Re: forceclosure sales

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 12:24 PM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Judge

Victor Hulslander; Arlene Huszar; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida's High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

In my short time here, my observation is that the banks are routinely faxing in motions to cancel/reschedule the sale at the very last minute (often literally). Kathy Janous stops what she's doing, finds a judge to sign the order before the 11:00 am sale, and prepares the envelopes to mail out the order. If she doesn't get the order signed in time, the banks simply don't pay the sale fee to the clerk, and the hearing is cancelled anyway. This resuts in a whole lot of running around, for no reason.

I agree that we should require a hearing (with notice) before entering an order cancelling or rescheduling a sale. If the cancellation is actually a charade to keep the property off of the bank's books, they can still accomplish it by simply not paying the sale fee, but at least we're not sanctioning it with an Order. If there is a legitimate reason to delay the sale (i.e., the parties are working toward a resolution), I would think that we would all routinely grant that request. We may want to waive the hearing requirement if there is; a stipulation signed by all parties, a proposed Order with a new sale date, and envelopes, submitted by 5:00 pm the day prior to the sale.

Paul

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. Sent: Tue 9/7/2010 11:54 AM

To: Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Victor Hulslander;

Arlene Huszar; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida's High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

That is why I want a hearing and the owner noticed so that we might know if the home is still occupied or vacant. From my understanding, the file is considered closed for our purposes once the final judgment is entered. We have disposed of the case. I would not be surprised that some of these homes are vacant.

From: Judge Toby Monaco

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 11:50 AM

To: Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Arlene Huszar; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida's High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

The ultimate "chess piece" for the foreclosure firms is to not pay the clerk's fee for the sale, in which event the clerk will not proceed with the sale. If the homeowner is still in the residence, who are we to interfere with what is a benefit to them if the sale does not proceed? I think we should be very careful not to do anything that takes away or gives a benefit to either side just because we are anxious about controlling or closing the file.

Re: forceclosure sales Page 3 of 3

With respect to what clerks require to cancel a sale, I know that Alachua and Bradford await a court order, unless the bank doesn't show at the sale and the fee is not paid. What do the other clerks in our circuit do?

From: Judge Stan Griffis

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 11:28 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Victor Hulslander; Arlene

Huszar; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida's High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

I have stopped signing them unless there is a reschedule date. The clerks are handling these differently; many clerks are cancelling sales based on the fax motion only, notwithstanding the lack of an order. This needs to be uniformly handled by the Courts and the Clerks. Maybe our administrative judge can come up with a policy for judges and the clerks. SHG

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 11:00 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Arlene Huszar; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida's High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

After a quick reading of the article, I think we should immediately stop entering ex parte motions to cancel foreclosure sales. It appears the foreclosure mills and banks are routinely filing these motions so that the sale will not occur and the bank will not incur liability for any homeowners' association dues which attach with the sale. I think the motion should be very explicit about the reason for the cancellation and the debtor notified before any hearing or granting of the motion.

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Saturday, September 04, 2010 3:56 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor Hulslander; Arlene Huszar

Subject: FW: Florida's High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

----- Forwarded Message

From: Robert Roundtree

Date: Sat, 4 Sep 2010 15:55:13 -0400 **To:** "Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr."

Subject: Florida's High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

http://www.nytimes.com/2010/09/05/business/05house.html? r=1&hp

----- End of Forwarded Message

Arlene Huszar

From:

Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent:

Monday, January 10, 2011 12:52 PM

To:

Tami Smith

Subject:

FW: forceclosure sales

Attachments: memo.sales.doc

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2010 3:42 PM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Victor Hulslander

Subject: RE: forceclosure sales

Got it in there now. Thanks.

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2010 3:34 PM **To:** Paul Silverman; Judge Victor Hulslander

Subject: RE: forceclosure sales

Please add the paragraph stating if home is owner occupied, tenant occupied or vacant.

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2010 3:10 PM

To: Judge Victor Hulslander; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Subject: RE: forceclosure sales

Judges:

Please take a look at the attached memo to be sent to the bank attorneys and offer your comments.

From: Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 12:40 PM

To: Paul Silverman; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Martha

Lott; Ted McFetridge; Arlene Huszar; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida¹s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

A meeting of all Eighth Circuit judges who are handling foreclosures might help us formulate a unified position on these various issues. We should be consistent in our responses to this problem. The clerks of the circuit should also be included or their practices and positions made known at the meeting.

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 12:24 PM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Martha Lott; Ted

McFetridge; Judge Victor Hulslander; Arlene Huszar; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

In my short time here, my observation is that the banks are routinely faxing in motions to cancel/reschedule the sale at the very last minute (often literally). Kathy Janous stops what she's doing,

finds a judge to sign the order before the 11:00 am sale, and prepares the envelopes to mail out the order. If she doesn't get the order signed in time, the banks simply don't pay the sale fee to the clerk, and the hearing is cancelled anyway. This resuts in a whole lot of running around, for no reason.

I agree that we should require a hearing (with notice) before entering an order cancelling or rescheduling a sale. If the cancellation is actually a charade to keep the property off of the bank's books, they can still accomplish it by simply not paying the sale fee, but at least we're not sanctioning it with an Order. If there is a legitimate reason to delay the sale (i.e., the parties are working toward a resolution), I would think that we would all routinely grant that request. We may want to waive the hearing requirement if there is; a stipulation signed by all parties, a proposed Order with a new sale date, and envelopes, submitted by 5:00 pm the day prior to the sale.

Paul

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. **Sent:** Tue 9/7/2010 11:54 AM

To: Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Victor Hulslander;

Arlene Huszar; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

That is why I want a hearing and the owner noticed so that we might know if the home is still occupied or vacant. From my understanding, the file is considered closed for our purposes once the final judgment is entered. We have disposed of the case. I would not be surprised that some of these homes are vacant.

From: Judge Toby Monaco

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 11:50 AM

To: Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Arlene Huszar; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

The ultimate "chess piece" for the foreclosure firms is to not pay the clerk's fee for the sale, in which event the clerk will not proceed with the sale. If the homeowner is still in the residence, who are we to interfere with what is a benefit to them if the sale does not proceed? I think we should be very careful not to do anything that takes away or gives a benefit to either side just because we are anxious about controlling or closing the file.

With respect to what clerks require to cancel a sale, I know that Alachua and Bradford await a court order, unless the bank doesn't show at the sale and the fee is not paid. What do the other clerks in our circuit do?

From: Judge Stan Griffis

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 11:28 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Victor Hulslander; Arlene

Huszar; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

I have stopped signing them unless there is a reschedule date. The clerks are handling these differently; many clerks are cancelling sales based on the fax motion only, notwithstanding the lack of an order. This needs to be uniformly handled by the Courts and the Clerks. Maybe our administrative judge can come up with a policy for judges and the clerks. SHG

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 11:00 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Arlene Huszar; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

After a quick reading of the article, I think we should immediately stop entering ex parte motions to cancel foreclosure sales. It appears the foreclosure mills and banks are routinely filing these motions so that the sale will not occur and the bank will not incur liability for any homeowners' association dues which attach with the sale. I think the motion should be very explicit about the reason for the cancellation and the debtor notified before any hearing or granting of the motion.

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Saturday, September 04, 2010 3:56 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor Hulslander; Arlene Huszar

Subject: FW: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

----- Forwarded Message

From: Robert Roundtree

Date: Sat, 4 Sep 2010 15:55:13 -0400

To: "Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr."

Subject: Florida's High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

http://www.nytimes.com/2010/09/05/business/05house.html? r=1&hp

----- End of Forwarded Message

Arlene Huszar

From:

Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent:

Monday, January 10, 2011 12:51 PM

To:

Tami Smith

Subject:

FW: forceclosure sales

Attachments: memo.sales.doc

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2010 3:10 PM

To: Judge Victor Hulslander; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Subject: RE: forceclosure sales

Judges:

Please take a look at the attached memo to be sent to the bank attorneys and offer your

comments.

From: Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 12:40 PM

To: Paul Silverman; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Martha

Lott: Ted McFetridge: Arlene Huszar: Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

A meeting of all Eighth Circuit judges who are handling foreclosures might help us formulate a unified position on these various issues. We should be consistent in our responses to this problem. The clerks of the circuit should also be included or their practices and positions made known at the meeting.

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 12:24 PM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Martha Lott; Ted

McFetridge; Judge Victor Hulslander; Arlene Huszar; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

In my short time here, my observation is that the banks are routinely faxing in motions to cancel/reschedule the sale at the very last minute (often literally). Kathy Janous stops what she's doing, finds a judge to sign the order before the 11:00 am sale, and prepares the envelopes to mail out the order. If she doesn't get the order signed in time, the banks simply don't pay the sale fee to the clerk, and the hearing is cancelled anyway. This resuts in a whole lot of running around, for no reason.

I agree that we should require a hearing (with notice) before entering an order cancelling or rescheduling a sale. If the cancellation is actually a charade to keep the property off of the bank's books, they can still accomplish it by simply not paying the sale fee, but at least we're not sanctioning it with an Order. If there is a legitimate reason to delay the sale (i.e., the parties are working toward a resolution), I would think that we would all routinely grant that request. We may want to waive the hearing requirement if there is; a stipulation signed by all parties, a proposed Order with a new sale date, and envelopes, submitted by 5:00 pm the day prior to the sale.

Paul

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. Sent: Tue 9/7/2010 11:54 AM

To: Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Victor Hulslander; Arlene Huszar; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

That is why I want a hearing and the owner noticed so that we might know if the home is still occupied or vacant. From my understanding, the file is considered closed for our purposes once the final judgment is entered. We have disposed of the case. I would not be surprised that some of these homes are vacant.

From: Judge Toby Monaco

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 11:50 AM

To: Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Arlene Huszar; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

The ultimate "chess piece" for the foreclosure firms is to not pay the clerk's fee for the sale, in which event the clerk will not proceed with the sale. If the homeowner is still in the residence, who are we to interfere with what is a benefit to them if the sale does not proceed? I think we should be very careful not to do anything that takes away or gives a benefit to either side just because we are anxious about controlling or closing the file.

With respect to what clerks require to cancel a sale, I know that Alachua and Bradford await a court order, unless the bank doesn't show at the sale and the fee is not paid. What do the other clerks in our circuit do?

From: Judge Stan Griffis

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 11:28 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Victor Hulslander; Arlene

Huszar; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

I have stopped signing them unless there is a reschedule date. The clerks are handling these differently; many clerks are cancelling sales based on the fax motion only, notwithstanding the lack of an order. This needs to be uniformly handled by the Courts and the Clerks. Maybe our administrative judge can come up with a policy for judges and the clerks. SHG

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 11:00 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Arlene Huszar; Judge Toby Monaco; Judge Phyllis Rosier

Subject: RE: Florida¹s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

After a quick reading of the article, I think we should immediately stop entering ex parte motions to cancel foreclosure sales. It appears the foreclosure mills and banks are routinely filing these motions so that the sale will not occur and the bank will not incur liability for any homeowners' association dues which attach with the sale. I think the motion should be very explicit about the reason for the cancellation and the debtor notified before any hearing or granting of the motion.

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Saturday, September 04, 2010 3:56 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Ted McFetridge; Paul Silverman; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor Hulslander; Arlene Huszar

Subject: FW: Florida1s High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

----- Forwarded Message

From: Robert Roundtree

Date: Sat, 4 Sep 2010 15:55:13 -0400

To: "Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr."

Subject: Florida's High-Speed Answer to a Foreclosure Mess - NYTimes.com

http://www.nytimes.com/2010/09/05/business/05house.html? r=1&hp

----- End of Forwarded Message

Arlene Huszar

From: Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 12:58 PM

To: Tami Smith

Subject: FW: process servers

From: Judge Stan Griffis

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 4:20 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Judge Toby Monaco

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: RE: process servers

I just don't want to set hearings on this issue. It will slow the cases down. We should have a policy (or admin order consistent with the statute) on this issue. I get all kinds of strange requests for process servers and usually just sign them, but don't give the \$\$\$ in the judgments. Its up to you folks, but we need to be consistent.

From: [mailto:

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 4:02 PM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Judge Toby Monaco

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: Re: process servers

We have been looking into it. It shifts quite a burden to court administration so it is a transfer not an avoidance. The Sheriff's in our circuit prefer to keep process service in house except when needed. The deputies have specific training and supervision. Appointed process servers come with quite a range of training and professionalism. Is there a real need for special process servers? Upon setting them for hearing in Union Co, I have learned much about the pros and cons.

Sent on the Sprint® Now Network from my BlackBerry®

From: "Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr."

Date: Wed, 29 Sep 2010 11:54:22 -0400
To: Paul Silverman<silvermanp@circuit8.org>
Cc: Judge Martha Lott

Subject: RE: process servers

I am not aware we have them but it would make the civil judges' and JA's lives less hectic if we didn't have to constantly sign those along with the tons of other stuff we sign. Thank for looking into this.

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 11:53 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. **Subject:** process servers

Judge Roundtree:

Kathy mentioned that you asked if I'd look into whether I could sign to appoint process servers in foreclosure cases.

I don't believe that I can sign without you signing as well, but I think we can use Fla.Stat. 48.27 to avoid anyone having to sign. The statute allows the chief judge to keep a list of approved process servers, and as best as I can tell, if someone is on the list, then an Order wouldn't be required. If asked the staff attorneys if they knew if we had such a list for our circuit and they weren't aware of one.

Do you know if we have one? If not, I can speak with Judge Lott and see if we can get one started. Once we have it available, we can advise the Plaintiffs firms that their process servers need to be on our list in order to serve process in our circuit, and that should dispense with the need for Motions and Order....its a win, win.

Paul

Ariene Huszar

From: Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 12:59 PM

To: Tami Smith

Subject: FW: process servers

From: Judge Stan Griffis

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 9:54 AM

To: Judge Victor Hulslander; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Paul Silverman; Judge

Phyllis Rosier; Judge Toby Monaco

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: RE: process servers

One consideration is the Sheriffs outside Alachua County have been far more efficient in service compared to the private process servers, primarily because they know all the defendants and can find them if they are in the County. However, the private servers do not have county boundaries to consider when serving and it comes up from time to time that defendants move across the river out here. Although, I have no problem with a list of approved servers. It should be circuit wide however.

From: Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 9:41 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Judge Martha Lott; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Stan

Griffis; Judge Toby Monaco
Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: RE: process servers

Why do the sheriffs of our circuit want to keep this in house? In the larger circuits (Broward, Dade, et al), the sheriffs' offices have a list of Court approved process servers who are regularly used to serve process and other items. Without them, the sheriffs' offices could never keep up with the demand.

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 8:50 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor Hulslander;

Judge Toby Monaco Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: Re: process servers

In my opinion, the sheriff's office would never get the foreclosures served. It would greatly slow down our foreclosures. I don't care if plaintiff's use a process server to make the case go smoother, I don't always tax that cost though. How does it burdens court administration once the list is approved? The would never see the summonses.

On 9/29/10 4:02 PM, " wrote:

We have been looking into it. It shifts quite a burden to court administration so it is a transfer not an avoidance. The Sheriff's in our circuit prefer to keep process service in house except when needed. The deputies have specific training and supervision. Appointed process servers come with quite a range of training and professionalism. Is there a real need for special process servers? Upon setting them for hearing in Union Co, I have learned much about the pros and cons.

Sent on the Sprint® Now Network from my BlackBerry®

From: "Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr."

Date: Wed, 29 Sep 2010 11:54:22 -0400

To: Paul Silverman<silverman@circuit8.org>
Cc: Judge Martha Lott<

Subject: RE: process servers

I am not aware we have them but it would make the civil judges' and JA's lives less hectic if we didn't have to constantly sign those along with the tons of other stuff we sign. Thank for looking into this.

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 11:53 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. **Subject:** process servers

Judge Roundtree:

Kathy mentioned that you asked if I'd look into whether I could sign to appoint process servers in foreclosure cases.

I don't believe that I can sign without you signing as well, but I think we can use Fla.Stat. 48.27 to avoid anyone having to sign. The statute allows the chief judge to keep a list of approved process servers, and as best as I can tell, if someone is on the list, then an Order wouldn't be required. If asked the staff attorneys if they knew if we had such a list for our circuit and they weren't aware of one.

Do you know if we have one? If not, I can speak with Judge Lott and see if we can get one started. Once we have it available, we can advise the Plaintiffs firms that their process servers need to be on our list in order to serve process in our circuit, and that should dispense with the need for Motions and Order....its a win, win.

Arlene Huszar

From: Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 1:00 PM

To: Tami Smith

Subject: FW: process servers

From: [mailto: | mailto: |

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 12:49 PM

To: Judge Victor Hulslander; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge

Stan Griffis; Judge Toby Monaco

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: Re: process servers

One aspect is that it creates a revenue stream. Another aspect is quality control.

Sent on the Sprint® Now Network from my BlackBerry®

From: "Judge Victor Hulslander"

Date: Thu, 30 Sep 2010 09:40:54 -0400

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. Programmes, Judge Martha

LottLott
Circuit8.org
; Judge Phyllis
Rosier

; Judge Stan Griffis

; Judge Toby

Monaco <

Cc: Ted McFetridge<mcfetridget@circuit8.org>

Subject: RE: process servers

Why do the sheriffs of our circuit want to keep this in house? In the larger circuits (Broward, Dade, et al), the sheriffs' offices have a list of Court approved process servers who are regularly used to serve process and other items. Without them, the sheriffs' offices could never keep up with the demand.

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 8:50 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor Hulslander;

Judge Toby Monaco **Cc:** Ted McFetridge

Subject: Re: process servers

In my opinion, the sheriff's office would never get the foreclosures served. It would greatly slow down our foreclosures. I don't care if plaintiff's use a process server to make the case go smoother, I don't always tax that cost though. How does it burdens court administration once the list is approved? The would never see the summonses.

On 9/29/10 4:02 PM, " wrote:

We have been looking into it. It shifts quite a burden to court administration so it is a transfer not an avoidance. The Sheriff's in our circuit prefer to keep process service in house except when needed. The deputies have specific training and supervision. Appointed process servers come with quite a range of training and professionalism. Is there a real need for special process servers? Upon setting them for hearing in Union Co. I have learned much about the pros and cons.

Sent on the Sprint® Now Network from my BlackBerry®

From: "Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr."

Date: Wed, 29 Sep 2010 11:54:22 -0400

To: Paul Silverman<silvermanp@circuit8.org>
Cc: Judge Martha Lott

Subject: RE: process servers

I am not aware we have them but it would make the civil judges' and JA's lives less hectic if we didn't have to constantly sign those along with the tons of other stuff we sign. Thank for looking into this.

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 11:53 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. **Subject:** process servers

Judge Roundtree:

Kathy mentioned that you asked if I'd look into whether I could sign to appoint process servers in foreclosure cases.

I don't believe that I can sign without you signing as well, but I think we can use Fla.Stat. 48.27 to avoid anyone having to sign. The statute allows the chief judge to keep a list of approved process servers, and as best as I can tell, if someone is on the list, then an Order wouldn't be required. If asked the staff attorneys if they knew if we had such a list for our circuit and they weren't aware of one.

Do you know if we have one? If not, I can speak with Judge Lott and see if we can get one started. Once we have it available, we can advise the Plaintiffs firms that their process servers need to be on our list in order to serve process in our circuit, and that should dispense with the need for Motions and Order....its a win, win.

Arlene Huszar

From: Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 1:00 PM

To: Tami Smith

Subject: FW: process servers

From: Judge Phyllis Rosier

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 3:26 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Judge Victor Hulslander; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Paul Silverman; Judge

Stan Griffis; Judge Toby Monaco

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: RE: process servers

Service for the owners and unknown tenants is usually easy to do and should be cost effective.. I only appoint for out of county parties. I am not happy with the process servers the big firms use-see many affidavits of lost returns and we have enough lost documents in foreclosure cases anyway. When Sheriff Smith took office in Bradford, I met with him and he elected to serve civil process. I have not noticed any problems with service. I am sure the size of the county is a factor. I wish we could tell the Plaintiff who to use for service on out of county owners. I also have a lot less orders to sign handling it this way.

From: lottma@circuit8.org [mailto:lottma@circuit8.org]

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 12:49 PM

To: Judge Victor Hulslander; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge

Stan Griffis; Judge Toby Monaco

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: Re: process servers

One aspect is that it creates a revenue stream. Another aspect is quality control.

Sent on the Sprint® Now Network from my BlackBerry®

From: "Judge Victor Hulslander" < Hulslander V@circuit8.org>

Date: Thu, 30 Sep 2010 09:40:54 -0400

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. < RoundtreeR@circuit8.org >; Judge Martha

Lott<LottMA@circuit8.org>; Paul Silverman<silvermanp@circuit8.org>; Judge Phyllis Rosier<RosierP@circuit8.org>; Judge Stan Griffis<GriffisS@circuit8.org>; Judge Toby

Monaco < Monaco T@circuit8.org >

Cc: Ted McFetridge<mcfetridget@circuit8.org>

Subject: RE: process servers

Why do the sheriffs of our circuit want to keep this in house? In the larger circuits (Broward, Dade, et al), the sheriffs' offices have a list of Court approved process servers who are regularly used to serve process and other items. Without them, the sheriffs' offices could never keep up with the demand.

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 8:50 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor Hulslander;

Judge Toby Monaco
Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: Re: process servers

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: Re: process servers

In my opinion, the sheriff's office would never get the foreclosures served. It would greatly slow down our foreclosures. I don't care if plaintiff's use a process server to make the case go smoother, I don't always tax that cost though. How does it burdens court administration once the list is approved? The would never see the summonses.

On 9/29/10 4:02 PM, "wrote:

We have been looking into it. It shifts quite a burden to court administration so it is a transfer not an avoidance. The Sheriff's in our circuit prefer to keep process service in house except when needed. The deputies have specific training and supervision. Appointed process servers come with quite a range of training and professionalism. Is there a real need for special process servers? Upon setting them for hearing in Union Co, I have learned much about the pros and cons.

Sent on the Sprint® Now Network from my BlackBerry®

From: "Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr."

Date: Wed, 29 Sep 2010 11:54:22 -0400

To: Paul Silverman<silvermanp@circuit8.org>
Cc: Judge Martha Lott

Subject: RE: process servers

I am not aware we have them but it would make the civil judges' and JA's lives less hectic if we didn't have to constantly sign those along with the tons of other stuff we sign. Thank for looking into this.

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 11:53 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. **Subject:** process servers

Judge Roundtree:

Kathy mentioned that you asked if I'd look into whether I could sign to appoint process servers in foreclosure cases.

I don't believe that I can sign without you signing as well, but I think we can use Fla.Stat. 48.27 to avoid anyone having to sign. The statute allows the chief judge to keep a list of approved process servers, and as best as I can tell, if someone is on the list, then an Order wouldn't be required. If asked the staff attorneys if they knew if we had such a list for our circuit and they weren't aware of one.

Do you know if we have one? If not, I can speak with Judge Lott and see if we can get one started. Once we have it available, we can advise the Plaintiffs firms that their process servers need to be on our list in order to serve process in our circuit, and that should dispense with the need for Motions and Order....its a win, win.

Paul

Re: process servers Page 1 of 2

Arlene Huszar

From: Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 1:01 PM

To: Tami Smith

Subject: FW: process servers

From: Judge Martha Lott

Sent: Friday, October 01, 2010 11:12 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Judge Toby Monaco

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: RE: process servers

By statute. The sheriff's office has responsibility for training and quality control when they handle it. If the circuit elects to maintain a list we have to have a process for application, screening, certification of training, bonding, people asking to be removed form the list...and then being put back on the list....it goes on and on. Much like we don't realize all that a JA does to get a hearing scheduled, handle phone calls, conform orders, etc. Some judges do not routinely sign these orders because of the due process issues that inevitably arise. When I took over Union County these orders were routinely signed, but I was uncomfortable with that so I began looking at law and facts and procedures and setting hearings on motions to appoint process servers. What I have learned is too long for an email.

Martha Ann Lott Chief Judge

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. Sent: Friday, October 01, 2010 10:41 AM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor Hulslander;

Judge Toby Monaco
Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: Re: process servers

I don't see the big deal? Judges routinely sign orders appointing them without any of that. How would suddenly a list of approved process servers bring on all that work?

On 10/1/10 9:21 AM, "Judge Martha Lott" when the second with the second second

The burden is in the application, screening, bonding, education, review...etc. It has to be maintained and redone annually. The time is invisible to the judges and we may lose other court admin services if this becomes our priority but I am not opposed to looking at it. It could be done and is being done in other circuits. The added and continuing burdens on court administration are just like the added and continuing burdens that are placed on the judiciary...they come up without any increase in resources. Let's continue the discussion until we as judges are clear on what we need to make the system work. If we conclude that this is where the resources should be expended., then we will look at what would have to be cut out to get it done and weigh the priorities.

Martha Ann Lott Chief Judge

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 8:50 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor Hulslander; Judge Toby Monaco

Re: process servers Page 2 of 2

In my opinion, the sheriff's office would never get the foreclosures served. It would greatly slow down our foreclosures. I don't care if plaintiff's use a process server to make the case go smoother, I don't always tax that cost though. How does it burdens court administration once the list is approved? The would never see the summonses.

On 9/29/10 4:02 PM, "Little Online 100 pm" deliberation wrote:

We have been looking into it. It shifts quite a burden to court administration so it is a transfer not an avoidance. The Sheriff's in our circuit prefer to keep process service in house except when needed. The deputies have specific training and supervision. Appointed process servers come with quite a range of training and professionalism. Is there a real need for special process servers? Upon setting them for hearing in Union Co, I have learned much about the pros and cons.

Sent on the Sprint® Now Network from my BlackBerry®

From: "Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr."

Date: Wed, 29 Sep 2010 11:54:22 -0400

To: Paul Silverman<silvermanp@circuit8.org>
Cc: Judge Martha Lott

Subject: RE: process servers

I am not aware we have them but it would make the civil judges' and JA's lives less hectic if we didn't have to constantly sign those along with the tons of other stuff we sign. Thank for looking into this.

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 11:53 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. **Subject:** process servers

Judge Roundtree:

Kathy mentioned that you asked if I'd look into whether I could sign to appoint process servers in foreclosure cases.

I don't believe that I can sign without you signing as well, but I think we can use Fla.Stat. 48.27 to avoid anyone having to sign. The statute allows the chief judge to keep a list of approved process servers, and as best as I can tell, if someone is on the list, then an Order wouldn't be required. If asked the staff attorneys if they knew if we had such a list for our circuit and they weren't aware of one.

Do you know if we have one? If not, I can speak with Judge Lott and see if we can get one started. Once we have it available, we can advise the Plaintiffs firms that their process servers need to be on our list in order to serve process in our circuit, and that should dispense with the need for Motions and Order....its a win, win.

Paul

Arlene Huszar

From: Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 12:59 PM

To: Tami Smith

Subject: FW: process servers

From: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 8:50 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor Hulslander;

Judge Toby Monaco Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: Re: process servers

In my opinion, the sheriff's office would never get the foreclosures served. It would greatly slow down our foreclosures. I don't care if plaintiff's use a process server to make the case go smoother, I don't always tax that cost though. How does it burdens court administration once the list is approved? The would never see the summonses.

On 9/29/10 4:02 PM, " wrote:

We have been looking into it. It shifts quite a burden to court administration so it is a transfer not an avoidance. The Sheriff's in our circuit prefer to keep process service in house except when needed. The deputies have specific training and supervision. Appointed process servers come with quite a range of training and professionalism. Is there a real need for special process servers? Upon setting them for hearing in Union Co, I have learned much about the pros and cons.

Sent on the Sprint® Now Network from my BlackBerry®

From: "Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr."

Date: Wed, 29 Sep 2010 11:54:22 -0400 **To:** Paul Silverman<silvermanp@circuit8.org> **Cc:** Judge Martha Lott

Subject: RE: process servers

I am not aware we have them but it would make the civil judges' and JA's lives less hectic if we didn't have to constantly sign those along with the tons of other stuff we sign. Thank for looking into this.

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 11:53 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr. **Subject:** process servers

Judge Roundtree:

Kathy mentioned that you asked if I'd look into whether I could sign to appoint process servers in foreclosure cases.

I don't believe that I can sign without you signing as well, but I think we can use Fla.Stat. 48.27 to avoid anyone having to sign. The statute allows the chief judge to keep a list of approved process servers, and as best as I can tell, if someone is on the list, then an Order wouldn't be required. If asked the staff attorneys if they knew if we had such a list for our circuit and they weren't aware of one.

Page 2 of 2 Re: process servers

Do you know if we have one? If not, I can speak with Judge Lott and see if we can get one started. Once we have it available, we can advise the Plaintiffs firms that their process servers need to be on our list in order to serve process in our circuit, and that should dispense with the need for Motions and Order....its a win, win.

Paul

Arlene Huszar

From: Judge Victor Hulslander

Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 1:00 PM

To: Tami Smith

Subject: FW: process servers

From: Judge Phyllis Rosier

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 3:30 PM

To: Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Martha Lott; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Paul Silverman; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Judge Toby Monaco

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: RE: process servers

Baker and Bradford want to do their own service so a special process server is needed only for out of county parties. I sign the requests and specify only out of county. Wish we did not have to appoint them at all. Paperwork is so sloppy am ashamed to put my name to it and much of the time the server files an Affidavit stating the return has been "lost". We have enough lost documents in foreclosure cases without sloppy service being added to the mix. I distrust their service on owners.

From: Judge Stan Griffis Sent: Wed 9/29/2010 4:19 PM

To: Judge Martha Lott; Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Judge Toby Monaco

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: RE: process servers

I just don't want to set hearings on this issue. It will slow the cases down. We should have a policy (or admin order consistent with the statute) on this issue. I get all kinds of strange requests for process servers and usually just sign them, but don't give the \$\$\$ in the judgments. Its up to you folks, but we need to be consistent.

From: lottma@circuit8.org [mailto:

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 4:02 PM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.; Paul Silverman; Judge Phyllis Rosier; Judge Stan Griffis; Judge Victor

Hulslander; Judge Toby Monaco

Cc: Ted McFetridge

Subject: Re: process servers

We have been looking into it. It shifts quite a burden to court administration so it is a transfer not an avoidance. The Sheriff's in our circuit prefer to keep process service in house except when needed. The deputies have specific training and supervision. Appointed process servers come with quite a range of training and professionalism. Is there a real need for special process servers? Upon setting them for hearing in Union Co, I have learned much about the pros and cons.

Sent on the Sprint® Now Network from my BlackBerry®

From: "Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr."

Date: Wed, 29 Sep 2010 11:54:22 -0400
To: Paul Silverman<silvermanp@circuit8.org>
Cc: Judge Martha Lott

Subject: RE: process servers

I am not aware we have them but it would make the civil judges' and JA's lives less hectic if we didn't have to constantly sign those along with the tons of other stuff we sign. Thank for looking into this.

From: Paul Silverman

Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 11:53 AM

To: Judge Robert Roundtree, Jr.

Subject: process servers

Judge Roundtree:

Kathy mentioned that you asked if I'd look into whether I could sign to appoint process servers in foreclosure cases.

I don't believe that I can sign without you signing as well, but I think we can use Fla.Stat. 48.27 to avoid anyone having to sign. The statute allows the chief judge to keep a list of approved process servers, and as best as I can tell, if someone is on the list, then an Order wouldn't be required. If asked the staff attorneys if they knew if we had such a list for our circuit and they weren't aware of one.

Do you know if we have one? If not, I can speak with Judge Lott and see if we can get one started. Once we have it available, we can advise the Plaintiffs firms that their process servers need to be on our list in order to serve process in our circuit, and that should dispense with the need for Motions and Order....its a win, win.

Paul

 Realized and the control has been desired and the desired and the control has been desired and Newsy State State (push State Advantage State State State (push State Advantage State Stat Name Alles Raine.
Name Alles R Price States Sellino. New Planty Sell (10, 1000 2 (10) Ma To Selly Search Sellino Selly of Sellino (10) Prior Advantage (push-florest formatting) for Mahada, Agine, Antest (PM To Maji San Gate Major Mi Santage can disea Mi

Room Radige State Golden State Mindealand, ANJ, 100, 2010 SETT PM Str. Address of Manager and And Subspirit Bill Princeton contains Rose Adon: Notes San Walesday, Ady on 2000 and Par So August Process across Salgari Process across from Mary Street Carts Joseph Parkette 442 [Sant Markett, Adj. 14, 2019 10.14.462 [Sant Markett, Adj. 14, 2019 10.14.462 [Sant Angus Harris Street Markett Name abbour (Name (Manachdrasouthorg) Sport (Name (Au) (M. 1991 1995 PM Name (Manachdrasouthorg) Name (M. 1995 Name (Manachdrasouthorg) Store May Store of the producing State banks and four State (sport, deep or Art & or Art & Order State Mary State of each Joseph State Stat Recor Andrea (Recor | Journal of Record Act of Control Nat. Sa.

- Againston - Section (Per appropriate projection (Per appropriate (Per appropria State Subje Subjections San State St

From Advan Steerer Acer Mades, Any LL, man but also for San Markester Saliper Advanced solery solvate From Variationage Sort Manke, July 11, Marrier and To Plan Ball Sulper PM: Assessment when technique From Adda Florer for Manual Style II Not hid and for Sandrings Sulper Assessed online, when Print: That Mill Entralge Share Thansaig, Auf, Mill 1998 1998 ANA To Ankan Maurat Salipari Mill Principans Coast From Adria Water
for Theodol All (M. 1991 S. 1991 S. 1991 M.

To Variation and
To Variation of Control
Market Resolution Control
With the Cont The state of the s

8th Cir 0403

The second secon

| The content of the

The state of the s

| The content of the

From: Karen Jordan Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 3:05 PM To: Arlene Huszar Subject: FW: Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Red From: Karen Jordan Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 11:53 AM To: Kristina Velez; Andrew Kramer Subject: Per Mary Lou - there may be a chance we get some assistance in handling back-log of foreclosure cases: 1500 Hours General Magistrate 70 Hours Senior Judge I will also forward you another e-mail I sent to Fred regarding Levy's codes. Fred said he just found out that Alachua started using different codes as well so I guess he is working on getting those. If we are unable to figure out how to divide the 3400 cases throughout the counties, I'm not sure how to allocate the resources, unless we use filings. Mary Lou said we have to show how we intend to use the people, how many courtrooms, days, etc.. From: Karen Jordan Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 3:05 PM To: Arlene Huszar Subject: FW: foreclosure numbers Attachments: 2008-2009 Foreclosure Filings.xls From: Karen Jordan Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 2:22 PM To: Jennifer Jones Subject: RE: foreclosure numbers Thanks for sending the info to Andrew. I'm glad our numbers match up- (see attached). I tried to get a percentage for each county based on filings, but if they can figure out the pending number, it would probably be better From: Jennifer Jones Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 1:29 PM To: Andrew Kramer Cc: Kristian Velez: Karen Jordan Subject: foreclosure numbers Please keep me updated on the info as it will affect this foreclosure project. I'm working on. That 3400 or 4300 numbers is WAY bigger than moverything filed last year — by a lot. I stratched my speeabbeet showing the numbers I pulled from SRS — which are "fivel" cases, not pending" ush is based on ICMS — but if the codes changed in January, then those may not be accurate because ICMS is pulling these numbers based on the codes. However, that should not have affected the pre-January numbers... right? So the number of pending cases still would have skyrocketed Thanks. From: Kristina Velez Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM To: Jennifer Jones Subject: RE: Kramer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code. From: Jennifer Jones Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 12:07 PM To: Kristina Velez Subject: RE:

Sic (D)SudNi20-mailsK1nz (1 of 9) [2172911 21731 PM]

Well, I can tell you that the numbers in that xls document match my numbers - which were pulled from the SRS reports.

As far as a pending 3400 - that's not what I show through ICMS - ICMS shows less than 1/2 that - closer to 1300. But I guess the difference could be explained by the wrong code issue.

We'll have this all neat and tidy for MLG by tomorrow afternoon at the latest.

file://D/Staff%20e-mails/KLtsz (2 of 8) [2/17/2011/2/17/41 PM]

Left a message with Mary Grace to get clarification on codes used in Alachua for foreclosure activity, also waiting on response from OSCA as to a few clarification questions I sent their way,

Star (US/StarUS) to washiki and
From: Andrew Kramer Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 2-53 PM To Kristias Veder, Samuler Jones C.C. Kares Jordan Subject RE: Foreclosure numbers
Sent Wellendary, March 10, 2010 23 PM To: Kristian Verz, Familer Jones To: Kristian Verz, Familer Jones
C:: Xiene Rofand Subject RE: Footbase Surgery and Subject RE: Subj
ICMS int's accurate right now, from what I can tell. The clerk changed the way they code foreconsers in January, and ICMS int's accurate right now, from what I can tell. The clerk changed the way they code foreconsers in January, and ICMS int's catching all of the Foreconser cases properly. It's way, way wrong right now, Also for Usion and Baker (and would be for Levy not if it showed been many foreclosures there were). Fired is working on a fix, and I've provided him with the information be should need to do it. Hopefully tomorrow or later this afternoon it will work properly.
Lists not accurate rigit now, from wharf can text. In ever crangest the way tiney come renectionstens in animary, and Lists not carcinage as of the renectionsten and the same and the renections of the renection and the renection
A quick look on my end shows 3833 pending foreclosures in Alachua County. That number might go up or down, depending on what the clerk's office says about the new way we're looking for foreclosures.
Andrew Kramer
Court Analyst
Eighth Judicial Circuit of Florida
(SEZ) 254-7090
kramen@circits.org
From: Kristina Velez Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 2-44 PM To Jennifer Jones, Andrew Knamer C: Kame Jordan Subject RE: Foreclosure numbers
To Jennife Jones, Andrew Kramer
C. Natur Assum Subject RE: Forechouse numbers
All—here's the reason for the request: http://www.daibybusinesserview.com/heres_i_is/s-61064
From: Wenferder Joses semifer Joses with 200 June 100, 2010 129 PM
To: Andrew Kramer Cc: Kristian Velez, Kuren Jordan Subject ToreColour numbers Subject ToreColour numbers
Subject-forecloure numbers
Hey Andrew.
Please keep me updated on the info as it will affect this foreclosure project I'm working on. That 3400 or 4300 number is WAY bigger than mine. It would mean that our "backlog" is bigger than everything filed last year - by a left. Lattached any spreadsbeers showing the numbers I pulled from SRS - which are "filed" cases, not pending cases. Also, the "pending" this is based on ICMS - but if the codes changed in January, then those may not be accurate because ICMS is pulling these numbers based on the code and the pulling these numbers based on the code and the pulling these numbers are pulled from SRS - which are "filed" cases, not pending cases. Also, the "pending" this is based on ICMS - but if the codes changed in January, then those may not be accurate because ICMS is pulling these numbers based on the code and the pulling these numbers are pulled from SRS - which are "filed" cases, not pending cases. Also, the "pending" this is based on ICMS - but if the codes changed in January, then those may not be accurate because ICMS is pulling these numbers based on the code and the pulling these numbers are pulled from SRS - which are "filed" cases, not pending cases. Also, the "pending" this is based on ICMS - but if the codes changed in January, then those may not be accurate because ICMS is pulling these numbers are pulled from SRS - which are "filed" cases, not pending cases. Also, the "pending" the pulling these numbers are pulled from SRS - which are "filed" cases, not pending cases. Also, the "pending" the pull the pull the pulling these numbers are pulled from SRS - which are "filed" cases, not pending cases. Also, the "pending" the pull the pul
However, that should not have affected the pre-January numbers right? So the number of pending cases still would have skyrocketed.
There
Thanks,
Thanks, Jen
Jes
From Kristian Velez From Livinian Velez Search State 10, 200 1 47 DM
From Kristian Velez From Livinian Velez Search State 10, 200 1 47 DM
Jen From: Kristin Meler
From: Kristina Velez Son: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM State Velez Subject: RE:
From Kristian Velez From Livinian Velez Search State 10, 200 1 47 DM
From: Kristina Velez Son: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM State Velez Subject: RE:
From: Kristina Veltz Sent. Wednesday, March 10, 2010 1:07 FM Subject: EE: Krimer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code.
From: Krivita Velez Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM To: Jennifer force Subject: RE: Kramer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code.
From: Kristina Voler Son: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM To: Jennifer Jose Subyer: RE: Kramer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code. From: Jennifer Joses Sur By March 10, 2010 12:07 PM
From: Krivita Velez Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM To: Jennifer force Subject: RE: Kramer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code.
From: Kristina Veltz Sent: Wednedardy, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM To Jennifer Jones Krimer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code. From: Jennifer Jones Sent: Wednedardy, March 10, 2010 12:07 PM Staleyer: RE:
From: Kristina Voler Son: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM To: Jennifer Jose Subyer: RE: Kramer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code. From: Jennifer Joses Sur By March 10, 2010 12:07 PM
From: Kristina Velez Sert: Wednedady, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM Subject: RE: Kramer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code. Kramer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code. From: Femilier Joses Sert: Wednedady, March 10, 2010 12:07 PM To: Kristina Velez Subject: RE: Well, I can tell you that the numbers in that shi document match my numbers – which were pailed from the SRS reports.
From: Kristina Veltz Sent: Wednedardy, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM To Jennifer Jones Krimer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code. From: Jennifer Jones Sent: Wednedardy, March 10, 2010 12:07 PM Staleyer: RE:
Four Excitates Velocity Well-May March 10, 2010 1-07 PM To Joseph Four Subjects Rose Subjects Ric: Kratter is running some quories, checking against what the Cherks are using to code: Fours: Founder Joseph To March 10, 2010 1-207 PM To Kristian Velocity Well, I can sell you that the numbers in that this document match my numbers – which were pulled from the SES reports. As far as a pending \$100 – that 's not what I show through KCMS – KCMS shows less than 50 that – choser to 1500. But I guess the difference could be explained by the wrong code issue.
From: Kristina Velez Sert: Wednedady, March 10, 2010 1:07 PM Subject: RE: Kramer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code. Kramer is running some queries, checking against what the Clerks are using to code. From: Femilier Joses Sert: Wednedady, March 10, 2010 12:07 PM To: Kristina Velez Subject: RE: Well, I can tell you that the numbers in that shi document match my numbers – which were pailed from the SRS reports.
Four Excitates Velocity Well-May March 10, 2010 1-07 PM To Joseph Four Subjects Rose Subjects Ric: Kratter is running some quories, checking against what the Cherks are using to code: Fours: Founder Joseph To March 10, 2010 1-207 PM To Kristian Velocity Well, I can sell you that the numbers in that this document match my numbers – which were pulled from the SES reports. As far as a pending \$100 – that 's not what I show through KCMS – KCMS shows less than 50 that – choser to 1500. But I guess the difference could be explained by the wrong code issue.
Four Excitates Velocity Well-May March 10, 2010 1-07 PM To Joseph Four Subjects Rose Subjects Ric: Kratter is running some quories, checking against what the Cherks are using to code: Fours: Founder Joseph To March 10, 2010 1-207 PM To Kristian Velocity Well, I can sell you that the numbers in that this document match my numbers – which were pulled from the SES reports. As far as a pending \$100 – that 's not what I show through KCMS – KCMS shows less than 50 that – choser to 1500. But I guess the difference could be explained by the wrong code issue.
From: Keidnian Volum Washenday, Manka 10, 2010 1-07 PM Washenday, Manka 10, 2010 1-07 PM Region: Recita graphine what the Clerks are using us code. From: Invasifie forces West Washenday, Manka 10, 2010 1-207 PM West Learner in remaining some queeties, checking against what the Clerks are using us code. From: Invasifie forces West Washenday, Manka 10, 2010 1-207 PM West Learner in graphine process West Learner in possible of the mancher in that his document munch may number — which were publish from the SSS reports. As far as a possible 3-200—that's not what I show through EASS—EASS shows less than Is that — choor to 1200. But I guess the difference could be explained by the weng code issue. From: Keitlin Notes From: Keitlin Notes
From: Kristia Voter Same Washenday, Marta 10, 2000 1:07 PM To samile James Kamer is remaing some queries, checking against what the Clorks or using to rock. From: Samile, James American J
From: Keidnian Volum Washenday, Manka 10, 2010 1-07 PM Washenday, Manka 10, 2010 1-07 PM Region: Recita graphine what the Clerks are using us code. From: Invasifie forces West Washenday, Manka 10, 2010 1-207 PM West Learner in remaining some queeties, checking against what the Clerks are using us code. From: Invasifie forces West Washenday, Manka 10, 2010 1-207 PM West Learner in graphine process West Learner in possible of the mancher in that his document munch may number — which were publish from the SSS reports. As far as a possible 3-200—that's not what I show through EASS—EASS shows less than Is that — choor to 1200. But I guess the difference could be explained by the weng code issue. From: Keitlin Notes From: Keitlin Notes
From: Kanitan Votal From:
From: Kristia Voter Same Washenday, Marta 10, 2000 1:07 PM To samile James Kamer is remaing some queries, checking against what the Clorks or using to rock. From: Samile, James American J
From: Kanitan Votal From:
The Control Notes (Notes (Note
The Control Notes (Notes (Note
From Excitate Value Sees Notes Value See
From Section Value Seas Washedow Mark 10 2000 2 07 PPM The Section Seas Section Sectio
Inter Science Value State National, Nation 11, 100 10 17 PM State National, National, 100 10 17 PM State National, 100 10 17 PM State National, 100 10 1
From Excitate Value Sees Notes Value See
The Market Man Series Market Man Series Market Mark
Inter Science Value State National, Nation 11, 100 10 17 PM State National, National, 100 10 17 PM State National, 100 10 17 PM State National, 100 10 1
The Market Man Series Market Man Series Market Mark

Administrative Assistant She said our circuit shows a back-log of 3400 cases – but we are not sure where that number came from. We are finding the clerks have started using different codes due to new SRS reporting guidelines for foreclosures. These attached what Baker County gave me (the clerk there said Levy and Alachua are using their own code system). I will also forward you another e-mail I sent to Fred regarding Levy's codes. Fred said he just found out that Alachna started using different codes as well so I guess he is working on getting those. If we are unable to figure out how to divide the 3400 cases throughout the counties, I'm not sure how to allocate the resources, unless we use filings. Mary Lou said we have to show how we intend to use the people, how many courtrooms, days, etc... Let me know what you guys think. I'm sorry I don't have more information, but Mary Lou might. I did attach a comparison of filings for 2008/2009 foreclosures for our circuit. Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Red Attachments: AO 1.410(B) First Appearance Alachua 10-9 DAG Comments.doc From: Kristina Velez Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2010 8:38 AM To: Karen Jordan Subject: RE: Sure - it hasn't been touched since.. From: jordank@circuit8.org [mailto:jordank@circuit8.org] Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2010 8:32 AM To: Kristina Velez Subject: Re: Great. It needs revising anyway because of baker. Can you send me latest draft of first app order. It came up this weekend at first app. Thanks. From: "Kristina Velez" <VelezK@circuit8.org> Date: Thu, 11 Mar 2010 08:32:30 -0500 To: Karen Jordan (Jordan K@circuit8.org) Likely meeting with him tomorrow about random assignment for criminal, Γll bring it up then Sounds good. Do u know if he has looked at language for transfers yet on admin order yet? Sent from my BlackBerry® smartphone with Nextel Direct Connect From: velezk@circuit8.org

Date: Thu, 11 Mar 2010 07:55:17 -0500

To: Kristina Velez-VelezK@circuit8.org:
Subject: Re:

Send me and Jan what you goyy thoughts are before sending to Mary Lou if you don't mind. She said judge but may want to review. I will be in levy and can help put it together too but I know Andrew can definitely do a good job as well. Just here if needed. Wonder if fred adjusted codes for other counties if they are using same as Baker. Sent from my BlackBerry® smartphase with Nextel Direct Connect

From: "Kristina Velez" < VelezK@circuit8.org>

Date: Wed, 10 Mar 2010 15:04:46 -0500 To: Karen Jordan

-JordanK@circuit8.org>; Andrew Kramer
-kramera@circuit8.org> Where did these hours come from? The info provided by OSCA just gives us \$ amounts attached to the diff. categories. From: Karen Jordan Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 11:53 AM To: Kristina Velez; Andrew Kramer Subject: Per Mary Lou – there may be a chance we get some assistance in handling back-log of foreclosure cases: 70 Hours Senior Judge Case Manager Administrative Assistant She said our circuit shows a back-log of 3400 cases – but we are not sare where that number came from. We are finding the clerks have started using different codes due to new SRS reporting guidelines for foreclosures. I have attached what Baker County gave me (the clerk there said Levy and Alachua are using their own code system). I will also forward you another e-mail I sent to Fred regarding Levy's codes. Fred said he just found out that Alachua started using different codes as well so I guess he is working on getting those. If we are unable to figure out how to divide the 3400 cases throughout the counties, I'm not sure how to allocate the resources, unless we use filings. Mary Lou said we have to show how we intend to use the people, how many courtrooms, days, etc... Let me know what you guys think. I'm sorry I don't have more information, but Mary Lou might. I did attach a comparison of filings for 2008/2009 foreclosures for our circuit. From: Karen Jordan
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 3:03 PM
To: Arlene Huszar
Subject: FW: General Assignment Order - July 1, 2010 From: Karen Jordan Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 9:50 AM To: Robert Folsom Subject: FW: General Assignment Order - July 1, 2010 Can you send the assignment order to Arlene to review as well. I don't think she has seen the new version since Chic revised it. Karen From: Ted McFetridge Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 9:44 AM To: Robert Folsom Cc: Arlene Huszar; Karen Jordan; Chic Palmeri; Kristina Velez Sabject: General Assignment Order - July 1, 2010 What is the status of the General Assignment Order for July 1, 2010? How about the Order of Assignment of Cases to Divisions? Ready? Have you got the details from Arlene and Karen you need to complete? Karen and Arlene ...is the master calendar ready for the July 1 edition? Kristina when will the Alachua County Court Master Calendar for July 1 be ready and did we consult with all judges, jas and the civil traffic hearing officer clerks etc. Arlene have you planned the possible Circuitwide Foreclosure Division to fit into the master calendar and general assignment order? From: Karen Jordan Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 3:02 PM To: Arlene Huszar Subject: FW: Foreclosure court

Skel/D(Skelf%20e-mails/KLtst (5 of 8) [2472001 24740 PM]

Attachments: image001.jpg

From: Karen Jordan Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 1:04 PM To: Arlene Huszar Subject: RE: Foreclosure court From: Arlene Huszar Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 11:39 AM To: Jan Chesser, Karen Jordan Subject: RE: Foreclosure court Thanks. That helps. --Arlene From: Jan Chesser Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 11:36 AM To: Arlene Huszar; Karen Jordan Subject: RE: Foreclosure court Friday is typically a good day - Monday could work if they avoided trial weeks - 1st and 4th Monday From: Arlene Huszar Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 9:59 AM To: Karen Jordan; Jan Chesser Subject: Foreclosure court There is the possibility that we will receive funding for a magistrate and senior judge days to help with foreclosure cases. In addition to Alachua County, I'm thinking they should also go to Levy and Bradford. If they, along with support stuff, went twice a month, when would be the best day for them? I can probably be more flexible with the Alachua County, schedule than you can because of space concerns so I'll work around whatever works for you. --Arlene From: Karen Jordan Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 3:02 PM To: Arlene Huszar Subject: FW: Foreclosure court Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Red Attachments: image001.jpg From: Karen Jordan Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 2:17 PM To: Arlene Huszar; Jan Chesser Subject: RE: Foreclosure court I'm thinking in Bradford Wednesdays might be good. In Baker -- Maybe Mondays would be best, but probably avoid Tuesday & Wednesdays due to Criminal Court. The 2nd week is trial week and it's usually slow that week. Union - Any day but Wednesdays for sure. From: Arlene Huszar Sent: Fridny, April 23, 2010 11:39 AM To: Jan Chesser, Karen Jordan Subject: RE: Foreclosure court Thanks, That helps, From: Jan Chesser Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 11:36 AM To: Arlene Huszar; Karen Jordan Subject: RE: Foreclosure court Tuesday is best for Levy because there is no felony Judge on Tuesday and Judge Griffis is in Gilchrist County. Friday is typically a good day - Monday could work if they avoided trial weeks - 1st and 4th Monday. Wednesday and Thursday would be impossible. From: Arlene Huszar Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 9:59 AM To: Karen Jordan; Jan Chesser Subject: Foreclosure court

There is the possibility that we will receive funding for a magistrate and senior judge days to help with fore-forume cases. In addition to Alachan County, The thinking they should also go to Levy and Bradford. If they, along with support staff, went twice a month, when would be the best day for them? I can probably be more flexible with the Alachan County schedule than you can because of space concerns so FII work around whatever works for you.

--Arlene From: Karen Jordan Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 3:02 PM To: Arlene Huszar Subject: FW: Foreclosure court Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Red Attachments: image001.jpg From: Karen Jordan Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 2:21 PM To: Arlene Huszar Subject: RE: Foreclosure court Baker has quite a few foreclosure cases asking for hearings - I wouldn't throw them out of the planning just yet, in case there is a back-log. Especially since she has been without a JA since October and there have been quite a few people filling in. From: Arlene Huszar Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 2:19 PM To: Karen Jordan; Jan Chesser Subject: RE: Foreclosure court --Arlene From: Karen Jordan Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 2:17 PM To: Arlene Huszar; Jan Chesser Subject: RE: Foreclosure court In Baker -- Maybe Mondays would be best, but probably avoid Tuesday & Wednesdays due to Criminal Court. The 2nd week is trial week and it's usually slow that week. Union - Any day but Wednesdays for sure. From: Arlene Huszar Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 11:39 AM To: Jan Chesser, Karen Jordan Subject: RE: Foreclosure court Thanks. That helps. From: Jan Chesser Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 11:36 AM To: Arlene Huszar; Karen Jordan Subject: RE: Foreclosure court Tuesday is best for Levy because there is no felony Judge on Tuesday and Judge Griffis is in Gilchrist County. Friday is typically a good day – Monday could work if they avoided trial weeks – lst and 4th Monday. Wednesday and Thursday would be impossible. From: Arlene Huszar Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 9:59 AM To: Karen Jordan; Jan Chesser Subject: Foreclosure court --Arlene

From: Karen Jordan Sen:: Monday, January 10, 2011 3:01 PM To: Arlene Huszar Subject: FW: Baker Foreclosure Hearings

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Red

From: Karen Jordan Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2010 12:48 PM To: Judge Martha Lott Subject: Baker Foreclosure Hearings

Set 10 Se
hadge Latt,
spoke with Judge Rosier, the said the had a conversation with \$Z\$ about the foreclosure bearings and was telling her that she was really booked in September. She was just thinking the could use help with the foreclosure bearings, but if there is a shortage of Senior Judge days she would be willing to do them and that the case management help would still be appreciated (just not in September? To possible). I think. Dimittle is forewarding foreclosure bearing responses to Knowledge days she would be willing to do them and that the case management help would still be appreciated (just not in September? To possible). I think. Dimittle is forewarding foreclosure bearing responses to Knowledge days she would be willing to do them and that the case management help would still be appreciated (just not in September? To possible). I think. Dimittle is forewarding foreclosure bearing responses to Knowledge days she would be willing to do them and that the case management help would still be appreciated (just not in September? To possible). I think. Dimittle is forewarding foreclosure bearing responses to Knowledge days she would be willing to do them and that the case management help would still be appreciated (just not in September? To possible). I think. Dimittle is forewarding foreclosure bearing a proper to possible in September. The possible is the possible in September. The possible
Thank you,
Kiren
From Karn Josha Karn Monday, Jamary 10, 2011 3:00 PM To: Affect Bleazer Blogice PW: Immiliage 2010.11-12_LIr_to_Chief_Justice_Canady
Victor Up Face. Find the Way The State of th
From: Karen Jordan Sent: Tucaday, November 16, 2010 1-55 PM Too Ardnes Hauzar. Ted McFeirrighey. Inn Chesser: Paul Silverman Subject: RE: Emulling: 2010-11-12Lr_to. Ochief_Justice: Canady
To my knowledge, we haven't had any issues either. Court Security officers in Baker and Readford usually let me know when media shows up.
irvom. Ardense Huszar seiten Tisendagh, November (2, 2010 ± 0 PM for Teel Mel-érindege, Jan (Cheser) Karena Bordan; Paul Silverman Sobisject RE: Emailling 2010-11-12
As far as I know, all of our foreclosure hearings are open to the public. In Levy County, Judge Griffis holds the hearings in chambers and anyone wanting to observe would have to get security to excort them. That may be perceived as a barrier but I don't think anyone has been excluded.
-Adene
From: Tool McFeeringle stent: Toolsday, November 16, 2010 12:07 PPM for Allowers, Varian Gordiner, Arlent Hanzar, Paul Silverman Subject: Emailing: 2010-11-12ltr_to, Chief. Juntice. Canady
Please read.
Comments?

Pross: Mary Los Gardon Sest: Thundry, January 06, 2011 8-41 AM To: Adata Bloom To: Adata Bloom Sesting States of Sesting

Attachments: doc00228320101110104201.pdf

Prost: Themesa Wooterfield [mailto wenterfield/00 fixentrong]
Sent Tunsday, Discussive 28, 2010 15.2 PM
To Mary Lan Gintellor, 2010 15.2 PM
To Mary Lan Gintellor 2010 15.2 PM
Cir. Tun Mary Lan Gintellor (Denthy Wilson; Sharon Booley; Michael Rodolgouez; Judge Marcha Lors
Salpic: PW. Addisonal Badgel Amendment Reports - Percelosus & Economic Recovery Program
Angle: PW. Addisonal Badgel Amendment Reports - Percelosus & Economic Recovery Program

Budget Administrator

Office of the State Courts Administrator

500 South David St.

850-410-1894 Fax 850-487-0664

Prom: Mary Lot Gardon' pusifies Guetarch90 circuits(seg)
Sent: Wednouley, Nevember (2), 2020 11:14 AM
Tri. Droxthy Whited
Cir. Thomas Westerfalde, Tad McForedge; halog Marba Last
Saliper: Additional Budger Anna Samus Request - Franciscus & Economic Recovery Program

Alachua County Paraily & Civil Justice Center

Gainewille, FL 32601

(352) 491-4490

Proce: Mary Lou Gardoor Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2011 8:75 AM To: Arlenn Bhasar Subject: Budget Amendment Forechouse & Economic Recovery Program

Attachments: doc00099920100007103153.pdf

Just is case I didn't give you this July 2000 Budget Amendment, here it is. The other one that I just sent was completed in November 2010.

Alachus County Family & Civil Justice Center

Gainewille, PL 32601

(352) 491-4490

Pross: Mary Loss Gardner
Sest: Thursday, Junuary 06, 2011 8:31 AM
TO: Adiane Houser
Subject: PW: Badget Amendment Request Processed - 8th Circuis
Attachments: do:5000999201010007103153.pdf

Budget Amendment for the Foreclosure & Economic Recovery Program.

From: Michael Rodriguez [mailto-rodrigues@ficourts.org]
Seart Monday, November 15, 2000 12-23 F94
To: Mary Lon Cardier
To: Mary Lon Cardier
Co: Thereon Westerfield; Donolly Wilson; Ted McFerridge; Judge Martha Lon
Subject: Bodge Armenframt Request Processed - 8th Circuit

Budget Analyst

Supreme Court of Florida

Office of the State Court Administrator

500 South David Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1900

From: Mary Los Gardner [mailles Gardner/M#GriccaitS.org]
Sent: Thumday, Carolier 07, 2010 10:51 AM
To: Boordly William
Cir. Food Self-Peridge: Judy Martha Lost
Subject: Trail Cost Holgel Amendment Foreclosure & Economic Recovery Program

201 East University Avenue, Room 410

the 1/10/feed Public analy ML Gree

gardnern@circuit8.org

Ser (5) Nath Obsession Martine (2 of 2) (2 (7 (N) 3 (7 of 2))













FILE #09-36649 MSJ RE FILE #09-36649 09-ca-001089 RE FILE #09-36649 RE FILE #09-36649 HEARING.msg MSJ HEARING CONFIWALTER MORTGAGE MSJ HEARING CONFIMSJ HEARING CONFI











Re 09-ca-001089 HEARING REQUEST - RE Hearing to be set RE Hearing to be set Case # WALTER MORTGAGE 09-95651 TECKENBR(2009-CA-000107.msg please.msg please.msg











Hearing cancelled for RE Perkins State FW HEARING FW HEARING RE HEARING 1 14 10 @ 8 40 am.m Bank v Rees.msg REQUEST - 09-95651REQUEST - 09-95651REQUEST - 09-95651











Re Perkins State 09-80761 - Williams RE Perkins State FW Feb 16 & Feb 19 RE Feb 16 & Feb 19 calendars.msg Bank v Grimes.msg George - Gilchrist Cou Bank v Grimes.msg calendars.msg











RE Feb 16 & Feb 19 calendars.msg





RE Levy County.msg











Hearing date please.msg

Beneficial vs George Re Beneficial vs Re Beneficial vs Doty et al Case No George Doty et al CGeorge Doty et al C

Fw Hearing date please.msg











38-2009 CA 000763 HEARING DATE CONF

Re Hearing date please.msg

G&G LLC v Cedar Key Historic Renovati

Hearing date please.msg

Re Hearing date please.msg











RE G&G LLC v RE G&G LLC v RE G&G LLC v MSJ hearing.msg RE MSJ hearing.msg Cedar Key Historic ReCedar Key Historic ReCedar Key Historic Re











RE G&G LLC v RE Request for Levy Cedar Key Historic ReCounty Motion for Su





M&I Bank v Mazine Case 38 2009 CA 21.











RE MSJ Foreclosure RE MSJ Foreclosure Hearing.msg Hearing.msg

Request for

RE Request for

Capital City Bank v telephonic hearing Giltelephonic hearing GilWilliam D Martin et al







RE Capital City Bank Pike vs Homer.msg v William D Martin et

RE Request for

RE Request for telephonic hearing Giltelephonic hearing Giltelephonic hearing Gil



M&I v Mazine Case RE M&I v Mazine RE BH files (Universal CASE # 08 2009 CA 21.msg Case 08 2009 CA 21.38-2009-CA-0678 - HMortgage FDLG Forec38-2009-CA-001081













RE CASE # Pike vs. Homer RE M&I v Mazine RE M&I v Mazine 38-2009-CA-001081 .Case#38-2010-CA-0(Case 08 2009 CA 21. Case 08 2009 CA 21. Re PSB v

Oliveri. msg








advise.msg

Untitled.msg









hearing .msg



RE SETTING

RE SETTING

HEARINGS IN GILCHFHEARINGS IN GILCHF

RE Case #

2010-CA-011073 (Tra

Request for hearing

time.msg

Hearing

Request.msg





RE MSJ hearing.msg RE MSJ hearing.msg RE MSJ hearing.msg RE Case from last Friday.msg



