

---

**From:** [REDACTED]@ijis.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 21, 2009 2:28 PM  
**To:** O'Reilly, Thomas  
**Subject:** FW: Priorities: EGuardian vs. DHS vs. SAR IEPD 1.5

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 21, 2009 2:26 PM  
**To:** David Lewis (David.P.Lewis@usdoj.gov); Thomas.O'Reilly2@usdoj.gov  
**Cc:** 'Cardiellos, Maria'  
**Subject:** Priorities: EGuardian vs. DHS vs. SAR IEPD 1.5

David,  
[REDACTED] and I laid out a completion workplan for the ETL development and testing on the FBI server installed at IJIS. We are shooting to have our work done by June 5<sup>th</sup>, have the eGuardian engineers out the week of the 8<sup>th</sup> and then uninstall the server and ship to the FBI on the 12<sup>th</sup>. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] will work together on this task. As you know they were delayed because of the checklist efforts to go-live with 5 sites last week.

At the same time, [REDACTED] believes he is best equipped to make the 1.5 IEPD changes given his deep knowledge of the current IEPD, and the changes necessary. [REDACTED] would also support him. As you also know, V1.5 support is not in scope of the current grant. It is not clear however if or how DOJ/OCIO will participate in this effort or review any product from [REDACTED] within the 2 week time period. Right now [REDACTED] believes he can work on the IEPD and eGuardian interface in parallel. However, if resource issues come up we need to know which of the two tasks take priority.

Secondly, although we may meet the 2 week deadline for the IEPD change, actually upgrading all of the sites to the V 1.5 is a much bigger deal as software at each site must be modified and tested. In addition, it is likely that the detailed screens may also need to be changed. My recommendation is that we complete all of the remaining deployments (LA, Seattle, Phoenix, DHS and FBI) with the currently deployed solution and release the SVT enhancements before doing anything to reengineer the SAR-EE for 1.5. I estimate about 4-5 calendar weeks to modify and test the 14 sites to meet V1.5. The issue is whether PM-ISE will agree to defer implementation of the new privacy fields until later this Summer (assuming the new grants are awarded by then).

Lastly we have DHS. The server should be picked up today and installed next week. On a call with FAMS today, we learned that there will actually be two ETL programs required. One to load legacy SARs (collected prior to Feb 1, 2009) – a onetime load. And two, another ETL script to periodically process SARs in a different format collected after Feb 1, 2009. By the way, in October, the new TISS system will be up presenting the need for a third ETL process. Bottom-line is that with eGuardian and the V1.5 IEPD plus LAPD, Seattle and Phoenix, I can't really start work on the DHS applications for several weeks.

So I just need your guidance on how to prioritize and then help in communicating those decisions to PM-ISE and DHS or to the three cities if we decide to defer their systems.

Don

[REDACTED]  
IJIS Institute  
44983 Knoll Square

Ashburn, VA 20147

Main Office: [REDACTED]

Remote Office: [REDACTED]

Cell: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@ijis.org