### Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 136-2 Filed 01/31/17

From: Paszamant, Brian [mailto:Paszamant@BlankRome.com]

Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 11:50 AM

To: Warden, Andrew (CIV)

Cc: Smith, James; Schuelke III, Henry F.; Chris Tompkins; Dror Ladin; Steven Watt; <a href="https://hoffpaul@aol.com">hoffpaul@aol.com</a>; <a href="https://eci.en

Hina Shamsi

**Subject:** Salim/Mitchell (Defendants' Touhy Request Seeking Depositions)

Andrew,

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of the Stipulation re: Discovery filed in the above-referenced action, attached is Defendants' *Touhy* request and attendant subpoenas for the depositions of the following CIA or ex-CIA employees: (1) James Cotsana; (2) Jose Rodriguez; (3) John Rizzo; and (4) Jonathan Fredman. A copy will follow by regular mail.

BP

## Brian S. Paszamant | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998

Phone: 215.569.5791 | Fax: 215.832.5791 | Email: Paszamant@BlankRome.com

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

\*\*\*\*\*

## Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 136-2 Filed 01/31/17

**From:** Rosenthal, Jeffrey [mailto:Rosenthal-J@BlankRome.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2016 9:53 AM

To: Dror Ladin; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">hoffpaul@aol.com</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">echiang@aclu-wa.org</a>; <a href="mailto:Steven">Steven Watt</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">McGrady</a>, <a href="mailto:Dror Ladin">Dror Ladin</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">hoffpaul@aol.com</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">echiang@aclu-wa.org</a>; <a href="mailto:Steven">Steven Watt</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">McGrady</a>, <a href="mailto:Dror Ladin">Dror Ladin</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">Free</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">hoffpaul@aol.com</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">ho

E.; Lustberg, Lawrence S; Hina Shamsi

Cc: Smith, James; Paszamant, Brian; Schuelke III, Henry F.; 'Chris Tompkins'

Subject: Salim v. Mitchell--Subpoena for Service

Mr. Ladin:

Please see attached subpoenas. A hard copy will be sent to you via U.S. Mail today.

Thanks.

Jeff

#### Jeffrey N. Rosenthal | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998

Phone: 215.569.5553 | Fax: 215.832.5553 | Email: Rosenthal-J@BlankRome.com

\*\*\*\*\*\*

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

\*\*\*\*\*

## United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of Washington

Suleiman Abdulah Salim, et. al.	
Plaintiff	)
V.	) Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ
James Elmer Mitchell and John "Bruce" Jessen	
Defendant	)
SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A	DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION
	Lovells LLP, Columbia Square, 555 Thirteenth Street, NW, gton, D.C. 20004
(Name of person to	whom this subpoena is directed)
Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an orgor managing agents, or designate other persons who consent those set forth in an attachment:	ar at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a ganization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, not to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or
Place: Blank Rome LLP	Date and Time:
1825 Eye Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20006	01/23/2017 10:00 am
Washington, B.O. 20000	
The deposition will be recorded by this method:	Reporter and videographer
	so bring with you to the deposition the following documents, nust permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the
	attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to f not doing so.
Date: 12/21/2016	
CLERK OF COURT	
	OR Brian Pasmoner / JNR
Signature of Clerk or Deputy C	
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of James Elmer Mitchell and John "Bruce" Jessen	, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Brian Paszamant, 1 Logan Square, 130 North 18th Street, F Paszamant@blankrome.com	Priliadelpriia, PA 19103-6998. Tel: (215) 569-5791. Email:

## Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88A (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

1 (date)	bpoena for (name of individual and title, if an	<i></i>	
☐ I served the su	abpoena by delivering a copy to the nan	ned individual as follows:	
		on (date) ; c	or
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		
tendered to the w	ena was issued on behalf of the United ritness the fees for one day's attendance		•
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of	\$ 0.00
I declare under p	enalty of perjury that this information is	s true.	
te:		Server's signature	
		server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

#### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

#### (c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
  - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

#### (2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
  - (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

#### (d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

#### (2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- **(B)** Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

## (3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
  - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
  - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
  - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

#### (e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- **(C)** Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

#### (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
  - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

#### (g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

## United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of Washington

Suleiman Abdulah Salim, et. al.	
Plaintiff	, )
V.	) Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ
James Elmer Mitchell and John "Bruce" Jessen	
Defendant	
SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A I	DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION
	in Lovells LLP, Columbia Square, 555 Thirteenth Street, NW, gton, D.C. 20004
(Name of person to w	phom this subpoena is directed)
deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an org or managing agents, or designate other persons who consenthose set forth in an attachment:	r at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a anization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or
Place: Blank Rome LLP	Date and Time:
1825 Eye Street, NW	01/24/2017 10:00 am
Washington, D.C. 20006	
The deposition will be recorded by this method:	Reporter and videographer
	to bring with you to the deposition the following documents, ust permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the
	attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; o a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to not doing so.
Date: 12/21/2016	
CLERK OF COURT	_
	OR Brian Parmonen /JNR
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Cl	erk Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of James Elmer Mitchell and John "Bruce" Jessen	of the attorney representing (name of party) Defendants, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Brian Paszamant, 1 Logan Square, 130 North 18th Street, P Paszamant@blankrome.com	

## Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88A (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

n (date)	lbpoena for (name of individual and title, if an	<i>y</i> )	
☐ I served the s	ubpoena by delivering a copy to the nan	ned individual as follows:	
		on (date) ;	or
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		
tendered to the w	pena was issued on behalf of the United vitness the fees for one day's attendance		•
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total or	f\$0.00
I declare under p	penalty of perjury that this information is	s true.	
te:		Server's signature	
		server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

#### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

#### (c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
  - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

#### (2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
  - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

#### (d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

#### (2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing or trial
- **(B)** Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

#### (3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
  - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
  - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
  - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

#### (e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

#### (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
  - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

#### (g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

**From:** Hina Shamsi [mailto:hshamsi@aclu.orq] Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2016 5:26 PM

To: Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Dror Ladin; hoffpaul@aol.com; echiang@aclu-wa.org; Steven Watt; McGrady, Daniel, J.; Frey, Avram D.;

Janukowicz, Kate E.; Lustberg, Lawrence S

Cc: Smith, James; Paszamant, Brian; Schuelke III, Henry F.; 'Chris Tompkins'; andrew.warden@usdoj.gov

Subject: RE: Salim v. Mitchell--Subpoena for Service

Mr. Rosenthal,

We are in receipt of these subpoenas. We note that you did not coordinate with Plaintiffs' counsel to ascertain our availability for, or the location of, these depositions. As a party to the case, we expected to have been consulted about scheduling—as you in fact consulted us in setting the deposition date for Mr. Cotsana.

Plaintiffs agree to the location of the depositions at your offices in Washington, DC. We are available on January 30 for Mr. Fredman's deposition. Because of a court argument in another matter and another work commitment that cannot be moved, we are in fact not available on January 23 or 24. Keeping in mind the impending discovery cut-off deadline, we are available earlier in the month, and we propose that Mr. Rodriguez's deposition be held on January 13, and Mr. Rizzo's deposition be held on January 17.

Please advise us whether those dates are acceptable. Counsel for the government is copied on this response.

Best regards,

#### **Hina Shamsi**

Director, National Security Project American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad St., New York, NY 10004 212.284.7321 hshamsi@aclu.org

www.aclu.org 🛅 💟



This message may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by reply email that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and delete this email from your system.

**From:** Rosenthal, Jeffrey [mailto:Rosenthal-J@BlankRome.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2016 9:53 AM

To: Dror Ladin; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">hoffpaul@aol.com</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">echiang@aclu-wa.org</a>; <a href="mailto:Steven">Steven Watt</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">McGrady</a>, <a href="mailto:Dror Ladin">Dror Ladin</a>; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">Frey</a>, <a href="mailto:Avram D.">Avram D.</a>; <a href="mailto:Janukowicz">Janukowicz</a>, <a href="mailto:Kate">Kate</a>

E.; Lustberg, Lawrence S; Hina Shamsi

Cc: Smith, James; Paszamant, Brian; Schuelke III, Henry F.; 'Chris Tompkins'

Subject: Salim v. Mitchell--Subpoena for Service

Mr. Ladin:

Please see attached subpoenas. A hard copy will be sent to you via U.S. Mail today.

Thanks.

Jeff

### Jeffrey N. Rosenthal | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998

Phone: 215.569.5553 | Fax: 215.832.5553 | Email: Rosenthal-J@BlankRome.com

## Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 136-2 Filed 01/31/17

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

\*

\*\*\*\*\*\*

**From:** Hina Shamsi [mailto:hshamsi@aclu.orq] Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2016 5:39 PM

To: Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Dror Ladin; hoffpaul@aol.com; echiang@aclu-wa.org; Steven Watt; McGrady, Daniel, J.; Frey, Avram D.;

Janukowicz, Kate E.; Lustberg, Lawrence S

Cc: Smith, James; Paszamant, Brian; Schuelke III, Henry F.; 'Chris Tompkins'; andrew.warden@usdoj.gov

Subject: RE: Salim v. Mitchell--Subpoena for Service

Mr. Rosenthal.

We have had no response to our proposed dates for the depositions of Mr. Rizzo and Mr. Rodriguez. Please let us know your position. In addition, we should decide the division of time for questioning for each deposition. We propose that Defendants have 4.5 hours to ask guestions and Plaintiffs have 2.5 hours.

Finally, we are finalizing our research on possible locations for our clients' IMEs and depositions and expect to email tomorrow with proposals and to set up a time for the meet-and-confer.

Best regards,

#### Hina Shamsi

Director, National Security Project American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad St., New York, NY 10004 212.284.7321 hshamsi@aclu.org

www.aclu.org 🚹 💟



This message may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by reply email that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and delete this email from your system.

From: Hina Shamsi

Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2016 5:26 PM

To: 'Rosenthal, Jeffrey'; Dror Ladin; hoffpaul@aol.com; echiang@aclu-wa.org; Steven Watt; McGrady, Daniel, J.; Frey, Avram D.;

Janukowicz, Kate E.; Lustberg, Lawrence S

Cc: Smith, James; Paszamant, Brian; Schuelke III, Henry F.; 'Chris Tompkins'; andrew.warden@usdoj.gov

Subject: RE: Salim v. Mitchell--Subpoena for Service

Mr. Rosenthal,

We are in receipt of these subpoenas. We note that you did not coordinate with Plaintiffs' counsel to ascertain our availability for, or the location of, these depositions. As a party to the case, we expected to have been consulted about scheduling—as you in fact consulted us in setting the deposition date for Mr. Cotsana.

Plaintiffs agree to the location of the depositions at your offices in Washington, DC. We are available on January 30 for Mr. Fredman's deposition. Because of a court argument in another matter and another work commitment that cannot be moved, we are in fact not available on January 23 or 24. Keeping in mind the impending discovery cut-off deadline, we are available earlier in the month, and we propose that Mr. Rodriguez's deposition be held on January 13, and Mr. Rizzo's deposition be held on January 17.

Please advise us whether those dates are acceptable. Counsel for the government is copied on this response.

Best regards,

### Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 136-2 Filed 01/31/17

#### **Hina Shamsi**

Director, National Security Project American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad St., New York, NY 10004 212.284.7321 hshamsi@aclu.org

www.aclu.org 盾 💟



This message may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by reply email that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and delete this email from your system.

From: Rosenthal, Jeffrey [mailto:Rosenthal-J@BlankRome.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2016 9:53 AM

To: Dror Ladin; hoffpaul@aol.com; echiang@aclu-wa.org; Steven Watt; McGrady, Daniel, J.; Frey, Avram D.; Janukowicz, Kate

E.; Lustberg, Lawrence S; Hina Shamsi

Cc: Smith, James; Paszamant, Brian; Schuelke III, Henry F.; 'Chris Tompkins'

Subject: Salim v. Mitchell--Subpoena for Service

Mr. Ladin:

Please see attached subpoenas. A hard copy will be sent to you via U.S. Mail today.

Thanks.

Jeff

### Jeffrey N. Rosenthal | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998

Phone: 215.569.5553 | Fax: 215.832.5553 | Email: Rosenthal-J@BlankRome.com

\*\*\*\*\*

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

\*\*\*\*\*

**From:** Paszamant, Brian [mailto:Paszamant@BlankRome.com]

Sent: Saturday, December 31, 2016 8:42 AM

To: 'Hina Shamsi'; Dror Ladin

Cc: Steven Watt; Lustberg, Lawrence S; McGrady, Daniel, J.; Janukowicz, Kate E.; Frey, Avram D.; Warden, Andrew (CIV)

(Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov); Schuelke III, Henry F.; Smith, James; Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Chris Tompkins

(ctompkins@bpmlaw.com)

**Subject:** RE: Salim/Mitchell (Court Ordered Meet and Confer)

Hina,

Thank you for the email. Once Defendants have a sense of what injuries Plaintiffs Salim and Ben Soud intend to try to attribute to Defendants at trial, Defendants will be in a better position to assess resulting IME needs, and thus better able to provide Plaintiffs with specific proposed dates for Plaintiffs Salim and Ben Soud's depositions and IMEs. According to Dror's email from yesterday, it seems that we will be provided with this injury information on January 2.

We have now heard back from counsel for Messrs. Rodriguez and Rizzo, Bob Bennett. Setting aside defense counsel availability issues, Mr. Bennett advises that Messrs. Rodriguez and Rizzo are not available on the dates that Plaintiffs have proposed below. In fact, I was advised that the lack of availability on Messrs. Rodriguez, Rizzo and Bennett's parts until later in January is what caused us to select the dates that we did. Let's plan to speak further about the scheduling of Messrs. Rodriguez and Rizzo's depositions after we have locked in dates and locations for Plaintiffs Salim and Ben Soud's depositions and IMEs.

With regard to Plaintiffs Salim and Ben Soud's depositions, we have begun to look into the proposed locales. Initial review suggests that Quito, Equador may be problematic as Equador does not seem to permit the taking of depositions even if voluntary: <a href="https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/legal-considerations/judicial/country/ecuador.html">https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/legal-considerations/judicial/country/ecuador.html</a> ("The taking of voluntary depositions of willing witnesses is not permitted in Ecuador, regardless of the nationality of the witness.") While Defendants continue to assess the identified locales from this and other perspectives, could you please advise whether you or your colleagues have any experience conducting depositions in either of the locales, including whether the conducting of depositions is permissible?

With regard to agreement to extend deadlines including the present fact discovery deadline, we are happy to discuss this with Plaintiffs.

BP

### Brian S. Paszamant | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998

Phone: 215.569.5791 | Fax: 215.832.5791 | Email: Paszamant@BlankRome.com

From: Hina Shamsi [mailto:hshamsi@aclu.org]
Sent: Friday, December 30, 2016 1:16 PM

To: Paszamant, Brian <Paszamant@BlankRome.com>; Dror Ladin <dladin@aclu.org>

Cc: Steven Watt <swatt@aclu.org>; 'LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com' (LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com) <LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com>;

McGrady, Daniel, J. (<u>DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com</u>) < <u>DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com</u>>; Janukowicz, Kate E.

(KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com) <KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com>; Frey, Avram D. (AFrey@gibbonslaw.com)

<a href="mailto:AFrey@gibbonslaw.com">AFrey@gibbonslaw.com</a>; Warden, Andrew (CIV) (<a href="mailto:Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov">Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov</a>) <a href="mailto:Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov">Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov</a>); Schuelke III,

Henry F. < HSchuelke@BlankRome.com >; Smith, James < Smith-jt@BlankRome.com >; Rosenthal, Jeffrey < Rosenthal-

<u>J@BlankRome.com</u>>; Chris Tompkins (<a href="mailto:ctompkins@bpmlaw.com">ctompkins@bpmlaw.com</a>>

**Subject:** RE: Salim/Mitchell (Court Ordered Meet and Confer)

Brian,

If the week of January 23 does not work for you, we are amenable to IMEs and depositions during the week of January 30; please let us know your specific scheduling proposals. We expect that if IMEs take place during the January 30 week, you will need to make an application, to which we would consent, to extend the discovery period in order for us to respond to your IMEbased expert reports pursuant to the time line set by the Court.

Could you also please give us a sense of when you might confirm the dates for the depositions of Messrs. Rizzo and Rodriguez? I'm sorry to press again, but we need to make travel plans.

Thanks, Hina

#### **Hina Shamsi**

Director, National Security Project American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad St., New York, NY 10004 ■ 212.284.7321 ■ hshamsi@aclu.org

www.aclu.org 🛅 💟



This message may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by reply email that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and delete this email from your system.

**From:** Paszamant, Brian [mailto:Paszamant@BlankRome.com]

**Sent:** Friday, December 30, 2016 11:33 AM

To: Dror Ladin

Cc: Steven Watt; Hina Shamsi; 'LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com' (LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com); McGrady, Daniel, J. (DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com); Janukowicz, Kate E. (KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com); Frey, Avram D. (AFrey@gibbonslaw.com); Warden, Andrew (CIV) (Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov); Schuelke III, Henry F.; Smith, James; Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Chris Tompkins (ctompkins@bpmlaw.com)

**Subject:** RE: Salim/Mitchell (Court Ordered Meet and Confer)

Thank you. This is helpful. Also, the week of January 25 will likely not work for these depositions and IMEs. How is availability the week of January 30 even if that possibly necessitates moving around existing depositions?

BP

## Brian S. Paszamant | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998

Phone: 215.569.5791 | Fax: 215.832.5791 | Email: Paszamant@BlankRome.com

From: Dror Ladin [mailto:dladin@aclu.org] Sent: Friday, December 30, 2016 11:28 AM

To: Paszamant, Brian <Paszamant@BlankRome.com>

Cc: Steven Watt <swatt@aclu.org>; Hina Shamsi <hshamsi@aclu.org>; 'LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com'

(LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com) < LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com>; McGrady, Daniel, J. (DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com)

<DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com>; Janukowicz, Kate E. (KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com) <KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com>; Frey,

Avram D. (AFrey@gibbonslaw.com) <AFrey@gibbonslaw.com>; Warden, Andrew (CIV) (Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov)

<Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov>; Schuelke III, Henry F. <HSchuelke@BlankRome.com>; Smith, James <Smith-</p>

it@BlankRome.com>; Rosenthal, Jeffrey <Rosenthal-J@BlankRome.com>; Chris Tompkins (ctompkins@bpmlaw.com)

<ctompkins@bpmlaw.com>

Subject: Re: Salim/Mitchell (Court Ordered Meet and Confer)

Thanks, Brian. Plaintiff Salim's English'is good Prough for him to flave his IME in English, but there should be a Swahili translator present for his deposition. Plaintiff Ben Soud will need an Arabic translator for both his IME and deposition.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 30, 2016, at 10:32 AM, Paszamant, Brian < Paszamant@BlankRome.com > wrote:

Yes dror, 3:30 works. In the meantime, could you please advise whether plaintiffs salim and Ben soud are fluent in English and, if not, what language we should be focusing on in terms of locating appropriate translation services for these plaintiffs?

Bp

Brian S. Paszamant, Esquire
Blank Rome LLP
One Logan Square
18th and Cherry Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
(215) 569-5791
Paszamant@blankrome.com

On Dec 30, 2016, at 10:23 AM, Dror Ladin < dladin@aclu.org > wrote:

Thanks, Brian. We will circulate a call-in and appreciate your availability. Would it be possible for you and Chris to join a call at 3:30 PM Eastern instead? That later time will allow more of us to participate in the conferral.

Thanks, Dror

On Dec 30, 2016, at 9:16 AM, Paszamant, Brian <Paszamant@BlankRome.com> wrote:

Dror,

Thank you for the email. Chris Tompkins and I will be participating for Defendants and we are available to speak on January 4 at 2:30 p.m. East Coast Time. Could you please circulate a dial in?

BP

#### Brian S. Paszamant | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998

Phone: 215.569.5791 | Fax: 215.832.5791 | Email: Paszamant@BlankRome.com

From: Dror Ladin [mailto:dladin@aclu.org]
Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2016 5:13 PM

To: Paszamant, Brian < Paszamant@BlankRome.com >; Steven Watt

<swatt@aclu.org>; Hina Shamsi <hshamsi@aclu.org>;

'<u>LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com'</u> (<u>LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com</u>)

<<u>LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com</u>>; McGrady, Daniel, J.

 $(\underline{\mathsf{DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com}}) < \underline{\mathsf{DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com}} >; \mathsf{Janukowicz},$ 

Kate E. (KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com) < KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com >; Frey,

Avramase(2:15, ayrobons a.l.com) < Aprey ment 136.72.comiled 01/31/17

Cc: Warden, Andrew (CIV) (Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov)

<<u>Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov</u>>; Schuelke III, Henry F.

<<u>HSchuelke@BlankRome.com</u>>; Smith, James <<u>Smith-jt@BlankRome.com</u>>;

Rosenthal, Jeffrey < <a href="mailto:Rosenthal-J@BlankRome.com">Rosenthal, Jeffrey < Rosenthal-J@BlankRome.com</a>; Chris Tompkins

(ctompkins@bpmlaw.com) <ctompkins@bpmlaw.com>

Subject: Re: Salim/Mitchell (Court Ordered Meet and Confer)

### Brian,

In accordance with the Court's Order, we propose a telephonic conference on the afternoon of Jan. 4. In answer to your questions, we propose that Plaintiffs Salim and Ben Soud travel to Roseau, Domenica or to Quito, Ecuador for IMEs and depositions beginning on Jan. 25. We don't anticipate difficulties with Plaintiffs accessing these locations, and believe that both have medical facilities that would enable Defendants to conduct appropriate examinations. We will provide you by Jan. 2 a list of injuries so that we can try and reach agreement on the scope of the IMEs. We don't believe there is a need for any specialized testing equipment beyond that employed by Plaintiffs' experts and identified in their reports.

Sincerely,

Dror

From: Paszamant, Brian < Paszamant@BlankRome.com>

Sent: Friday, December 23, 2016 8:08:09 AM

To: Dror Ladin; Steven Watt; Hina Shamsi; 'LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com'

(<u>LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com</u>); McGrady, Daniel, J. (<u>DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com</u>); Janukowicz, Kate E.

(KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com); Frey, Avram D. (AFrey@gibbonslaw.com)

**Cc:** Warden, Andrew (CIV) (<u>Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov</u>); Schuelke III, Henry F.; Smith, James; Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Chris Tompkins (ctompkins@bpmlaw.com)

Subject: Salim/Mitchell (Court Ordered Meet and Confer)

### Counsel,

We are in receipt of the Court's Order concerning Plaintiffs Salim and Ben Soud's depositions and IMEs. In an effort to meet and confer as the Order contemplates, please advise as soon as possible: (1) which countries within 3,000 miles of Washington, D.C. Plaintiffs Salim and Ben Soud are able to travel for their IMEs and depositions; and (2) which injuries, if any, identified within (a) Plaintiffs' Complaint or (b) the medical reports produced by Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs Salim and Ben Soud will not be pursuing at trial.

Your anticipated cooperation is greatly appreciated.

BP

#### Brian S. Paszamant | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998 Phone: 215.569.5791 | Fax: 215.832.5791 | Email: Paszamant@BlankRome.com

## Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 136-2 Filed 01/31/17

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

\*

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

**From:** Paszamant, Brian [mailto:Paszamant@BlankRome.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, January 12, 2017 12:19 PM

To: Dror Ladin

Cc: Steven Watt; Hina Shamsi; Lustberg, Lawrence S; McGrady, Daniel, J.; Janukowicz, Kate E.; Frey, Avram D.;

hoffpaul@aol.com; Warden, Andrew (CIV) (Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov); Smith, James; Chris Tompkins

(ctompkins@bpmlaw.com); Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Schuelke III, Henry F.; Querns, Ann E.

**Subject:** Salim/Mitchell (Rodriguez, Rizzo and Fredman Depositions; Gov't Business Records; Crosby Records)

Dror,

We have heard back from Mr. Bennett with regard to the depositions of Jose Rodriguez and John Rizzo, and from Steve McCool with regard to the deposition of Jonathan Fredman. In light of those discussions, we propose the following deposition schedule with all such depositions to be conducted in Blank Rome's D.C. office:

- (1) January 26 Jose Rodriguez
- (2) January 27 John Rizzo
- (3) January 30 Jonathan Fredman

Please advise whether this works for you so that we may lock this down.

Separately, during the first of our meet and confer calls last week, I inquired whether Plaintiffs would stipulate that the documents produced by the CIA and DOJ in response to Defendants' *Touhy* requests constitute business records for evidentiary purposes. We await Plaintiffs' response to this proposal.

Additionally, during the same meet and confer call, I advised of Defendants desire to promptly obtain Dr. Sandra Crosby's records relating to her 2010 examination of Plaintiff Salim. Please advise as to Plaintiffs' position in this regard.

Thank you in advance.

BP

#### Brian S. Paszamant | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998 Phone: 215.569.5791 | Fax: 215.832.5791 | Email: Paszamant@BlankRome.com

\*

\*\*\*\*\*\*

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

From: Dror Ladin [mailto:dladin@aclu.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2017 2:40 PM

**To:** Paszamant, Brian

**Cc:** Steven Watt; Hina Shamsi; Lustberg, Lawrence S; McGrady, Daniel, J.; Janukowicz, Kate E.; Frey, Avram D.; hoffpaul@aol.com; Warden, Andrew (CIV) (Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov); Smith, James; Chris Tompkins

(ctompkins@bpmlaw.com); Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Schuelke III, Henry F.; Querns, Ann E.

**Subject:** RE: Salim/Mitchell (Rodriguez, Rizzo and Fredman Depositions; Gov't Business Records; Crosby Records)

Brian,

Thanks for your email. In response to your questions:

First, Plaintiffs accept Defendants' proposed deposition schedule and location for depositions.

Second, my co-counsel Larry Lustberg will contact you today or tomorrow to set up a time to discuss the scope of a possible stipulation as to admissibility of documents.

Third, my co-counsel Avi Frey will respond to you shortly with the records of Dr. Crosby that Defendants have requested.

#### **Dror Ladin**

Staff Attorney
National Security Project
American Civil Liberties Union
125 Broad St., New York, NY 10004
■ 212.284.7303 ■ dladin@aclu.org
www.aclu.org



BECAUSE FREEDOM CAN'T PROTECT ITSELF

This message may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by reply email that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and delete this email from your system.

From: Paszamant, Brian [mailto:Paszamant@BlankRome.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2017 2:28 PM

To: Dror Ladin

Cc: Steven Watt; Hina Shamsi; 'LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com' (LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com); McGrady, Daniel, J.

(<u>DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com</u>); Janukowicz, Kate E. (<u>KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com</u>); Frey, Avram D. (<u>AFrey@gibbonslaw.com</u>);

hoffpaul@aol.com; Warden, Andrew (CIV) (Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov); Smith, James; Chris Tompkins

(ctompkins@bpmlaw.com); Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Schuelke III, Henry F.; Querns, Ann E.

Subject: RE: Salim/Mitchell (Rodriguez, Rizzo and Fredman Depositions; Gov't Business Records; Crosby Records)

Dror,

I'm following up about the deposition dates as well as the other items identified below. Please advise.

ВP

#### Brian S. Paszamant | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998

Phone: 215.569.5791 | Fax: 215.832.5791 | Email: Paszamant@BlankRome.com

Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 136-2 Filed 01/31/17

From: Paszamant, Brian

**Sent:** Thursday, January 12, 2017 12:19 PM

To: Dror Ladin <dladin@aclu.org>

**Cc:** Steven Watt <<u>swatt@aclu.org</u>>; Hina Shamsi <<u>hshamsi@aclu.org</u>>; 'LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com'

(LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com) <LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com>; McGrady, Daniel, J. (DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com)

<DMcGrady@gibbonslaw.com>; Janukowicz, Kate E. (KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com) <KJanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com>; Frey,

Avram D. (AFrey@gibbonslaw.com) < AFrey@gibbonslaw.com >; hoffpaul@aol.com; Warden, Andrew (CIV)

 $\label{lem:marken_warden_war$ 

F. < HSchuelke@BlankRome.com >; Querns, Ann E. < AQuerns@blankrome.com >

Subject: Salim/Mitchell (Rodriguez, Rizzo and Fredman Depositions; Gov't Business Records; Crosby Records)

Dror,

We have heard back from Mr. Bennett with regard to the depositions of Jose Rodriguez and John Rizzo, and from Steve McCool with regard to the deposition of Jonathan Fredman. In light of those discussions, we propose the following deposition schedule with all such depositions to be conducted in Blank Rome's D.C. office:

- (1) January 26 Jose Rodriguez
- (2) January 27 John Rizzo
- (3) January 30 Jonathan Fredman

Please advise whether this works for you so that we may lock this down.

Separately, during the first of our meet and confer calls last week, I inquired whether Plaintiffs would stipulate that the documents produced by the CIA and DOJ in response to Defendants' *Touhy* requests constitute business records for evidentiary purposes. We await Plaintiffs' response to this proposal.

Additionally, during the same meet and confer call, I advised of Defendants desire to promptly obtain Dr. Sandra Crosby's records relating to her 2010 examination of Plaintiff Salim. Please advise as to Plaintiffs' position in this regard.

Thank you in advance.

BP

### Brian S. Paszamant | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998

Phone: 215.569.5791 | Fax: 215.832.5791 | Email: Paszamant@BlankRome.com

\*\*\*\*\*\*

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

\*\*\*\*\*



Phone:

(215) 569-5791

Fax:

(215) 832-5791

Email:

Paszamant@BlankRome.com

January 24, 2017

## **VIA EMAIL**

Emily Chiang, Esquire
<a href="mailto:echiang@aclu-wa.org">echiang@aclu-wa.org</a>
ACLU of Washington Foundation
901 Fifth Ave, Suite 630
Seattle, WA 98164

Steven M. Watt, Esquire

swatt@aclu.org

Dror Ladin, Esquire

dladin@aclu.org

Hina Shamsi, Esquire

hshamsi@aclu.org

Jameel Jaffer, Esquire

jjaffer@aclu.org

ACLU Foundation

125 Broad Street, 18th Floor

New York, NY 10007

Lawrence S. Lustberg, Esquire <a href="mailto:llustberg@gibbonslaw.com">llustberg@gibbonslaw.com</a>
Avram D. Frey, Esquire <a href="mailto:afrey@gibbonslaw.com">afrey@gibbonslaw.com</a>
Daniel J. McGrady, Esquire <a href="mailto:dmcgrady@gibbonslaw.com">dmcgrady@gibbonslaw.com</a>
Kate E. Janukowicz, Esquire <a href="mailto:kjanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com">kjanukowicz@gibbonslaw.com</a>
Gibbons PC

Gibbons PC One Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102

Paul Hoffman, Esquire
<a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">hoffpaul@aol.com</a>
Schonbrun Seplow Harris & Hoffman, LLP
723 Ocean Front Walk, Suite 100
Venice, CA 90291

Re: Salim v. Mitchell, Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ (E.D. Wash.)

### Dear Counsel:

I write concerning the depositions of Jose Rodriguez and John Rizzo, scheduled for this Thursday and Friday respectively. Mr. Rodriguez and Mr. Rizzo have each provided Defendants with a declaration in exchange for Defendants' agreement to withdraw their subpoenas. In light of this development, Defendants withdraw these subpoenas and do not intend to proceed with these depositions.

## Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 136-2 Filed 01/31/17



January 24, 2017 Page 2

I will promptly forward to you a copy of each of the declarations.

Very truly yours,

BRIAN S. PASZAMANT

BSP/mc

Cc: Andrew L. Warden, Esquire

James T. Smith, Esquire

Henry F. Schuelke, III, Esquire

Ann E. Querns, Esquire

Christopher W. Tompkins, Esquire

(each via email)

## Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 136-2 Filed 01/31/17

From: Paszamant, Brian [mailto:Paszamant@BlankRome.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 3:21 PM

To: Lustberg, Lawrence S

**Subject:** Salim/Mitchell (Rodriguez and Rizzo Declarations)

Larry,

Attached is a copy of: (1) the Declaration of Jose Rodriguez; and (2) the Declaration of John Rizzo. The exhibits to the Declarations have been overnighted to you.

BP

### Brian S. Paszamant | Blank Rome LLP

One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998

Phone: 215.569.5791 | Fax: 215.832.5791 | Email: Paszamant@BlankRome.com

\*\*\*\*\*

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

\*\*\*\*\*

From: Lustberg, Lawrence S

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 12:44 PM

To: <a href="mailto:robert.bennett@hoganlovells.com">robert.bennett@hoganlovells.com</a>

**Cc:** Paszamant, Brian (<a href="mailto:Paszamant@BlankRome.com">Paszamant@BlankRome.com</a>); Steven Watt; Hina Shamsi; Lustberg, Lawrence S; McGrady, Daniel, J.; Janukowicz, Kate E.; Frey, Avram D.; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">hoffpaul@aol.com</a>; Warden, Andrew (CIV) (<a href="mailto:Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov">Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov</a>); Smith, James;

Chris Tompkins (ctompkins@bpmlaw.com); Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Schuelke III, Henry F.; Querns, Ann E.

**Subject:** Salim v. Mitchell

Dear Mr. Bennett:

We understand that you represent Jose Rodriguez and John Rizzo in the above-captioned matter. Given that they are on defendants' witness list and have now provided declarations in this matter, Plaintiffs here provide subpoenas for their depositions. I assume that you will accept service, but if not, let me know and we will serve the defendants more formally.

We apologize for the late date of these subpoenas, but have long anticipated, based upon our communications with the defendants, that we would have had the opportunity to ask our questions at the previously scheduled and now cancelled depositions scheduled for today and tomorrow. That said, we can be flexible with dates, as well as locations for the depositions, subject only to the Court's scheduling order, which will require that the depositions be completed by February 17. Let me know what works for you and your clients in that regard; by copy of this email, I am also making the same request of counsel for the defendants and the Government.

I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience so that we can make arrangements for these depositions. Thank you for your kind attention to this matter.

Larry Lustberg

Lawrence S. Lustberg, Esq. Gibbons P.C. 1 Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102

Tel. (973) 596-4731 Fax (973) 639-6285 Cell (201) 407-4765

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Washington

Suleiman Abdullah Salim, et al.	)
Plaintiff v.  James Elmer Mitchell and John "Bruce" Jessen	) Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ
Defendant CANDO THE TEXT AND TH	DEDOCUTION IN A CIVIL A CITION
	DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION
	n Lovells LLP, Columbia Square, 555 Thirteenth Street, NW, ngton, D.C. 20004
	whom this subpoena is directed)
deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an or	ar at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a rganization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, ent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or
Place: ACLU 915 Fifteenth Street, NW	Date and Time:
Washington, DC 20005	02/09/2017 10:00 am
The deposition will be recorded by this method:	Reporter
	lso bring with you to the deposition the following documents, must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the
	e attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to of not doing so.
Date: 01/26/2017	
CLERK OF COURT	OR
Signature of Clerk or Deputy C	Clerk Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number Abdullah Salim, Mohamed Ahmed Ben Soud, and ObaidU awrence S. Lustberg, Gibbons P.C., One Gateway Center 96-4731	

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88A (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

on (date		poena for (name of individual and title	e, if any)	
OII (aare,		opoena by delivering a copy to th	e named individual as follows:	
			on (date) ; or	
	☐ I returned the s	ubpoena unexecuted because:		
			nited States, or one of its officers or agents, dance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the	
	\$	·		
My fee	s are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under per	nalty of perjury that this informat	ion is true.	
Date:			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Washington

Suleiman Abdullah Salim, et al.  Plaintiff v.  James Elmer Mitchell and John "Bruce" Jessen  Defendant	) Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ )
SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A	DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION
	gan Lovells LLP, Columbia Square, 555 Thirteenth Street, NW, ngton, D.C. 20004
	whom this subpoena is directed)
deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an or	ear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a rganization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, ent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or
Place: ACLU 915 Fifteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20005	Date and Time: 02/06/2017 10:00 am
The deposition will be recorded by this method:	Reporter
Production: You, or your representatives, must a electronically stored information, or objects, and material:	also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of	e attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to of not doing so.
Date: 01/26/2017	OR
Signature of Clerk or Deputy (	Clerk Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number Abdullah Salim, Mohamed Ahmed Ben Soud, and Obaidl Lawrence S. Lustberg, Gibbons P.C., One Gateway Cente 596-4731	

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88A (Rev. 02/14) Subpocna to Testify at a Deposition in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-286-JLQ

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

(date)	ppoena for (name of individual and title, if an .	ny)	
☐ I served the sub	opoena by delivering a copy to the nan	ned individual as follows:	
		on (date) ; or	
☐ I returned the s	ubpoena unexecuted because:		
	na was issued on behalf of the United tness the fees for one day's attendance		
\$	•		
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under per	nalty of perjury that this information is	s true.	
		Server's signature	
te:		Server's signature  Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

**From:** Bennett, Robert S. [mailto:robert.bennett@hoganlovells.com]

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 3:43 PM

To: Lustberg, Lawrence S

Cc: Paszamant, Brian (Paszamant@BlankRome.com); Steven Watt; Hina Shamsi; McGrady, Daniel, J.; Janukowicz, Kate E.; Frey,

Avram D.; hoffpaul@aol.com; Warden, Andrew (CIV) (Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov); Smith, James; Chris Tompkins

(ctompkins@bpmlaw.com); Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Schuelke III, Henry F.; Querns, Ann E.

**Subject:** Re: Salim v. Mitchell

How about the 7th and the 9th?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 26, 2017, at 2:49 PM, Lustberg, Lawrence S < LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com > wrote:

Unfortunately, I have a conflict with a court appearance on the 8th, so it gets a little complicated.

Lawrence S. Lustberg, Esq. Gibbons P.C. 1 Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102

Tel. (973) 596-4731 Fax (973) 639-6285 Cell (201) 407-4765

**From:** Bennett, Robert S. [mailto:robert.bennett@hoganlovells.com]

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 2:42 PM

To: Lustberg, Lawrence S

**Cc:** Paszamant, Brian (<a href="mailto:Paszamant@BlankRome.com">Paszamant@BlankRome.com</a>); Steven Watt; Hina Shamsi; McGrady, Daniel, J.; Janukowicz, Kate E.; Frey, Avram D.; <a href="mailto:hoffpaul@aol.com">hoffpaul@aol.com</a>; Warden, Andrew (CIV) (<a href="mailto:Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov">Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov</a>); Smith, James; Chris Tompkins (<a href="mailto:ctompkins@bpmlaw.com">ctompkins@bpmlaw.com</a>); Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Schuelke III, Henry F.; Querns, Ann E.

Subject: Re: Salim v. Mitchell

My strong preference would be to schedule the deps forMarch 8 and 9 so I can avoid a conflict on the 10th.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 26, 2017, at 1:17 PM, Lustberg, Lawrence S < LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com > wrote:

Thank you.

Lawrence S. Lustberg, Esq. Gibbons P.C. 1 Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102

Tel. (973) 596-4731 Fax (973) 639-6285 Cell (201) 407-4765

**From:** Bennett, Robert S. [mailto:robert.bennett@hoganlovells.com]

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 1:15 PM

To: Lustberg, Lawrence S

Cc: Paszamane Sprian 45 a Syam Date Brid horome 20 MM Steven Watt: Hills Shams 1 McGrady. Daniel, J.; Janukowicz, Kate E.; Frey, Avram D.; <a href="https://hoffpaul@aol.com">hoffpaul@aol.com</a>; Warden, Andrew (CIV) (Andrew.Warden@usdoj.gov); Smith, James; Chris Tompkins (ctompkins@bpmlaw.com); Rosenthal, Jeffrey; Schuelke III, Henry F.; Querns, Ann E.

Subject: Re: Salim v. Mitchell

I will check with my clients and my own schedule and get back to you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 26, 2017, at 12:45 PM, Lustberg, Lawrence S < LLustberg@gibbonslaw.com > wrote:

Dear Mr. Bennett:

We understand that you represent Jose Rodriguez and John Rizzo in the abovecaptioned matter. Given that they are on defendants' witness list and have now provided declarations in this matter, Plaintiffs here provide subpoenas for their depositions. I assume that you will accept service, but if not, let me know and we will serve the defendants more formally.

We apologize for the late date of these subpoenas, but have long anticipated, based upon our communications with the defendants, that we would have had the opportunity to ask our questions at the previously scheduled and now cancelled depositions scheduled for today and tomorrow. That said, we can be flexible with dates, as well as locations for the depositions, subject only to the Court's scheduling order, which will require that the depositions be completed by February 17. Let me know what works for you and your clients in that regard; by copy of this email, I am also making the same request of counsel for the defendants and the Government.

I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience so that we can make arrangements for these depositions. Thank you for your kind attention to this matter.

Larry Lustberg

Lawrence S. Lustberg, Esq. Gibbons P.C. 1 Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102

Tel. (973) 596-4731 Fax (973) 639-6285 Cell (201) 407-4765

<image001.jpg>

#### **Disclaimer**

The contents of this message, together with any attachments, may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, printing, or copying of this message, or any attachment, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify me immediately by reply e-mail or call the Gibbons P.C. Help Desk at 973-696-4900-(24 nhall? Repute Quibbetts White half and detailed this mediate, along with any attachments, from your computer.

<Subpoena - Jose Rodriguez.pdf>

<Subpoena - John Rizzo.pdf>

### **About Hogan Lovells**

Hogan Lovells is an international legal practice that includes Hogan Lovells US LLP and Hogan Lovells International LLP. For more information, see <a href="https://www.hoganlovells.com">www.hoganlovells.com</a>.

CONFIDENTIALITY. This email and any attachments are confidential, except where the email states it can be disclosed; it may also be privileged. If received in error, please do not disclose the contents to anyone, but notify the sender by return email and delete this email (and any attachments) from your system.