27
From: <sup>(b)(6)</sup>
то:
CC: "Snyder, Nathaniel <sup>(b)(6)</sup>
(b)(6)
Subject: RE: Website rollout
Date: 2011/12/13 10:47:18
Priority: Normal
Type: Note
Got it, will do, thanks (b)(6)
From: (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 10:31 AM
To: (b)(6)
Cc: Snyder, Nathaniel; <sup>(b)(6)</sup> Subject: RE: Website rollout
Subject: RE. Website foliout
Hi (b)(6) ——we're still waiting for the Front Office sign off. You we
right? Maybe you want to re-up on that chain and remind folks of
they sign off, we should be able to get it up pretty quickly
From: <sup>(b)(6)</sup>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 10:26 AM
Cc: Snyder, Nathaniel

re on that original email we sent, b)(6) briefing tomorrow? Once ri th

F 5 C Subject: RE: Website rollout

Hev<sup>(b)(6)</sup>

I wanted to check in to see if you had any sense of the launch day/time for the website? $^{(b)(6)}$ is
briefing the Hill tomorrow and was hoping that this could be public by then so he could announce it as
one of the SIP deliverables that has been accomplished <sup>(b)(5)</sup>

Thanks, (b)(6)

(b)(5)

Let me know if you think launching by tomorrow is feasible.

From: (b)(6) Sent: Monday, December 12, 2011 9:54 AM To: (b)(6) Cc: Snyder, Nathaniel

Subject: RE: Website rollout

This sounds good—we can also send with the blog post since we'll post that around the same time. Once we have edits from the FO we'll have a better sense of launch day/time. Thanks.

From: <sup>(b)(6)</sup>				
Sent: Monday, Decembe	er 12, 2	2011	9:51	AM
To: <sup>(b)(6)</sup>				
Cc: Snyder, Nathaniel				
Subject: Website rollout				

Hey<sup>(b)(6)</sup>

Once the website is officially launched, we were thinking it would be useful to send the link out to all of our stakeholders (in the same way that we sent the SIP out). If that sounds ok with you, would the following language work:

(Manual Science)	
(b)(j)	
Sender	: (b)(6)
Recipient	
	(b)(6)
	(b)(6)
Cart Date	
	:: 2011/12/13 10:47:16
Delivered Date	<b>2011</b> /12/13 10:47:18