

From: [FLETCHER Bruce A * OED](#)
To: [VILLAESCUSA Desi B * OED](#)
Subject: RE: FW: Quote for OED
Date: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 2:29:28 PM

Id.me wants to send us a [REDACTED] where it gets [REDACTED] really doesn't matter as long as the [REDACTED] gets passed on and the [REDACTED] is either [REDACTED] case of issues or deleted right away.

Also I'm sure you would agree that a [REDACTED] is harder to hack than a [REDACTED]. Especially if you don't allow [REDACTED] to it from the [REDACTED]. We only allow [REDACTED] in to the [REDACTED] from the [REDACTED].

From: VILLAESCUSA Desi B * OED <Desi.B.VILLAESCUSA@oregon.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 2:24 PM
To: FLETCHER Bruce A * OED <Bruce.A.FLETCHER@oregon.gov>
Subject: RE: FW: Quote for OED

I get Jeff's thoughts on this.
It's why I asked the question..

The theory is, [REDACTED] is for [REDACTED]
But if you have the tool and the [REDACTED], even if the file hasn't been [REDACTED] yet, the bad actor has all the tools needed to do it himself.

If you move the [REDACTED] to the [REDACTED], you make it so the bad actor has to compromise two different servers to get the [REDACTED].

Not saying we have to do it that way, but that is generally the thought process behind the extra separation.

Desi Villaescusa
Systems Manager
Oregon Employment Department
Cell: 971-283-0578
Desk: 503-947-1621

From: FLETCHER Bruce A * OED <Bruce.A.FLETCHER@oregon.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 2:21 PM
To: DREILING Jeffrey D * OED <Jeffrey.D.DREILING@oregon.gov>; VILLAESCUSA Desi B * OED <Desi.B.VILLAESCUSA@oregon.gov>
Cc: HOHMAN Chad S * OED <Chad.S.HOHRMAN@oregon.gov>; WILLIAMS Joshua C * OED <Joshua.C.WILLIAMS@oregon.gov>
Subject: RE: FW: Quote for OED

[REDACTED] is available for [REDACTED], although I really don't know if we would want a

package like that on a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] thoughts Jeff?

From: DREILING Jeffrey D * OED <Jeffrey.D.DREILING@oregon.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 2:13 PM
To: VILLAESCUSA Desi B * OED <Desi.B.VILLAESCUSA@oregon.gov>; FLETCHER Bruce A * OED <Bruce.A.FLETCHER@oregon.gov>
Cc: HOHMAN Chad S * OED <Chad.S.HOHMAN@oregon.gov>; WILLIAMS Joshua C * OED <Joshua.C.WILLIAMS@oregon.gov>
Subject: RE: FW: Quote for OED

My thoughts in red below..

From: VILLAESCUSA Desi B * OED <Desi.B.VILLAESCUSA@oregon.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 2:08 PM
To: FLETCHER Bruce A * OED <Bruce.A.FLETCHER@oregon.gov>
Cc: HOHMAN Chad S * OED <Chad.S.HOHMAN@oregon.gov>; DREILING Jeffrey D * OED <Jeffrey.D.DREILING@oregon.gov>; WILLIAMS Joshua C * OED <Joshua.C.WILLIAMS@oregon.gov>
Subject: FW: FW: Quote for OED

Hey Bruce,
Here's the latest info on the ID.me solution they are looking at.

A couple of things I notice.

- 1: They need an account on our [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to transfer files.
- 2: They use [REDACTED] to encrypt said files.

Bruce/Chad/Jeff, see any issues with the file transfer part? **No, not really. I don't think we have an option as #2 involves [REDACTED]**

Also, [REDACTED] Do we use that currently? (Have we bought it?) **Research used to use it. Not anymore. Licenses were supplied DOL, or another fed agency.**

There are open source versions [REDACTED] that might work, but if they are specifying [REDACTED] we might have to do that.

That means some minor scripting to extract the files...

(Q: On what system do we extract those files?) **I would be inclined to [REDACTED] server. Not the [REDACTED] server. I would feel more comfortable with a level of separation.**

Josh, thoughts on who might do whatever with the [REDACTED] file once we have it?

Thanx!

Desi Villaescusa
Systems Manager
Oregon Employment Department
Cell: 971-283-0578
Desk: 503-947-1621

From: FRIESEN Lori L * OED <Lori.L.FRIESEN@oregon.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 1:58 PM

To: LEAHY Lindsy K * OED <Lindsy.K.LEAHY@oregon.gov>; TRUEX Bill L * OED <Bill.L.Trueux@oregon.gov>; CROMWELL Sara D * OED <Sara.D.CROMWELL@oregon.gov>; FLORES Sarah R * OED <Sarah.R.FLORES@oregon.gov>; DREILING Jeffrey D * OED <Jeffrey.D.DREILING@oregon.gov>; VILLANI David * OED <David.VILLANI@oregon.gov>; VALERY Timothy M * OED <Timothy.M.VALERY@oregon.gov>; WILLIAMS Joshua C * OED <Joshua.C.WILLIAMS@oregon.gov>; KERN Neal T * OED <Neal.T.KERN@oregon.gov>; VILLAESCUSA Desi B * OED <Desi.B.VILLAESCUSA@oregon.gov>; MCINTOSH Derrick * OED <Derrick.MCINTOSH@oregon.gov>; HOUSE Nameun * OED <Nameun.HOUSE@oregon.gov>; WYLAND Heather E * OED <Heather.E.WYLAND@oregon.gov>; CURTIS Nancy * OED <Nancy.CURTIS@oregon.gov>; KING Heath L * OED <Heath.L.KING@oregon.gov>

Subject: FYI: FW: Quote for OED

Here's the initial info from Mere (ID.me). There is continued back-n-forth messages to get closer to some actual \$amounts, but I wanted to send this out before the update at 4pm.

Lori

From: Mere Work <mere.work@id.me>

Sent: Monday, January 4, 2021 4:42 PM

To: VILLANI David * OED <David.VILLANI@oregon.gov>

Cc: HOUSE Nameun * OED <Nameun.HOUSE@oregon.gov>; FRIESEN Lori L * OED <Lori.L.FRIESEN@oregon.gov>; Geoff Dailey <geoff.dailey@id.me>

Subject: Re: Quote for OED

Hi Dave!

Happy New Year to you and the OED team! We're excited to kick off 2021 with this project with you all. In order to accurately give SHI a quote, I need the number of verification credentials you will be purchasing.

While we do charge 2 different fees based on if the user is a new or returning (previously verified by ID.me) user, it's difficult to ascertain what percentage of your users will be pre-verified. All of our other partners have purchased X number of credentials, and then, in addition to daily/weekly reporting, we send monthly invoices that show how the credentials have been broken down between new issuances/network renewals. Does that make sense?

For the other items:

- I've attached an example [REDACTED] and the integration guide, which contains step-by-step directions (we also go over this in-depth during an onboarding call). For the [REDACTED] the time stamp is set to UTC and the naming convention for the file is:
[REDACTED]
- **Hosted Landing Page:** The banner image is 1300px X 450px and the logo is 60px X 60px (jpg or png preferred). Here are a few examples (please do not verify through

them!): [Washington](#), [Georgia](#), [Indiana](#)

- **[Help.ID.me Page](#):** We recommend creating an OED specific help page on [Help.ID.me](#). If you can provide the copy/images in word format we can easily add the page [here](#). North Dakota has received great feedback from claimants on their page, so we do recommend that specific one. Other states have also preferred to keep it very generic and point back to their own state FAQ page.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Mere Work

Senior Account Executive

Mobile: 703-712-3750

8280 Greensboro Dr Suite 800

McLean, VA, 22102

mere.work@id.me

|

|

On Mon, Jan 4, 2021 at 5:20 PM VILLANI David * OED <David.VILLANI@oregon.gov> wrote:

Hi Mere, I hope you had a good couple of holiday's. The Oregon Employment Department is looking forward to working with ID.me. We are would like to get our procurement process started and would like a quote provided through SHI. The quote would be for a State landing page, per match cost (new and existing ID), and a statement of work. If there is more you need to generate the quote, just let us know. I have include Nameun from procurement and Lori who will be our project manager.

I also receive some additional questions from our IT shop. What method/s does ID.me use to send us results? I believe you told us [REDACTED] but I wanted to verify. When possible, they would also like a sample file or file layout so we can start setting our systems up internally to process the file.

Thanks in advance

Dave Villani

Operations and Policy Analyst

Oregon Employment Department

(503) 947-1356

This message (including any attachments) may contain confidential and privileged information belonging to the sender, for a specific individual and purpose, and is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you should delete this message and any disclosure, copying, forwarding or distribution of this

message, or the taking of any action based on it, by you is strictly prohibited.