

(l) Additional counts include: reestablishment of the note and reformation. Reestablishment of the note is necessary if the note is lost; reformation of the note is needed if material terms are missing. Reformation of the mortgage applies if there is a legal description discrepancy; reformation of deed is there is a deed problem.

(m) Deficiency judgment – if plaintiff seeks a deficiency, the guarantors must be sued.

### **Original Document Filing and Reestablishment of the Note**

1. Note - Lender is required to either present the original promissory note or give a satisfactory explanation for the lender's failure to present it prior to it being enforced. *Nat'l. Loan Investors, L.P. v. Joymar Associates*, 767 So. 2d 549, 550 (Fla. 3d DCA 2000).

(a) A limited exception applies to lost, destroyed or stolen instruments. *Id.*

2. A lost promissory note is a negotiable instrument. § 673.1041(1), Fla. Stat. (2008); *Thompson v. First Union Bank*, 643 So. 2d 1179 (Fla. 5th DCA 1994).

(a) Loss or unintentional destruction of a note does not affect its validity or enforcement.

3. Reestablishment of the lost note - An owner of a lost, stolen or destroyed instrument may maintain an action by showing proof of his ownership, facts that prevent the owner from producing the instrument and proof of the terms of the lost instrument. § 673.3091(2), Fla. Stat. (2004); *Lawyer's Title Ins. Co., Inc. v. Novastar Mortgage, Inc.*, 862 So. 2d 793, 798 (Fla. 4th DCA 2004); *Gutierrez v. Bermudez*, 540 So. 2d 888, 890 (Fla. 5th DCA 1989).

(a) Owner of note is not required to have held possession of the note when the loss occurred to maintain an action against the mortgagor. *Deaktor v. Menendez*, 830 So. 2d 124, 126 (Fla. 3d DCA 2002). Further, plaintiff is not required to prove the circumstances of the loss or destruction of the note to seek enforcement. *Id.*, at 127. Plaintiff must show only that it was entitled to enforce the note at the time of loss or that it has directly or indirectly acquired ownership of the instrument from a person who was entitled to enforce the instrument when loss of possession occurred.

§ 673.3091(1)(a), Fla. Stat. (2010); *MERS v. Badra*, 991 So. 2d 1037, 1039 (Fla. 4th DCA 2008).

(b) If plaintiff is not in possession of the original note and did not reestablish it, plaintiff cannot foreclose on the note and mortgage. § 673.3091(1), Fla. Stat. (2004); *Dasma Invest., LLC v. Realty Associates Fund III, L.P.* 459 F. Supp. 2d 1294, 1302 (S.D. Fla. 2006).

(c) The filing of a duplicate copy of the note is sufficient to satisfy statutory requirements in a foreclosure action. *Perry v. Fairbanks Capital Corp.*, 888 So. 2d 725 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004). If there is no copy, Plaintiff should file a lost note affidavit, ledger or a summary of loan terms.

(1) **Checklist for lost note affidavit:**

- (a) original principal balance;
- (b) signators and date note executed;
- (c) rate of interest;
- (d) unpaid balance and default date;
- (e) affiant status must be banking representative with knowledge of the particular loan;
- (f) indemnity language, precluding subsequent foreclosure judgment on the same note.

(d) Where the original note is lost, the court may require indemnification of the borrower for subsequent prosecution on the note and may require a bond to secure same. *Lovingood v. Butler Construction Co.*, 131 So. 126, 135 (Fla. 1930). Consider bonds particularly where there is a securitized trust.

1. Mortgage – Copy of mortgage is sufficient. *Perry*, 888 So. 2d at 726.

(a) Mortgage must contain correct legal description. *Lucas v. Barnett Bank of Lee County*, 705 So. 2d 115, 116 (Fla. 2d DCA 1998). If not, final judgment must be set aside. However, this can be corrected prior to final judgment.

## **Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA)**

1. Purpose - eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(e).

2. Some Florida courts held - attorneys engaged in regular foreclosure work met the general definition of debt collector and are subject to the FDCPA. *Sandlin v. Shapiro*, 919 F. Supp. 1564, 1567 (M.D. Fla. 1996), (law firm engaged in collection foreclosure work was considered a debt collector where the firm sent correspondence advising of payoff and reinstatement figures and directed mortgagors to pay the law firm).

3. Under FDCPA, a debt collector's obligation to send a Notice of Debt is triggered by an initial communication with the consumer. *McKnight v. Benitez*, 176 F. Supp. 1301, 1304 (M.D. Fla. 2001).

(a) Filing of suit is not "an initial communication which otherwise would have given rise to notice and verification rights." *Acosta v. Campbell*, 2006 WL 3804729 (M.D. Fla. 2006).

(b) Foreclosure law firms have adopted the practice of attaching to their complaint: "Notice Required under the Fair Debt Collection Practice Act." This notice held ineffective in *Martinez v. Law Offices of David J. Stern*, 266 B.R. 523 (Bank. S.D. Fla. 2001).

## **Mandatory Mediation of Homestead Foreclosures**

1. Based on the exponential increase in filings of mortgage foreclosure cases in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit Court, the Chief Judge implemented four Administrative Orders in the following sequence:

(a) Administrative Order 09-08 applies to all residential foreclosure actions involving homestead properties filed on or after May 1, 2009. AO 09-08 established the 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit Homestead Access to Mediation Program (CHAMP) mandating mandatory mediation of homestead foreclosures prior to the matter being set for final hearing. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff is required to transmit to the

Program Manager, the Collins Center, a notice form (Form A) with borrower's contact information. Within five days of filing the complaint, Plaintiff must tender a cost check in the amount of \$750.00 to cover the administrative costs of the mediation. The Collins Center responsibilities include: contacting the borrower, referring the borrower to financial counseling and making financial documentation available electronically to the Plaintiff. Plaintiff's counsel and the borrower are required to be physically present at mediation; the lender's representative must attend, but is allowed to participate by telephone. Within ten days of the completion of the mediation, the mediator must report the mediation results to the court.

(b) Administrative Order 09-09 revised the following forms: the civil cover sheet, Plaintiff's certification of settlement authority, Plaintiff's certification of residential mortgage foreclosure case status and the final judgment of foreclosure.

This Administrative Order specifically exempts condominium and homeowners' association fee foreclosures, private investor mortgage foreclosures, foreclosures of non-homestead properties and construction lien foreclosures.

(c) Administrative Order 09-09 A1 acknowledged the statutory authority of the Clerk of the Courts to conduct the sale of real or personal property by electronic means. This Administrative Order further proscribed adherence to certain procedures concerning tenant occupied residential properties under the "Protecting Tenants at Foreclosure Act of 2009." Amending the specific format of the final judgment of foreclosure, this Administrative Order prohibited the issuance of immediate writs of possession.

(d) Administrative Order 09-18 responded to the Clerk of the Court's request for formal approval to conduct on-line auctions, in lieu of on-site auctions for the sale of real property.

2. On December 28, 2009, the Florida Supreme Court issued Administrative Order 09-54, adopting the recommendations of the Task Force on Residential Mortgage Foreclosure Cases and establishing a uniform, statewide managed mediation program. The Florida Supreme Court approved the Task Force's Model Administrative Order, with minor changes to be implemented by each circuit chief judge.

3. On February 26, 2010, the Eleventh Judicial Circuit Court issued Administrative Order 10-03 A1 requiring mandatory mediation of all homestead mortgage foreclosure actions subject to the federal Truth in Lending Act, Regulation Z. Administrative Order 10-03 A 1 applies to actions filed after March 29, 2010. Specifically exempted from this Administrative Order are condominium and homeowners' association fee foreclosures and mechanics and construction lien foreclosures. This Administrative Order constitutes a formal referral to mediation through the Residential Mortgage Foreclosure Mediation (RMFM) Program; parties are ineligible for default judgment, a summary judgment or final hearing until they have fully complied with mediation requirements.

Basic Procedural Requirements of Administrative Order 10-03 A1 include:

(a) When suit is filed, plaintiff must file a completed Form A with the Clerk listing the last known mailing address and phone number for each party. One business day after filing the complaint, plaintiff must transmit Form A to the Program Manager of the RMFM along with the case number of the action. The Collins Center for Public Policy, Inc. is the contract Program Manager in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit. At the time of the filing of the complaint, the Plaintiff must tender RMFM fees in the amount of \$400.00; the balance of fees in the amount of \$350.00 must be paid by Plaintiff within 10 days after notice of the mediation conference.

(b) Upon receipt of Form A, the Program Manager must contact the borrower and refer the borrower an approved mortgage foreclosure counselor. Foreclosure counseling must be completed no later than 30 days from the Program Manager's initial contact with the borrower. If the Program Manager is unable to contact the borrower within this time frame, the borrower will have been deemed to elect nonparticipation in the RMFM Program.

(c) The Program Manager must transmit the borrower's financial disclosure for mediation no later than 60 days after the Program Manager receives Form A from Plaintiff.

(d) The Program Manager shall schedule a mediation session no earlier than 60 days and no later than 120 days after suit is filed.

(e) Plaintiff's representative may appear by telephone upon 5 days notice prior to the mediation; plaintiff's attorney, the borrower and the borrower's attorney, if any, must attend in person. The court may dismiss the action without prejudice or impose other sanctions for failure to attend. Within 10 days after completion of mediation, the mediator must issue a report advising the court as to the parties' attendance and result.

### **Service of Process**

1. Due service of process is essential to satisfy jurisdictional requirements over the subject matter and the parties in a foreclosure action. Rule 1.070, Fla. R. of Civ. P. (2010) and Chapters 48 and 49 of the Florida Statutes.

2. Service of process must be made upon the defendant within 120 days after the filing of the initial pleading. Rule 1.070(j), Fla. R. Civ. P. (2010). Absent a showing of excusable neglect or good cause, the failure to comply with the time limitations may result in the court's dismissal of the action without prejudice or the dropping of the defendant.

### **Personal Service**

1. Section 48.031 (1), Fla. Stat. (2010) requires that service of process be effectuated by a certified process server on the person to be served by delivery of the complaint or other pleadings at the usual place of abode or by leaving the copies at the individual's place of abode with any person residing there, who is 15 years of age or older and informing them of the contents. § 48.27, Fla. Stat. (2010).

(a) Ineffective service - Leaving service of process with a doorman or with a tenant, when the defendant does not reside in the apartment is defective service. *Grosheim v. Greenpoint Mortgage Funding, Inc.*, 819 So. 2d 906, 907 (Fla. 4th DCA 2002). Evidence that person resides at a different address from service address is ineffective service. *Alvarez v. State Farm Mut. Ins. Co.*, 635 So. 2d 131 (Fla. 3d DCA 1994).

(b) Judgment subject to collateral attack where plaintiff did not substantially comply with the statutory requirements of service.

2. Substitute service authorized by Section 48.031 (2), Fla. Stat. (2010). Substitute service may be made upon the spouse of a person to be served, if the cause of action is not an adversary proceeding between the spouse and the person to be served, and if the spouse resides with the person to be served.

(a) Statutes governing service of process are strictly construed. *General de Seguros, S.A. v. Consol. Prop. & Cas. Ins. Co.*, 776 So. 2d 990, 991 (Fla. 3d DCA 2001). (reversed with directions to vacate default judgment and quash service of process since substituted service was not perfected).

(b) Use of private couriers or Federal Express held invalid. *Id.*; *FNMA v. Fandino*, 751 So. 2d 752, 753 (Fla. 3d DCA 2000), (trial courts voiding of judgment affirmed based on plaintiff's failure to strictly comply with substitute service of process which employed Fedex).

(c) Evading service of process – defined by statute as concealment of whereabouts. § 48.161(1), Fla. Stat. (2010); *Bodden v. Young*, 422 So. 2d 1055 (Fla. 4th DCA 1982).

(1) The Florida case which clearly illustrates concealment is *Luckey v. Smathers & Thompson*, 343 So. 2d 53 (Fla. 3d DCA 1977). In *Luckey*, the defendant had "for the purpose of avoiding all legal matters, secreted himself from the world and lived in isolation in a high security apartment refusing to answer the telephone or even to open his mail." *Id.* at 54. The Third District Court of Appeal affirmed the trial court's decision denying defendant's motion to vacate the writ of execution and levy of sale based on a record of genuine attempts to serve the defendant. The Third District Court further opined that "there is no rule of law which requires that the officers of the court be able to breach the self-imposed isolation in order to inform the defendant that a suit has been filed against him." *Id.*

(2) Effective proof of evading service must demonstrate plaintiff's attempts in light of the facts of the case (despite process server's 13 unsuccessful attempts at service, evasion was not proved based on evidence that the property was occupied and defendant's vehicle parked there.) *Wise v. Warner*, 932 So. 2d

591, 592 (Fla. 5th DCA 2006). Working whose place of employment was known to the sheriff was not concealing herself or avoiding process, sheriff only attempted service at the residence during work hours. *Styles v. United Fid. & Guaranty Co.*, 423 So. 2d 604 (Fla. 3d DCA 1982).

(3) Statutory requirements satisfied if papers left at a place from which the person to be served can easily retrieve them and if the process server takes reasonable steps to call the delivery to the attention of the person to be served. *Olin Corp. v. Haney*, 245 So. 2d 669 (Fla 4th DCA 1971).

3. Service on a corporation - may be served on the registered agent, officer or director. Section 48.081(2)(b), Fla. Stat. (2010) - if the address provided for the registered agent, officer, director, or principal place of business is a residence or private mailbox, service on the corporation may be made by serving the registered agent, officer or director in accordance with § 48.031, Fla. Stat. (2010).

#### **Constructive Service by Publication**

1. Section 49.011(1), Fla. Stat. (2010) identifies the enforcement of a claim of lien to any title or interest in real property such as foreclosure actions.

2. Sections 49.021-40.041, of the Florida Statutes govern constructive service or service by publication. Constructive service statutes are strictly construed against the party seeking to obtain service. *Levenson v. McCarty*, 877 So. 2d 818, 819 (Fla. 4th DCA 2004).

3. Service by publication - only available when personal service cannot be made. *Godsell v. United Guaranty Residential Insurance*, 923 So. 2d 1209, 1212 (Fla. 5th DCA 2006), (service by publication is void when plaintiff knew of the defendant's Canadian residency, but merely performed a skip trace in Florida and made no diligent search and inquiry to locate Canadian address); *Gross v. Fidelity Fed. Sav. Bank of Fla.*, 579 So. 2d 846, 847 (Fla. 4th DCA 1991), (appellate court reversed and remanded to quash service of process and default based on plaintiff's knowledge of defendant's out of state residence address and subsequent failure to attempt personal service).



(a) Plaintiff must demonstrate that an honest and conscientious effort, reasonably appropriate to the circumstances, was made to acquire the necessary information and comply with the applicable statute. *Dor Cha, Inc. v. Hollingsworth*, 8786 So. 2d 678, 679 (Fla. 4th DCA 2004), (default judgment reversed based on plaintiff's crucial misspelling of defendant's name and subsequent search on wrong individual).

(b) Condition precedent to service by publication - Section 49.041, Fla. Stat., (2010), requires that the plaintiff file a sworn statement that shows (1) a diligent search and inquiry has been made to discover the name and residence of such person, (2) whether the defendant is over the age of 18, or if unknown, the statement should set forth that it is unknown, and (3) the status of the defendant's residence, whether unknown or in another state or country. Section 49.051, Fla. Stat. (2010) applies to service by publication on a corporation.

(c) Plaintiff is entitled to have the clerk issue a notice of action subsequent to the filing of its sworn statement. Pursuant to § 49.09, Fla. Stat., (2010), the notice requires defendant to file defenses with the clerk and serve same upon the plaintiff's attorney within 30 days after the first publication of the notice.

(1) Notice - published once each week for two consecutive weeks, with proof of publication filed upon final publication.

§49.10(1)(c)(2), Fla. Stat. (2010).

(d) Affidavit of diligent search - need only allege that diligent search and inquiry have been made; it is not necessary to include specific facts. *Floyd v. FNMA*, 704 So. 2d 1110, 1112 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998), (final judgment and sale vacated based on plaintiff's failure to conduct diligent search to discover deceased mortgagor's heirs residence and possession of the subject property). However:

(1) Better practice is to file an affidavit of diligent search that contains all details of the search. *Demars v. Vill. of Sandalwood Lakes Homeowners Ass'n.*, 625 So. 2d 1219, 1222 (Fla. 4th DCA 1993), (plaintiff's attorney failed to conduct diligent search and

inquiry by neglecting to follow up on leads which he knew were likely to yield defendant's residence).

(a) **Diligent search and inquiry checklist**

Form 1.924, Fla. R. Civ. P. (2010) contains a basic checklist of a diligent search and inquiry to establish constructive service. This Form adds consideration of inquiry of tenants as to the location of the owner/landlord of tenant occupied property. Further, the Form utilizes the following sources:

- (1) Inquiry as to occupants in possession of the subject property;
- (2) Inquiry of neighbors;
- (3) Public records search of criminal/civil actions;
- (4) Telephone listings;
- (5) Tax collector records;
- (6) Utility Co. records;
- (7) Last known employer;
- (8) U. S. Post Office;
- (9) Local police department, correctional department;
- (10) Local hospitals;
- (11) Armed Forces of the U.S.;
- (12) Department of Highway Safety & Motor Vehicles;
- (13) School board enrollment verification, if defendant has children;
- (14) An inquiry of the Division of Corporations, State of Florida, to determine if the defendant is an officer, director or registered agent;
- (15) Voter registration records.

(f) The plaintiff bears the burden of proof to establish the legal sufficiency of the affidavit when challenged. *Id.* If constructive service of process is disputed, the trial court has the duty of determining: (1) if the affidavit of diligent search is legally sufficient; and (2) whether the plaintiff conducted an adequate search to locate the defendants. *First Home View Corp. v. Guggino*, 10 So. 3d 164, 165 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009).

(g) **Diligent search test** - whether plaintiff reasonably employed the knowledge at his command, made diligent inquiry, and exerted an honest and conscientious effort appropriate to the circumstances. *Shepherd v. Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Am.s*, 922 So. 2d 340, 343 (Fla. 5th DCA 2006), (reversed and voided judgment as to defendant wife based on plaintiff's failure to strictly comply with statute, when they had been informed of defendant's correct address in England). Plaintiff's reliance on constructive service, when a doorman in New York repeatedly informed the process server of the Defendant's location in Florida, reflects an insufficient amount of reasonable efforts to personally serve the defendant to justify the use of constructive service. *De Vico v. Chase Manhattan Bank*, 823 So. 2d 175, 176 (Fla. 3d DCA 2002). Similarly, failure to inquire of the most likely source of information concerning whereabouts of a corporation, or an officer or agent, does not constitute reasonable diligence. *Redfield Investments, A. V. V. v. Village of Pinecrest*, 990 So. 2d 1135, 1139 (Fla. 3d DCA 2008).

(h) Defective service of process - judgment based on lack of diligent search and inquiry constitutes improper service and lacks authority of law. *Batchin v. Barnett Bank of Southwest Fla.*, 647 So. 2d 211,213 (Fla. 2d DCA 1994).

(1) Judgment rendered void - when defective service of process amounts to no notice of the proceedings. *Shepherd*, 922 So. 2d at 345. Void judgment is a nullity that cannot be validated by the passage of time and may be attacked at any time. *Id.*

(2) Judgment rendered voidable - irregular or defective service actually gives notice of the proceedings. *Id.*

(i) Limitations of constructive service – only confers in rem or quasi in jurisdiction; restricted to the recovery of mortgaged real property.

(1) No basis for deficiency judgment - constructive service of process cannot support a judgment that determines an issue of personal liability. *Carter v. Kingsley Bank*, 587 So. 2d 567, 569 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991), (deficiency judgment cannot be obtained absent personal service of process).

### **Service of Process outside the State of Florida and in Foreign Countries**

1. Section 48.194(1), Fla. Stat., (2010) - authorizes service of process in the same manner as service within the state, by an officer in the state where the person is being served. Section states that service of process outside the United States may be required to conform to the provisions of Hague Convention of 1969 concerning service abroad of judicial and extrajudicial documents in civil or commercial matters.

2. The Hague Convention creates - appropriate means to ensure that judicial and extra-judicial documents to be served abroad shall be brought to the addressee in sufficient time. *Koechli v. BIP Int'l.*, 861 So. 2d 501, 502 (Fla. 5th DCA 2003).

(a) Procedure - process sent to a designated central authority, checked for compliance, served under foreign nation's law, and certificate prepared which documents the place and date of service or an explanation as to lack of service. *Id.* (return by the central authority of a foreign nation of completed certificate of service was prima facie evidence that the authority's service on a defendant in that country was made in compliance with the Hague Convention and with the law of that foreign nation).

(b) Compliance issues - see *Diz v. Hellman Int'l. Nat'l. Forwarders*, 611 So. 2d 18 (Fla. 3d DCA 1992), (plaintiff provided a faulty address to the Spanish authorities and the trial judge entered a default judgment, which appellate court reversed).

3. Service by registered mail - authorized by Section 48.194(2), Fla. Stat. (2010). Permits service by registered mail to nonresidents where the address of the person to be served is known.

(a) Section 48.192(2)(b), Fla. Stat. (2010), provides that plaintiff must file an affidavit which sets forth the nature of the process, the date on which the process was mailed by registered mail, the name and address on the envelope containing the process that was mailed, the fact that the process was mailed by registered mail and was accepted or refused by endorsement or stamp. The return envelope from the attempt to mail process should be attached to the affidavit.

### **Service of process and timeshare real property:**

1. Foreclosure proceedings involving timeshare estates may join multiple defendants in the same action. § 721.83, Fla. Stat. (2010).

2. There are additional options to effectuating service of process for a timeshare foreclosure.

(a) Substitute service may be made upon the obligor's appointed registered agent. § 721.85(1), Fla. Stat. (2010).

(b) When quasi in rem or in rem relief only is sought, service may be made on any person whether the person is located inside or outside the state by certified or registered mail, addressed to the person to be served at the notice address. § 721.85(a), Fla. Stat. (2010).

### **Substitution of Parties**

1. Substitution is not mandatory; the action may proceed in the name of the original party. However, to substitute a new party based on a transfer of interest requires a court order. *Tinsley v. Mangonia Residence 1, Ltd.*, 937 So. 2d 178, 179 (Fla. 4th DCA 2006), Rule 1.260, Fla. R. Civ. P.

2. Order of substitution must precede an adjudication of rights of parties, including default. *Floyd v. Wallace*, 339 So. 2d 653 (Fla. 1976); *Campbell v. Napoli*, 786 So. 2d 1232 (Fla. 2d DCA 2001), (error to enter judgment without a real party against whom judgment could be entered).

3. When substitution is permitted, plaintiff must show the identity of the new party's interest and the circumstances.

### **Entry of Default**

1. Without proof of service demonstrating adherence to due process requirements, the Plaintiff is not entitled to entry of default or a default final judgment.

(a) Failure to effectuate service - places the jurisdiction in a state of dormancy during which the trial court or clerk is without authority to enter a default. *Armet*

*S.N.C. di Ferronato Giovanni & Co. v. Hornsby*, 744 So. 2d 1119, 1121 (Fla. 1st DCA 1999); *Tetley v. Lett*, 462 So. 2d 1126 (Fla. 4th DCA 1984).

2. Legal effect of default - admission of every cause of action that is sufficiently well-pled to properly invoke the jurisdiction of the court and to give due process notice to the party against whom relief is sought. *Fiera.Com, Inc. v. Digicast New Media Group, Inc.*, 837 So. 2d 451, 452 (Fla. 3d DCA 2003). Default terminates the defending party's right to further defend, except to contest the amount of unliquidated damages. *Donohue v. Brightman*, 939 So. 2d 1162, 1164 (Fla. 4th DCA 2006).

3. Plaintiff is entitled to entry of default if the defendant fails to file or serve any paper 20 days after service of process. Rule 1.040(a)(1), Fla. R. Civ. P. (2010).

(a) State of Florida has 40 days in which to file or serve any paper in accordance with Section 48.121, Fla. Stat. (2008).

(b) United States of America has 60 days to file under the provisions of 28 U.S.C.A. § 2410(b); Rule 12(a)(3), Fed. R. Civ. P.

4. **Service Members Civil Relief Act of 2003 (formerly, Soldier's & Sailors Act)**

(a) Codified in 50 App. U. S. C. A. § 521 - tolls proceedings during the period of time that the defendant is in the military service.

(b) Act precludes entry of default; there is no need for the service member to demonstrate hardship or prejudice based on military service. *Conroy v. Aniskoff*, 507 U.S. 511, 512 (1993). Service member with notice of the foreclosure action, may obtain a stay of the proceedings for a period of 9 months. 50 App. U. S. C. A. § 521 (d) was superseded by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, § 2203, which expires on 12/31/10. Upon expiration, the original 90 day period will re-take effect.

(c) Determination of military status – to obtain default, plaintiff must file an affidavit stating:

(1) defendant is not in military service; or

(2) plaintiff is unable to determine if the defendant is in the military service. 50 App. U. S. C. A. § 521(b)(1).

(d) Unknown military status - the court may require the plaintiff to file a bond prior to entry of judgment. 50 App. U. S. C. A. § 521(b)(3).

5. Plaintiff is required to serve the defendant with notice of the application for default. Failure to notice defendant's attorney entry of subsequent default is invalid; rendering resulting judgment void. *U.S. Bank Nat'l. Ass'n. v. Lloyd*, 981 So. 2d 633, 634 (Fla. 2d DCA 2008).

6. Non-Military Affidavit required - must be based on: personal knowledge, attest to the fact that inquiry was made of the Armed Forces, and affiant must state that the defendant is not in the armed forces. *The Fla. Bar Re: Approval of Forms*, 621 So. 2d 1025, 1034 (Fla. 1993). Affidavits based on information and belief are not in compliance.

(a) Non-military affidavit is valid for one year.

### **Appointment of a Guardian ad Litem**

1. The best practice is appointment when unknown parties are joined and service effected through publication. For example, a guardian ad litem should be appointed to represent the estate of a deceased defendant or when it is unknown if the defendant is deceased. § 733.308, Fla. Stat. (2010).

(a) Section 65.061(2), Fla. Stat. (2010) states that a "guardian ad litem shall not be appointed unless it affirmatively appears that the interest of minors, persons of unsound mind, or convicts are involved."

(b) Rule 1.210(b), Fla. R. Civ. P. (2010) provides that the court "shall appoint a guardian ad litem for a minor or incompetent person not otherwise represented...for the protection of the minor or incompetent person." Similarly, Rule 1.511(e), Fla. R. Civ. P. (2010) maintains that "final judgment after default may be entered by the court at any time, but no judgment may be entered against an infant or incompetent person unless represented by a guardian."

## **Appointment of a Receiver**

1. During a foreclosure, appointment of a receiver for condominium and homeowners' associations is governed by statute, although it may also be authorized by association by-laws.

(a) Section 718.116(6)(c), Fla. Stat. (2010), provides that the court in its discretion may require the resident condominium unit owner to pay a reasonable rental for the unit. During the "pendency of the foreclosure action, the condominium association is entitled to the appointment of a receiver to collect the rent." *Id.*

(b) Similarly, Section 720.3085(1)(d), Fla. Stat. (2010) governs homeowners' associations. Post judgment, this Section provides that the court may require the parcel owner to pay a reasonable rent for the parcel. If the parcel is rented or leased during the pendency of the foreclosure, the homeowners' association is entitled to the appointment of a receiver. *Id.*

(c) Blanket motions for appointment of a receiver for units prior to the filing of a foreclosure action do not meet the requirements of either statutory provision.

2. The movant for appointment of a receiver for real property which does not qualify under the condominium or homeowners' association statutes must satisfy basic prerequisites. These basic prerequisites are the same legal standards applicable to non-foreclosure proceedings, as injunctive relief.

(a) This equitable prejudgment remedy must be exercised with caution as it is in derogation of the legal owner's fundamental right of possession of his property and only warranted if there is a showing that the secured property is being wasted or otherwise subject to serious risk of loss. *Alafaya Square Association, Ltd. v. Great Western Bank*, 700 So. 2d 38, 41 (Fla. 5th DCA 1997); *Twinjay Chambers Partnership v. Suarez*, 556 So. 2d 781, 782 (Fla. 2d DCA 1990); *Electro Mechanical Products, Inc. v. Borona*, 324 So. 2d 638 (Fla. 3d DCA 1976).

(b) In the absence of a showing that the property is being wasted or otherwise subject to serious risk of loss, appointment of a receiver is unjustified. *Seasons P'ship 1 v. Kraus-Anderson, Inc.*, 700 So. 2d 6061, 6062 (Fla. 2d DCA 1997).