

From: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Sent: 26 Aug 2020 21:55:54 +0000
To: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: FPS - KENOSHA PSO SERVICE
Attachments: TAS Request- FPS Civil Unrest KENOSHA, WI.doc

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) From the meeting with the Director this morning, he said there were no plans to surge FPS officers to Kenosha. I also know the President has since said that he was sending in Federal law enforcement, so I don't have the latest. The attachment is a Temporary Additional Services Request for PSOs (b)(7)(F) Is this leaning forward, or is this a valid requirement?

Thanks (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2020 4:54 PM
To: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: FPS - KENOSHA PSO SERVICE

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) said to reach out to you if anything came up. I just received the request below from Region 5. I know (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was discussing with DHS earlier, but I've not seen anything about FPS deploying officers or authorizing funding for the region. Do you have any information on this?

Thanks!

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Budget Execution Branch Chief
Financial Management Division
Federal Protective Service

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2020 4:34 PM
To: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: FPS - KENOSHA PSO SERVICE

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Per our call, here is the estimate for TAS service for PSO guard services for FPS requirement. Please let us know if you need any additional information for allotment-funding purposes.

Many many thanks.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)