1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BRIAN M. BOYNTON Acting Assistant Attorney General ELIZABETH J. SHAPIRO Deputy Director, Federal Programs Branch VINITA B. ANDRAPALLIYAL Trial Attorney Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 T: 202-305-0845 E: Vinita.b.andrapalliyal@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Defendants	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13		]
14 15	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION, et al.,	Case No. 3: 19-cv-00290-EMC
16 17	Plaintiffs,	JOINT MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION, CORRECTION, OR RELIEF FROM ORDER
18	V.	
19 20	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, et al.,	Motion Hearing Date: 10/21/21 1:30 PM
21 22	Defendants.	
23		<u> </u>
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ACLUv. DOJ, No. 3: 19-cv-00290-EMC Joint Motion for Clarification, Correction, or Relief from Order	

The parties respectfully request clarification or a correction of a portion of this Court's September 23, 2021, order that granted in part and denied in part both parties' motions for partial summary judgment. Order, ECF No. 145. The parties notice the motion for October 21, 2021 at 1:30 PM, but respectfully request that this motion be decided on the papers.

On pages 8 and 9 of the Order, the Court examined a PowerPoint presentation included in records U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) produced in response to Plaintiff's Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. *Id.* at 8–9. The Court concluded that FOIA Exemption 7(E) did not apply to the first part of the presentation at pages 1878–87 and ordered USCIS to reprocess pages 1878–85 without any redactions. *Id.* However, USCIS had not redacted any information from pages 1878–85 of its production. *I See* March 25, 2021 Decl. of Hugh Handeyside at 147–54, ECF No. 109-3 (USCIS's production of those pages); *see also* June 10, 2021 Decl. of Hugh Handeyside at 52–59, ECF No. 134-3 (same).

Because USCIS has already released in full the pages that this Court ordered to be reproduced without redactions, the parties request clarification or correction of this Court's order regarding USCIS's FOIA production. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a). Alternatively, USCIS respectfully seeks relief from the order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1).

Dated: September 29, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN M. BOYNTON Acting Assistant Attorney General

ELIZABETH J. SHAPIRO Deputy Director, Federal Programs Branch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> While USCIS had initially redacted pages 1886 and 1887 under Exemption 7(E), see March 25, 2021, Handeyside Decl. at 155–56, ECF No. 109-3, it released those pages in full prior to the close of briefing, see June 10, 2021 Handeyside Decl. at 60–61. In any event, while this Court concluded that Exemption 7(E) did not apply to those pages, this Court did not order the pages to be reprocessed, presumably because USCIS already reprocessed them. See Order at 8–9.

/s/ Vinita B. Andrapalliyal 1 VINITA B. ANDRAPALLIYAL U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division 1100 L Street, NW 3 Washington, DC 20005 Phone: 202-305-0845 Email: Vinita.b.andrapalliyal@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Defendants /s/ Hugh Handeyside Hugh Handeyside American Civil Liberties Union Foundation 10 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor New York, NY 10004 11 Telephone: 212-549-2500 hhandeyside@aclu.org 12 13 Matthew Cagle American Civil Liberties Union 14 Foundation of Northern California 39 Drumm Street 15 San Francisco, CA 94111 16 Telephone: 415-621-2493 mcagle@aclunc.org 17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 2 ACLU v. DOJ, No. 3: 19-cv-00290-EMC 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 19-CV-00290-EMC