9,500; Tempe \$510,423; Tolleson \$32,920; Surprise \$57,194, and Youngtown \$13,800. The agreement will be finalized in an MOU which has been changed to reflect the new amounts and will be sent to all agencies this afternoon. The MOU will be placed on a Board of Supervisor's agenda - it is currently scheduled for May 6, 2009 although the MOU modification may require the item to be heard on May 20, 2009. Maricopa County will serve as the fiscal agent.

Most agencies continue to work through their own Council timelines for final approval of the programs they propose to fund using these funds. However, all have provided me with the proposed programs, attached here for your review. This list has been updated with the additional project under Avondale; the removal of Scottsdale's other proposed programs; and the removal of one item from El Mirage which was not possible given the limited amount of funding.

This information also will be placed on our website (http://www.maricopa.gov/CriminalJustice/default.aspx) to ensure the public is provided an opportunity to comment on this process. I anticipate the information will be online today.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you, Amy

Amy A. Rex Maricopa County Criminal Justice Project Mgr 602-506-1310

Following the email notification to County Manager David Smith, an item was placed on the draft agenda for the next upcoming Board of Supervisors formal meeting. The Board of Supervisors announces meeting dates and agenda items and welcomes public input into the decision-making process. The Board of Supervisors announcement follows:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FORMAL MEETING AGENDA

Maricopa County, Arizona
(and the Boards of Directors of the Flood Control District, Library District,
Stadium District, Improvement Districts and/or Board of Deposit)
Wednesday, May 20, 2009
9:00 AM

Agendas are available at least 24 hours prior to each meeting in the Office of the Clerk of the Board, 301 West Jefferson, Tenth Floor, Phoenix, Arizona, Monday through Friday between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. and on the internet at http://www.maricopa.gov/Clk_board/Agendas.aspx. One or more Board members may attend telephonically. Board members attending telephonically will be announced at the meeting. The Board may vote to recess into an executive session for the purpose of obtaining legal advice from the Board's attorney on any matter listed on the agenda pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3). Accommodations for individuals with disabilities, including alternative format materials, sign language interpretation, and assistive listening devices are available upon 72 hours' advance notice through the Office of the Clerk of the Board, 301 West Jefferson Avenue, Tenth Floor, Phoenix, Arizona 85003, (602) 506-3766, Fax (602) 506-6402, TDD (602) 506-2348.

To the extent possible, additional reasonable accommodations will be made available within the time constraints of the request.

See the Clerk and fill out a speaker's form if you would like to address the Board regarding any matter on the agenda.

Item # 23: GRANT FUNDING FROM THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT: EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT FORMULA PROGRAM - LOCAL SOLICITATION

- 1. Approve and accept grant funding through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, through the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Formula Program Local Solicitation in the amount of \$10,536,695 from United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance to Maricopa County through the following departments: County Manager/Justice System Planning and Information, Adult Probation, County Attorney, Juvenile Probation, and Sheriff's Office. Programs and services to be funded include: part-time contract grant administrator; expansion of Community Restitution Program; Gun Prosecution; community supervision through Juvenile Probation Officers; Parcel Interdiction; and Maricopa County Neighborhood Narcotics Enforcement Team (MCNNET).
- 2. Approve a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between Maricopa County, the Cities of Avondale, Chandler, El Mirage, Glendale, Goodyear, Mesa, Peoria, Phoenix, Scottsdale, Tempe, Tolleson, Surprise and the Towns of Buckeye, Gilbert, and Youngtown. This MOU is required pursuant to the grant application requirements. This MOU also includes a negotiated reallocation to Maricopa County of \$1,477,760 and \$9,058,935 in funds to be reallocated to the Cities and Towns as follows: Avondale \$272,479; Chandler \$433,610; El Mirage \$43,893; Glendale \$740,863; Goodyear \$56,197; Mesa \$1,095,332; Peoria \$159,778; Phoenix \$5,494,118; Scottsdale \$9,500; Tempe \$510,423; Tolleson \$32,920; Surprise \$57,194; Buckeye \$21,614; Gilbert \$117,214; and Youngtown \$13,800. Grant

Combined Review Narrative - Teresa Tschupp

3 of 13

11/9/2012

awards are to be expended within a four (4) year period of time, starting March 1, 2009 and ending not more than 48 months later. The JAG grant does allow up to 10 percent of the award for costs associated with administering JAG funds, and Maricopa County has been designated as the fiscal agent for this consortium of municipalities.

Approve the waiver of indirect costs above 10% of funding allocated to County Departments (County 3. Manager/Justice System Planning and Information, Adult Probation, County Attorney, Juvenile Probation and Sheriff's Office). County/Manager/Justice System Planning and Information will receive a total of \$100,000; at their indirect rate of 16.5%, their indirect costs are \$15,000.00 of which \$9,090.91 is recoverable and \$5,909.09 is unallowable / waived. Adult Probation will receive a total of \$344,440; at their indirect rate of 9.1%, their indirect costs are \$28,729.64 all of which is allowable. The County Attorney's Office will receive a total of \$344,440; at their indirect rate of 14.0%, their indirect costs are \$43,837.82 of which \$31,312.73 is recoverable and \$12,525.09 is unallowable / waived. Juvenile Probation will receive a total of \$344,440; at their indirect rate of 32.1%, their indirect costs are \$100,513.85 of which \$31,312.73 is recoverable and \$69,201.12 is unallowable / waived. Sheriff's Office will receive a total of \$344,440; at their indirect rate of 12.2%, their indirect costs are \$38,201.53 of which \$31,312.73 is recoverable and \$6,888,80 is unallowable / waived. Total indirect costs are \$226,282.84 of which \$131,758.74 is recoverable and \$94,524.10 is unallowable/ waived. Indirect costs over 10% are being waived so that a majority of the grant funding can be sub-granted to partner agencies, per the Memorandum of Understanding. (C-42-09-009-G-00)

Each jurisdiction participating in this combined application also made separate notifications to their individual governing bodies. The Memorandum of Understanding includes jurisdictional funding allocations and roles/responsibilities of the program partners. This MOU is to be fully executed by the governing bodies of each jurisdiction.

PUBLIC NOTIFICATION AND OPPORTUNITIES TO COMMENT:

The application was made public and to the extent of applicable law or established procedure, an opportunity to comment was provided to the citizens of Maricopa County and neighborhood or community organizations. On April 23, 2009 the Maricopa County Justice Systems Planning & Information office posted notification of the intent to apply for the 2009 Local Justice Assistance Grant (Recovery) on the public accessible website http://www.maricopa.gov/CriminalJustice. Detailed information regarding the application and proposed projects to be funded by Program partners was posted http://www.maricopa.gov/pr_detail.aspx?releaseID=870 as well. On May 4, revisions to the proposed projects were posted, for public review.

The Board of Supervisors announces meeting dates and agenda items and welcomes public input into the decision-making process. Each jurisdiction participating in this combined application also made separate notifications to their individual governing bodies.

DISPARATE JURISDICTION – MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING:

A draft of the final approved *Memorandum of Understanding* follows this section. All jurisdictions have agreed as to format and language; fully executed MOU will be submitted to the Bureau of Justice Assistance / Office of Justice Programs prior to Grant Award. Maricopa County has agreed to serve as the applicant/fiscal agent for these joint funds.

Combined Review Narrative – Teresa Tschupp

4 of 13

11/9/2012

CONTR	A CTT	NIA
1 1 1 2 1 10	A-1	

THE STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA

KNOW ALL BY THESE PRESENT

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AMONG

CITY OF AVONDALE, TOWN OF BUCKEYE, CITY OF CHANDLER, CITY OF EL MIRAGE, TOWN OF GILBERT, CITY OF GLENDALE, CITY OF GOODYEAR, CITY OF MESA, CITY OF PEORIA, CITY OF PHOENIX, CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, CITY OF TEMPE, CITY OF TOLLESON, CITY OF SURPRISE, TOWN OF YOUNGTOWN AND COUNTY OF MARICOPA, ARIZONA

2009 BYRNE JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM AWARD

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is made and entered into by and among the COUNTY of MARICOPA, hereinafter referred to as COUNTY; and the CITY of AVONDALE; and the TOWN of BUCKEYE; and the CITY of CHANDLER; and the CITY of EL MIRAGE; and the TOWN of GILBERT; and the CITY of GLENDALE; and the CITY of GOODYEAR; and the CITY of MESA; and the CITY of PEORIA; and the CITY of PHOENIX; and the CITY of SCOTTSDALE; and the CITY of TEMPE; and the CITY of TOLLESON; and the CITY of SURPRISE; and the TOWN of YOUNGTOWN, hereinafter referred to as CITIES and TOWNS; all of Maricopa County, State of Arizona, witnesseth:

WHEREAS, this MOU is made under the authority of A.R.S. §§11-201, -251:

- WHEREAS, the CITIES and TOWNS and the COUNTY have become entitled to certain grant funds through the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program; and
- WHEREAS, each governing body, in performing governmental functions or in paying for the performance of governmental functions hereunder, shall make that performance or those payments from current revenues legally available to that party; and
- WHEREAS, each governing body finds that the performance of this MOU is in the best interests of all parties, that the undertaking will benefit the public, and that the division of costs fairly compensates the performing party for the services or functions under this agreement; and
- WHEREAS, the COUNTY agrees to receive \$10,536,695 from the JAG award for the Maricopa County JAG Program; and
- WHEREAS, the CITIES and TOWNS and COUNTY believe it to be in their best interests to reallocate the JAG funds;

NOW THEREFORE, the COUNTY and CITIES and TOWNS agree as follows:

Section 1

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Avondale a total of \$272,479 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay Town of Buckeye a total of \$21,614 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Chandler a total of \$433,610 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of El Mirage a total of \$43,893 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay Town of Gilbert a total of \$117,214 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Glendale a total of \$740,863 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Goodyear a total of \$56,197 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Mesa a total of \$1,095,332 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Peoria a total of \$159,778 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Phoenix a total of \$5,494,118 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Scottsdale a total of \$9,500 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Tempe a total of \$510,423 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Tolleson a total of \$32,920 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Surprise a total of \$57,194 of JAG funds.

COUNTY agrees to pay Town of Youngtown a total of \$13,800 of JAG funds.

All payments to CITIES and TOWNS will be made within thirty (30) days after receipt of the JAG funds by COUNTY.

Section 2

COUNTY agrees to use \$1,477,760 for the JAG Program until February 28, 2013.

Section 3

- 1. **Term**. This Agreement shall be in effect for the term of the FY2009 JAG grant, being March 1, 2009 through February 28, 2013, unless terminated sooner in accordance with the terms of the grant, and such reasonable time thereafter as may be needed to complete the administration of the grant.
- Obligations of the COUNTY. The COUNTY agrees to administer the Funds as provided in Section 1, and shall:
 - A. Ensure that the funds received by COUNTY are dispersed to the CITIES and TOWNS in accordance to this MOU, and shall
 - B. Collect and transmit to the appropriate Federal funding authorities all financial and program reports as required by the terms and conditions of the grant and applicable Federal regulations.
- 3. Obligations of the CITIES and TOWNS. During the term of this Agreement;
 - A. The CITIES and TOWNS agree that the COUNTY will administer the Funds as provided in Section 1.
 - B. The CITIES and TOWNS will maintain and provide to the COUNTY all financial and program reports as required by the terms and conditions of the grant and applicable Federal regulations.
 - C. The CITIES and TOWNS will be responsible for their own actions in providing services under this MOU and shall hold harmless the parties to this MOU from any liability that may arise from the furnishing of the services by the other parties.
- 4. **DISCLAIMER**. This MOU is not intended to and will not constitute, create, give rise to, or otherwise recognize a joint venture, agency, partnership or formal business association or organization of any kind among the parties, and the rights and obligations of the parties shall be only those expressly set forth in this MOU.
- 5. NON-AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS. Each payment obligation of the parties created hereby is conditioned on the availability of funds. The parties recognize that the continuation of this MOU after the close of any of their respective fiscal years shall be subject to the approval of their respective governing bodies providing an appropriation covering this item as an expenditure. None of the parties represent that said budget items will be actually adopted.

6. NOTICES. Notices provided under this Agreement shall be directed to the following persons:

The <u>COUNTY</u> :	The CITY of AVONDALE
	Name:
Teresa Tschupp	Address:
C/O Juvenile Probation Department	Address:
Finance Office	Address:
3125 W. Durango Phoenix, AZ 85009	City/St/Zip:
602-372-0332	Phone:
Fax: 602-506-4165	Fax:
	1 47.
The TOWN of BUCKEYE	The CITY of CHANDLER
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
	City/St/Zip:
City/St/Zip:	Phone:
Phone:	
Fax:	Fax:
The CITY of EL MIRAGE	The TOWN of GILBERT
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip:	City/St/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:
I UA.	I UA.
The CITY of GLENDALE	The CITY of GOODYEAR
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip:	City/St/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:

The CITY of MESA	The CITY of PEORIA
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip:	City/St/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:
The CITY of PHOENIX	The CITY of SCOTTSDALE
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip:	City/St/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:
The CITY of TEMPE	The CITY of TOLLESON
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip:	City/St/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:
The CITY of SURPRISE	The TOWN of YOUNGTOWN
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip:	City/St/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:

Section 4

The parties to this MOU do not intend for any third party to obtain a right by virtue of this MOU.

Section 5

CONFLICT OF INTEREST. This MOU is subject to A.R.S. §38-511.

Section 6

By entering into this MOU, the parties do not intend to create any obligations express or implied other than those set out herein; further, this MOU shall not create any rights in any party not a signatory hereto.

Section 7

This MOU shall not be effective until filed with the Maricopa County Recorder's Office.

Section 8

Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 35-391.06 and 35-393.06, all Parties hereby warrant, and represent that they do not have, and its subcontractors do not have, and during the term hereof will not have a scrutinized business operation in either Sudan or Iran.

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	MARICOPA COUNTY By: Max Wilson Its: Chairman of the Board of Supervisors Attest: Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board	
Deputy County Attorney Dat		
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	CITY OF AVONDALE By: Type Name: Its: Attest:	**************************************
Avondale City Attorney	Date DATE:	
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	TOWN OF BUCKEYE By: Type Name: Its: Attest:	
Buckeye Town Attorney	Date DATE:	

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Chandler City Attorney This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the	Date	CITY OF CHANDLER By: Type Name: Its: Attest: DATE: CITY OF EL MIRAGE By:
laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	Note:	Type Name: Its: Attest:
El Mirage City Attorney)ate	DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.		TOWN OF GILBERT By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Gilbert Town Attorney D	Date	DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.		CITY OF GLENDALE By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Glendale City Attorney	Date	DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.		CITY OF GOODYEAR By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Goodyear City Attorney	Date	DATE:

		CITY OF MESA
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.		By: Type Name: Its:
		Attest:
Mesa City Attorney	Date	DATE:
		CITY OF PEORIA
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is		By:
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	e	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:
	_	Attest:
Peoria City Attorney	Date	DATE:
		CITY OF PHOENIX
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	S	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under th laws of this State to those parties represented by	e	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:
	_	Attest:
Phoenix City Attorney	Date	DATE:
		CITY OF SCOTTSDALE
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	3	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under th laws of this State to those parties represented by	e	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:
		Attest:
Scottsdale City Attorney	Date	DATE:
		CITY OF TEMPE
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	S	By:
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by		Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:
		Attest:
Tempe City Attorney	Date	DATE:

		CITY OF TOLLESON
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.		By: Type Name: Its:
Tolleson City Attorney	– Date	Attest:
		CITY OF SURPRISE
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.		By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Surprise City Attorney	Date	DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.		TOWN OF YOUNGTOWN By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Youngtown Town Attorney	Date	DATE:

OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS

Recovery Act - Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program

General Certification as to Requirements for Receipt of Funds for Infrastructure Investments

for Infrastructur	e Investments
On behalf of the applicant State or unit of local gobelow, I certify the following to the Office of Justi I have personally read and reviewed the section enfor the Recovery Act grant program named above 1511 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment a specific certification prior to receipt of Recovery Initial the statement that applies:	ice Programs ("OJP"), U.S. Department of Justice atitled "Eligibility" in the program announcement. I also have personally read and reviewed section that Act of 2009 (the "Recovery Act"), which require
The applicant identified below does not received under this Recovery Act grant prograthis intention change, the applicant will prompany, that OJP has given prior written approval vetting required by law) will not draw down, of this Recovery Act program for any infrastructure Recovery Act has been satisfied, and an adequexecuted, posted, and submitted to OJP.	m for any infrastructure investment. Should ptly notify OJP, and (except to the extent, if to expend funds to conduct the review and bligate, or expend any funds received under the investment project until section 1511 of the
The applicant identified below does into under this Recovery Act grant program for one Except to the extent, if any, that OJP has given conduct the review and vetting required by law execute, post, and submit to OJP, prior to oblisuch project, a project-specific certification the 1511 (including execution by the Governor, ma for each such infrastructure investment project	n prior written approval to expend funds to w, I agree that the applicant entity will gating, expending, or drawing down funds for at satisfies all of the requirements of section eyor, or other chief executive, as appropriate)
General Certification as to Requirements for Rece I acknowledge that a false statement in this certific including under 18 U.S.C. § 1001. I also acknowled including certifications provided in connection wit of Justice Programs and/or by the Department of I have authority to make this certification on beha applying directly to the Office of Justice Programs	cation may be subject to criminal prosecution, dge that Office of Justice Program grants, th such grants, are subject to review by the Office Justice's Office of the Inspector General. If of the applicant (that is, the governmental entity
Laighteut	David R Smith
Signature of Certifying Official	Printed Name of Certifying Official
County Wanager Title of Certifying Official	Maricopa (ounty
4 <i>i</i>	Full Name of Applicant Government Entity
5/15/A9	

Recovery Act – Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program

Certification as to Recovery Act Reporting Requirements

On behalf of the applicant entity named below, I certify the following to the Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice:

I have personally read and reviewed the section entitled "Accountability and Transparency under the Recovery Act" in the program announcement for the Recovery Act grant program identified above. I have also read and reviewed section 1512(c) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5), concerning reporting requirements for grants. I agree that the applicant will comply with the reporting requirements set forth therein with respect to any grant the applicant may receive under the Recovery Act grant program identified above.

I acknowledge that a false statement in this certification may be subject to criminal prosecution, including under 18 U.S.C. § 1001. I also acknowledge that Office of Justice Program grants, including certifications provided in connection with such grants, are subject to review by the Office of Justice Programs, and/or by the Department of Justice's Office of the Inspector General.

I have authority to make this certification on behalf of the applicant entity (that is, the entity applying directly to the Office of Justice Programs).

Signature of Certifying Official

David R Smith
Printed Name of Certifying Official

Maricopa (ounty
Full Name of Applicant Government Entity

Office of Justice Programs (OJP) Recovery Act Additional Requirements

(Applicable to Programs Funded under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Public Law 111-5)

On February 17, 2009, President Obama signed into law the landmark American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the "Recovery Act"). As one of its many elements, the Recovery Act provides the U.S. Department of Justice with funding for grants to assist state, local, and tribal law enforcement (including support for hiring), to combat violence against women, to fight internet crimes against children, to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, to assist victims of crime, and to support youth mentoring. DOJ is committed to working with our national, state, local and tribal partnerships to ensure this funding invests in the American workforce.

Successful applicants for awards under OJP Recovery Act programs must comply with various applicable requirements, including the following.

Recovery Act Reporting Requirements; Section 1512(c) of the Recovery Act

The Recovery Act places great emphasis on accountability and transparency in the use of taxpayer dollars. Among other things, it creates a new Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board and a new website -- Recovery.gov -- to provide information to the public, including access to detailed information on grants and contracts made with Recovery Act funds.

As indicated in the "Accountability and Transparency under the Recovery Act" section of the program solicitation, awardees of Recovery Act funds must comply with the extensive reporting requirements. Quarterly financial and programmatic reporting will be required; reports will be due within 10 calendar days after the end of each calendar quarter. Applicants for and recipients of awards may expect that a standard form(s) and/or reporting mechanism will be made available at a future date.

Additional instructions and guidance regarding the required reporting will be provided as they become available. For planning purposes, however, all applicants for and recipients of awards of Recovery Act funds should be aware that Recovery Act section 1512(c) provides:

- (c) Recipient Reports- Not later than 10 days after the end of each calendar quarter, each recipient that received recovery funds from a Federal agency shall submit a report to that agency that contains--
 - (1) the total amount of recovery funds received from that agency;
 - (2) the amount of recovery funds received that were expended or obligated to projects or activities; and
 - (3) a detailed list of all projects or activities for which recovery funds were expended or obligated, including--
 - (A) the name of the project or activity;
 - (B) a description of the project or activity;
 - (C) an evaluation of the completion status of the project or activity;
 - (D) an estimate of the number of jobs created and the number of jobs retained by the project or activity; and
 - (E) for infrastructure investments made by State and local governments, the purpose, total cost, and rationale of the agency for funding the infrastructure investment with funds made available under this Act, and name of the person to contact at the agency if there are concerns with the infrastructure investment.

(4) Detailed information on any subcontracts or subgrants awarded by the recipient to include the data elements required to comply with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282), allowing aggregate reporting on awards below \$25,000 or to individuals, as prescribed by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

Section 1511 of the Recovery Act: Certifications (if applicable)

Section 1511 of the Recovery Act provides-

With respect to covered funds made available to State or local governments for infrastructure investments, the Governor, mayor, or other chief executive, as appropriate, shall certify that the infrastructure investment has received the full review and vetting required by law and that the chief executive accepts responsibility that the infrastructure investment is an appropriate use of taxpayer dollars. Such certification shall include a description of the investment, the estimated total cost, and the amount of covered funds to be used, and shall be posted on a website and linked to the website established by section 1526. A State or local agency may not receive infrastructure investment funding from funds made available in this Act unless this certification is made and posted.

Section 1602 of the Recovery Act: Preference for Quick-Start Activities (if applicable)

Section 1602 of the Recovery Act provides-

In using funds made available in this Act for infrastructure investment, recipients shall give preference to activities that can be started and completed expeditiously, including a goal of using at least 50 percent of the funds for activities that can be initiated not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. Recipients shall also use grant funds in a manner that maximizes job creation and economic benefit.

Section 1604 of the Recovery Act: Limit on Funds

Section 1604 of the Recovery Act provides-

None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available in this Act may be used by any State or local government, or any private entity, for any casino or other gambling establishment, aquarium, zoo, golf course, or swimming pool.

Section 1605 of the Recovery Act: Buy American (if applicable)

Section 1605 of the Recovery Act provides-

Use of American Iron, Steel, and Manufactured Goods. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used for a project for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public building or public work unless all of the iron, steel, and manufactured goods used in the project are produced in the United States.

- (b) Subsection (a) shall not apply in any case or category of cases in which the head of the Federal department or agency involved finds that--
 - (1) applying subsection (a) would be inconsistent with the public interest;
 - (2) iron, steel, and the relevant manufactured goods are not produced in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available quantities and of a satisfactory quality; or

- (3) inclusion of iron, steel, and manufactured goods produced in the United States will increase the cost of the overall project by more than 25 percent.
- (c) If the head of a Federal department or agency determines that it is necessary to waive the application of subsection (a) based on a finding under subsection (b), the head of the department or agency shall publish in the Federal Register a detailed written justification as to why the provision is being waived.
- (d) This section shall be applied in a manner consistent with United States obligations under international agreements.

Section 1606 of the Recovery Act: Wage Rate Requirements (if applicable)

Section 1606 of the Recovery Act provides-

Notwithstanding any other provision of law and in a manner consistent with other provisions in this Act, all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the Federal Government pursuant to this Act shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code. With respect to the labor standards specified in this section, the Secretary of Labor shall have the authority and functions set forth in Reorganization Plan Numbered 14 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. App.) and section 3145 of title 40, United States Code.

Section 1607 of the Recovery Act: Additional Funding Distribution and Assurance of Appropriate Use of Funds (if applicable)

Section 1607 of the Recovery Act provides-

- (a) Certification by Governor- Not later than 45 days after the date of enactment of this Act, for funds provided to any State or agency thereof, the Governor of the State shall certify that:
- (1) the State will request and use funds provided by this Act; and (2) the funds will be used to create jobs and promote economic growth.
- (b) Acceptance by State Legislature- If funds provided to any State in any division of this Act are not accepted for use by the Governor, then acceptance by the State legislature, by means of the adoption of a concurrent resolution, shall be sufficient to provide funding to such State.
- (c) Distribution- After the adoption of a State legislature's concurrent resolution, funding to the State will be for distribution to local governments, councils of government, public entities, and public-private entities within the State either by formula or at the State's discretion.

Section 1609 of the Recovery Act: Relating to National Environmental Policy Act

Section 1609 of the Recovery Act provides-

(a) FINDINGS-

- (1) The National Environmental Policy Act protects public health, safety and environmental quality: by ensuring transparency, accountability and public involvement in federal actions and in the use of public funds;
- (2) When President Nixon signed the National Environmental Policy Act into law on January 1, 1970, he said that the Act provided the "direction" for the country to "regain a productive harmony between man and nature";
- (3) The National Environmental Policy Act helps to provide an orderly process for

- considering federal actions and funding decisions and prevents ligation and delay that would otherwise be inevitable and existed prior to the establishment of the National Environmental Policy Act.
- (b) Adequate resources within this bill must be devoted to ensuring that applicable environmental reviews under the National Environmental Policy Act are completed on an expeditious basis and that the shortest existing applicable process under the National Environmental Policy Act shall be utilized.
- (c) The President shall report to the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee and the House Natural Resources Committee every 90 days following the date of enactment until September 30, 2011 on the status and progress of projects and activities funded by this Act with respect to compliance with National Environmental Policy Act requirements and documentation.

Non-supplanting of State and Local Funds (if applicable -- consult the program solicitation and the special conditions in the award document)

Grantees must use federal funds to supplement existing State and local funds for program activities and must not replace (supplant) State or local funds that they have appropriated or allocated for the same purpose. Potential supplanting will be the subject of monitoring and audit. Violations may result in a range of penalties, including suspension of current and future funds under this program, suspension or debarment from federal grants, recoupment of monies provided under a grant, and civil and/or criminal penalties. For additional guidance regarding supplanting, refer to the information provided at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/recovery/supplantingguidance.htm.

Civil Rights Compliance

As a condition for receiving funding from the Office of Justice Programs (OJP), recipients must comply with applicable federal civil rights laws, including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Justice Department's regulation for the Equal Treatment of Faith-Based Organizations. Depending on the funding source, a recipient must also comply with the nondiscrimination provisions within the applicable program statutes, which may include the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, the Victims of Crime Act, or the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. Collectively, these federal laws prohibit a recipient of OJP funding from discriminating either in *employment* (subject to the exemption for certain faith-based organizations discussed below; see "Funding to Faith-based Organizations") or in the *delivery of services or benefits* on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, or disability. In addition, OJP recipients may not discriminate on the basis of age in the delivery of services or benefits. For more information on these laws, please click here.

Compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits recipients from discriminating on the basis or national origin in the delivery of services or benefits, entails taking reasonable steps to ensure that persons with limited English proficiency (LEP) have meaningful access to funded programs or activities. An LEP person is one whose first language is not English and who has a limited ability to read, write, speak, or understand English. To assist recipients in meeting their obligation to serve LEP persons, the Justice Department has published a guidance document, which is available at www.lep.gov. The OJP encourages applicants and recipients to include within their program budgets the costs for providing interpretation and translation services to eligible LEP service populations.

For technical assistance on complying with the civil rights laws linked to the receipt of federal financial assistance from OJP, please contact the Office for Civil Rights by telephone at (202) 307-0690, by facsimile at (202) 616-9865, by TTY at (202) 307-2027, or by mail at the following address:

Office for Civil Rights Office of Justice Programs U.S. Department of Justice 810 7th Street, NW Washington, DC 20531

Funding to Faith-Based Organizations

In 2002, Executive Order 13279 was issued and in 2004, the Department of Justice (DOJ) issued the regulation, Equal Treatment for Faith-Based Organizations, 28 C.F.R. Part 38. In general, the Executive Order and regulation require funding organizations to treat faith-based organizations (FBOs) the same as any other applicant or recipient of DOJ funding, neither favoring nor discriminating against FBOs in making and administering grant awards, and require that FBOs be allowed to retain their independence, autonomy, expression, and religious character when competing for DOJ financial assistance used to support social service programs and participating in the social service programs supported with DOJ financial assistance.

The Executive Order and regulation also prohibit recipient FBOs from using Justice Department funding to engage in inherently religious activities, such as proselytizing, scripture study, or worship. Funded FBOs may, of course, engage in inherently religious activities; however, these activities must be separate in time or location from the federally assisted program. Moreover, funded FBOs must not compel program beneficiaries to participate in inherently religious activities. Funded faith-based organizations must also not discriminate on the basis of religion in the delivery of services or benefits.

Some program statutes, including the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, the Victims of Crime Act, and the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act, contain express nondiscrimination provisions that prohibit all recipients of funding under these statutes from discriminating on the basis of religion in employment. Despite these nondiscrimination provisions, the Justice Department has concluded that the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) is reasonably construed, on a case-by-case basis, to require that its funding agencies permit FBOs applying for funding under the applicable program statutes both to receive DOJ funds and to continue considering religion when hiring staff, even if the statute that authorizes the funding program generally forbids considering of religion in employment decisions by grantees.

If the statute that authorizes a DOJ funding program generally forbids consideration of religion in employment decisions by grantees, an FBO may receive DOJ funds and continue to consider religion when hiring staff if it meets the following criteria:

- 1. The FBO demonstrates that its program for which it seeks federal funding is an exercise of religion;
- 2. The FBO demonstrates that requiring it to either forgo its religious preference in hiring or forgo the federal funding would substantially burden its exercise of religion; and
- 3. The funding entity is unable to demonstrate that applying the nondiscrimination provision to this FBO would both further a compelling government interest and be the least restrictive means of furthering this interest.

The OJP and state administering agencies will grant exemptions to the prohibition against hiring discrimination on the basis of religion in the program statutes on a case-by-case basis to FBOs that certify to the following, unless there is good reason to question its truthfulness:

- 1. The FBO will offer all federally-funded services to all qualified beneficiaries without regard for the religious or non-religious beliefs of those individuals; and
- 2. Any activities of the FBO that contain inherently religious content will be kept separate in time or location from any services supported by direct federal funding, and if provided under such conditions, will be offered only on a voluntary basis; and
- 3. The FBO is a religious organization that sincerely believes that providing the services in question is an expression of its religious beliefs; that employing individuals of particular religious belief is important to its religious exercise; and that having to abandon its religious hiring practice to receive federal funding would substantially burden its religious exercise.

FBOs that are seeking federal financial assistance under the Safe Streets Act, VOCA, and JJDPA as well as an exemption to their prohibition against religious discrimination in hiring, must complete and retain an original, signed document for their records (see <u>sample</u>), certifying to the three provisions set forth above, and then, must work with OJP to attach it to the grant file after receipt of an award. For more information, please consult OJP's <u>Office for Civil Rights</u>.

Confidentiality and Human Subjects Protection (if applicable)

U.S. Department of Justice regulations (28 CFR Part 22) require recipients of OJP funding to submit a Privacy Certificate as a condition of approval of any grant application or contract proposal that contains a research or statistical component under which "information identifiable to a private person" will be collected, analyzed, used, or disclosed. The funding recipient's Privacy Certificate includes a description of its policies and procedures to be followed to protect the confidentiality of identifiable data. 28 CFR section 22.23. The Department's regulations provide, among other matters, that: "Research or statistical information identifiable to a private person may be used only for research or statistical purposes." 28 CFR section 22.21. Moreover, any private person from whom information identifiable to a private person is collected or obtained (either orally or by means of written questionnaire or other document) must be advised that the information will only be used or disclosed for research or statistical purposes and that compliance with the request for information is voluntary and may be terminated at any time. 28 CFR section 22.27.

In addition, the Department of Justice has regulations with respect to the protection of human research subjects. See 28 CFR Part 46. In brief, 28 CFR Part 46 requires that research involving human subjects that is conducted or supported by a Federal department or agency be reviewed and approved by an Institutional Review Board (IRB), in accordance with the regulations, before Federal funds are expended for that research. As a rule, persons who participate in Federally-funded research must provide their "informed consent" and must be permitted to terminate their participation at any time. Funding recipients, before they will be allowed to spend OJP funds on any research activity involving human subjects, must submit appropriate documentation to OJP showing compliance with 28 CFR Part 46 requirements, as requested by OJP.

General information regarding Data Confidentiality and Protection of Human Research Subjects (and Model Privacy Certificates and other forms) can be found on the following web sites: www.ojp.usdoj.gov/forms.htm; www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bis/pub/pdf/bismpc.pdf; and www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bis/funding.htm.

Anti-Lobbying Act

The Anti-Lobbying Act (18 U.S.C. § 1913) recently was amended to expand significantly the restriction on use of appropriated funding for lobbying. This expansion also makes the anti-lobbying restrictions enforceable via large civil penalties, with civil fines between \$10,000 and \$100,000 per each individual occurrence of lobbying activity. These restrictions are in addition to the anti-lobbying and lobbying disclosure restrictions imposed by 31 U.S.C. § 1352.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is currently in the process of amending the OMB cost circulars and the common rule (codified at 28 C.F.R. Part 69 for U.S. Department of Justice grantees) to reflect these modifications. However, in the interest of full disclosure, no federally appropriated funding made available under this grant program may be used, either directly or indirectly, to support the enactment, repeal, modification or adoption of any law, regulation, or policy, at any level of government, without the express approval by OJP. Any violation of this prohibition is subject to a minimum \$10,000 fine for each occurrence. This prohibition applies to all activity, even if currently allowed within the parameters of the existing OMB circulars.

Financial and Government Audit Requirements

Federal grants are governed by the provisions of the OMB circulars applicable to financial assistance and OJP's *Financial Guide*, which is available from the OJP Web site (www.ojp.usdoj.gov/oc). The *Financial Guide* includes information on allowable costs, methods of payment, audit requirements, accounting systems, and financial records. This document will govern how all successful applicants administer funds.

Audits of state and local units of government, institutions of higher education, and other nonprofit institutions must comply with the organizational audit requirements of OMB circular A-133, which states that recipients who expend \$500,000 or more of federal funds during their fiscal year are required to submit a single organization wide financial and compliance audit report to the <u>Federal Audit Clearinghouse</u> within 9 months after the close of each fiscal year during the term of the award.

National Environmental Policy Act (if applicable)

All OJP awards are subject to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other related Federal laws, if applicable. 42 USC section 4321 et seq. The Department of Justice has established procedures to implement NEPA. See 28 CFR Part 61. The regulations state that "all federal agencies are required to give appropriate consideration to the environmental effects of their proposed actions in their decisionmaking and to prepare detailed environmental statements on . . . major federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment." 28 CFR section 61.2. Under the regulations, the Department of Justice, among other things, is required to "[c]onsider from the earliest possible point in the process all relevant environmental documents in evaluating proposals for Department action[.]" 28 CFR section 61.6.

OJP has responsibility to ensure compliance with NEPA and 28 CFR Part 61, including Appendix D. For many projects that are funded by OJP, NEPA may have no applicability. However, if OJP funds will be used, for example, to pay for renovation projects or new construction, programs involving the use of chemicals, or any other activity, including research and technology development, that may have an effect on the environment, at a minimum, the funding recipient must provide a full description of proposed project activities to OJP, and an Environmental Assessment (EA) will need to be prepared. Prior to allowing a recipient to spend OJP funds for such a project, OJP must make a finding that the project does not significantly affect the human environment and that further environmental assessment is not necessary.

DOJ Information Technology Standards (if applicable)

As appropriate, all equipment and software developed under OJP awards must be compliant with U.S. Department of Justice information technology interface standards, including the <u>National Criminal Intelligence Sharing Plan</u>, the <u>Global Justice XML Data Model</u>, and the Law Enforcement Information Sharing Plan (LEISP). A list of additional standards can be found at the <u>OJP Standards Clearinghouse</u>.

Single Point of Contact Review

Executive Order 12372 requires applicants from state and local units of government or other organizations providing services within a state to submit a copy of the application to the state Single Point of Contact (SPOC) if one exists and if the state has selected this program for review. A list of state SPOCs is available on the OMB Web site (www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants/spoc.html). Applicants must contact their state SPOCs to determine whether their programs have been selected for state review. The applicant should enter the date that the application was sent to the SPOC or the reason such submission is not required in Block 3 of the Overview section of the GMS application.

Criminal Penalty for False Statements

False statements or claims made in connection with OJP grants may result in fines, imprisonment, and debarment from participating in federal grants or contracts, and/or other remedies available by law.

Compliance with Office of Justice Programs Financial Guide

Awardees must comply with the financial and administrative requirements set forth in the current edition of the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) <u>Financial Guide</u>.

Suspension or Termination of Funding

OJP may suspend funding in whole or in part, terminate funding, or impose other sanctions on a recipient for the following reasons:

- Failing to comply substantially with the requirements or statutory objectives of the appropriate Act, program guidelines issued thereunder, or other provisions of federal law.
- Failing to make satisfactory progress toward the goals, objectives, or strategies set forth in the application.
- Failing to adhere to the requirements in the agreement, standard conditions, or special conditions.
- Proposing or implementing substantial plan changes to the extent that, if originally submitted, the application would not have been selected for funding.
- Failing to submit reports.
- Filing a false certification in this application or other report or document.

Before imposing sanctions, OJP will provide reasonable notice to the recipient of its intent to impose sanctions and will attempt to resolve the problem informally. Hearing and appeal procedures will follow those in U.S. Department of Justice regulations in 28 CFR Part 18.

Non-profit organizations

In all OJP funded programs for which nonprofit organizations are eligible recipients or subrecipients, with the exception of those funded under authority of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act, it is Department of Justice policy that an organization can demonstrate its non-profit status in any one of four methods:

- 1. submission of proof of 501(c)(3) status from the Internal Revenue Service
- 2. submission of a statement from the State taxing authority or State Secretary of State, or other similar official certifying that the organization is a nonprofit operating within the State, and that no part of its net earnings may lawfully benefit any private shareholder or individual;
- 3. submission of a certified copy of the applicant's certificate of incorporation or similar document; or,
- 4. submission of any item above, if that item applies to a State or national parent organization, together with a statement by the State or parent organization that the applicant is a local nonprofit affiliate.

All nonprofit subrecipients of formula funds provided under the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act must have 501(c)(3) status recognized by the Internal Revenue Service.

For-Profit Organizations

For-profit organizations that receive grant funds from OJP should be aware that additional special conditions are placed on awards to such organizations. Among other things, commercial organizations must agree not to make a profit as a result of an award and not to charge a management fee for the performance of an award. Also, commercial organizations must agree to comply with the contract cost principles of subpart 31.2 of the Federal Acquisition Regulations.

Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA)

Awardees must collect data (on a quarterly, semi-annually, or annual basis, as requested) appropriate for facilitating compliance with reporting requirements established by Public Law 103-62, the Government Performance and Results Act. The funding recipient must ensure that valid and auditable source documentation is available to support all data collected for each performance measure specified in the program solicitation.

Rights in Intellectual Property

In connection with OJP awards, the U.S. Department of Justice reserves certain rights with respect to data, patentable inventions, works subject to copyright, and other intellectual property associated with an award of Federal funds. See, e.g., 28 C.F.R. § § 66.34, 70.36, and 37 C.F.R. Part 401.

Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) of 2006

Applicants that receive an award from the OJP should be aware of the requirements of the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) of 2006, which calls for the establishment of a of a single searchable website that is accessible by the public and includes the following information for each Federal award:

- (1) The name of the entity receiving the award;
- (2) The amount of the award;

- (3) Information on the award including the transaction type, funding agency, the North American Industry Classification System code or Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number (where applicable), program source, and an award title descriptive of the purpose of each funding action;
- (4) The location of the entity receiving the award and primary location of performance under the award, including the city, state, congressional district, and country;
- (5) A unique identifier of the entity receiving award and of the parent entity of the recipient, should the entity be owned by another entity; and,
- (6) Any other relevant information specified by OMB.

Direct grant award information must be collected starting in FY 2007 and available for disclosure beginning in January 2008; subgrantee award data must be available beginning in January 2009. OJP will be responsible for collecting grantee information and providing it to the public website, using data provided by grantees through grants.gov and the Grants Management System. Additional information regarding these requirements will be provided when available. For updates, please visit the FFATA website at www.fedspending.gov.



Maricopa County

County Manager's Office

3H West lefferson Street Hirls Floor Phoenix, AZ, 85003-2143 Phone: 602-506-1950 Fax: 602-506-3328 www.manicopa.gov

Supplement to Certification and Assurances

Maricopa County hereby makes this supplement to its Certification and Assurances in support of its application for 2009 Local Justice Assistance Grant (Recovery), GMS Application #2009-F1486-AZ-SB.

Maricopa County is an applicant (or "participant") for the grant identified above. Maricopa County is a political subdivision of the State of Arizona and is governed by a Board of Supervisors (the "Board"). The Board consists of five (5) elected persons (see A.R.S 11-211). However, the Board may only exercise its legislative or executive powers, including the power to contract or supervise the disbursement or use of any public money, when acting as a public body and at duly convened public meetings (see A.R.S 11-214 and 11-251).

Moreover, no individual Board member has any legal authorization by statute, regulation, policy or otherwise to:

- a) exercise management or supervisory responsibility related to a covered transaction; or,
- no individual Board member is a consultant or other person whether or not employed by the participant (applicant) or paid with Federal funds who
 - (1) is in a position to handle Federal funds;
 - (2) is in a position to influence or control the use of those funds; or
 - (3) occupies a technical or professional position capable of influencing the development or outcome of an activity that affects a covered transaction.

See 2 CFR Part 2867

Nonetheless and in the interests of disclosure, the Board as a "Principal" advises as follows:

On December 2, 2008 one member of the Board was indicted on charges related solely to preparation, content and filing of financial disclosure forms that are required by state law. While some of the charges correspond in name to the list of offenses in the Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters (the "Certification"), none of the allegations in the indictment relate in any fashion to any federal, state or local procurement, public transaction or contract under public transaction. See Certification at paragraph "(b)."

The alleged misconduct by an individual member of the Board of Supervisors does not compromise the legal ability of the Board of Supervisors to act in accord with any federal, state or local law nor does the alleged misconduct by an individual member of the Board compromise the Board's ability to perform such duties as are required by law or in the interests of the citizens of Maricopa County.

There are no conditions or exceptions to the Certification and Assurances executed by Maricopa County.

Signed,

Paird & Sunt county Manager 5/15/09



Maricopa County Justice System Planning & Information

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

301 West Jefferson Street Suite 3200 Phoenix, AZ \$5003

Phone: 602,506.1310 Fax: 602.506.1642 rexa@mail.marrcopa.gov IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES OR IF PAGES ARE ILLEGIBLE, PLEASE CALL (602) 506-1310

DATE:

July 10, 2009

TO:

Barry

FAX #:

202-354-4061

OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET: 23

FROM: AMY A. REX

Phone 602/506-1310 Fax 602/506-1642

COMMENTS:

Good morning,

Following please find an executed and recorded Memorandum of Understanding, signed by all 16 parties within Maricopa County who were allocated money through the Recovery Act: Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Formula Program: Local Solicitation. Thank you for your consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns

MARICOPA COUNTY RECORDER
HELEN PURCELL
20090625330 07/08/2009 10:38
ELECTRONIC RECORDING

COB186-22-1-1-- fraustoj

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

BETWEEN

MARICOPA COUNTY

AND THE

CITIES OF AVONDALE, CHANDLER, EL MIRAGE, GLENDALE, GOODYEAR, MESA, PEORIA, PHOENIX, SCOTTSDALE, TEMPE, TOLLESON, SURPRISE, AND THE TOWNS OF BUCKEYE, GILBERT, AND YOUNGTOWN

REGARDING

THE 2009 BYRNE JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM AWARD

APPROVED BY THE

MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

QN

MAY 20, 2009

C 42-09-009-G-00

DO NOT REMOVE
This is part of the official document

CONTRACT NO. C-42-09-009-G-00

THE STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA

KNOW ALL BY THESE PRESENT

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AMONG

CITY OF AVONDALE, TOWN OF BUCKEYE, CITY OF CHANDLER, CITY OF EL MIRAGE, TOWN OF GILBERT, CITY OF GLENDALE, CITY OF GOODYEAR, CITY OF MESA, CITY OF PEORIA, CITY OF PHOENIX, CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, CITY OF TEMPE, CITY OF TOLLESON, CITY OF SURPRISE, TOWN OF YOUNGTOWN AND COUNTY OF MARICOPA, ARJZONA

2009 BYRNE JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM AWARD

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is made and entered into by and among the COUNTY of MARICOPA, hereinafter referred to as COUNTY; and the CITY of AVONDALE; and the TOWN of BUCKEYE; and the CITY of CHANDLER; and the CITY of EL MIRAGE; and the TOWN of GILBERT; and the CITY of GLENDALE; and the CITY of GOODYBAR; and the CITY of MBSA; and the CITY of PEORIA; and the CITY of PHOENIX; and the CITY of SCOTTSDALE; and the CITY of TEMPE; and the CITY of TOLLESON; and the CITY of SURPRISE; and the TOWN of YOUNGTOWN, hereinafter referred to as CITIES and TOWNS; all of Maricopa County, State of Arizona, witnesseth:

WHEREAS, this MOU is made under the authority of A.R.S. §§11-201, -251:

- WHEREAS, the CITIES and TOWNS and the COUNTY have become entitled to certain grant funds through the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (IAG) Program; and
- WHEREAS, each governing body, in performing governmental functions or in paying for the performance of governmental functions horounder, shall make that performance or those payments from current revenues legally available to that party; and
- WHEREAS, each governing body finds that the performance of this MOU is in the best interests of all parties, that the undertaking will benefit the public, and that the division of costs fairly compensates the performing party for the services or functions under this agreement; and
- WHEREAS, the COUNTY agrees to receive \$10,536,695 from the JAG award for the Maricopa County JAG Program; and
- WHEREAS, the CITIES and TOWNS and COUNTY believe it to be in their best interests to reallocate the JAG funds;

NOW THEREFORE, the COUNTY and CITIES and TOWNS agree as follows:

Section 1

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Avondale a total of \$272,479 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay Town of Buckeye a total of \$21,614 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay City of Chandler a total of \$433,610 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay City of El Mirage a total of \$43,893 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay Town of Gilbert a total of \$117,214 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay City of Glendale a total of \$740,863 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay City of Goodyear a total of \$56,197 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay City of Mesa a total of \$1,095,332 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay City of Peoria a total of \$159,778 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay City of Phoenix a total of \$5,494,118 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay City of Scottsdale a total of \$9,500 of JAG funds.

Page 1 of 221

COUNTY agrees to pay City of Tempe a total of \$510,423 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay City of Tolleson a total of \$32,920 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay City of Surprise a total of \$57,194 of JAG funds. COUNTY agrees to pay Town of Youngtown a total of \$13,800 of JAG funds.

All payments to CITIES and TOWNS will be made within thirty (30) days after receipt of the JAG funds by COUNTY.

Section 2

COUNTY agrees to use \$1,477.760 for the JAG Program until February 28, 2013.

Section 3

- Term. This Agreement shall be in effect for the term of the FY2009 JAG grant, being March 1, 2009
 through February 28, 2013, unless terminated sooner in accordance with the terms of the grant, and
 such reasonable time thereafter as may be needed to complete the administration of the grant.
- Obligations of the COUNTY. The COUNTY agrees to administer the Funds as provided in Section I, and shall:
 - A. Ensure that the funds received by COUNTY are dispersed to the CITIES and TOWNS in accordance to this MOU, and shall
 - B. Collect and transmit to the appropriate Federal funding authorities all financial and program reports as required by the terms and conditions of the grant and applicable Federal regulations.
- 3. Obligations of the CITIES and TOWNS. During the term of this Agreement;
 - A. The CITIES and TOWNS agree that the COUNTY will administer the Funds as provided in Section 1.
 - B. The CITIES and TOWNS will maintain and provide to the COUNTY all financial and program reports as required by the terms and conditions of the grant and applicable Federal regulations.
 - C. The CITIES and TOWNS will be responsible for their own actions in providing services under this MOU and shall hold harmless the parties to this MOU from any liability that may arise from the furnishing of the services by the other parties.
- 4. DISCLAIMER. This MOU is not intended to and will not constitute, create, give rise to, or otherwise recognize a joint venture, agency, partnership or formal business association or organization of any kind among the parties, and the rights and obligations of the parties shall be only those expressly set forth in this MOU.
- 5. NON-AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS. Each payment obligation of the parties created hereby is conditioned on the availability of funds. The parties recognize that the continuation of this MOU after the close of any of their respective fiscal years shall be subject to the approval of their respective governing bodies providing an appropriation covering this item as an expenditure. None of the parties represent that said budget items will be actually adopted.

JUL-10-2009 10:29 P.06/23

GMS APPLICATION NUMBER 2009-F1486-AZ-SB (Mandatory)

6. NOTICES. Notices provided under this Agreement shall be directed to the following persons:

The <u>COUNTY</u> :	The CITY of AVONDALE Name: Janean Gaskins
Teresa Tschupp	Address: 11465 W. Civic Center Drive
C/O Juvenile Probation Department	Address: Suite No. 220
Finance Office	
3125 W. Durango	Address:
Phoenix, AZ 85009	City/St/Zip: Avondale AZ 85323
602-372-0332	Phone: 623-333-1000
Fax: 602-506-4165	Fax: 623-333-0100
The TOWN of BUCKEYE	The CITY of CHANDLER
Name: Chief Robert Daniels	Name: Judy Mandt
Address: 100 N. Apache Road	Address: Chandler Police Department
Address: Suite D	Address: Mail Stop 303
Address:	Address: PO Box 4008
City/St/Zip: Buckeye AZ 85326	City/St/Zip: Chandler, AZ 85244-4008
Phone: 623-349-6402	Phone: 480-782-4085
Fax: 623-349-6506	Fax: 480-782-4086
The CITY of EL MIRAGE	The TOWN of GILBERT
Name: Michael T. Frazier	Name: Timothy J. Dorn, Chief of Police
Address: PO Box 26	Address: 75 E. Civic Center Drive
Address: 14405 N. Palm Street	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip: El Mirage AZ 85335	City/St/Zip: Gilbert AZ 85296
Phone: 623-433-9500	Phone: 480-635-7600
Fax: 623-815-5322	Fax: 480-635-7695
The CITY of GLENDALE	The CITY of GOODYEAR
Name: Kristy Platt	Name: Matthew Hanson, Mgmt Asst (Grants)
Address: 6835 N. 57 th Drive	Address: 190 North Litchfield Road
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip: Glendale AZ 85301	City/St/Zip: Goodyear, AZ 85338
Phone: 623-930-3212	Phone: 623-882-7806
Fax: 623-930-3698	Fax: 623-932-6519

The CITY of MESA	The CITY of PEORIA
Name: Shirl Butler	Name: Teresa Corless
Address: Mesa Police Department	Address: City of Peoria Police Department
Address: PO Box 1466	Address: 8351 W. Cinnabar Avenue
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip; Mesa AZ 85211	City/St/Zip: Peoria AZ 85345
Phone: 480-644-3885	Phone: 623-773-7035
Fax: 480-644-2535	Fax: 623-773-7015
The CITY of PHOENIX	The CITY of SCOTTSDALE
Name: Gary Turner	Name: Daniel Edwards
Address: Phoenix Police, Fiscal Management	Address: Scottsdale City Court
Address: 620 W. Washington Street	Address: 3700 75th Street
Address: Suite 422	Address:
City/St/Zip: Phoenix AZ 85003	City/St/Zip: Scottsdale, AZ 85251
Phone: 602-534-3622	Phone: 480-312-3092
Fax: 602-534-1613	Fax: 480-312-9192
The CITY of TEMPE	The CITY of TOLLESON
Name: Chief of Police Thomas Ryff	Name: Larry Rodriguez, Police Chief
Address: 120 East Fifth Street	Address: 9555 West Van Buren
Address:	Address;
Address;	Address:
City/St/Zip: Tempe AZ 85281	City/St/Zip: Tolleson, AZ 85353
Phone: 480-350-8306	Phone: 623-936-2717
Pax: 480-350-8337	Fax: 623-936-8202
The CITY of SURPRISE	The TOWN of YOUNGTOWN
Name: Daniel Hughes	Name: Lloyce Robinson
Address: 14250 W. Statler Place	Address: 12030 Clubhouse Square
Address: Suite 103	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip: Surprise AZ 85374	City/St/Zip: Youngtown AZ 85363
Phone: 623-222-4020	Phone: 623-933-8286
Fax: 623-222-4008	Fax: 623-933-5951

Section 4

The parties to this MOU do not intend for any third party to obtain a right by virtue of this MOU.

Section 5

CONFLICT OF INTEREST. This MOU is subject to A.R.S. §38-511.

Page 5 of 921

JUL-10-2009 10:30 P.08/23

GMS APPLICATION NUMBER 2009-F1486-AZ-SB (Mandatory)

The CITY of MESA	The CITY of PEORIA
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip:	City/St/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:
The CITY of PHOENIX	The CITY of SCOTTSDALE
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address;	Address:
City/St/Zip:	City/St/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:
The CITY of TEMPE Name:	The CITY of TOLLESON Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip: Phone:	City/St/Zip: Phone:
Fax:	
Fax:	Fax;
The CITY of SURPRISE Name:	The TOWN of <u>YOUNGTOWN</u> Name:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
Address:	Address:
City/St/Zip:	City/St/Zip:
Phone:	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:

Section 4

The parties to this MOU do not intend for any third party to obtain a right by virtue of this MOU.

Section 5

CONFLICT OF INTEREST. This MOU is subject to A.R.S. §38-511.

Page of g

Section 6

By entering into this MOU, the parties do not intend to create any obligations express or implied other than those set out herein; further, this MOU shall not create any rights in any party not a signatory hereto.

Section 7

This MOU shall not be effective until filed with the Maricopa County Recorder's Office.

Section 8

Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 35-391.06 and 35-393.06, all Parties hereby warrant, and represent that they do not have, and its subcontractors do not have, and during the term hereof will not have a scrutinized business operation in either Sudan or Iran.

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the underlyigned legal counsel. Deputy County Attorney Date	MARICOPA COUNTY By: Max Wilson Its: Chairman of the Board of Supervisors Athest: Fran-McCarroll, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors DATE: JUL 0 7 2009
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the andersigned legal counsel. 6-15-09 Avondale City Attorney Date	CITY OF AVONDALE By: Fully Ulcom Type Name: Charlie P., McClendon Its: City Manager Attest: Carman Mulco DATE: 6-15-09
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Buckeye Town Attorney Date	TOWN OF BUCKEYE By: Type Name: Its: Attest: DATE:

Page Fof #2

Section 6

By entering into this MOU, the parties do not intend to create any obligations express or implied other than those set out herein; further, this MOU shall not create any rights in any party not a signatory hereto.

Section 7

This MOU shall not be effective until filed with the Maricopa County Recorder's Office.

Section 8

Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 35-391.06 and 35-393.06, all Parties hereby warrant, and represent that they do not have, and its subcontractors do not have, and during the term hereof will not have a scrutinized business operation in either Sudan or Iran.

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal courise. Therefore Telephone 7.2.09 Depoty County Attorney Date	MARICOPA COUNTY By: Max Wason Its: Chairman of the Board of Supervisors Attest: Loud France McCarroll, Clerk of the Board 22009 DATE: JUL 0 7 2009
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	CITY OF AVONDALE By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Avendale City Attorney Date	DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper logal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counse). Buckeye Town Attorney Date	TOWN OF BUCKENE By: Type Name: Jeanine Guy Its: Town Manager Attest: James Ganson la DATE: James 25, 2007

Page sof # 2/

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Line 1 21/2 Chandler City Attorney Date	CITY OF CHANDLER By:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. El Mirage City Attorney Date	DATE: 4-21-09 CITY OF EL MIRAGE By:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Gilbert Town Attorney Date	TOWN OF GILBERT By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Glendale City Attorney Date	CITY OF GLENDALE By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	CITY OF GOODYEAR By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Goodyear City Attorney Date	DATE:

Page 7 of 921

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. El Mirage City Attorney	<i>5-15-09</i> Date	CITY OF EDMIRAGE By: Type Name: BJ Cornwall Its: City Manager Attest: Atte
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Gilbert Town Attorney	Date	TOWN OF GILBERT By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Glendale City Attorney	Date	DATE: CITY OF GLENDALE By: Type Name: Its: Attest: DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.		CITY OF GOODYEAR By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Goodyear City Attorney	Date	DATE:

Page 7 of 9

	CITY OF CHANDLER
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.	Its:
	Attest:
Chandler City Attorney Date	DATE:
	CITY OF EL MIRAGE
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the	Ву:
laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.	Its:
	Attest:
El Mirage City Attorney Date	DATE:
	TOWN OF GILBERT
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the	Ву:
laws of this State to those parties represented by	Type Name: Steven M. Borman, MAyor.
the undersigned legal counsel.	Its: Town Cleak
	Attest: The Manual Manu
Gilbert Town Knorpey Date	DATE: 5/12/09
Jel Jel	CITY OF GLENDALE
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.	lts:
	Attest:
Glendale City Attorney Date	DATE:
	CITY OF GOODYEAR
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.	Its:
	Attest:
Goodycar City Attorney Date	

Page 7 of 9

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Chandler City Attorney This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	- Date	CITY OF CHANDLER By: Type Name: lts: Attest: DATE: CITY OF EL MIRAGE By: Type Name: Its:
El Mirage City Attorney	 Date	Attest:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Gilbert Town Attorney		TOWN OF GILBERT By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Glendale City Attorney		CITY OF GLENDALE By: Pan Karan Type Name: Pan Kovanaugh Its: OSSISTANT (ity Manager Attest: Lauren Planke for DATE: 5//69 Con Creek
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	2	CITY OF GOODYEAR By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Goodyear City Attorney	Date	DATE:

Page Tof 9

		CITY OF CHANDLER
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	1	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under the		Type Name:
laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.		lts:
		Attest:
Chandler City Attorney	Date	DATE:
		CITY OF EL MIRAGE
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	\	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	٤	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:
	···	Attest:
El Mirage City Attorney	Date	DATE:
		TOWN OF GILBERT
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	;	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	૯	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:
		Affest:
Gilbert Town Attorney	Date	DATE:
9 7 No. 1 No		CITY OF GLENDALE
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	į	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	2	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:
		Attest:
Glendale City Attorney	Date	
		DATE:
		CITY OF GOODYEAR
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is		_
		By: Qel 1 fisched
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by		By: 1 1 1 Soll O Type Name: John F. Fischbach, City Manager
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.		
laws of this State to those parties represented by		Type Name: John F. Fischbach. City Manager Its: City Manager Attenti Manager
laws of this State to those parties represented by		Type Name: John F. Fischbach. City Manager Its: City Manager Attenti Manager
laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel,	-	Type Name: John F. Fischbach. City Manager Its: City Manager Attenti Manager
laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel,	- Date	Type Name: John F. Fischbach. City Manager Its: City Manager Attenti Manager
laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel,	- Date	Type Name: John F. Fischbach, City Manager Its: City Manager Attest: Maulla Janager

		/
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. S/66 Mesa City Aftorney	:	CITY OF MESS By: Month of Christopher J. Brady Its: City Marrager Attest: Marrager DATE: 4609
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Peonia City Attorney		CITY OF PEORIA By: Type Name: Attest: DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Phoenix City Attorney		CITY OF PHOENIX By:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Scottsdale City Attorney		CITY OF SCOTTSDALE By: Type Name: Its: Attest: DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	3	CITY OF TEMPE By; Type Name: Its: Attest:
Tempe City Attorney	Date	DATE:

Page 8 of 91

	CITY OF MESA
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	By:
the undersigned legal counsel.	lts:
Mosa City Attornoy Dat	DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper logal form and within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Stephen M. Kemp, City Attorney Date	CITY OF PRORIA Type Name: Carl Swenson Its: City Manager Attest: Mary Jo Kief, City Clerk DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	CITY OF PHOENIX By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Phoenix City Attorney Date	DATE:
***************************************	CITY OF SCOTTSDALE
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	By:
Scottsdale City Attorney Date	
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	CITY OF TEMPE By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Tempe City Attorney Date	i

Page 8 of 9

ACTING

GMS APPLICATION NUMBER 2009-F1486-AZ-SB (Mandatory)

		CITY OF MESA	account of the control of the contro
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is		Ву:	1
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	ie	Type Name:	
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:	
	w 	Attest:	
Mesa City Attorney	Date	DATE:	
		CITY OF PEORIA	
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and		Ву;	
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by		Type Name:	
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:	
	errer	Attest:	
Peoria City Attorney	Date	DATE:	erre, juristina
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and within the powers and authority granted under t laws of the State to these parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	he	CITY OF PHOENIX By: Type Name: Alton Washington Its: Assistant City Manager Attest: Q Ween	
Phoenix City Attorney 27A	Date	DATE: 4/24/09	OUD CLERK
**************************************	the land of the la	CITY OF SCOTTSDALE	
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and	is	Ву:	
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by		Type Name:	
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:	
	·····-	Attest:	
Scousdale City Attorney	Date	DATE:	
	······	CITY OF TEMPE	
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and	is	Ву:	
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by		Type Name:	
the undersigned legal counsel.		Its:	
		Attest:	1
Tempe City Attorney	Date	DATE:	

Page Fof 9

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Mesa City Attorney Date	CITY OF MESA By: Type Name: Its: Attest: DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Peoria City Attorney Date	CITY OF PEORIA By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Phoenix City Attorney Date	CITY OF PHOENIX By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Scottsdale City Attorney Date	CITY OF SCOTTSDALE By: W.J. "Jim" Lane Its: Mayor Attest: Carolyn Jagger, City Clerk DATE: 4-24-09

17 24 Page 8 of 9

2009-034-COS

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Mesa City Attorney Date This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	CITY OF MESA By: Type Name: Its: Attest: DATE: CITY OF PEORIA By: Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.	Its:
Peoria City Auorney Date	DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Phoenix City Attorney Dute	CITY OF PROENIX By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Phoenix City Attorney	DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	### CITY OF SCOTTSDALE By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Scottsdale City Attorney Date	DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned logal counsel. S1208 Tempe City Attorney Date	CITY OF TEMPE By:

Page 8 of 9

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Tolleson City Attorney Date	CITY OF TODLESON By: 1 eycs Mediano J. Type Name: Reves Mediano Jr Its: 14 Manager Attest: 2 Sager DATE: April 28, 3007
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Surprise City Attorney Date	CITY OF SURPRISE By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
	TOWN OF YOUNGTOWN
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	By; Type Name: Its: Attest;
Youngtown Town Attorney Date	DATE:

Page 9 of 9

This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel.	CITY OF TOLLESON By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Tolleson City Attorney Date	DATE:
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned legal counsel. Light Surprise City Attorney Date	CITY OF SURPRISE By: Change R. Davier 4/24/67 Type Name: CMARLUS R. OLIVER Its: CITY MANAGETE Attest: Milly On Glas DATE: 4/27/05
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by the undersigned logal counsel.	TOWN OF YOUNGTOWN By: Type Name: Its: Attest:
Youngtown Town Attorney Date	DATE:

Page of g

	CITY OF TOLLESON
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.	Its:
	Attest:
Tolleson City Attorney Date	DATE:
	CITY OF SURPRISE
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	Ву:
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	Type Name:
the undersigned legal counsel.	Its:
	Attest:
Surprise City Attorney Date	DATE:
	TOWN OF YOUNGTOWN
This Agreement is in the proper legal form and is	By Holanson
within the powers and authority granted under the laws of this State to those parties represented by	Type Name: <u>Llovce Robinson</u>
the undersigned legal counsel.	Its: Town Manager
UTWAL	Attest: Telle Liberal
Youngtown Town Attorney Date	Uchy Ooldberg Town Clerk Treasure
Michelle Swann 5/07/2009	DATE: <u>5/07/2009</u>

Page 8 of 10 A AAR

A. PERSONNEL

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Avondale - Family Advocacy Center

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Forensic Interviewer	\$31.25/hr x 2080 hrs = \$65,000 x 100% = \$65,000 x 2 year project length = \$130,000	\$65,000	100% FTE	\$130,000.00	\$0.00	\$130,000.00
SUBTOTAL: CI PERSONNEL	SUBTOTAL: CITY OF AVONDALE Family Advocacy Center			\$130,000.00	\$0.00	\$130,000.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Chandler - Police Department Records Management System Coordination and Implementation Project

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Records Mgmt System Coordinator	\$74,340.5 x 3 years = \$223,022	\$74,341	100% FTE	\$223,022.00	\$0.00	\$223,022.00
SUBTOTAL: CI	Coordinator SUBTOTAL: CITY OF CHANDLER Police Department Records Management System Coordination and Implementation Project PERSONNEL				\$0.00	\$223,022.00

Budget Detail

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of El Mirage – Property Crimes Reduction & Fugitive Apprehension Program

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Police Officer overtime	\$42.85/hr x 944 hrs. = \$40,458	n/a	n/a	\$40,458.00	\$0.00	\$40,458.00
i e	SUBTOTAL: CITY OF EL MIRAGE Property Crimes Reduction & Fugitive Apprehension Program PERSONNEL				\$0.00	\$40,458.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Goodyear - Prosecution Initiative

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Assistant City Prosecutor	\$32.98/hr x 2080 hrs = \$68,594 x 100% = \$68,594 x 1 year project length = \$68,594	\$68,594	100% FTE	\$56,197.00	\$12,397.00	\$68,594.00
SUBTOTAL: CI PERSONNEL	TY OF GOODYEAR	Prosecution In	itiative	\$56,197.00	\$12,397.00	\$68,594.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Peoria - Community Policing Overtime

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for
Police Officers (Overtime Only)	\$48/hr x 2500 hrs = \$120,000	N/A	100%	\$120,000.00	\$0.00	This Position \$120,000.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF PEORIA Community Policing Overtime Project PERSONNEL			\$120,000.00	\$0.00	\$120,000.00	

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Phoenix - LAW/Prosecutor's Office: Enhanced Community Prosecution

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Asst. City Atty III*Pros	\$51.48/hr x 2080 = \$107,078 x 100% x 1	\$107,078	100% FTE	\$344,23.009	\$0.00	\$344,239.00
	\$55.08/hr x 2080 = \$114,566 x 100% x 1	\$114,566				
	\$58.94/hr x 2080 = \$122,595 x 100% x 1	\$122,595				
Asst. City Atty III*Pros	\$51.48/hr x 2080 = \$107,078 x 100% x 1 \$55.08/hr x 2080 = \$114,566 x 100% x 1	\$107,078 \$114,566 \$122,595	100% FTE	\$344,239.00	\$0.00	\$344,239.00
	\$58.94/hr x 2080 = \$122,595 x 100% x 1					

Maricopa County 2009 Local Justice Assistance Grant (Recovery)

GMS APPLICATION NUMBER 2009-F1486-AZ-SB

Budget Detail

Admin. Asst. II	\$33.56/hr x 2080 = \$69,805 x 100% x 1 \$35.90/hr x 2080 = \$74,672 x 100% x 1 \$38.41/hr x 2080 = \$79.893 x 100% x 1	\$69,805 \$74,672 \$79,893	100% FTE	\$224,370.00	\$0.00	\$224,370.00
Admin. Asst. II	\$33.56/hr x 2080 = \$69,805 x 100% x 1 \$35.90/hr x 2080 = \$74,672 x 100% x 1 \$38.41/hr x 2080 = \$79,893 x 100% x 1	\$69,805 \$74,672 \$79,893	100% FTE	\$224,370.00	\$0.00	\$224,370.00

Attorney III Positions: Calculated between Midrange & Top Step (\$51.48) 1st year plus 5% Merit increase and 2% COLA for second and third year

Admin. Asst. II Position: Calculated at Step 5 (\$31.41) plus 1.80% (.56) COLA plus 5 % (\$1.59) Merit increase first year = \$33.56; add 2% COLA and 5% Merit for second and third year

08/09 Pay Plan

SUBTOTAL: CITY OF PHOENIX - LAW/Prosecutor's Office	e: \$1,137,218.00	\$0.00	\$1,137,218.00
Enhanced Community Prosecution PERSONNEL			

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Phoenix - Fire Department, Crisis Response Unit

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Part time Victim Assistance Crisis Interventionist	\$14/hr x 1,423.25hrs = \$19,925.50 x 100% x 2 yr project length = \$39,851	\$19,925.50	100% PTE (68.43% FTE)	\$39,851.00	\$0.00	\$39,851.00

Budget Detail

Part time Victim	\$14/hr x	\$19,925.50	100% PTE	\$39,851.00	\$0.00	\$39,851.00
Assistance Crisis	1,423.25hrs =		(68.43% FTE)			
Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 100%					
Por minor reveals	x 2 yr project					
	length = \$39,851					
Part time Victim	\$14/hr x	\$19,925.50	100% PTE	\$39,851.00	\$0.00	\$39,851.00
Assistance Crisis	1,423.25hrs =		(68.43% FTE)			
Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 100%					
	x 2 yr project					
	length = \$39,851					
Part time Victim	\$14/hr x	\$19,925.50	100% PTE	\$39,851.00	\$0.00	\$39,851
Assistance Crisis	1,423.25hrs =		(68.43% FTE)			
Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 100%					
	x 2 yr project	***				
	length = \$39,851					
Part time Victim	\$14/hr x	\$19,925.50	100% PTE	\$39,851.00	\$0.00	\$39,851.00
Assistance Crisis	1,423.25hrs =		(68.43% FTE)			
Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 100%					
	x 2 yr project					
	length = \$39,851					
Part time Victim	\$\$14/hr x	\$19,925.50	100% PTE	\$39,851.00	\$0.00	\$39,851.00
Assistance Crisis	1,423.25hrs =	nucleon and the second	(68.43% FTE)			
Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 100%					
	x 2 yr project					
	length = $$39,851$					
Part time Victim	\$14/hr x	\$19,925.50	100% PTE	\$39,851.00	\$0.00	\$39,851.00
Assistance Crisis	1,423.25hrs =		(68.43% FTE)			
Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 100%					
	x 2 yr project	et recommende to	T	·	Line	
	length = \$39,851	s.mm				

Budget Detail

Part time Victim	\$14/hr x	\$19,925.50	100% PTE	\$39,851.00	\$0.00	\$39,851.00
Assistance Crisis	1,423.25hrs =		(68.43% FTE)			
Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 100%					
	x 2 yr project					
	length = $$39,851$					
FT Crisis	\$27.54/hr x	\$57,283.20	100% FTE	\$114,566.40	\$0.00	\$114,566.40
Response	2,080hrs =				Acceptance	,
Supervisor	\$57,283.20 x 100%					
	x 2 yr project		The state of the s			
	length =		A the state of the			
	\$114,566.40					-
SUBTOTAL: CIT	Y OF PHOENIX - Fi	re Departmen	t, Crisis Response	\$433,374.40	\$0.00	\$433,374.40
Unit PERSONNE	L					

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Tempe - Police Department Crime Reduction Initiative

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Police Officer salary gap between base salary and 7 th year salary for 26 positions	\$59,580 - \$76,648 = \$17,068 x 26 positions = \$443,768	\$17,068.00 difference per position	100% FTE	\$443,768.00	\$0.00	\$443,768.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY Reduction Initiativ	Y OF TEMPE – Poli e PERSONNEL	ce Department	Crime	\$443,768.00	\$0.00	\$443,768.00

Budget Detail

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Maricopa County - Adult Probation Community Restitution

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Surveillance Officer	\$18.50/hr x 2088 hrs = 38,628 x 3 yr project length	\$38,628	100% FTE	\$115,884.00	\$0.00	\$115,884.00
Surveillance Officer	\$18.51/hr x 2088 hrs = 38,649 x 3 yr project length	\$38,649	100% FTE	\$115,947.00	\$0.00	\$115,947.00
	SUBTOTAL: MARICOPA COUNTY – Adult Probation Community Restoration PERSONNEL				\$0.00	\$231,831.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Maricopa County - County Attorney Gun Violence Prosecution Program

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Prosecutor	\$43.06 x 2088 = \$90,870 x 100% = \$90,870 x 3.0 = \$272,610	\$90,870.00	100%	\$272,610.00	\$0.00	\$272,610.00
1	ARICOPA COUNTY - ution Program PERSO	•	ney Gun	\$272,610.00	\$0.00	\$272,610.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Maricopa County – Justice Systems Planning JAG Grant Administration Project

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
JAG Grant Administrator	\$5,050.50 per month x 18 months = \$90,909	\$60,606	100%	\$90,909.00	\$0.00	\$90,909.00
	ARICOPA COUNTY - ration Project PERSON	•	ns Planning JAG	\$90,909.00	\$0.00	\$90,909.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Maricopa County - Juvenile Probation Case Carrying JPO Retention

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG	Grant Funds Requested for	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel
	Computation	Sumry Rate	Project	Position	(not required)	Budget for This Position
Juvenile Probation Officer Year 1 (PCN 01230)	\$26.96/hr x 2088 hrs = \$56,300	\$56,300	100% FTE	\$56,300.00	\$0.00	\$56,300.00
Juvenile Probation Officer Year 1 (PCN 01256)	\$26.96/hr x 2088 hrs = \$56,300	\$56,300	100% FTE	\$56,300.00	\$0.00	\$56,300.00
Juvenile Probation Officer Year 2 (PCN 01230)	\$28.04/hr x 2088 hrs = \$58,552	\$58,552	100% FTE	\$58,552.00	\$0.00	\$58,552.00
Juvenile Probation Officer Year 2 (PCN 01256)	\$28.04/hr x 2088 hrs = \$58,552	\$58,552	100% FTE	\$58,552.00	\$0.00	\$58,552.00

Budget Detail

Juvenile Probation Officer Year 3 (PCN 01230)	\$28.05/hr x 174 hrs = \$4,880	\$58,552	100% FTE	\$4,880.00	\$0.00	\$4,880.00
Juvenile Probation Officer Year 3 (PCN 01256)	\$28.05/hr x 174 hrs = \$4,880	\$58,552	100% FTE	\$4,880.00	\$0.00	\$4,880.00
SUBTOTAL: MAI	RICOPA COUNTY – ention PERSONNEL		ation Case	\$239,464.00	\$0.00	\$239,464.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Maricopa County - Sheriff's Office Parcel Interdiction

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Sheriff Sergeant overtime	\$49.35 x 200hrs = \$9,870 x 12 month project		100% FTE	\$9,870.00	\$0.00	\$9,870.00
Sheriff Deputies assigned overtime	\$38.64 x 850hrs =\$32,844 x 12 month project		100% FTE	\$32,844.00	\$0.00	\$32,844.00
Sheriff Deputies Ad Hoc overtime	\$38.64 x 200hrs = \$7,728 x 12 month project		As needed	\$7,728.00	\$0.00	\$7,728.00
SUBTOTAL: MA Interdiction PERS	RICOPA COUNTY - SONNEL	- Sheriff's Offi	ce Parcel	\$50,442.00	\$0.00	\$50,442.00

Budget Detail

2009 JAG Partner: Maricopa County – Sheriff's Office Maricopa County Neighborhood Narcotics Enforcement Team (MCNNET)

Position Title	Salary Computation	Annual Salary Rate	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Personnel Budget for This Position
Sheriff Sergeant overtime	\$56.65 x 200hrs = \$11,330 x 12 month project		100% FTE	\$11,330.00	\$0.00	\$11,330.00
Sheriff Deputies assigned overtime	\$38.64 x 850hrs =\$32,844 x 12 month project		100% FTE	\$32,844.00	\$0.00	\$32,844.00
Sheriff Deputies Ad Hoc overtime	\$38.64 x 200hrs=\$7,728 x 12 month project		As needed	\$7,728.00	\$0.00	\$7,728.00
	RICOPA COUNTY - lood Narcotics Enfor		-	\$51,902.00	\$0.00	\$51,902.00

Total Grant	Total Matching	Total
Funds	Funds	Personnel
		Budget
\$3,521,195.40	\$12,397.00	\$3,533,592.40

B. FRINGE BENEFITS

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Avondale - Family Advocacy Center

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
Forensic Interviewer	\$65,000 x 27.29% = \$17,738.50 x 2 years project length = \$35,477		100% FTE	\$35,477.00	\$0.00	\$35,477.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF AVONDALE Family Advocacy Center FRINGE BENEFITS				\$35,477.00	\$0.00	\$35,477.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Chandler - Police Department Records Management System Coordination and Implementation Project

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
Records Mgmt System Coordinator	\$74,340.5 x 39% = \$28,993 x 3 years = \$86,978	\$0.00	100% FTE	\$86,978.00	\$0.00	\$86,978.00
1	TY OF CHANDLER - tem Coordination and ITS			\$86,978.00	\$0.00	\$86,978.00

Budget Detail

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Goodyear - Prosecution Initiative

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
Assistant City Prosecutor	\$68,594 x 17.10% = \$11,730	\$10,194 per position x 1 year = \$10,194	100% FTE	\$0.00	\$21,924.00	\$21,924.00
SUBTOTAL: CI BENEFITS	TY OF GOODYEAR	Prosecution Init	tiative FRINGE	\$0.00	\$21,924.00	\$21,924.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Phoenix - LAW/Prosecutor's Office: Enhanced Community Prosecution

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
Asst. City Atty III*Pros	\$107,078 x .2452 = \$26,256	\$18,720 per 1 attorney x 3 yrs =	100% FTE	\$143,580.00	\$0.00	\$143,580.00
	\$114,566 x .2452 = \$28,092	\$56,160 plus \$1,506 (MDF) x 2yrs				
	\$122,595 x .2452 = \$30,060 Total = \$84,408	= \$3,012 Total = \$59,172				

Asst. City Atty III*Pros	\$107,078 x .2452 = \$26,256 \$114,566 x .2452 = \$28,092 \$122,595 x .2452 = \$30,060 Total = \$84,408	\$18,720 per 1 attorney x 3 yrs = \$56,160 plus \$1,506 (MDF) x 2yrs = \$3,012 Total = \$59,172	100% FTE	\$143,580.00	\$0.00	\$143,580.00
Admin. Asst. II	\$69,805 x .2452 = \$17,116 \$74,672 x .2452 = \$18,310 \$79,893 x .2452 = \$19,590 Total = \$55,016	\$13,660 per 1 admin asst II x 3 yrs = \$40,980	100% FTE	\$95,996.00	\$0.00	\$95,996.00
Admin. Asst. II	\$69,805 x .2452 = \$17,116 \$74,672 x .2452 = \$18,310 \$79,893 x .2452 = \$19,590 Total = \$55,016	\$13,660 per 1 admin asst II x 3 yrs = \$40,980	100% FTE	\$95,996.00	\$0.00	\$95,996.00

Budget Detail

Fringe Memo dated 8-15-08: Variable rate = .4193% minus .1741% (dental/health) = .2452

Fixed rate = \$12,582/annual (family health); \$1078/annual (family dental)

Fixed rate (Attorney positions only) = \$3360/annual (Transportation); \$1200/annual (Communication);

\$500 (Management Dev. Funds – bar dues only - year 1)

\$2006 (Management Dev. Funds – full amt. - years 2 & 3)

SUBTOTAL: CITY OF PHOENIX – LAW/Prosecutor's Office:

\$479,152.00

\$0.00

\$479,152.00

Enhanced Community Prosecution FRINGE BENEFITS

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Phoenix - Fire Department, Crisis Response Unit

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
PT Victim Assistance Crisis Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 9.06% variable fringe = \$1,805.25 x 2yrs= \$3,610.50		100% PTE (68.43% FTE)	\$3,610.50	\$0.00	\$3,610.50
PT Victim Assistance Crisis Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 9.06% variable fringe = \$1,805.25 x 2yrs= \$3,610.50		100% PTE (68.43% FTE)	\$3,610.50	\$0.00	\$3,610.50
PT Victim Assistance Crisis Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 9.06% variable fringe = \$1,805.25 x 2yrs= \$3,610.50		100% PTE (68.43% FTE)	\$3,610.50	\$0.00	\$3,610.50
PT Victim Assistance Crisis Interventionist	\$19,925.50 x 9.06% variable fringe = \$1,805.25 x 2yrs= \$3,610.50		100% PTE (68.43% FTE)	\$3,610.50	\$0.00	\$3,610.50

PT Victim	\$19,925.50 x		100% PTE	\$3,610.50	\$0.00	\$3,610.50
Assistance Crisis	9.06% variable		(68.43% FTE)			
Interventionist	fringe = \$1,805.25					
	x 2yrs= \$3,610.50					
PT Victim	\$19,925.50 x		100% PTE	\$3,610.50	\$0.00	\$3,610.50
Assistance Crisis	9.06% variable		(68.43% FTE)			
Interventionist	fringe = \$1,805.25					
	x 2yrs= \$3,610.50					
PT Victim	\$19,925.50 x	4.	100% PTE	\$3,610.50	\$0.00	\$3,610.50
Assistance Crisis	9.06% variable		(68.43% FTE)			
Interventionist	fringe = $$1,805.25$				***	
	x 2yrs= \$3,610.50					
PT Victim	\$19,925.50 x		100% PTE	\$3,610.50	\$0.00	\$3,610.50
Assistance Crisis	9.06% variable		(68.43% FTE)		-	
Interventionist	fringe = $$1,805.25$				}	
	x 2yrs= \$3,610.50					
Crisis Response	\$57,283.20 x	\$13,656.38	100% FTE	\$57,741.60	\$0.00	\$57,741.60
Supervisor	26.56% variable	per full time				·
•	fringe = 15,214.42	position x 2				
	x 2yrs =	yrs =				
	\$30,428.84	\$27,312.76				
SUBTOTAL: CIT	Y OF PHOENIX - F	ire Department	, Crisis Response	\$86,625.60	\$0.00	\$86,625.60
Unit FRINGE BE	NEFITS		,.			

Budget Detail

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Tempe - Police Department Crime Reduction Initiative

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
Police Officer	\$443,768 x 7.65% = \$33,948.25 and Police Retirement at 25.25% \$443,768 x 25.25% = \$112,051.42	N/A	100%	\$66,655.00	\$79,344.67	\$145,999.67
SUBTOTAL: CI Initiative FRING	TY OF TEMPE – Poli SE BENEFITS	ice Department	Crime Reduction	\$66,655.00	\$79,344.67	\$145,999.67

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Maricopa County - Adult Probation Community Restitution

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
Surveillance Officer	\$38,628 x 16.9% variable benefits rate = \$6,528.11 x 3 year project	\$7,450 per position x 3 year project length	100% FTE	\$41,934.32	\$0.00	\$41,934.32
Surveillance Officer	\$38,649 x 16.9% variable benefits rate = \$6,531.68 x 3 year project	\$7,450 per position x 3 year project length	100% FTE	\$41,945.04	\$0.00	\$41,945.04
SUBTOTAL: M Restitution FRIM	ARICOPA COUNTY	– Adult Probatic	on Community	\$83,879.36	\$0.00	\$83,879.36

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Maricopa County - County Attorney Gun Violence Prosecution Program

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
Prosecutor	\$90,870 x 7.11% = \$6,461 x 3 years = \$19,383	\$7,045 x 3 years = \$21,134	100%	\$40,517.00	\$0.00	\$40,517.00
SUBTOTAL: MARICOPA COUNTY – County Attorney Gun Violence Prosecution Program FRINGE BENEFITS			\$40,517.00	\$0.00	\$40,517.00	

009 JAG Partner Agency: Maricopa County – Juvenile Probation Case Carrying JPO Retention Program

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
Juvenile Probation Officer Year 1 (PCN 01230)	\$56,300 x 17.0% variable benefits rate = \$9,571	\$7,536	100% FTE	\$17,107.00	\$0.00	\$17,107.00
Juvenile Probation Officer Year 1 (PCN 01256)	\$56,300 x 17.0% variable benefits rate = \$9,571	\$7,536	100% FTE	\$17,107.00	\$0.00	\$17,107.00
Juvenile Probation Officer Year 2 (PCN 01230)	\$58,552 x 17.55% variable benefits rate = \$10,276	\$7,913	100% FTE	\$18,189.00	\$0.00	\$18,189.00
Juvenile Probation Officer Year 2 (PCN 01256)	\$58,552 x 17.55% variable benefits rate = \$10,276	\$7,913	100% FTE	\$18,189.00	\$0.00	\$18,189.00

Budget Detail

Carrying JPO Rete	ention Program FRII	NGE BENEFI	ΓS			
	RICOPA COUNTY -		1	\$73,663.00	\$0.00	\$73,663.00
(PCN 01256)	rate = \$856					
Officer Year 3	variable benefits		4		-	
Juvenile Probation	\$4,880 x 17.56%	\$679	100% FTE	\$1,535.00	\$0.00	\$1,535.00
(PCN 01230)	rate = \$857					
Officer Year 3	variable benefits			1		
Juvenile Probation	1 ' '	\$679	100% FTE	\$1,536.00	\$0.00	\$1,536.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Maricopa County - Sheriff's Office Parcel Interdiction

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
Sheriff Sergeant overtime	\$9,870 x 31.83% variable percent rate = \$3,142		100% FTE	\$3,142.00	\$0.00	\$3,142.00
Sheriff Deputies assigned overtime	\$32,844 x 31.83% variable percent rate = \$10,455		100% FTE	\$10,455.00	\$0.00	\$10,455.00
Sheriff Deputies Ad Hoc overtime	\$7,728 x 31.83% variable percent rate = \$2,460		As needed	\$2,460.00	\$0.00	\$2,460.00
SUBTOTAL: MARICOPA COUNTY – Sheriff's Office Parcel Interdiction FRINGE BENEFITS				\$16,057.00	\$0.00	\$16,057.00

Budget Detail

2009 JAG Partner: Maricopa County – Sheriff's Office Maricopa County Neighborhood Narcotics Enforcement Team (MCNNET)

Position Title	Variable Fringe Benefits Computation	Fixed Fringe Benefits Computation	% of Time Devoted to JAG Project	Grant Funds Requested for Position	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Fringe Benefits Budget for This Position
Sheriff Sergeant overtime	\$11,330 x 31.83% variable percent rate = \$3,606		100% FTE	\$3,606.00	\$0.00	\$3,606.00
Sheriff Deputies assigned overtime	\$32,844 x 31.83% variable percent rate = \$12,299		100% FTE	\$10,454.00	\$0.00	\$10,454.00
Sheriff Deputies Ad Hoc overtime	\$7,728 x 31.83% variable percent rate = \$2,460		As needed	\$2,460.00	\$0.00	\$2,460.00
	RICOPA COUNTY - nood Narcotics Enfor ITS			\$16,520.00	\$0.00	\$16,520.00

Total Grant Funds	Total Matching Funds	Total Fringe Benefits
		Budget
\$985,523.96	\$101,268.67	\$1,086,792.63

C. TRAVEL

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Mesa – Police Department CAD Wireless Network Encryption

Travel Purpose)	# of Staff Travelling	Transportation Expenses	Lodging Expenses	Per Diem /Subsistence Expenses	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Travel Budget
Training –	2 IT	Round-trip	2 staff x 5	2 staff x 5	\$2,430.00	\$0.00	\$2,430.00
System	Architects	airfare to San	nights x	days x			
Installation and		Jose. 2 staff x	\$132 =	\$59/day =	To graph of the state of the st		Translation of the Control of the Co
Administration		\$260 = \$520	\$1,320	\$590			
SUBTOTAL: C Network Encry		A – Police Depart L	ment CAD V	Vireless	\$2,430.00	\$0.00	\$2,430.00

2009 JAG Partner: Maricopa County – Sheriff's Office Maricopa County Neighborhood Narcotics Enforcement Team (MCNNET)

Travel Purpose)	# of Staff Travelling	Transportation Expenses	Lodging Expenses	Per Diem /Subsistence Expenses	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Travel Budget
Investigative Travel	Actual # of Staff Unknown	Unknown (will follow Sheriff's Office	Unknown	Unknown	\$5,003.00	\$0.00	\$5,003.00
Narcotics related seminars-exact seminars unknown at this time	Up to 8	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	\$9,500.00	\$0.00	\$9,500.00
		OUNTY – Sheriff orcement Team (N			\$14,503.00	\$0.00	\$14,503.00

ľ	Total Grant Funds		Total Travel Budget
	\$16,933.00	\$0.00	\$16,933.00

D. EQUIPMENT

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Chandler - Police Department Investigative Equipment Project

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
License Plate Reader	1 ea x \$22,000 = \$22,000	\$22,000.00	\$0.00	\$22,000.00
Radios – mobile	7 ea x \$5,714.29 = \$40,000	\$40,000.00	\$0.00	\$40,000.00
Auto Data Recovery Reader for Motor Unit	1 ea x \$6,050 = \$6,050	\$6,050.00	\$0.00	\$6,050.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF CHANDLER – Police Department Investigative Equipment Project EQUIPMENT		\$68,050.00	\$0.00	\$68,050.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Glendale - CAD/RMS/MDC Replacement Program

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
CAD and RMS Software Package: Items included in this replacement package would include modules for booking, records management, dispatch, field reporting, property and evidence management and crime analysis.	1 Customized Software Package for CAD/RMS x \$740,863 = \$740,863	\$740,863.00	\$0.00	\$740,863.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF GLENDALE Replacement Program EQUIPMENT	- CAD/RMS/MDC	\$740,863.00	\$0.00	\$740,863.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Mesa - Police Department CAD Wireless Network Encryption

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
Communications encryption servers	$2 \times $15,300 \text{ each} = $30,600$	\$30,600.00	\$0.00	\$30,600.00
Wireless system interface device	$2 \times \$8,201 \text{ each} = \$16,402$	\$16,402.00	\$0.00	\$16,402.00
Firewall device	$1 \times \$13,500 = \$13,500$	\$13,500.00	\$0.00	\$13,500.00
Encryption Software	1 x \$120,000	\$120,000.00	\$0.00	\$120,000.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF MESA – Po Network Encryption EQUIPMENT	lice Department CAD Wireless	\$180,502.00	\$0.00	\$180,502.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Mesa - Digital Recorders for Public Safety Communications Center

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
Master Server to record telephone and radio voice communications	1 x \$25,300	\$25,300.00	\$0.00	\$25,300.00
120 channel recording server	1 x \$58,600	\$58,600.00	\$0.00	\$58,600.00
120 channel redundant recording server	1 x \$28,500	\$28,500.00	\$0.00	\$28,500.00
SAN storage device for expanded storage	1 x \$16,500	\$16,500.00	\$0.00	\$16,500.00
Radio communications interface	1 x \$65,000	\$65,000.00	\$0.00	\$65,000.00
System software	1 x \$44,000	\$44,000.00	\$0.00	\$44,000.00
System user software (mentor)	1 x \$25,800	\$25,800.00	\$0.00	\$25,800.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF MESA – Digi Communications Center EQUIPMEN	\$263,700.00	\$0.00	\$263,700.00	

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Peoria - Crime Analysis

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
Crime analysis software will be purchased to enhance the department's reporting forecasting capabilities of crime trends within the City of Peoria.	Software = \$20,000	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$20,000.00
Hardware will be purchased to provide the department specific equipment necessary to enhance specialized crime analysis.	Hardware = \$5,000	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$5,000.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF PEORIA - C	rime Analysis EQUIPMENT	\$25,000.00	\$0.00	\$25,000.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Phoenix – Electronic Prosecutor Records Organization (ePRO) Disaster Recovery and Retention Technology Improvement Program

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
Dedicated Computer Network Server: to be installed at the Phoenix Prosecutor's Office's offsite secure location to enable integrated evidence disclosure and data sharing between the Prosecutor's Office and defense attorneys.	1 Server x \$14,552 each = \$14,552	\$14,552.00	\$0.00	\$14,552.00

Storage Area Network: to enable the	1 Storage Area Network x	\$30,000.00	\$0.00	\$30,000.00
secure management of a disaster	\$30,000 each = \$30,000			
recovery system, records retention				
system for the Prosecutor's Office and				
e-Discovery process.				
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF PHOENIX -	Electronic Prosecutor Records	\$44,552.00	\$0.00	\$44,552.00
Organization (ePRO) Disaster Recove		2018 (2018) S. D. 10, 10, 11		
Improvement Program EQUIPMENT	Opening in the Space of the supplier of the supplier of			

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Phoenix - Police Department Custom Software Development

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
Intranet server: to enable citywide access for Law Enforcement (LE) Officers to secure operations orders; personnel software and communication needs	1 x \$65,000 each	\$65,000.00	\$0.00	\$65,000.00
Data integrity protection & diagnostic tools: Tools used to protect the integrity of data accessed by LE Officers; diagnostic tools to determine any problems accessing data needed by LE Officers	1 x \$20,000 each	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$20,000.00
Test web server: Server necessary to test all software and any possible impacts to other software/environment before rolling out to LE Officers	1 x \$65,000 each	\$65,000.00	\$0.00	\$65,000.00

Budget Detail

Virtual environment software:	1 x \$55,000 each	\$55,000.00	\$0.00	\$55,000.00
Software to allow development and				
testing to occur in multiple Operating				
System (OS) environments; necessary	anni transferancia		4-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	
since Phoenix PD has multiple OS				
environments rolled out in the field				
User Interface software: Software to	1 x \$25,000 each	\$25,000.00	\$0.00	\$25,000.00
enable developers to create custom,	шахууул			2
graphical interfaces for LE Officers;	•			
will help create easier to use, more				
intuitive use of software				
New SQL server: to enable better more	1 x \$65,000 each	\$65,000.00	\$0.00	\$65,000.00
efficient tracking of crime data				
statistics; a new server will create a		Tr. Maria	Personal	
more stable, robust environment which				
will allow the use of real time data				
feeds	la granda de la companya de la compa			
SQL license upgrade: to enable	1 x \$35,000 each	\$35,000.00	\$0.00	\$35,000.00
developers to use the most recent		***		
upgrade available and all the tools				
associated with the current version				
Security software: to enhance our	1 x \$65,000 each	\$65,000.00	\$0.00	\$65,000.00
current security environment in the	,			
prevention of security breaches; protect	1			
criminal history information				
Virtual mapping software: to enable	1 x \$15,000 each	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$15,000.00
mapping in all LE Officers vehicles;				
used for statistical graphical	***************************************			
representation of high crime areas;				
allows LE Officers targeting of high			Averagense	
crime area				

Combined Budget Detail – Teresa Tschupp

26 of 60

SUBTOTAL: CITY OF PHOENIX - Police Department Custom \$410,000.00 \$0	.00 \$410,000.00
Software Development EQUIPMENT	

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Phoenix - Police Department Software Upgrade

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
ESRI GIS Implementation Project; [Note: GIS is Geographic Information Systems] GIS Server: Server for ArcGIS software project. This server will be support access to the GIS data.	1 x \$7,850	\$7,850.00	\$0.00	\$7,850.00
ESRI GIS Implementation Project; GIS Server: This server will house the GIS database	1 x \$9,150	\$9,150.00	\$0.00	\$9,150.00
Implementation of Operations Manager Project; IT Operations Management Server: Hardware in support of Operations Manager. This product will allow for accurate and easily accessible information regarding system use as well as other administrative capabilities.	1 X \$8,450	\$8,450.00	\$0.00	\$8,450.00
Microsoft SharePoint Project; Web Interface Server: Server to Support Web Interface for SharePoint	1 x \$7,300	\$7,300.00	\$0.00	\$7,300.00

Microsoft SharePoint Project; Shared Applications Server: Server to support SharePoint Application	1 x \$8,450	\$8,450.00	\$0.00	\$8,450.00
Microsoft SharePoint Project; Shared Applications Database Server: Server to support SharePoint Databases	1 x \$8,450	\$8,450.00	\$0.00	\$8,450.00
Microsoft SharePoint Project; Advanced Search Software: Software to allow advanced search functionality in SharePoint	1 x \$5,632	\$5,632.00	\$0.00	\$5,632.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF PHOENIX – Upgrade EQUIPMENT	Police Department Software	\$55,282.00	\$0.00	\$55,282.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Surprise – Traffic Enforcement Technology Enhancements

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
Video cameras for motorcycle traffic	4 video cameras x \$8,000 each =	\$32,000.00	\$0.00	\$32,000.00
officers	\$32,000			
License plate reader camera system	1 system $x $25,194 = $25,194$	\$25,194.00	\$0.00	\$25,194.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF SURPRISE - Technology Enhancements EQUIPMI		\$57,194.00	\$0.00	\$57,194.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: City of Tolleson - Real Time Field Report Writing Project

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
Law Forms Software: to enable officers to complete incident and offense reports in the field using their unit laptop; cost reflects tax.	1 Software Package x \$26,068.00 = 26,068.00	\$26,068.00	\$0.00	\$26,068.00
SUBTOTAL: CITY OF TOLLESON Writing Project EQUIPMENT	– Real Time Field Report	\$26,068.00	\$0.00	\$26,068.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Town of Buckeye - Police Department Mobile Law Enforcement License Plate Recognition (ALPR) Program

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
ALPR System one ALPR system, which includes all related hardware and software, technical installation advice, 1 year extended warranty, freight charges and Town of Buckeye Sales Tax of 8.3% as part of the entire system package.	1 ALPR SYSTEM x \$25,121 each = \$25,121	\$21,614.00	\$3,507.00	\$25,121.00
SUBTOTAL: TOWN OF BUCKEYE Law Enforcement License Plate Recog EQUIPMENT		\$21,614.00	\$3,507.00	\$25,121.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Town of Gilbert - Police Department Digital Recording System Project

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
Digital Recording System - Digital audio and video recording system to record interviews with victims, witnesses and suspects.	\$10,250.00	\$10,250.00	\$0.00	\$10,250.00
SUBTOTAL: TOWN OF GILBERT - Recording System Project EQUIPMEN		\$10,250,00	\$0.00	\$10,250.00

2009 JAG Partner Agency: Town of Gilbert - Police Department Phone Logger System Project

Equipment to Be Purchased	Equipment Cost Basis	Grant Funds Requested	Matching Funds (not required)	Total Equipment Budget
Phone Logger System – Allows the Gilbert Police Department to record all 9-1-1 lines and radio traffic and maintain to the recordings as required by law	\$65,000.00	\$65,000.00	\$0.00	\$65,000.00
SUBTOTAL: TOWN OF GILBERT - Logger System Project EQUIPMENT	- Police Department Phone	\$65,000.00	\$0.00	\$65,000.00