
From: Lewis, David
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2009 9:41 AM
To: O'Reilly, Thomas
Subject: RE: ESRI, SVT, status

Tom,

Here is my answer I would provide [REDACTED]

In our latest call "I thought" it was clear that [REDACTED] would be able to do a data extract from CAMS and we could get it into the SVT for them to do whatever "vetting" would need to be done and then they could push it to both the shared space and eGuardian. Of course this was a "temporary" solution until they can align everything with memix being the intel center. We were not going to expand funding right now then have to refund it again when the other comes online. Let me address some for [REDACTED] points

1. We've already gotten two different quotes from ESRI based on two different scenarios and if we cannot expend the funding now for the temporary solution and still provide SAR information it's a small win, for now.
2. It was made very clear in the last call that the SVT does not do mapping, nor did we see it by the time the [REDACTED] Space installation was completed in LA. The was not to see that an extract of what was in the SVT could not be used to do mapping with the tools that LA has within their shop.
3. The issue of the "geocoder" for CAMS and what we are doing are separate issues; (1) is to do mapping within their own system, (2) providing SAR data to the SS and eGuardian is another. These are separate issues to be addressed, although they will work in conjunction at some level. We cannot blind ourselves that the addition of the SVT will be the savior. We have to address all the items here and then bring it together.
4. These "ORTHO" layers is a whole new issue added to the equation and not once discussed, at least in any the meetings or calls I was participated in, and I'm sure is another funding request. The first two ESRI quotes were only for programming work and not map layers.
5. [REDACTED] last point is right on target . . . Should we be funding work by ESRI to provide data to the SVT since it's a temporary solution or should be looking at the work ESRI can do to provide necessary elements for the LA final solution.

You can share this with [REDACTED] and I would think you and I should talk to her and discuss the temporary vs the permanent solutions.

David

-----Original Message-----

From: O'Reilly, Thomas
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 9:05 PM
To: Lewis, David
Subject: Fw: ESRI, SVT, status

Here we go again.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]@lapd.lacity.org>
To: O'Reilly, Thomas
Sent: Thu May 21 20:58:30 2009
Subject: Fw: ESRI, SVT, status

Tom, FYI. [REDACTED]